BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN AKHIR PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

JUDUL: NETWORK SIMULATION PERFORMANCE KOLEJ TEKNOLOGI BESTARI (KTB)

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2007/2008

Saya MOHD NOR AZYADI BIN MAMAT

Mengaku membenarkan Laporan Akhir Projek Sarjana Muda ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis dan projek adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.

2. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibernarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajiaan sahaja.

3. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibernarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi. 4. Sila tandakan (/)

SULIT (mengandungi maklumat berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) **TERHAD** (mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan) TIDAK TERHAD (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) Alamat tetap: No 171, Tmn Seri Intan, Sg. Tong, MOHD ZAKI BIN MAS'UD 21500 Setiu, Terengganu. Tarikh: 30/4/08 Tarikh: 30/4/2008

DECLERATION

I hereby declare that this project entitled

NETWORK SIMULATION PERFORMANCE KOLEJ TEKNOLOGI BESTARI (KTB)

is written by me and is my own effort and that no part has been plagiarized without citations.

STUDENT:	Date:	
(MOHD NOR AZYADI BIN MAMAT)		
SUPERVISOR:	Date:	
(MOHD ZAKI BIN MAS'UD)		

DEDICATION

To my beloved Family, I love you all. To My Supervisor, Thank you so much for the assist and help. To all my friends, thanks you for you're supported.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Throughout the completing this PSM, a lot of experience had I gained in writing this documentation. Hence, I would like to express my appreciations to everyone that have contributed towards the success my PSM. The duration of PSM I and PSMII had been taken about 4 month from January 2008 until April 20087. A lot of experience gained in the documentation.

I would like to take this opportunity to thank my PSM supervisor, En. Mohd Zaki bin Mas'ud for his guidance throughout the period of PSM. I am much appreciating his assistance and all the valuable knowledge provided in helping me to complete the documentation. Also I like to thank the person in charge for network at Kolej Teknologi Bestari (KTB) En Mohd Fauzi bin Ibrahim and staffs ICT department for giving the opportunity to do the research there.

Finally, I also express my deep gratitude to those who directly or indirectly helped me in completing this PSM I and PSMII documentation.

Thank you.

ABSTRACT

This project paper is a about Network Simulation Performance Kolej Teknologi Bestari (KTB). This document records the process of development or simulation for network at KTB. Before this project, KTB' side do not have data network performance for network design. This project develops to get data network performance for network at KTB. Then, from the data network performance, this project improves new network design and data network performance. Comparison data network performance between current network design and enhance network design to see the good network design.

ABSTRAK

Kertas projek ini dinamakan Network Simulation Performance Kolej Teknologi Bestari (KTB). Kertas kerja ini merekodkan segala proses pembangunan atau simulasi rangkaian di KTIAM. Sebelum ini, pihak KTB tidak mempunyai data prestasi rangkaian (network performance) untuk rekabentuk rangkaian. Projek ini dibina untuk mendapatkan data prestasi rangkaian KTB. Selepas itu, daripada data prestasi rangkaian, rekabentuk rangkaian baru dibina dan data prestasi diambil. Perbandingan data prestasi rangakaian antara rekabentuk rangkaian asal dengan rekabentuk rangkain baru untuk memperlihat rekabentuk yang lebih bagus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SUBJECT	PAGE
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	LIST OF TABLES	X
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Project Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	1
	1.3 Objective	2
	1.4 Scope	2
	1.5 Project Significant	2
	1.6 Expected Output	3
	1.7 Conclusion	3
CII A DEED A	V VIII A TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL TOT	
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT	
	METHODOLOGY	
	2.1 Introduction	4
	2.2 Literature Review	5
	2.2.1 Domain	5
	2.2.1.1 Network Performance	5

	2.2.1.2 Simulation	7
	2.2.2 Previous Research	8
a - 1	2.2.3 Opnet Modeler	9
	2.3 Project Methodology	10
	2.3.1 Top – Down Network Design	10
	2.4 Project Schedule and Milestones	13
	2.4.1 Project Milestones	13
	2.4.2 Project Gantt Chart	16
	2.5 Conclusions	16
CHAPTER 3	ANALYSIS	
	3.1 Introduction	17
	3.2 Problem Analysis	18
	3.2.1 Current System	18
	3.2.2 Problem of Current Scenario	18
	3.3 Requirement Analysis	19
	3.3.1 User Requirement	19
	3.3.2 Application Requirement	20
	3.3.3 Hardware Requirement	21
	3.3.4 Network Requirement	23
	3.3.5 Other Requirement	24
	3.3.6 Quality of Data (Simulation Data)	25
	3.4 Conclusion	28
CHAPTER 4	DESIGN	
	4.1 Introduction	29
	4.2 Possible scenario	29
	4.2.1 Network Architecture	29
	4.2.2 Logical Design	35
	4.2.3 Physical Design	36
	4.3 Conclusion	20

