### DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM FOR UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA PROJECT TENDER

### MOHD SHAHNIZAR BIN MARZUKI

This report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Computer Science (Software Development)

FACULTY OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA
2007

#### **BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS**

JUDUL: DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM for UTeM PROJECT TENDER

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2007/2008

Saya MOHD SHAHNIZAR BIN MARZUKI

Mengaku membenarkan tesis (PSM/sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dengan syarat-syarat kegunaa seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis dan projek adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.
- Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
- Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

4.	** Sila tandakan (/)	
	SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat yang
		berdarjah keselamatan atau
		kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang
		termaktub di dalam AKTA
		RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
	TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat
		TERHAD yang telah ditentukan
		oleh organisasi/badan di mana
		penyelidikan dijalankan
		HAD
		A.
	(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)	(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)
	Alamat tetap:	${f \setminus}$ PUAN NURAZLINA BT MOHD
	No 12, Jalan Perkasa 2, Taman	SANUSI
	Seri Waja, Kg. Jawa, 85000	
	Segamat, Johor.	
	Tarikh: 12 November 2007	Tarikh: 12 November 2007

### DECLARATION

# I hereby declare that this project report entitled

### **DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM for UTeM PROJECT TENDER**

Is written by my own effort and that no part has been plagiarized without citations.

STUDENT : (MOHD SHAHNIZAR BIN MARZUKI)	Date: 12/11/2007
SUPERVISOR: (NURAZLINA BT MOHD SANUSI	Date: 12/11/2007

# DEDICATION

To my beloved family and friends
Whose boundless love and support replenishes and enriches my soul to complete this thesis.
Thanks for being my inspiration and encouragement

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to thank from many people on all aspects of this documents and its supplements. All the text appears between the covers is all my responsible but the insights, corrections, and contributions of others have greatly improved my documentation. Their contribution has stimulated me and frequently rejuvenated me during period of waning energy for this entire document.

I am in very debt to my supervisor, Pn. Nurazlina Mohd Sanusi (lecturer, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka) which provides me a great idea on how to develop a very good document. Either than that, she also supports many reference books for me to develop a proper UML design. Under her supervision, I'm greatly improved the writing skills and also improved in technical writing document. Her advices and opinions have leaded me to develop this report in a proper way. Besides, in every single meeting with her, she also has given a good advice on soft skills. It is on how to face the working life when I graduated soon.

I'm also in indebted to all my fellows' friends, the undergraduate students of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka who has given me many helpful comments while developing this document. Their opinion and supporting me from the beginning of the project is so mean to me. I'm also like to thanks to Pn. Noraliza Md. Yusof (Accountant, Unit Perolehan UTeM) for spending her time to have an interview with me. Her opinion on how to develop the system and meet with the real time process is very useful to me. It has brought an idea how to develop the system properly.

Finally, I would like to thanks to every single person who involve in Project Sarjana Muda (PSM). I'm very impressed with their commitment to all the students of PSM. Although I'm the person that responsible who responsible onto this project, but all the credits goes to all the name stated above for any success this document and project might achieve.

Mohd Shahnizar Marzuki

#### ABSTRACT

Decision Support System (DSS) for Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka Project Tender is a system that produces a decision making for the tender selection process for UTeM project tender. This system is a web-based system for UTeM Management Team, Company that involved in the selection process and also for the person who control the tender's process. The system also will lesson to make a decision based on criteria given by the individuals. Its feature make the current process go easier when it is well finished developed soon. It will control all the decision within selection making for the tender based on the criteria that have been given. The system is a web-based system and use internet browser to run the system.

### **ABSTRAK**

Decision Support System (DSS) untuk tender projek, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka ialah sistem yang menhasilkan keputusan sendiri untuk tender projek di UTeM. Sistem ini ialah berasaskan laman web untuk kumpulan yang menguruskan tender, syarikat yang menender projek dan individu yang menguruskan proses tender. Sistem ini mempelajari membuat keputusan dengan criteria yang diberi oleh individu yang terbabit. Fungsi-fungsi yang bakal dihasilkan di dalam sistem ini diharap dapat membantu apabila siap nanti. Ia akan mengawal segala pemilihan tender dengan sendiri berdasarkan criteria yang diberi. Sistem ini beasaskan web dan menggunakan browser internet untuk berjalan.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SUB,	JECT	PAGE
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	i
	ABS	TRACT	ii
	ABS	TRAK	iii
	TAB	LE OF CONTENS	iv
	LIST	OF TABLES	ix
	LIST	xi	
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xii
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xiv
I	INTI	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Project Background	1
	1.2	Problem Statement(s)	2
	1.3	Objective	2
	1.4	Scope	3
	1.5	Project Significant	3
	1.6	Expected Output	4
	1.7	Conclusion	4

II LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT METHODOLO	UGY
--	-----

	2.1	Introduction	5
	2.2	Facts and Finding	8
		2.2.1 Domain	11
		2.2.2 Existing System	11
		2.2.2.1 The Plan IT Project: A Web-Based	
		Work Transition Decision Support	
		System for Person with Disabilities	11
		2.2.2.2 Planning Decision Support System	12
	2.3	Project Methodology	12
		2.3.1 Approach	12
		2.3.2 Methodology	13
	2.4	Project Requirements	16
		2.4.1 Software Requirements	16
		2.4.2 Hardware Requirements	16
		2.4.3 Other Requirements	16
	2.5	Project Schedule and Milestone	17
	2.6	Conclusion	.19
Ш	ANA	ALYSIS	1 2
	3.1	Introduction	20
	3.2	Problem Analysis	21
		3.2.1 Background of Current System	21
		3.2.2 Problem Statement(s)	22
	3.3	Requirements Analysis	23
		3.3.1 Data Requirements	24
		3.3.2 Functional Requirements	26
		3.3.2.1 Package Diagram	27
		3.3.2.2 Business Flow	27

			3.3.2.3 Use Case	29
			3.3.2.4 Actor	30
			3.3.2.5 Use Case Description	30
			3.3.2.6 Sequence Diagram	36
		3.3.3	Non-Functional Requirements	40
			3.3.3.1 Platform/Operating System	40
			3.3.3.2 Database	40
			3.3.3.3 Web Server Extension	41
			3.3.3.4 Client Side Technology	42
			3.3.3.5 Web Development Tools	42
			3.3.3.6 Documentation Tools	43
			3.3.3.7 Graphic Documentation Tools	44
			3.3.3.8 UML	44
		3.3.4	Hardware Requirements	45
			3.3.4.1 Computer	45
		3.3.5	Network Requirements	45
			3.3.5.1 Web Browser	45
			3.3.5.2 Web Hosting	46
	3.4	Conc	lusion	46
IV	DES	IGN		
	4.1	Introd	luction	47
	4.2	High-	Level Design	48
		4.2.1	System Architecture	48
			4.2.1.1 Package Diagram	50
			4.2.1.2 High-Level Class Diagram	52
			4.2.1.3 Deployment View	53
		4.2.2	User Interface Design	54
			4.2.2.1 Navigation Design	58
			4.2.2.2 Input Design	58

		4.2.2.3 Output Design	59
		4.2.3 Database Design	60
		4.2.3.1 Conceptual and Logical Database	
		Design	60
		4.2.3.2 Data Dictionary	62
	4.3	Detailed Design	64
	4.4	Conclusion	70
v	IMP	LEMENTATION	
	5.1	Introduction	71
	5.2	Software Development Environment Setup	71
	5.3	Software Configuration Management	72
		5.3.1 Numbering of Product Version	73
		5.3.2 Version Control Procedure	74
	5.4	Implementation Status	75
	5.5	Conclusion	79
VI	TES	TING	
	6.1	Introduction	80
	6.2	Test Plan	81
		6.2.1 Test Organization	81
		6.2.2 Test Environment	82
		6.2.3 Test Schedule	82
	6.3	Test Strategy	83
		6.3.1 Classes of Test	85
	6.4	Test Design	86
		6.4.1 Test Description	86
		6.4.2 Test Data	88
	6.5	Test Result and Analysis	91

			viii
	6.6	Conclusion	94
п	PRO	JECT CONCLUSION	
	7.1	Observation on weakness and strength	95
	7.2	Propositions for Improvements	97
	7.3	Contribution	98
	7.4	Conclusion	98
	REF	ERENCES	99

100

APPENDICES

# LIST OF TABLE

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Software Requirements for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	16
2.2	Hardware Requirements for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	16
2.3	Other Requirements for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	16
2.4	Project Schedule for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	17
3.1	Data Dictionary for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	24
4.1	Input Design for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	58
4.2	Output Design for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	59
4.3	Data Dictionary for DSS for UTeM Project Tender	62
4.4	Table Class for Admin Login Menu	64
4.5	Table Class for Agent Login Menu	65
4.6	Table class for Manage Company Information	66
4.7	Table class for Manage Tender Information	67
4.8	Table class for Decision Making	69
5.1	Table of Configuration Management	73
5.2	List of Versions Procedure	74
5.3	Table of DSS for UTeM Project Tender	75
6.1	DSS for UTeM Project Tender test environment	82
6.2	DSS for UTeM Project Tender test schedule	83
6.3	Categories of Test Case Design Technique	84
6.4	DSS for UTeM Project Tender test description	87
6.5	Admin Access Control Module	88

