

LOW VELOCITY IMPACT BEHAVIOUR OF ADVANCED COMPOSITE
MATERIALS

RASIDIN BIN SENAWI

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

LOW VELOCITY IMPACT BEHAVIOUR OF ADVANCED COMPOSITE
MATERIALS

RASIDIN BIN SENAWI

This report is proposed to fulfilled some of the requirement to be honor with
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure & Material)

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal
Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia

Oktober 2008

‘I admint that have read this work and to me this work was adequate form the aspect scope and quality to be honor with Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structural and Material)’

Signature :.....
Name 1st supervisor :
Date :.....

“I verify that this report is my own work except for the citation and quotation that the source has been clarify for each one of them”

Signature:

Name of writer:

Date:

To my beloved family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, thanks to Allah for giving a good health and mind which enable to me to faced the entire problem and complete this research.

I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Puan Siti Hajar Binti Sheikh Md. Fadzullah who gave me the opportunity to do this research and for supervising me all the way and offered for many suggestions and helps in conducting the research to fulfill my Projek Sarjana Muda II course.

I also would like to thank all the lecturers, technician and laboratory assistant for assisting me in this project from the start. I would like to tell that their help are very much appreciated especially En Azhar from FKP(UTeM), En. Rashdan from FKM(UTeM) and En Hamdan from FKM(UITM)

My thanks also go to my family for their love and support. Not forgetting, to all my friends who have been very helpful and give me encouragement to finish the research.

ABSTRACT

The focus of this research is to study the effect of low velocity impact on composite sandwich panel that is commonly used in aerospace industries. This research investigates the effect of damage on the sandwich panel at low velocity. The specimen used in this project is carbon fiber sandwich panel and three type of testing will be carry out including Quasi-static compression test, Drop weight impact test and surface analysis using SEM. In this study, Quasi-static compression test are carried out at different velocity ranging from 2mm/min to 5mm/min. Drop weight impact test are carried out at velocity 2, 2.4, 2.97 and 3.5m/s. From the result the velocity at 3mm/min for compression test had the biggest load that is 1.95 KN. The biggest load for drop weight test at 3.5m/s is 1.26 KN. The biggest energy also at velocity 3mm/min for compression test and the value is 3.506 J. The biggest energy for drop weight test at 3.5m/s is 19 KN. From the result load and energy are directly proportional. The load increase and the energy become more increase. Several type of damages were observed under both impact test and this include upper skin failure, skin core debonding and core crushing.

ABSTRAK

Fokus penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan halaju rendah kesan ke atas komposit “sandwich panel” iaitu biasanya digunakan dalam industri aeroangkasa. Penyelidikan ini akan menyiasat kesan kerosakan di “sandwich panel” pada halaju rendah. Spesimen yang akan digunakan dalam projek ini adalah gentian karbon “sandwich panel” dan tiga jenis ujian akan dijalankan termasuk ujian mampatan Quasi-static, ujian hentaman dan permukaan analisis menggunakan SEM. Dalam kajian ini, ujian mampatan Quasi-static akan dijalankan pada halaju berbeza meliputi 2mm / min hingga 5mm / min. Untuk ujian hentaman dijalankan pada halaju 2, 2.4, 2.97 dan 3.5m / s. Daripada hasil halaju pada 3mm / min untuk ujian mampatan, mempunyai nilai daya terbesar iaitu 1.95 KN. Nilai daya terbesar untuk ujian hentaman pada 3.5m / s adalah 1.26 KN. Tenaga terbesar juga pada halaju 3mm / min untuk ujian mampatan dan nilainya adalah 3.506 J Tenaga terbesar untuk ujian hentaman pada 3.5m / s adalah 19 KN. Daripada keputusan itu, daya berkadar langsung dengan tenaga. Lebih banyak daya maka tenaga juga bertambah. Beberapa jenis kerosakan diperhatikan dibawah ujian mampatan termasuk kerosakan “skin’ atas, teras bercantum dan kerosakan teras.