CHAITERS	INITERITATION	
	5.1 Introduction	39
	5.2 Network Configuration Management	40
	5.2.1 Configuration Environment Setup	40
	5.2.1.1 Subnet	40.
	5.2.2 Version Control Procedure	44
	5.3 Hardware Configuration Management	45
	5.3.1 Hardware Speciification	44
	5.3.1.1 Switch	46
	5.3.1.2 Links	46
	5.3.1.3 Core switch	46
	5.3.1.4 Router	46
	5.3.1.5 Firewall	47
	5.3.1.6 Server	47
	5.3.1.7 Workstation	47
	5.3.2 Hardware Configuration	48
	5.3.2.1 Profile Definition	48
	5.3.2.2 Application Definition	49
	5.3.2.3 LAN	50
	5.4 Security	75
	5.4.1 Security Policies and Plan	75
	5.5 Development Status	75
	5.6 Conclusion	76
CHAPTER 6	TESTING	
	6.1 Introduction	77
	6.2 Test Plan	78
	6.2.1 Test Organization	78
	6.2.2 Test Environment	78
	6.2.3 Test Schedule	79

	0.5 Test strategies	81
	6.3.1 Classes of Tests	81
	6.4 Test Design	82
	6.4.1 Test Description	82
	6.4.2 Test Data	84
	6.4.2.1 LAN Delay	84
	6.4.2.2 Traffic Through	86
	6.4.2.3 FTP (File Transfer Protocol)	87
	6.4.2.4 HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol)	88
	6.5 Test Result and Analysis	89
	6.5.1 LAN Delay	91
	6.5.2 Through Traffic	103
	6.5.3 FTP (File Transfer Protocol)	115
	6.5.4 HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol)	119
	6.6 Conclusion	122
CHAPTER 7	PROJECT CONCLUSION	
	7.1 Observation on Weakness and Strength	123
	7.2 Proposition for Improvement	124
	7.3 Contribution	124
	7.4 Conclusion	125
	REFERENCES	126
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	127
	APPENDICES	128
	Gantt Chart	129
	Design	131
	Survey (Interview)	132
	Log Book	133

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.2	Milestone of PSM1	13
2.3	Milestone of PSM2	15
3.1	User Requirement Table	19
3.2	Application Requirement Description	20
3.3	Hardware Requirement Table	23
5.1	Number of Subnet and Node (Current Network)	41
5.6	Version control procedure v1.0	43
5.7	Version control procedure v2.0	44
5.9	Profile Configuration (Normal Hour)	49
5.10	Profile Configuration (Peak Hour)	49
6.1	Test Schedule Specification	79
6.2	Test Description	82
6.7	Test Result	89
6.8	Comparing Time Average (ILAN Delay)	91
6.9	Comparing Time Average (LAN Through Traffic)	103
6.10	Comparing Time Average (FTP)	116
5.11	Comparing Time Average (HTTP)	119

LIST OF FIGURES

DIAGRAM	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Network Design Methodology Phases	12
3.4	Flow Chart of the Simulation Process	27
4.1	KTB Current Network Simulation Design (Current Scenario)	30
4.2	Second Floor Subnet Design (Current Scenario)	31
4.3	First Floor Subnet Design (Current Scenario)	32
4.4	Ground Floor Subnet Design (Current Scenario)	33
4.5	New Building Subnet Design (Current Scenario)	34
4.6	KTB Current Networks Logical Design	35
4.7	Ground Floor	36
4.8	First Floor	36
4.9	Second Floor	37
4.10	New Building	37
5.2	KTB Project's Scenario (Current Network)	42
5.3	KTB Project's Scenario (Enhance1 Network)	43
5.4	KTB Project's Scenario (Enhance2 Network)	43
5.5	KTB Project's Scenario (Enhance3 Network)	44
5.8	Profiles Definition and its Attributes	47
5.11	Applications Definitions and its Attributes	50
5.12	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Server)	51
5.13	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Pensyarah Perempuan)	51
5.14	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Pensyarah Lelaki)	52
5.15	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal D)	52