6.6	User Access Control Module	89
6.7	Manage Tender Information Module	89
6.8	Decision Making Module	90
6.9	Tender Status Module	91
7.0	Test Case Result for Access Control	91
7.1	Test Case Result for Manage Tender Information	92
7.2	Test Case Result of Decision Making	93
73	Test Case Result of Tender Status	94

# LIST OF FIGURE

DIAGRAM	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Activity Diagram for current process Project Tender	22
3.2	Package Diagram for DSS for UTEM Project Tender	27
3.3	Activity Diagram for Access Control	27
3.4	Activity Diagram for Manage Tender Information	28
3.5	Activity Diagram for Decision Making	28
3.6	Activity Diagram for Check Tender Status	29
3.7	Use Case for DSS for UTEM Project Tender	29
3.8	Use Case for Access Control	30
3.9	Use Case for Manage Tender Information	36
3.10	Use Case for Decision Making	31
3.11	Use Case for Tender Status	31
3.12	Sequence Diagram for Admin Access Control	36
3.13	Sequence Diagram for User Access Control	37
3.14	Sequence Diagram for Decision Making	37
3.15	Sequence Diagram for Check Status	38
3.16	Sequence Diagram for Manage Tender Information	
	(Add function)	38
3.17	Sequence Diagram for Manage Tender Information	
	(Update function)	39
3.18	Sequence Diagram for Manage Tender Information	
	(Delete function)	39
4.1	3-Tire Architecture	48

4.2	Package Diagram for DSS for UTEM Project Tender	50
4.3	Class Diagram for DSS UTEM Project Tender	52
4.4	Deployment Diagram	53
4.5	Login Interface for Admin	54
4.6	Login Interface for User	54
4.7	Admin Manage Company Information Interface	55
4.8	Admin Manage Tender Information Interface	55
4.9	Decision Making Interface	56
4.10	User Company Profile Main Interface	57
4.11	User Tender Status Interface	57
4.12	Navigation Design for DSS for UTEM Project Tender	58
4.13	ERD for DSS UTEM Project Tender	60
5.1	Software Development Environment Setup	72
5.2	Interface for Access Control Module	76
5.3	Interface for Manage Company Information Module	76
5.4	Interface for Manage Tender Information Module	77
5.5	Interface for Decision Making Module	77
5.6	View Company Bidding Information	78
5.7	Company view company profile (Manage Company	
	Information)	78

### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka **UTeM** 

DSS - Decision Support System

- Unified Modeling Language **UML** 

GSS - Group Support System

EIS - Enterprise Information System

**SCM** - Supply Chain Management

KM - Knowledge Management

ES - Expert System

ANN - Artificial Neural Networks

**IDSS** - Intelligent Decision Support System

**ERD** -Entity Relationship Diagram

- Object-Oriented Analysis and Design OOAD

RUP - Rational Unified Process

OS - Operating System

IE - Internet Explorer

**PSM** - Projek Sarjana Muda

- Internet Information Services IIS

ASP - Active Server Page

**CGI** - Common Gateway Interfaces

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDICES	TITLE	PAGE
A	Document References	102
В	User Manual	109

#### CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 **Project Background**

Nowadays, project tenders are still managed by human to make decision that which companies will get the tenders. In reality today, the company that will get the tenders, eventually will be choose from the meeting or some people of the highest rank in the members of the meeting. This meeting was called "Open Tender Box". In order to choose a right company for the tender, the project called "Decision Support System for Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka Project Tender" is proposed for the final year project (PSM).

This system is basically an intelligent system that can make a decision required by management during tender selection. Customer can do the online tender checked and also check the status of their current tender. For the UTeM tender's management team (admin), this system helps to reduce processing time. Besides, the system can update or do maintenance such as add manage customer information (view/update) and decision module.

#### 1.2 Problem Statement(s)

The tender process today take a lot of time to decide which company will get the tender. The process starting from several of meeting and discussion among members UTEM tender's management team. It's will take time to decide which company get the tender.

The current tender bidding process today also did not run in healthy environment. There is outside factor that involve in the process that will make the tender did not go to the company that should get it.