TABLE OF CONTENT

	TOPIC	PAGE
	CONFESSION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	<i>ABSTRAK</i>	v
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
	LIST OF TABLE	ix
	LIST OF FIGURE	xi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xii
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 General	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Scope of Research	2
	1.4 Significant of research	2
	1.5 Research Scopes	2
	1.6 Planning and Execution for PSM 1 and 2	3
CHAPTER II	LITERATURE RIVIEW	
	2.1 Composite material	5

2.1.1	processing of composites	5
2.1.2	Mechanical Properties of Composite Materials	5
2.1.3	Categories of fiber reinforced composite materials	9
2.2	Sandwich panel	9
2.2.1	Composite sandwich panel	10
2.2.2	Advantages of sandwich panel	10
2.2.3	Composite sandwich structure	11
2.2.4	Simple analysis of composite sandwich panel	12
2.2.5	Core	13
2.2.6	Skin	15
2.2.7	Mechanical properties of sandwich panel	16
2.3	Impact Damage	18
2.4	Result and Discussion from the journal	18
2.4.1	Previous study on low velocity impact	23

CHAPTER III	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Process Flow of Research	27
3.2	sample	28
3.3	Quasi-static compression test	28
3.4	Drop weight impact test	30
3.5	Surface analysis via Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	31
CHAPTER IV	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Result of compression test	33
4.2	Drop weight impact test	35
4.3	Discussion of compression test	36
4.4	Discussion of drop weight impact test	41
4.5	Comparison between compression test and drop weight impact test	45
4.6	Impact characteristics of compression test	48
CHAPTER V	CONCLUSION	51
CHAPTER VI	RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK	52

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Mechanical properties of composite materials	06
2.2	Mechanic characteristics of fiber	07
2.3	Advantages of sandwich panel.	10
2.4	In-plane properties of carbon fabric/epoxy composite used for	16
2.5	Mechanical properties of sandwich core material: Divinycell H250	17
2.6	Basic material and geometrical properties of HSSA	18
4.1	Max. load and Max energy	33
4.2	Drop weight energy	35
4.3	Maximum energy at different velocity	36
4.4	Minimum energy at different velocity	37

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.5	Maximum load at different velocity	38
4.6	Minimum load at different velocity	39
4.7	Mean load different velocity	40
4.8	Mean load at different velocity	41
4.9	Maximum energy at different velocity	42
4.10	Result of velocity at 2.0 m/s	43
4.11	Result of velocity at 2.42 m/s	43
4.12	Result of velocity at 2.97 m/s	44
4.13	Result of velocity at 3.5 m/s	44
4.14	Mean load for compression test and drop weight test	46
4.15	Mean energy for compression test and drop weight test	47
4.16	Specimens observation for compression test	48
4.17	Specimens observation for drop weight impact test	50

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.0	Composite sandwich structure [12]	11
2.1	(Sandwich panel)I-beam structure [12]	12
2.2	Illustration of compression sandwich panel. [12]	14
2.3	Illustration of layer slide without resistance. [12]	14
2.4	Illustration of core weak in shear. [12]	15
2.5	Illustration of core strong in shear. [12]	15
2.6	Bending illustration [13]	16
2.7	Schematic outline of the sandwich beam test with clamped condition [1]	19
2.8	Schematic representations of the drop-weight test rig	20

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.9	Results of quasi-static sandwich beam tests with the 8mm core thickness	21
2.10	Results of quasi-static sandwich beam tests with the 10 mm core thickness [1]	22
3.1	Process flow of research	27
3.2	The specimen of sandwich panel	28
3.3	The mark of “X”.	29
3.4	The Compression Machine	29
3.5	Drop weight impact test	30
3.6	weight set	31
3.7	The specimen in the base plate and the figure of cross heads	31
3.8	SEM Instrument	32
4.1	Representative curve	34
4.2	Graph maximum energy	36
4.3	Graph minimum energy	37