5.16	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal E)	53
5.17	Management LAN Attributes (Pejabat Pensyarah)	53
5.18	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Kuliah Tingkat 2)	54
5.19	Management LAN Attributes (Pejabat Eksekutif)	54
5.20	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal A)	55
5.21	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal B)	55
5.22	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Tajaan)	56
5.23	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal C)	56
5.24	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Pensyarah Tingkat 1)	57
5.23	Management LAN Attributes (Pejabat Am)	57
5.26	Management LAN Attributes (Unit Peperiksaan)	58
5.27	Management LAN Attributes (HEP)	58
5.28	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal Multimedia 1)	59
5.29	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal Multimedia2)	59
5.30	Management LAN Attributes (Pepustakaan)	60
5.31	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Kuliah Tingkat Bawah)	60
5.32	Management LAN Attributes (Pejabat Pembangunan Kemahiran)	61
5.33	Management LAN Attributes (Makmal Komputer)	61
5.34	Management LAN Attributes (Bilik Kuliah)	62
5.35	Core Switch node Configuration	62
5.36	Current Subnet Configuration (Campus Subnet)	63
5.37	Current Subnet Configuration (Tingkat Bawah Subnet)	63
5.38	Current Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 1 Subnet)	64
5.39	Current Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 2 Subnet)	64
5.40	Current Subnet Configuration (Bangunan baru Subnet)	65
5.41	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Campus Subnet)	65
5.42	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bilik Router Subnet)	66
5.43	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bilik Server Subnet)	66
5.44	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat Bawah Subnet)	67
5.45	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 1 Subnet)	67
5.46	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 2 Subnet)	68

5.47	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bangunan Baru Subnet)	68
5.48	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Campus Subnet)	69
5.49	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bilik Server Subnet)	69
5.50	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat bawah Subnet)	70
5.51	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 1 Subnet)	70
5.52	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 2 Subnet)	. 71
5.53	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bangunan Baru Subnet)	71
5.54	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Campus Subnet)	72
5.55	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bilik Server Subnet)	72
5.56	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat bawah Subnet)	73
5.57	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 1 Subnet)	73
5.58	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Tingkat 2 Subnet)	74
5.59	Enhance Subnet Configuration (Bangunan Baru Subnet)	74
6.3	LAN Delay	85
6.4	LAN Trough Traffic	86
6.5	FTP	87
6.6	HTTP	88

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

KTB Kolej Teknologi Bestari

FTP File Transfer Protocol

Hypertext Transfer Protocol **HTTP**

LAN Local Area Network

Sec Seconds

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES

TITLE

Appendices A

Gantt chart

Appendices B

Design

Appendices C

Survey (Interview)

Appendices D

Log Book

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Background

In computer networks, Network performance refers to the overall effectiveness of a network at a given point. Generally performance is examined at all levels of connectivity (LAN, WAN, backbone, end-to-end, application). Measurement usually looks at the throughput, bandwidth, delay and packet lost .The bandwidth measurement is to see how much data can be transferred per unit time, delay measurement is to see how long it takes an individual piece of data to traverse the network and the packet loss is to see when a piece of data disappears in transmission affects both bandwidth and real-time applications. Difference aspects of network performance can be measured, giving the information to improve application's performance.

1.2 Problem Statement

- The analyzing of network design
 Kolej Teknologi Bestari (KTB) doesn't have any documentation that can be referred to analyze current network performance moreover to upgrade the network
- The simulation of the network
 For getting any result, the design and the data collected need to be in simulation as to know any problem can occur if the new network performance is to be implemented.

Besides that, the proper parameter, analyzing and many things need to be done. If not, there will be a greater problem in the future.

1.3 Objective

- To analyze the network performance in KTB in throughput, delay, FTP (File Transfer Protocol) and HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol).
- To simulate the network environment
- To suggest improvement regarding the network performance

1.4 Scope

This project is to analyze network performance in Kolej Teknologi Bestari. By performing the network in a simulation as for performance scope, the simulation will analyze parameters like network throughput and delay.

The target scope is based on user in KTB network environment. The target user is KTB staff and student, where the analyzing will be done there.

1.5 Project Significance

For this project the network performance that are going be measure are network throughput, delay, FTP (File Transfer Protocol) and HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol). Throughput is the amount of digital data per time unit that is delivered over a physical or logical link or that is passing through a certain network node and the delay refers to the time taken for a packet to be transmitted across a network from source to

destination. FTP is most commonly used to download a file from a server using the Internet or to upload a file to a server. HTTP is a communications protocol for the transfer of information on intranets and the World Wide Web. HTTP is a request or response standard between a client and a server.

1.6 Expected Output

The expected output in this project is to have the data on simulation. This simulation is to check any problem that can occur on the network performance so that enhancement can be made in order to minimized or avoid the problem.

1.7 Conclusion

As a conclusion, this chapter clarifies briefly about all the process flow on the project background, objective, problem statement, and project scope. This chapter also describes the purpose of this project which is doing an analysis of an existing network a simulation by the network in order to check the performance of the network and problem that can occur.

The next chapter consists of literature review, fact and finding, project requirement, milestone and methodology that will be used on this project.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT METHODOLOGY

2.1 Introduction

This chapter explains about the literature review and project methodology for the project. The discussion will be more on finding the fact, journal or article that related to the project. The lively project methodology is important as a guideline of activities for developers to follow and obey. There are a lot of methodology exists and depend on developer to choose the suitable one. Usually, it will be choosed according to project or system.