Besides those two problem, the currently tender process today also seems didn't run smoothly. When many tenders open in the same time, it will be some of the tenders will be hold their current tender bidding to let the previous bidding finish. Therefore, in this case today, some tender need sometimes several months to get the result.

Furthermore, the company that involves in the project tender cannot check their status either the tender go to them or not. If they want the confirmation about their status, they need to contact the UTeM tender's management team or wait for the acknowledgement letter.

#### 1.3 Objective

- Use Decision Support System to produce the best tender among the tenders in a specification time given.
- To use by the UTeM tender's management team in tender's selection process.
- To change the current manual process into a system.

#### 1.4 Scope

There are two sides of parties as a target user that will be involve in this system.

The users are UTEM tender's management team (admin) and company (user).

#### The Admin:-

- Access Control
  - Admin need to enter the authentication id number and password to login
- Manage Tender Information
  - Admin can add, update and delete tender and User(Company) information
- · Decision Making
  - Admin have the authority to filter the decision process making by the system and also authority to approve the company that enter the tender

### The User (Company):-

- Access Control
  - User need to enter ID number and password
- Tender Status
  - User can check the status of success or not get the tender

### 1.5 Project Significant

The one that will get the benefits from this system is company's management team because this system will help them to manage the tender management which is from the activities open the tender until the selection. Therefore the customer will have to wait for result about the decision. This may help both of involving parties will reduce time for make selection and the selection that will be made is better in faster time. For the customer, they will know in the short time by online web services.

### 1.6 Expected Output

The expected output that will get Decision Support System for UTeM Project Tender is that this system will be able to manage the tender bidding process smoothly. Besides it will make the tenders process finish in a specific time required.

#### 1.7 Conclusion

In conclusion, this DSS is a decision maker system that will help any of the tender bidding run smoothly without any effect from the outsider. The project background is the introduction to the project in briefly explanation, problems statements is description about the problems that the user face with the current system, project objectives is list down the thing that we want to get from this system or why we build the system, while scopes are basically the specific user for the system and the modules that involved in this project and also the expected output when the system is finish. Lastly project significant is describe who/what may benefits from the project and how it going to give benefits to the users. The next activities to be developed will be the literature review and project methodology of the system.

#### CHAPTER II

# LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1 Introduction

A literature review is an evaluative report of information found that related to your selected area of study. This review will describe the summaries, evaluate and clarify of this literature. It should give a theoretical base for the research and help to determine the nature of your research. Works which are irrelevant should be discarded and those which are peripheral should be looked at critically. A literature review must be organized around and related directly to the thesis or research question that being developed, synthesize results into a summary of what is and is not known, identify areas of controversy in the literature and formulate questions that need further research.

Project is a temporary endeavor undertaken to create a unique product or service. Thus, the end result sought may be distinct from the mission from the organization which undertakes it because the project specifically has a deadline and the endeavor is temporary. According to the John S. Reel (2002), at least seven of 10 signs of IS project failures are determined before a design is developed or a line of code is written. The 10 signs of IS project failure from Tom Field analyzed is:-

- Project Manager didn't understand users' needs.
- 2. The project's scope is not well defined.
- Project changes are managed poorly.

- 4. The chosen technology changes.
- Business needs change.
- 6. Deadlines are unrealistic.
- 7. Users are resistant.
- 8. Sponsorship is lost.
- 9. The project lacks people with appropriate skills.
- 10. Manager ignores best practices and lesson learned.

By the time you figure out you have a quality problem; it is probably too late to fix it (John S. Reel, 2002). This is best lesson for some organization that wants to build a project; there is 70 percent of the project failure before the implementation phase start. Although the problem is found in an implementation phase, it might be too late to fix it due to a due date of the project or financial and other more. Nearly every software development project faced with numerous difficulties and problem. Therefore when the project is successful, it is not because there were no problem but because the problems were overcome. Software project development depends on the documents and the documentation (Paul Rook, 1986). That why every is done right in software have done early and so there is little problem occur later, it can be overcome. This is what the software are:-

- 1. Software has no physical appearance.
- Few software metrics exist.
- 3. Software has much higher complexity.
- Effects of software change propagate explosively.
- 5. Software includes data as well as logic.
- Software development makes very little use of pre-existing components.

Project Management is the art of creating the illusion that any outcome is the result of a series of predetermined, deliberate acts when; in fact, it was dumb luck (Kerzner, 2002). There is 9 key of effectiveness for good project management. First is