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.4	Graph minimum energy	38
4.5	Graph minimum load	39
4.6	Graph mean load	40
4.7	Graph mean load	41
4.8	Graph mean energy	42
4.9	Comparison mean load for compression test and drop weight test	46
4.10	Comparison mean energy for compression test and drop weight test	47

LIST OF APPENDIX

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
A	Results for compression and drop weight test	55

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

Sandwich panels are widely used in lightweight construction especially in aerospace industries because of their high specific strengths and stiffnesses. In the service life of a sandwich panel, impacts are expected to arise from a variety of causes. Debris may be propelled at high velocities from the runway during aircraft takeoffs and landings. Other examples include tools dropping on the structure during maintenance or even collisions by birds. Visual inspection may reveal little damage on the sandwich panel, but significant damage may occur between the impacted face sheet and the core. Reduction of structural stiffness and strength can occur, and consequently, propagate under further loading. Thus, their behaviour under impact had received increasing attention.

1.2 Problem statement

There are many types of impact damage such as face/core bonding, hyper velocity, drop weight, and etc. These sandwich panels may encounter low-velocity impacts, such as tool-drop, runway stones, and tire blow out debris. Even though a visual examination of the impacted surface may reveal very little damage, significant damage might exist between the face sheet and the core. This type of damage leads to substantial reduction of the compressive and bending strengths of the sandwich construction. Will have to analyze the impact damage because to know the factors of safety especially for the aerospace industries which have widely used the sandwich panels. That is the first and important reason to study and analyze about the damage.

1.3 Objectives of Research

In this research, experimental investigation will be carried out to gain a better understanding of the effects of low-velocity impact on composite sandwich constructions. The objective of this study are:

- i. To study the effect of low velocity impact on composite sandwich panel.
- ii. To study the behaviour of composite sandwich panel under Quasi-static Compression Testing.
- iii. To study the effect on composite sandwich panel after impact under Drop Weight Testing Machine.
- iv. To study the type of impact damage on the surface of composite sandwich panel using scanning Electron Microscope(SEM).

1.4 Significant of research

From this research, the result of the low velocity of impact via quasi-static compression test, the effect on composite sandwich panel after impact under drop weight testing machine, and the type of impact damage on the surface are investigated.

1.5 Research scopes

The research activities include the following:

- i. Sample preparation on composite sandwich panel.
- ii. Low velocity impact testing via Quasi-static Compression Test.
- iii. Drop weight impact testing.
- iv. Surface defect analysis using Scanning Electron Microscope(SEM)

1.6 Planning and execution

PLANNING AND EXECUTION FOR PSM I	JANUARY				FEBRUARY				MARCH				APR	
	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	W10	W11	W12	W13	W14
RESEARCH ACTIVITY/TIME														
Literature review														
Research Methodology														
-Design of experiment														
- Design of test specimen														
Report writing for PSM I														
Preparation for PSM Seminar I														
Submission of report & log book														

200
8

PLANNING AND EXECUTION FOR PSM II

RESEARCH ACTIVITY/TIME	JULY				AUGUST				SEPTEMBER			OCT		
	W 1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	W9	W1 0	W1 1	W1 2	W1 3	W1 4
Literature review														
Experimental activities:-														
- Sample preparation														
- Mechanical Testing														
Quasi-Static Compression Test														
Surface Analysis using SEM														
Data collection														
Results and analysis														
Report writing for PSM II														
Preparation for PSM Seminar II														
Submission of report & log book														

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Composite materials

Composite materials (or composites for short) are engineered materials made from two or more constituent materials with significantly different physical or chemical properties and which remain separate and distinct on a macroscopic level within the finished structure. Composite materials have gained popularity (despite their generally high cost) in high-performance products that need to be lightweight, yet strong enough to take harsh loading conditions such as aerospace components (tails, wings, fuselages, propellers), boat and scull hulls, bicycle frames and racing car bodies. Other uses include fishing rods and storage tanks. The new Boeing 787 Dreamliner structure including the wings and fuselage is composed of over 50 percent composites. Carbon composite is a key material in today's launch vehicles and spacecrafts. It is widely used in solar panel substrates, antenna reflectors and yokes of spacecrafts. It is also used in payload adapters, inter-stage structures and heat shields of launch vehicles.