Moreover, this chapter also discuss in depth on the proper project methodology in carrying out the project successfully. The project methodology is an important procedure describes that the process to collect, analyses, and distribute responsibility and estimates outcomes. The project methodology will also encompass development methodology and technique chosen along with hardware, software and network requirement.

2.2 Literature Review

2.2.1 Domain

Every project has it own domain. In this project, the domain for the project is networking concept and simulation. To be specific, these project focus on wired network simulation. This project will cover the problem that can occur in implementing network and can be references to implementing the network.

2.2.1.1 Network performance

Network performance refers to the level of quality of service of a telecommunications product as seen by the customer.

Functional description of network performance encompasses a description of speed, capacity, latency and distortion of transactions that are carried across the network. This informal description of what constitutes network performance certainly feels to be on the correct path, given that if one knew the latency, available bandwidth, loss and jitter profile and packet reorder probability as a profile of network performance between two network end points, as well as the characteristics of the network transaction, it is possible to make a reasonable prediction relating to the performance of the transaction. Measuring these quantities and then map them back to an overall picture of network capability and performance is very difficult. Service providers and customers often find themselves with entirely different motivations in service performance measurement.

The following list provides definitions for network performance goals that can use when analyzing precise requirements:

· Capacity (bandwidth).

The data-carrying capability of a circuit or network, usually measured in bits per second (bps)

Utilization.

"Utilization" is the ratio of time a system is busy (i.e. working for us), divided by the time it is available. The percent of total available capacity in use.

· Optimum utilization

Maximum average utilization before the network is considered saturated.

Throughput

Quantity of error-free data successfully transferred between nodes per unit of time, usually seconds.

Offered load

Sum of all the data all network nodes have ready to send at a particular time.

Accuracy

The amount of useful traffic that is correctly transmitted, relative to total traffic.

Efficiency

A measurement of how much effort is required to produce a certain amount of data throughput.

• Delay (latency)

Time between a frame being ready for transmission from a node and delivery of the frame elsewhere in the network.

· Delay variation

The amount of time average delay varies.

Response time

The amount of time between a request for some network service and a response to the request.

The basic Internet architecture is one of end-to-end data flows, where the network's task is one of simple packet switching. The Internet architecture does not manage the network resource by trying to 'protect' one application's use of the network from any other.

2.2.1.2 Simulation

In computer network research, network simulation is a technique for a program simulates the behavior of a network. This simulation is performance by the program using mathematical formulas, actually capturing or playing back network parameters from a production network. The network behavior, the various applications and services it supports can be observed in a test. Also, various attributes of the environment can also be modified. When a simulation program is running in network with live applications and services in order to control end-to-end performance to the user desktop, this technique is also referred to as network emulation.

The averages of the simulators are GUI drive, while some network simulator requires input scripts or network parameters. Important outputs of simulations are the trace files. The network parameters describe the state of the network such as node placement, existing links and the events such as data transmissions, link failures, etc. Trace files every event that occurred in the simulation and is used for analysis. Network simulators can also capture of data directly from network simulation environment. This data capture may be done at various times of the day, week, and month.

Most network simulators use discrete event simulation. Some network simulation problems is important those relying on queuing theory suited to Markov chain simulations, in no list of future events is maintained and the simulation consists of transiting between different system in a memory less fashion. Markov chain simulation is typically faster but less accurate and flexible than detailed discrete event simulation.

2.2.2 Previous Research

Network performance is the qualitative level at which a network fulfills its function by the [ATIS. network performance. Retrieve on May 2007 from http://www.atis.org/]. Based on National Laboratory for Applied Network Research(NLANR), network performance refers to the overall effectiveness of a network at a given point. Generally performance is examined at all levels of connectivity (LAN, WAN, backbone, end-to-end, application). Difference aspects of network performance can be measured, giving you information you can use to improve your application's performance by the [National Laboratory for Applied Network Research (2001). Getting Started Guide Network Performance. Retrieve on May 2007 from http://dast.nlanr.net]. Due to this, in order to implement this project the target parameter must best set of and the target parameter is to measure the packet lost and end to end delay at Kolej Teknologi Bestari.

Network traffic analysis and modeling play a major role in characterizing network performance. Models that accurately capture the salient characteristics of traffic are useful for analysis and simulation, and they further our understanding of network dynamics and so aid design and control from Shriram Sarvotham, Rudolf Riedi and Richard Baraniuk (2001a). There many things as we know to be consider before the analyzing is to be done. Measuring and analyzing network traffic dynamics between end hosts has provided the foundation for the development of many different network protocols and systems (Shriram Sarvotham, Rudolf Riedi and Richard Baraniuk, 2001a). Joel Sommers, et al. (2002). The importance is to understand the packet loss behavior since loss can have a significant impact on the performance of both TCP- and UDP-