

## KOLEJ UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA

# SIMULATION STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia for the Degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Honours) (Manufacturing Process)

By

## Mohamad Fadzli b. Mat Jusoh

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering May 2006

	ERSITI TEKNIKAL	KEBANGSAAN <i>N</i>	IALAYSIA
	BORANG PENGESA	HAN STATUS TE	SIS*
JUDUL: SIMULATION ST	rudy on the perf	FORMANCE OF FL	OW SHOP SCHEDULING
SESI PENGAJIAN : 2005	-2006		
Saya	MOHAMAD FADZLI	BIN MAT JUSOH	
mengaku membenarkar Perpustakaan Kolej Uni syarat-syarat kegunaan	n tesis (PSM/Sarja iversiti Teknikal Ko seperti berikut:	na/Doktor Falsafa ebangsaan Malays	ah) ini disimpan di sia (KUTKM) dengan
<ol> <li>Tesis adalah hak mi</li> <li>Perpustakaan Kolej membuat salinan ur</li> <li>Perpustakaan diben antara institusi pen</li> <li>**Sila tandakan (√)</li> </ol>	lik Kolej Universit Universiti Teknika ntuk tujuan pengaj arkan membuat sa gajian tinggi.	i Teknikal Kebang Il Kebangsaan Ma jian sahaja. Ilinan tesis ini sel	gsaan Malaysia. laysia dibenarkan bagai bahan pertukaran
SULIT	(Mengandung atau kepenti AKTA RAHSIA	gi maklumat yang ngan Malaysia ya NRASMI 1972)	berdarjah keselamatan ng termaktub di dalam
√ TERHAD	(Mengandung oleh organisa	gi maklumat TERH asi/badan di man	HAD yang telah ditentukan a penyelidikan dijalankan)
TIDAK TERH	AD		Disahkan oleh:
(TANDATANGAN	I PENULIS)	(TAN	DATANGAN PENYELIA)
Alamat Tetap: <u>KG. SEMUBAR,</u> <u>17000 PASIR MAS,</u> <u>KELANTAN.</u>		Cop Rasmi	:
Tarikh:MAY 200	)6	Tarikh:	MAY 2006
esis dimaksudkan sebagai to ertasi bagi pengajian secara Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TEF ngan menyatakan sekali seb	esis bagi Ijazah Dokto a kerja kursus dan pe RHAD, sila lampirkan pab dan tempoh tesis	or Falsafah dan Sarj enyelidikan, atau La surat daripada piha ini perlu dikelaska	jana secara penyelidikan, atau aporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PS ak berkuasa/organisasi berkena n sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.



KOLEJ UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA Karung Berkunci 1200, Ayer Keroh, 75450 Melaka Tel : 06-233 2421, Faks : 06 233 2414 Email : fkp@kutkm.edu.mv

#### FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN

Rujukan Kami (Our Ref): Rujukan Tuan (Your Ref): 19 May 2006

Pustakawan Perpustakawan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia KUTKM, Ayer Keroh MELAKA.

Saudara,

#### PENGKELASAN TESIS SEBAGAI SULIT/TERHAD - TESIS SARJANA MUDA KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN (PROSES PEMBUATAN): MOHAMAD FADZLI BIN MAT JUSOH TAJUK: SIMULATION STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING

Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa tesis yang tersebut di atas bertajuk "SIMULATION STUDY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF FLOW SHOP SCHEDULING" mohon dikelaskan sebagai terhad untuk tempoh lima (5) tahun dari tarikh surat ini memandangkan ia mempunyai nilai dan potensi untuk dikomersialkan di masa hadapan.

Sekian dimaklumkan. Terima kasih.

"BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA KERANA ALLAH"

Yang benar,

PUAN HAJJAH SERI RAHAYU BT. HAJI KAMAT, PENSYARAH, Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan (Penyelia) 2006-2332559



## DECLARATION

I hereby, declare this thesis entitled "Simulation Study on The Performance of Flow Shop Scheduling" is the results of my own research except as cited in the reference.

Signature	:	
Author's Name	:	Mohamad Fadzli Bin Mat Jusoh
Date	:	

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

## APPROVAL

This thesis submitted to the senate of KUTKM and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Honours) (Manufacturing Process). The members of the supervisory committee are as follows:

Signature	:	
Supervisor's Name	:	Puan Hajjah Seri Rahayu bt. Haji Kamat
Date	:	

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

## DEDICATION

"Especially to my family, lecturers and members who give me a big support to complete the PSM project"

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to God that finally I have completed the final year project successfully. Uttermost gratitude goes to Almighty Allah for the abundant blessing and His willing for me to accomplished the research in this Projek Sarjana Muda. The research goes smoothly as they will.

