

KOLEJ UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA

DUN	ANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS*			
JUDUL: MANUFACTURING MODELING AND SIMULATION IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY CASE STUDY: STORAGE AREA				
SESI PENGAJIAN : 2/2005-2	2006			
Saya	MOHD ASNAWI BIN OMAR			
mengaku membenarkan te Perpustakaan Kolej Univer syarat-syarat kegunaan sep	sis (PSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di siti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia (KUTKM) dengan perti berikut:			
 Tesis adalah hak milik Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia. Perpustakaan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi. **Sila tandakan (√) 				
SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)			
TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)			
√ TIDAK TERHAD				
	Disahkan oleh:			
AS.	W/M			
(TANDATANGAN PEN	NULIS) (TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)			
Alamat Tetap: NO. 8, JALAN BUNGA CEM TAMAN MAWAR, 56100 CHERAS SELANGOR DARUL Tarikh: 02/06/200	Kelej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malayeli Karung Berkunci 1280 EHSAN 75450 Ayer Kereh, Melaka.			
esis dimaksudkan sobagai tosis b	aggi liazah Doktor Ealsafah dan Sarjana sasara nanyalidikan atau			

maksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan, atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM). ** Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.



KOLEJ UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA

Karung Berkunci 1200, Ayer Keroh, 75450 Melaka Tel: 06-233 2421, Faks: 06 233 2414 Email: fkp@kutkm.edu.mv

FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN

Rujukan Kami (Our Ref): Rujukan Tuan (Your Ref):

19 May 2006

Pustakawan Perpustakawan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia KUTKM, Ayer Keroh MELAKA.

Saudara,

PENGKELASAN TESIS SEBAGAI SULIT/TERHAD

- TESIS SARJANA MUDA KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN (PROSES PEMBUATAN): MOHD ASNAWI BIN OMAR

TAJUK: MANUFACTURING MODELING AND SIMULATION IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY CASE STUDY; STORAGE AREA

Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa tesis yang tersebut di atas bertajuk "MANUFACTURING MODELING AND SIMULATION IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY CASE STUDY; STORAGE AREA" mohon dikelaskan sebagai terhad untuk tempoh lima (5) tahun dari tarikh surat ini memandangkan ia mempunyai nilai dan potensi untuk dikomersialkan di masa hadapan.

Sekian dimaklumkan. Terima kasih.

"BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA KERANA ALLAH"

Yang benar,

DR. MÕHAMAD SHARIS BIN ABDUL KARIM PENSYARAH, Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan (Penyelia) **206-2332560**



NATIONAL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF **MALAYSIA**

Manufacturing Modeling and Simulation In Manufacturing Industry Case Study: Storage Area

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the National Technical University College of Malaysia for the Degree of Bachelor of Engineering (Honours) Manufacturing (Process)

By

Mohd Asnawi Bin Omar

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering May 2006

DECLARATION

I hereby, declare this thesis entitled "Manufacturing Modeling and Simulation in Manufacturing Industry Case Study: Storage Area" is the result of my own research except as cited in the reference.

Signature	PS.
Author's Name	. Mohd Asnawi b. Omar
Date	02/06/2006

ABSTRACT

The paper will describe how the WITNESS Visual Interactive simulation tool and QUEST simulation supports different methods of simulation solution deployment. Three alternate methods of simulation deployment are described, both of which have proved successful in promoting the benefits of simulation within PETRONAS Penapisan Melaka. WITNESS and Quest provides significant functionality to support each method of deployment. This functionality is described in detail and related to real-world examples when possible.

ABSTRAK

Di dalam pembentangan kertas kerja ini, ia akan menerangkan bagaimana perkakasan simulasi "WITNESS Visual Interactive simulation tool" dan simulasi "Quest" menyokong pelbagai perbezaan aplikasi dan dikembangkan mengikut kesesuaian kaedah yang digunakan. Terdapat tiga kaedah alternatif tentang pengembangan simulasi dinyatakan, di mana ia telah terbukti berjaya dalam memajukan akan manfaat aplikasi di dalam kawasan penyimpanan PETRONAS Penapisan Melaka. Simulasi "WITNESS" dan "Quest" memberikan fungsi yang bermakna untuk menyokong setiap pengembangan kaedah yang digunakan. Fungsi ini akan dinyatakan dengan lebih terperinci dan bertalian kepada contoh dunia sebenar dengan sebanyak mungkin.

