

SUPERVISOR DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Material & structures)”

Signature :

Supervisor :

Date :

**STRESS-STRAIN AND FAILURE ANALYSES OF STEEL PIPE WITH
STRESS CONCENTRATION FACTORS (SCFs) UNDER STATIC 3 AND 4
POINT BEND CONDITIONS**

TIE SING ANN

**This report is submitted in
partial fulfillment of requirement for degree of
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure and Materials)**

**Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
(UTeM)**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka**

MAY 2011

DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that the work in this report is my own except for summaries and quotation which have been duly acknowledged.”

Signature :

Author :

Date :

Specially dedicated
To my beloved family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

It is a pleasure to thank those who have given their help to make this thesis possible. First of all, I would like to thank Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for giving me this opportunity to conduct this study as my final year project as a partial fulfillment of Bachelor in Mechanical Engineering (Material & Structures).

I am heartily thankful to Pm. Abd. Salam Md Tahir for acting as my final year project supervisor. It is an honor for me to have such a great supervisor who willing to spend his precious time to give advices, guidance, support, and encouragement to me throughout the course of this Project Sarjana Muda.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my beloved parents who raise me up and supporting me this opportunity to study in UTeM. Their financial and mental support to make this project possible.

Lastly, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me either directly or indirectly in any aspect during the completion of the project. Without all of you, I believe that this thesis would not have been possible.

ABSTRAK

Sistem dan struktur-struktur paip digunakan dengan meluas dalam banyak industri. Tiub dan paip digunakan terutamanya dalam bidang stuktur. Oleh itu, tekanan memainkan kriteria penting pada paip tersebut. Tetapi, kekuatan paip selalu dikira dengan menganggap paip tersebut dalam keadaan sempurna. Malah, semua paip mangandungi retak kecil yang bertindak sebagai penubuh tekanan atau penumbuan tegasan. Selain daripada retak kecil, lubang yang berlainan bentuk juga dihasilkan dengan sengaja pada paip demi kegunaannya. Penumbuan tekanan akan mengurangkan kekuatan asal sebatang paip. Penumbuan tekanan ini boleh dikirakan dengan faktor penumbuan tekanan, K . Faktor penumbuan tekanan bermaksud nisbah takanan maksima kepada takanan nominal. Dengan itu, ujian lenturan digunakan untuk memastikan paip mempunyai kemuluran dan kekuatan yang cukup untuk menahan lenturan tanpa gagal. Tekanan lenturan berlaku apabila satu komponen itu dikenakan dengan daya yang sebalik dari percuba menghulurkan atau mengecut komponen tersebut. Ini akan menghasilkan gabungan daripada tekanan tegangan dan mampatan. Terdapat dua jenis ujian lenturan iaitu ujian liku tiga mata dan liku empat mata. Dalam laporan ini, paip keluli dianalisis dengan faktor penumbuan tekanan (SCFs) yang berlainan iaitu lubang bulat dan slot dengan kedua-dua ujian tersebut. Kedua-dua spesimen tersebut dilampirkan dengan lapan tolok terikan pada lokasi yang tertentu dari dekat ke jauh daripada penumbuan tekanan yang dihasilkan. Tolok terikan ini disambung kepada logger data untuk mendapatkan keputusan apabila tekanan dikenakan pada spesimen dengan menggunakan mesin ujian sejangat. Graf terikan terhadap jarak tolok terikan dari penubuh tekanan dilukis berasaskan keputusan yang terdapat dan mengirakan faktor penumbuan tekanan secara eksperimen. Selain itu, faktor penumbuan tekanan juga dikirakan secara teori dan dibandingkan. Daripada keputusan projek ini, ditunjukkan dengan jelas bahawa bentuk yang berlainan akan mempunyai nilai faktor penumbuan tekanan yang berlainan. Tetapi, kedua-dua spesimen lubang bulat dan slot menunjukkan bahawa

apabila menjauhi dari penubuh tekanan, terikan akan berkurang. Selain itu, terikan adalah berkadar terus dengan daya yang dikenakan. Daya yang tinggi akan menghasilkan terikan yang tinggi.