Besides that, I would like to express my sincere to my supervisor, Puan Hajjah Seri Rahayu bt. Haji Kamat for all his invaluable advise and support given to me since the beginning of the research until the completion of my Projek Sarjana Muda. I was grateful to have a support from him.

Not to forget, I would also thanks to my family that give the opportunity to learn in this university and all my family members for giving me full of support for me. Finally, my appreciation to all other members that supporting me for this research especially my housemate for their kind help in completing this Projek Sarjana Muda.

### ABSTRACT

An objective for this project to make some improvement in their performance and studied about the process flow between gating process and ranking process it is important to select which proposed alternative suitable for company to increase their productivity and profit. Therefore, in order to complete this project, I must know about the processing time and machining operations needed for the operator while doing their task.

For simulated the project, I had used the Witness software because is a powerful and easy to use simulation tool for modeling all types of manufacturing systems.

The planning of the project has been described in this report. There have eight chapters; introduction, literature review, methodology, data collection/data input, simulation model development, alternatives, result and discussion, and the last is conclusion and suggestion.

### ABSTRAK

Objektif projek ini adalah untuk membuat perubahan ke atas prestasi dan mempelajari mengenai proses aliran di antara proses "gating" dan proses "ranking". Ini adalah penting bagi memilih alternatif yang sesuai untuk diaplikasikan di syarikat tersebut untuk meningkatkan produktiviti dan keuntungan syarikat. Oleh itu, bagi menyelesaikan projek ini, saya perlu tahu tentang masa yang diperlukan bagi operator yang menjalankan proses dan operasi setiap mesin.

Untuk projek simulasi ini, saya menggunakan perisian Witness kerana ia mudah untuk dibangunkan dan boleh menghasilkan berbagai jenis model bagi setiap sistem pembuatan.

Perancangan untuk projek ini akan dihuraikan di dalam tesis ini. Ia mempunyai lapan tajuk iaitu pengenalan, kajian ilmiah, pengkaedahan, pengumpulan data/data masukan, pembangunan model simulasi, alternatif, keputusan dan perbincangan, dan akhir sekali adalah kesimpulan dan cadangan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgement	i
Abstract	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
List of Abbreviations, Symbols, specialized nomenclature	xi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of the Research	3
1.4 Scope of Research	4
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction to Manufacturing Simulation	6
2.1.1 Structure of Manufacturing Systems	10
2.1.1.1 Plant layout	10
2.1.2 Basic Simulation Concepts	12
2.1.3 Modeling and Simulation	19
2.1.4 Types of simulation	20
2.1.4.1 Static simulation	20
2.1.4.2 Dynamic simulation	21
2.1.5 Manufacturing simulation applications	22
2.1.6 Manufacturing Modeling features	22
2.1.6.1 Resources	23
2.1.6.2 Material Handling	23
2.1.6.3 Workstation Logic	23
2.1.6.4 Buffers	24
2.1.6.5 Order / Process Plans	24

2.2 Introduction to Shop Floor Scheduling	24
2.3 Why Use Simulation	
2.3.1 Typical simulation applications	26
2.3.2 Step in Simulation Study	28
2.4 Shop Floor Scheduling with Simulation	29
2.5 The Case for the Organizational Use of Simulation	32
2.5.1 The Simulation Study	33
2.5.2 Simulation Model Analysis	34
2.5.3 Simulation study results	36
2.6 Advantages and Disadvantages to Simulation	38
2.6.1 Advantages to Simulation	38
2.6.2 Disadvantages to Simulation	39