DEDICATION

For my parents, Omar B. Salleh and Mariam Bt. Md Salleh
And to my beloved girl, Rohaizah Bt. Amin
And to my supervisor, Dr. Sharis B. Abdul Karim
And their supportive spirit

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to lord almighty because of given me a chance to finish my thesis on time. All the hard work that has been done during the spell with my supervisor, Dr. Mohamad Sharis B. Abdul Karim for almost 1 year has done superbly. His guidance and inspiration in providing technical advisory is a great benefit for me because of his vast experience in industry and education. Thanks to his dedication and hard work, I've managed to complete my thesis on time even though there are problems and challenge during the project. Once again, i would like to dedicate my respect and honor to him for his kindness for guiding and teaching me to become a mature person.

Secondly, i would like to thank my parents for given me support, not just in morale support, but also in financial support by preparing equipment in the likes of computer and other expenses. I would also like dedicate my love to the special person in which playing a major role of this success, Miss Rohaizah Bt. Amin, because without her, it's hard for me to finish my work on time. Not to forget, my friends and colleague where they persuade me to finish the thesis together and share their ideas and knowledge for enhancing the report.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABS	TRACT	i
ABS'	TRAK	ii
DED	ICATIO	N iii
ACK	NOWLI	EDGEMENTv
TAB	LE OF (CONTENTviii
LIST	OF FIG	UREix
LIST	OF TA	BLExi
		REVIATION, SYMBOLS, SPECIALIZED
NOM	IENCLA	TURExv
1.0	INTRO	DUCTION1
	1.1	BACKGROUND1
	1.2	PROBLEM STATEMENT4
	1.3	OBJECTIVE4
	1.4	SCOPE OF PROJECT5
2.0	LITE	RATURE REVIEW6
2.0	2.1	INTRODUCTION TO PRODUCT FLOWS IN
	2.1	
		A WAREHOUSE FOR SIMULATION ANALYSIS6
		2.1.1 ANALYSIS OF STORAGE
		AREA CONFIGURATIONS7
	2.2	INTRODUCTION TO QUEST9
		2.2.1 ANALYSIS ON ELEMENTS AND CLASSES10
	2.3	INTRODUCTION TO WITNESS12
	2.4	INTRODUCTION TO MODELING
		MATERIAL HANDLING SYSTEM

		2.4.1	ANALI	313 OF IV	IATERIAL	
			HANDL	ING SYS	TEM	14
		2.4.2	MODEL	ING IND	USTRIAL	
			VEHICL	E		14
		2.4.4	AUTOM	IATED G	UIDED	
			VEHICL	Æ		15
			2.4.4.1	DESIGN	NING AN AGVs	16
			2.4.4.2	MODEI	LING THE AGVs	18
			2.4.4.3	CASE S	TUDY OF LAY OUT	
				DELIVI	ERY AREA USING INVENTORY	
				SYSTE	М	18
			2	.4.4.3.1	SOLUTION SPACE	22
	2.5	INTR	ODUCTIO	ON TO O	RDER PICKING	
		AUTO	DMATED	SYSTEM	I (FLEXIBLE	
		MAN	UFACTUI	RING SY	STEM)	24
		2.5.1	ANALY	SIS OF C	RDER	
			PICKING	G AUTO	MATED SYSTEM	24
			*			
3.0	METH	HODOL	OGY			28
	3.1	INTR	ODUCTIO)N		28
	3.2	METH	HODOLO	GY PHAS	SE	28
4.0	DATA	INPU	T			40
	4.1	INTR	ODUCTIO)N		40
	4.2	DELI	VERY TIN	МЕ		43
		4.2.1	INCOMI	NG PRO	DUCT	44
		4.2.2	OUTGOI	NG PRO	DUCT	. 45
	4.3	DATA	A COLLEG	CTION		47
	4.4	LAYO	OUT OF P	PM		. 48

5.0	DEVI	ELOPM:	ENT OF SIMULATION MODEL	49
	5.1	INTRO	ODUCTION	49
	5.2	ELEM	MENT DEFINITION	49
	5.3	STRU	CTURAL MODEL (EARLY)	50
	5.4	ASSU	MPTION	.50
	5.5	VERII	FICATION AND VALIDATION PROCESS	54
		5.5.1	Verification (QUEST)	54
		5.4.2	The Forklift Path System	56
		5.4.3	AGV Decision Points and Controller	57
		5.4.5	Validation (QUEST)	58
		5.4.6	Verification (WITNESS)	59
		5.4.7	Validation (WITNESS)	61
6.0	ALTE	ERNATI	VES SUGGESTION	63
	6.1	INTRO	ODUCTION	63
	6.2	ALTE	RNATIVE EXPLANATION	63
	6.3	ALTE	RNATIVES LIMITATIONS	66
	6.4	ALTE	RNATIVE OVÉRCOME	68
	6.5	WARI	M-UP TIME	72
	6.6	ALTE	RNATIVES USAGE	74
7.0	RESU	JLT AN	D DISCUSSION	76
	7.1	INTRO	ODUCTION	76
	7.2	SIMU	LATION ANALYSIS RESULT	76
	7.3	DISCU	USSION RESULT	81
	7.5	EFFE	CTIVE COST	86
	7.5	DISCU	USSION	91
8.0	CON	CLUSIO	N	95