ABSTRACT

Piping system and structures are widely used in many industries. Tube and pipe are mainly use in structural application. So, stress plays an important criterion on these pipes. But the strength of the pipes usually calculated by assumed the pipes are perfect. In fact, all pipes contain small cracks that act as stress raisers or stress concentration. Besides small cracks, some holes of different shape also purposely produced for its uses. Stress concentration will reduce the strength of the pipe. The stress concentration is measured by stress concentration factor, K . Stress concentration factor is defines as the ratio of the maximum stress to nominal stress. So, bending tests are carried out to ensure that the pipe has a sufficient ductility and strength to stand bending without fracture. Bending stress occurs when a component is loaded by force which instead of trying to stretch or shrink the component. It will generate a combination of tensile and compressive stress in the load-carrying components. There are two types of bending tests, which are three-point bend and four-point bend tests. In this report, steel pipes are analyzed with different types of stress concentration factors (SCFs) which are circular hole and slot under both bending tests. Each specimen was attached with eight strain gauges on desired location from near to far from the stress raisers. These strain gauges are connected to the data logger to take the reading when the load is applied by the universal testing machine. Graphs of strain against distance of the strain gauges from the stress raisers were plotted based on the data recorded to calculate the experimental stress concentration factor. Besides, the theoretical stress concentration factor also calculated by using analytical method. Both theoretical and experimental results were compared for validation. From the results, it is clearly shown that different shapes will have the different value of the stress concentration factor. But both circular hole and slot specimens showed that the strain will decrease as the distance from the stress raisers is increase. Besides, the strain is directly proportional to the applied load. Higher loads will contribute higher strain.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	ABSTRACT	vii
	TABLE OF CONTENT	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDIX	xviii
 CHAPTER 1	 INTRODUCTION	 1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope	3
 CHAPTER 2	 LITERATURE REVIEW	 4
	2.1 What Constitutes Failure	4
	2.1.1 Excessive Elastic Deformation	4
	2.1.2 Yielding, or Excessive Plastic Deformation	5

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE
	2.1.3 Fracture	6
	2.1.4 Safety Factor	7
2.2	Stress Concentration Factor	8
	2.2.1 Selection of Nominal Stress	10
	2.2.1.1 Tension Bar With a Hole	10
	2.2.2 Accuracy of Stress Concentration Factors	14
	2.2.3 Decay of Stress Away From The Peak Stress	14
	2.2.4 Stress Concentration as a Two-dimensional Problem	15
	2.2.5 Stress Concentration as a Three-dimensional Problem	17
	2.2.6 Plane and Axisymmetric problems	19
2.3	Three Point Bend and Four Point Bend	20
	2.3.1 Theory of three point and four point bending test	20
	2.3.2 Hooke's Law	21
	2.3.3 Strain	21
	2.3.4 Stress	22
	2.3.5 Bending	22
	2.3.6 Shear and Moment Diagrams	23
2.4	Application of Steel Pipes and Tubes	24
	2.4.1 Industrial Steel Pipes and Tubes	24
	2.4.2 Steel Water Pipes and Tubes	25
	2.4.3 Sewage Pipes	25
	2.4.4 Steel Scaffolding	26
	2.4.5 Oil and Gas Pipes	26
	2.4.6 Steel Casing Pipes and Tubes	26
	2.4.7 Structural Steel Pipes and Tubing	27
	2.4.8 Steel Tubes for Furniture	27
	2.4.9 Steel Tubes for Automotive	27