### **CHAPTER III: METHODOLOGY**

3.1 Introduction to Methodology	42
3.1.1 Flow Chart Process for Methodology	43
3.2 Case Study	45
3.2.1 Implementation Simulation	45
3.2.2 Collect the data (using what method to do the analysis)	46
3.2.2.1 Step in time study	47
3.2.2.1.1 Selecting job for time study	48
3.2.2.1.2 Obtaining and recording information	48
3.2.2.1.3 Breaking the jobs into elements	49
3.2.2.1.4 Measure- duration of each element	50
3.2.2.1.5 Extend observed time into normal time	50
3.2.2.1.6 Determine relaxation and other allowances	50
3.2.2.1.7 Calculate standard time for the job	51
3.3 Bottleneck Scheduling	51
3.3.1 The Theory of Constraints (TOC)	52
3.3.2 Identification of Bottlenecks	53

v

CHAPTER IV: DATA COLLECTION/DATA INPUT	
4.1 Introduction	54
4.2 Data collection	55
CHAPTER V: SIMULATION MODEL DEVELOPMENT	
5.1 Introduction	58
5.2 Element definition	58
5.3 Structural model	60
5.4 Assumption	61
5.5 Verification and Validation	61
5.5.1 Verification	61
5.5.2 Validation	63
5.6 Run time	65

### **CHAPTER VI: ALTERNATIVES**

6.1 Introduction	66
6.2 Alternative explanation	67
6.3 Alternative overcome	72
6.4 Cost	74
6.4.1 Labor cost	75
6.4.2 Machine cost	76
6.4.3 Product cost	78
6.4.4 Other cost	79
6.4.5 Cost for actual process	79
6.4.6 Cost for alternative 1 (using 72 labors and add 1 machine	80
at ranking process)	
6.4.7 Cost for alternative 2 (using 72 labors and add 2 machines	80
at ranking process)	
6.4.8 Cost for alternative 3 (using 60 labors and add 1 machine)	81
6.4.9 Cost for alternative 4 (using 60 labors and add 2 machines)	81
6.4.10 Revenue	82
6.4.11 Profit	83

#### CHAPTER VII: RESULT AND DISCUSSION

7.1 Introduction	85
7.2 Simulation analysis result	86
7.3 Discussion	93

#### **CHAPTER VIII: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION**

8.1 Conclusion	97
8.2 Suggestion	98

#### REFERENCES

#### APPENDICES

#### Appendix A

A1 Layout for actual proc	ess
---------------------------	-----

- A2 Layout for alternative 1
- A3 Layout for alternative 2
- A4 Layout for alternative 3
- A5 Layout for alternative 4
- A6 Step in time study
- A7 Proposed Multi-Pass Scheduling Mechanism
- A8 Real-Time Monitoring and Control
- A9 Model design steps
- A10 Run toolbar
- A11 Adding Detail to machine and activities

#### **Appendix B**

- B1 Result idle, busy and no. of operation for actual process in simulation
- B2 Result idle, busy and no. of operation for alternative 1
- B3 Result idle, busy and no. of operation for alternative 2
- B4 Result idle, busy and no. of operation for alternative 3
- B5 Result idle, busy and no. of operation for alternative 4
- B6 10% interest factors for discrete compounding

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

2.1	Three flows concerning manufacturing: flow of material, flow of	8
	information and flow of costs.	
2.2	Basic simulation model component	13
2.3	Step in Simulation Study	28
2.4	Object Dependency in the Model	31
2.5	Present system cycle time performance	34
2.6	Set-up reduction for fabrication stage	36
2.7	Proposed system cycle time performance	36
3.1	Flow Chart Process for Methodology	43
3.2	Steps in time study	47
5.1	Simulation layout for actual simulation	62
6.1	Simulation layout for alternative 1	68
6.2	Simulation layout for alternative 2	69
6.3	Simulation layout for alternative 3	70
6.4	Simulation layout for alternative 4	71
6.5	Gating process layout	72
6.6	Ranking process layout	73
6.7	Cash flow diagram for machine cost	76
6.8	Graph for profit (RM) between each alternative	84
7.1	Graph for output between each alternative	91
7.2	Graph for productivity between each alternative	92
7.3	Graph for profit (RM) between each alternative	95

## LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Progress project for PSM I and PSM II	5
2.1	Setup times in color change	32
2.2	Result table	37
4.1	Cycle time gating process for 6 labors	56
4.2	Cycle time gating process for 5 labors	56
4.3	Cycle time ranking process for 6 machines	57
5.1	Element definition for Witness software	59
5.2	Push element in Witness software	60
5.3	Comparison actual and simulation model	63
5.4	Percentage for differential between actual model and simulation model	64
5.5	Simulation model result for production rate	64
6.1	Alternative explanation for changing and purpose	67
6.2	Salary cost for 72 labors and 60 labors	75
6.3	Differentiate between machine cost in each machine	77
6.4	Differentiate between product cost in each machine	78
6.5	Other cost for each machine	79
6.6	Cost for actual process per day	79
6.7	Cost for alternative 1 per day	80
6.8	Cost for alternative 2 per day	80
6.9	Cost for alternative 3 per day	81
6.10	Cost for alternative 4 per day	81
6.11	Differentiate between revenue in each machine	82
6.12	Differentiate for profit in each alternative	83