9.0	REFERENCE	97
10.0	APPENDICES A	100
	APPENDICES B	130

LIST OF FIGURE

1.0	Figure 2.1: Flow process in warehouse	8
2.0	Figure 2.2: Route of Industrial Vehicle	15
3.0	Figure 2.3: Automated Guided Vehicle Calculation	17
4.0	Figure 2.4: Total Vehicle minutes	17
5.0	Figure 2.5: Expression	17
6.0	Figure 2.6: Incomplete line	19
7.0	Figure 2.7: Incomplete Gates	20
8.0	Figure 2.8: Layout of delivery area	21
9.0	Figure 2.9:Tree path solution	23
10.0	Figure 2.10: Layout of Siemens company	25
11.0	Figure 3.0: Methodology phase	30
12.0	Figure 3.1: Simulation method	34
13.0	Figure 4.0: Inventory Issued (Incoming) according month	42
14.0	Figure 4.1: Inventory Issued (Outgoing) according month	43
15.0	Figure 5.1: Structural Model (Outgoing)	52
16.0	Figure 5.2: Structural Model (Incoming)	53
17.0	Figure 5.3: Verification Process (Forward)	55
18.0	Figure 5.4: Forklift Path Process in Quest Model	56
19.0	Figure 5.5: Forklift Path Process in Actual Warehouse	57
20.0	Figure 5.6: Verification process (Section 400) in Witness	60
21.0	Figure 5.7: Simulation model in Witness	61
22.0	Figure 6.1: First Alternatives	65

23.0	Figure 6.2. Second Afternatives	65
24.0	Figure 6.3: Segmented area block forklift path	66
25.0	Figure 6.4: Unavailable path for improvement	67
26.0	Figure 6.5: Alternative solution for network path	69
27.0	Figure 6.6: Automated Guided Vehicle	70
28.0	Figure 6.7: Automated Guided Vehicle Network Path	71
29.0	Figure 6.8: Parts different during warm-up time (Quest)	73
30.0	Figure 6.9: Warm-up time for section 400 (Witness)	74
31.0	Figure 7.0: Average Time delivery	79
32.0	Figure 7.1: Forklift Distance	80
33.0	Figure 7.2: Average WIP	80
34.0	Figure 7.3: Differential of productivity rate between actual and	
	Alternatives 2	84
35.0	Figure 7.4: Average Work in Progress for two vehicles	84
36.0	Figure 7.5: Average Time Delivery for two vehicles	85
37.0	Figure 7.6: Average Idle Time for two vehicles	85
38.0	Figure 7.7: Actual Cost for two vehicles	88
39.0	Figure 7.8: Salvage value for two vehicles	90
40.0	Figure 7.9: Pay back period for two vehicles	91
41.0	Figure 10.0: Layout of PPM	101

LIST OF TABLE

1.0	Table 3.0: Activities in PSM 1	37
2.0	Table 3.1: Activities in PSM 2	38
3.0	Table 4.0: Inventory Stock Petronas Penapisan Melaka (Incoming)	
	throughout Year	41
4.0	Table 4.1: Inventory Stock Petronas Penapisan Melaka (Outgoing)	
	throughout Year	42
5.0	Table 4.2: Inventory Issued - January 2006	44
6.0	Table 4.3: Inventory Issued - December 2005	44
7.0	Table 4.4: Inventory Issued - November 2005	45
8.0	Table 4.5: Inventory Issued - October 2005	45
9.0	Table 4.6: Inventory Issued - January 2006	46
10.0	Table 4.7: Inventory Issued - December 2005	46
11.0	Table 4.8: Inventory Issued - November 2005	46
12.0	Table 4.9: Table Inventory Issued - October 2005	47
13.0	Table 5.0: Element definition (Quest)	50
14.0	Table 5.1: Comparison actual and simulation model	58
15.0	Table 5.2: Percentage differential between actual and simulation	
	model	58
16.0	Table 5.3: Definition element (Witness)	59
17.0	Table 5.4: Comparison actual and simulation model (Witness)	61
18.0	Table 5.5: Percentage differential between actual and simulation	
	model	61
19.0	Table 6.1: Alternative	63