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE
	2.5 Data acquisition	28
	2.5.1 Strain gauges	28
	2.5.2 Data logger	30
CHAPTER 3	METHODOLOGY	31
	3.1 Experimental method	31
	3.1.1 Three point bending bend tests	31
	3.2 Material and specimens	32
	3.2.1 Stress concentration factor of notched specimens	33
	3.3 Equipments and Machine used	34
	3.4 Symbol labeling	36
	3.4.1 Symbol labeling for specimens without strain gauges	36
	3.4.2 Symbol labeling for specimens with strain gauges	37
	3.5 Procedure	39
	3.5.1 Three point bend test for specimens without strain gauges	
	3.5.2 Three point bend test for specimens with strain gauges	41
	3.5.3 Four point bend test for specimens with strain gauges	42
CHAPTER 4	RESULT	43
	4.1 Three point bend	43
	4.1.1 Bending test results without strain gauges	43
	4.1.1.1 Bend test results for un-notched specimens	43
	4.1.1.2 Bend test results for notched specimens of circular hole	45
	4.1.1.3 Bend test results for notched specimen of slot	46

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE
	4.1.1.4 Bend test results for notched specimen with strain gauges	49
	4.2 Four point bend	60
	4.2.1 Bend test results for notched specimen of circular hole	60
	4.2.2 Bend test results for notched specimen of slot	67
CHAPTER 5	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	72
	5.1 Bending test results for specimen without stress concentration	72
	5.2 Bending test results for specimen with stress concentration	73
	5.3 Discussion for results of specimens with strain gauges reading	74
CHAPTER 6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	78
	6.1. Conclusion	78
	6.2 Recommendations	79
REFERENCES		81
APPENDICES		84

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Stress Concentration Factor as a Function of Poisson's Ratio for a Shaft in Tension with a Groove	19
3.1	Symbol and meaning	36
3.2	Symbol labeling of specimens without strain gauges	36
3.3	Symbol labeling of specimens with strain gauges	37
3.4	Distance of strain gauges from center of circular hole	38
3.5	Distance of strain gauges from center of slot	39
4.1	Average results of three point bending tests	45
4.2	Average data of three point test on circular hole specimen	46
4.3	Average data of slot specimen under three point bend	48
4.4	Strain gauges data of SP-NHG	49
4.5	Average of strain gauges data of SP-NHG	50
4.6	Strain concentration factor for specimen with hole as SCF under three point bend	54
4.7	Strain gauges data of SP-NSG	55
4.8	Average strain gauges data of SP-NSG	56
4.9	Strain concentration factor of slot specimen under three point bend test	59
4.10	Strain gauges data of SP-NHG	60
4.11	Average strain gauges data of SP-NHG	61
4.12	Strain concentration factor of SP-NHG	66
4.13	Strain gauges data of SP-NSG	67
4.14	Average strain gauges data of SP-NSG	68

NO.	TITTLE	PAGE
4.15	Strain concentration factor for specimen with slot as SCF under four point bend test	71
5.1	Comparison of ultimate stress	73
5.2	Error percentage of stress concentration factor	76

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	(a) Tension bar with hole; (b) torsion bar with groove	11
2.2	Stress concentration factor K_{tg} and K_m for the tension of a finite width thin element with a circular hole (Howland 1929-1930)	13
2.3	(a) Plane stress; (b) plane strain	15
2.4	Round bar with a circumferential groove and torsional loading	17
2.5	Hyperbolic circumferential groove in a round bar	18
2.6	Shear and moment diagrams of three point bend test	23
2.7	Shear and moment diagrams of four point bend test	24
2.8	Wheatstone bridge	29
2.9	Strain gauges	29
2.10	Data logger	30
3.1	Isometric view of circular hole specimen	32
3.2	Isometric view of slot specimen	32
3.3	Instron Universal Test Machine	35
3.4	Schematics of (a) three-point bend test apparatus; (b) four point bend test apparatus	35
3.5	Location of strain gauges on circular hole specimen	37
3.6	Location of strain gauges on slot specimen	38
3.7	Bluehill software	39
3.8	Selection of method	40
4.1	Graph of un-notched specimen (SP-UN1)	44
4.2	Graph of un-notched specimen (SP-UN2)	44