<ul><li>7.2 Result idle and busy process for alternative 1</li><li>7.3 Result idle and busy process for alternative 2</li></ul>	86
7.3 Result idle and busy process for alternative 2	87
	88
7.4 Result idle and busy process for alternative 3	89
7.5 Result idle and busy process for alternative 4	90
7.6 Differentiate for productivity in each alternative	94
7.7 Differentiate for profit in each alternative	96
7.8 Table accepted and rejected for each table	96



# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS, SPECIALIZED NOMENCLATURE

Ν	The number of entities that are processed through the system.
Ti	The system time for an individual entity (arrival time – departure
	time)
Di	The queue time for an individual entity (queue arrival time - service
	begin time)
В	Either 0 for idle or 1 for busy
Dt	The length of time that is observed
Т	The total length of time for the simulation
Q	The number in the queue for a given length of time
Р	Present value (RM), the value of a project, loan, or financial activity
	at the
	present time.
F	Future value (RM), the value of a project, loan, or financial at a future
	point in time.
i	Effective interest rate for a given period during which time the interest
	is to be compounded (e.g., !) percent per year).
n	Number of interest periods.
NP	Nested Partitioning
OCBA	Optimal Computing Budget Allocation
WIP	Work in Process
MRR	Material Requirement Planning
JIT	Just in Time
MPS	Master Production Scheduling
FIFO	First in First Out
SLP	Systematic Layout Planning
TOC	The Theory of Constraints
CCRs	Capacity Constrained Resourses

# CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

The general flow shop problem is defined so as determine that start/completion times for each operation job waiting to be processed in the shop that satisfies the following:

- 1) The technological constraints or processing the order for each job on all the resources.
- Optimality (i.e., minimize or maximize a given objective function) or satisfiablity (i.e., a reasonably good performance with respect to one or more scheduling criteria) constraints.

Scheduling plays an important role in shop floor planning. A schedule shows the planned time when processing of a specific job will start on each machine that the job requires. It also indicates when the job will be completed on every machine. Thus a timetable for both jobs and machines. The starting time of a job on the first machine in its sequence of operation should also be the release time for the job (assuming zero lead time). If some lead time is necessary, the release time is correspondingly adjusted.

The term scheduling criteria defines a scalar value function, which measure the performance or effectiveness of a particular schedule. A performance measure is usually defined in terms of its shop or job completion characteristics and is given as a function of the job or operation completion times. The processing of an order on a resource is called an operation. Each job must be processed through the machines in a particular order and may have no relation to the processing order of any other job. A special case of the general job shop-scheduling problem is defined as a flow shop problem, where all the jobs go through the same processing order. This special case is of particular interest because of the widespread simulation applications in flow processes, such as print shops, electronics manufacturing and assembly operations.

Each operation requires a fixed or stochastic length of time to be completed, which is referred to as the processing time. In a general flow shop-scheduling problem is assumed to be sequence or shop condition independent, a highly contested assumption. Each operation may also require a setup time. A setup time is required for preparing a machine for a particular operation. The setup time may or may not be required based on the current setup of a machine. Therefore, in the general problem, the setup time is assumed to be dependent.

#### **1.2 Problem statement**

Consider again the scenario above, this time through the eyes of the plants manager, who see that although everyone is attempting to do a conscientious job, the efforts are often misdirected. The use of hot lists to set priorities in getting products out the door causes major disruptions and confusion on the shop floor. Schedule changes prompted by these hot lists satisfy some short-term requirements. Shipment dates are missed, the customers complain to the sales force.

Although there appears to be much work-in-process, the reality is that most of the work is setting in queues. In addition, a staggering amount of unplanned overtime and quality problems are mounting.

2

Symptoms of scheduling problems

- 1) Uncontrollable costs
- 2) Disruptions on the shop floor
- 3) Late deliveries to customers
- 4) Unplanned overtime/off-loading
- 5) High work-in- process
- 6) Frequent schedule changes
- 7) Customer complaints
- 8) Long queues

#### **1.3 Objectives of the Research**

The objective of this research is to doing for make some improvement in their performance and also studies about their relation between one area to another area whether it suitable or not.