2.1.1 Processing of composites

In general, the reinforcing and matrix materials are combined, compacted and processed to undergo a melding event. After the molding event, the part shape is essentially set, although it can deform under certain process conditions. For a thermoset polymeric matrix material, the melding event is a curing reaction that is initiated by the application of additional heat or chemical reactivity such as organic peroxide. For a

thermoplastic polymeric matrix material, the melding event is solidification from the melted state. For a metal matrix material such as titanium foil, the melding event is a fusing at high pressure and a temperature near the melt point. [9]

For many molding methods, it is convenient to refer to one mold piece as a “lower” mold and another mold piece as an “upper” mold. Lower and upper refer to the different faces of the molded panel, not the mold’s configuration in space. In this convention, there is always a lower mold, and sometimes an upper mold. Part construction begins by applying materials to the lower mold. Lower mold and upper mold are more generalized descriptors than more common and specific terms such as male side, female side, a-side, b-side, tool side, bowl, hat, mandrel, etc. Continuous manufacturing processes use a different nomenclature. The molded product is often referred to as a panel. For certain geometries and material combinations, it can be referred to as a casting. For certain continuous processes, it can be referred to as a profile. [9]

Autoclave method process using a two-sided mold set that forms both surfaces of the panel. One the lower side is a rigid mold and on the upper side is a flexible membrane made from silicone or an extruded polymer film such as nylon. Reinforcement materials can be placed manually or robotically. They include continuous fiber forms fashioned into textile constructions. Most often, they are pre-impregnated with the resin in the form of prepreg fabrics or unidirectional tapes. In some instances, a resin film is placed upon the lower mold and dry reinforcement is placed above. The upper mold is installed and vacuum is applied to the mold cavity. The assembly is placed into an autoclave pressure vessel. This process is generally performed at both elevated pressure and elevated temperature. The use of elevated pressure facilitates a high fiber volume fraction and low void content for maximum structural efficiency. [9]

2.1.2 Mechanical properties of composite materials

Composite materials have gained popularity (despite their generally high cost) in high-performance products such as aerospace components (tails, wings, fuselages,

propellers), boat and scull hulls, bicycle frames and racing car bodies. More mundane uses include fishing rods and storage tanks. [10]

Carbon composite is a key material in today's launch vehicles and spacecrafts. It is widely used in solar panel substrates, antenna reflectors and yokes of spacecrafts. It is also used in payload adapters, inter-stage structures and heat shields of launch vehicles. [10]

Table 2.1: Mechanical properties of composite materials [10]

Material	Strength, MPa	Elastic Modulus, GPa	Density, kg/m ³	Specific strength, m ² /s ²
Polyethylene	6.9	3	830	8313
Pure Al.	44.9		2730	16 440
Pure copper	207		8910	23 330
2024 Al. alloy	480	73.1	2780	173 000
Steel – high s.	1650	200	7800	212 000
Kevlar-epoxy	448		1390	322 300
Carbon-epoxy ¹	2100	150	1600	1 310 000
Titanium alloy	1170	110	4460	262 330
Epoxy	103		1390	74 100
Alumina	207		3175	65 200
SiC	480	410	3100	154 000

I. Glass Fibers

Glass fibers have been used for many years to produce fabrics and reinforcement materials for composites. They are gotten through warm spinning method of glasses made in a suitable way (usually, alumino- borate –silicate) according to the use and the environment where it will be used. The kinds of glass commonly used for fibers are kind E and kind S, with a density of about 2,6 g/cm³, with elastic modules of about 80 and 90 GPa and break resistance of respectively 3,5 and 4,5 GPa. [9].

To obtain composites with good characteristics under stress, the break stretching of the fiber (3 and 6% in many composites) must be less and the rigidity must be more