NO.	TITTLE	PAGE
4.3	Graph of circular hole specimen (SP-NH1)	45
4.4	Graph of circular hole specimen (SP-NH2)	46
4.5	Graph of slot specimen (SP-NS1)	47
4.6	Graph of slot specimen (SP-NS2)	47
4.7	Location of strain gauges of circular hole specimen	50
4.8	Graph of applied load vs strain of SP-NHG	51
4.9	Graph of strain vs distance of strain gauges of SP-NHG	52
4.10	Graph of strain vs distance of strain gauges of Sp-NHG	53
4.11	Location of strain gauges of slot specimen	56
4.12	Graph of applied load vs strain of Sp-NSG	57
4.13	Graph of strain vs distance of strain gauges of SP-NSG	58
4.14	Graph of applied load vs strain of SP-NHG	62
4.15	Graph of applied load vs strain of circular hole specimen	63
4.16	Graph of strain vs distance of strain gauges of SP-NHG	64
4.17	Graph of strain vs distance of strain gauges of Sp-NHG	65
4.18	Graph of applied load vs strain of SP-NSG	69
4.19	Graph of strain vs distance of SP-NSG	70
5.1	Stress flow	73

LIST OF SYMBOLS

σ_w	=	working stress
σ_0	=	yield strength
σ_u	=	tensile strength
N_0	=	factor of safety based on yield strength
N_u	=	factor of safety based on tensile strength
σ_{max}	=	Maximum stress
σ_{nom}	=	Nominal stress
P	=	Total Load
H	=	Height
h	=	Notch height
K_{tg}	=	Gross stress concentration factor
K_{tn}	=	Net stress concentration factor
K_e	=	Experimental stress concentration factor
E	=	Modulus of elasticity
I	=	Moment of inertia
L	=	Span length of bending member
M	=	Maximum bending moment
A_g	=	Gross sectional area

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SCFS	=	Stress Concentration Factors
FEM	=	Finite Element Method

LIST OF APPENDICES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
A	Gantt Chart For Projek Sarjana Muda(PSM) 1	85
B	Gantt Chart For Projek Sarjana Muda(PSM) 2	86
C	Chart of stress concentration factor of circular hole	87
D	Chart of stress concentration factor of slot	88
E	Detailed drawing if circular hole specimen	89
F	Detailed drawing of slot specimen	90
G	(i) Circular hole specimen with strain gauges	91
	(ii) Slot specimen with strain gauges	91

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

A pipe or tube is a tubular section or hollow cylinder. It is usually, but not necessarily of circular cross-section. It is mainly used to convey substances which can flow such as liquid and gas (fluids), slurries, powder, masses of small solids. Besides, it also can be used for structural applications such as for trusses, offshore structures and aerospace members where weight reduction is a prime concern. This is because hollow pipe is far stronger per unit weight than solid members. With the same diameter and the same material, a solid rod is stronger than a hollow pipe. However, with the same weight and material, the hollow pipe is stronger than a solid rod. Because a hollow pipe is lighter than a solid rod for the same outside diameter and the hollow pipe would have a larger diameter in order to match weights with the solid rod and a larger diameter rod or pipe is stronger than a smaller diameter one.

Pipe or tube is generally specified by a nominal diameter with a constant outside diameter (OD and the thickness) according to a certain standard. It is generally manufactured to one or several international or national industry standards. While similar standards exist for specific industry tubing, tube is often made to custom sizes and a broader range of diameters and tolerances. Many industrial and government standards exist for the production of pipe and tubing.

Bending tests are carried out to ensure that a metal pipe or tube has a sufficient ductility and strength to stand bending without failure. Bending stress occurs when a component is loaded by force which, also trying to stretch or shrink the component. Those bending forces generate a combination of tensile and compressive stresses in the load-carrying components, known as bending stresses. In bending tests, the load is applied vertically or transversely at either one or two points. As a result, these tests are referred to as three-point and four point bending, respectively.