The specific objectives of this project are:

- To design and develop the performance of flow shop scheduling using Witness software ( case study in Maruwa (M) Sdn Bhd).
- Better use of resources through the identification of bottlenecks and spare capacity.
- 3) To understand manufacturing process at Maruwa (M) Sdn Bhd and simulation model by using Witness software.
- 4) To analysis existing system and proposed the new layout in gating and ranking process at Maruwa (M) Sdn Bhd.

#### **1.4 Scope of Research**

- 1) To establish problem definition, objective and methodology.
- 2) To analyze performance of flow shop scheduling at gating and ranking process.
- 3) To evaluation of operational procedures at gating and ranking process.
- Determine the impact of random machine downtimes and performance of labor at gating and ranking process.
- 5) Data collection at gating and ranking process at Maruwa (M) Sdn Bhd.

# CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Introduction to Manufacturing Simulation

Including research by Schott Miller and Dennis Pegden (2000), they were focus about manufacturing simulation has been one of the primary application areas of simulation technology. It has been widely used to improve and validate the designs of a wide range of manufacturing systems. The typical manufacturing model is usually used either to predict system performance or to compare two or more system designs or scenarios. Facility design applications may involve modeling many different aspects of the production facility, including equipment selection/layout, control strategies (push/pull logic), material handling design, buffer sizing, dispatching/scheduling strategies and material management. Depending on the objectives of the study, a detailed model of a facility level process can be very large and complex. Supply chain models are used to study an enterprise wide process that may encompass multiple production facilities, distribution centers and transportation systems.

In additional, the basic approach with simulation-based scheduling is to run the factory model using the starting state of the factory and the set of planned orders to be produced. Decision rules are incorporated into the model to make machine selection and routing decisions. The simulation constructs a schedule by simulating the flow of work through the facility and by making "smart" decisions based on the scheduling rules specified. Simulation-based scheduling, there are two types of decision rules that can be applied as each job step is scheduled:

C) Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

- 1) An operation selection rule
- 2) A resource selection rule

If a resource becomes available and there are several operations waiting to be processed by the resource, the operation selection rule is used to select the operation that is processed next. If an operation becomes available and it can be processed on more than one resource, the resource selection rule is used to decide which resource is used to process the operation.

On the basis of such concepts and views of the meanings of manufacturing and systems so far discussed, manufacturing (or production) systems can now be defined in the following three aspects (K. Hitomi, 1975):

- 1. The Structural Aspect of Manufacturing Systems. Based on structural (or static) definition of the system, the manufacturing system is a unified assemblage of hardware, which includes workers, production facilities (including tools, jigs, and fixtures), materials-handling equipment, and other supplementary devices. Thus the structural aspect of the manufacturing system forms a static spatial structure of a plant, i.e., the plant layout. This aspect can be viewed as a production system. This phrase appeared in 1907. Since 1943 it has been also used to mean the inference mechanism operated by knowledge based systems in the field of artificial intelligence (a different terminology should be introduced for this meaning).
- 2. The Transformational Aspect of Manufacturing Systems. Based on a transformational (or functional) definition of the system, the manufacturing system is defined as the conversion process of the factors of production, particularly the raw materials, into the finished products, aiming at a maximum productivity. This system is concerned with the flow of materials (or material flow). This is a common method of defining production systems or, in some cases, machining systems.

3. The Procedural Aspect of Manufacturing Systems. Based on a procedural definition of the system, the manufacturing system is the operating procedures of production. This constitutes the so-called management cycle, i.e., planning, implementation, and control. This process was recognized in Germany in the late 19th century, and Fayol established the functions of this process in 1916. Planning is selection, from among the alternatives, of the future course of action; implementation executes practical activities according to the plan (schedule); and control is measurement and correction of the performance of the activities to make sure that the management objectives and plans are being accomplished. Hence the manufacturing system plans and implements the productive activities to convert raw materials into products and controls this process to reduce or eliminate deviation of the actual performance from the plan. This procedure-production management-constitutes the flow of information (or information flow) for effective and economical production.



Figure 2.1: Three flows concerning manufacturing: flow of material, flow of information and flow of costs (K. Hitomi, 1978).