In this project, steel pipe or tube contained stress concentration factors (SCFs) was studied or analyzed. Stress concentration factor is defined as the ratio of the greatest stress in the area of a notch or other stress raiser to the corresponding nominal stress. It is a theoretical indication of the effect of stress concentrators on mechanical behavior. Stress concentration factor usually is higher than the empirical fatigue notch factor or strength reduction ratio, because it does not take into account stress relief due to local plastic deformation. The examples of shapes or discontinuities that cause these stress concentrations are cracks, sharp corners, holes, and, changes in the cross-section area of the object.

1.2 Problem Statement

An object is strongest when force that applied on it is evenly distributed over its area, so a reduction in area for example caused by stress raisers, will results in a localized increase in stress. A material can fail, via a propagating crack, when a concentrated stress exceeds the material's fracture strength. The real fracture strength of a material is always lower than the theoretical value because most of the materials contain stress raisers that cause stress concentrations. Failures always start at stress concentration areas, so removing such defects will increase the fatigue strength of the structures or components. To prevent the materials fail, the real stress-strain distributions should be investigated with different stress concentration factors (SCFs) which subjected to static 3 and 4 point bend loads.

1.3 Objective

The objective of this project is to investigate and define stress-strain distributions and failure analysis of steel pipe or tube with different stress concentration factors (SCFs) subjected to static 3 and 4 point bend loads.

1.4 Scope

The scopes of this project involve the following:

- Conduct the literature study on stress-strain and failure analysis of structure in general. This includes the effect of different type of stress concentration factors (SCFs) such as circular or elliptical holes and notches.
- Design the test specimens from the steel tube that contains the proposed SCFs.
- Determination of theoretical SCFs (k_t) by using analytical or Finite Element (FE) method.
- By using the strain gages, measure the strains at selected and critical locations to investigate and validate the theoretical analysis and predictions.
- The use of finite element (FE) method may also be considered to verify or validate the measured and analytical stress-strain data.
- Define the actual failure stress / collapsed load of the proposed design by conducting the real 3 and 4 bend until the specimen fail.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 What constitutes failure?

Structural members and machine elements can fail to perform their intended functions in three general ways:

- i. Excessive elastic deformation
- ii. Yielding, or excessive plastic deformation
- iii. Fracture

i.

An understanding of the common types of failure is important in good design because it is always necessary to relate the loads and dimensions of the member to some significant material parameters which limits the load-carrying capacity of the member.

2.1.1 Excessive elastic deformation

Two general types of excessive elastic deformation may occur: (1) excessive deflection under condition of stable equilibrium, such as the deflection of beam under gradually applied loads; (2) sudden deflection, or buckling, under conditions of unstable equilibrium.

Excessive elastic deformation of a machine part can mean failure of the machine just as much as if the part completely fractured. For example, a shaft which is too

flexible can cause rapid wear of bearing, or the excessive deflection of closely mating parts can result in interference and damage to the parts. The sudden buckling type of failure may occur in a slender column when the axial load exceeds the Euler critical load or when the external pressure acting against a thin-walled shell exceeds a critical value. Failures due to excessive elastic deformation are controlled by the modulus of elasticity, not by the strength of the material. Generally, little metallurgical control can be exercised over the elastic modulus. The most effective way to increase the stiffness of a member is usually by changing its shape and increasing the dimensions of its cross section.

2.1.2 Yielding, or excessive plastic deformation

Yielding, or excessive plastic deformation, occurs when the elastic limit of the metal has been exceeded. Yielding produces permanent change of shape, which may prevent the part from functioning properly any longer. In a ductile metal under conditions of static loading at room temperature yielding rarely results in fracture, because the metal strain hardens as it deforms, and an increased stress is required to produce further deformation. Failure by excessive plastic deformation is controlled by the yield strength of the metal for a uniaxial condition of loading. For more complex loading conditions the yield strength is still significant parameter, but it must be used with a suitable failure criterion. At temperatures significantly greater than room temperature metals no longer exhibit strain hardening. Instead, metals can continuously deform at constant stress in a time-dependent yielding known as creep. The failure criterion under creep conditions is complicated by the fact that stress is not proportional to strain and the further fact that the mechanical properties of the material may change appreciably during service.