20.0	Table 6.2: Alternative method in each section	75
21.0	Table 7.1: Part Statistics Report on by Shift Time	77
22.0	Table 7.2: Storage Statistics Report on by Shift Time	77
23.0	Table 7.3: Incoming Statistics Report on by Shift Time	77
24.0	Table 7.4: Vehicle Statistic Report on by Shift Time	77
25.0	Table 7.5: Track Statistic Report on by Shift Time	77
26.0	Table 7.6: Part Statistics Report on by Shift Time	78
27.0	Table 7.7: Storage Statistics Report on by Shift Time	78
28.0	Table 7.8: Incoming Statistics Report on by Shift Time	78
29.0	Table 7.9: Vehicle Statistic Report on by Shift Time	78
30.0	Table 7.10: Track Statistic Report on by Shift Time	79
31.0	Table 7.11: Analysis from Average time delivery	. 81
32.0	Table 7.12: Analysis from Forklift Distance	81
33.0	Table 7.13: Analysis from average WIP (Work in Progress)	81
34.0	Table 7.14: Summarize early analysis	82
35.0	Table 7.15: Second phase analysis from the rate percentage	
	increment of productivity	81
36.0	Table 7.16: summarize from overall process flow in PPM	81
37.0	Table 7.17: Comparison between vehicles in each type	86
38.0	Table 10.0: Validation process in January 2006	102
39.0	Table 10.1: Validation process in December 2005	103
40.0	Table 10.2: Validation process in November 2005	104
41.0	Table 10.3: Validation process in October 2005	105
42.0	Table 10.4: Validation process in January 2006	106
43.0	Table 10.5: Validation process in December 2005	107
44.0	Table 10.6: Validation process in November 2005	108
45.0	Table 10.7: Validation process in October 2005	109
46.0	Table 10.8: Alternative process in January 2006	110

47.0	Table 10.9: Alternative process in December 2005	111
48.0	Table 10.10: Alternatives process in November 2005	112
49.0	Table 10.11: Alternative process in October 2005	113
50.0	Table 10.12: Alternative process in January 2006	114
51.0	Table 10.13: Alternative process in December 2005	115
52.0	Table 10.14: Alternative process in November 2005	116
53.0	Table 10.15: Alternative process in October 2006	117
54.0	Table 10.16: Alternative process in January 2006	118
55.0	Table 10.17: Alternative process in December 2005	119
56.0	Table 10.18: Alternative process in November 2005	120
57.0	Table 10.19: Alternative process in October 2005	121
58.0	Table 10.20: Alternative process in January 2006	122
59.0	Table 10.21: Alternative process in December 2005	123
60.0	Table 10.22: Alternative process in November 2005	124
61.0	Table 10.23: Alternative process in October 2005	125
62.0	Table 10.24: Alternative process in January 2006	126
63.0	Table 10.25: Alternative process in December 2005	127
64.0	Table 10.26: Alternative process in November 2005	128
65.0	Table 10.27: Alternative process in October 2005	129
66.0	Table 10.28: Validation January area 100	134
67.0	Table 10.29: Validation January area 400	138
68.0	Table 10.30: Validation January area 413	142
69.0	Table 10.31: Validation January area 503	146
70.0	Table 10.32: Validation January area 504	150
71.0	Table 10.33: Validation January area 600	154
72.0	Table 10.34: Validation January area 100	158
73.0	Table 10.35: Validation January area 400	162
74.0	Table 10.36: Validation January area 413	166
75.0	Table 10.37: Validation January area 503	170
76.0	Table 10.38: Validation January area 504	174

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS, SPECIALIZED NOMENCLATURE

PPM -

Petronas Penapisan Melaka

AGV

Automated Guided Vehicle

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

A fundamental challenge in simulation modeling of manufacturing systems is to produce models that can be understood by the problem owner. In this paper, the terms use of "Manufacturing Modeling and Simulation in Manufacturing Industry" covers three area's; (1) Manufacturing Modeling, (2) Manufacturing System regarding industries process and (3) Simulation in Manufacturing Industry. The case study for this project is to determine the flow process of Storage Area and include the analysis of inventories, arrangement product, standard time, problem statement and the role of simulation in design of material handling system. First, we defined the 3 area's where it is the critical path to understand more about the project title. Manufacturing (or production) systems can now be defined in the following three aspects:

1. The structural aspect of manufacturing systems: based on structural (or static) definition of the system, the manufacturing system is a unified assemblage of hardware, which includes workers, production facilities (Including tools, jigs, and fixtures), materials handling equipment, and other supplementary devices. Thus the structural aspect of the manufacturing system forms a static spatial structure of a plant, i.e., the plant layout. This aspect can be viewed as a production system. This phrase appeared in 1907. Since 1943 it has been also used to mean the inference mechanism operated by knowledge based systems in

- the field of artificial intelligence (a different terminology should be introduced for this meaning).
- 2. The transformation Aspect of Manufacturing Systems: Based on a transformational (or functional) definition of a system, the manufacturing system is defined as the conversion process of the factors of production, particularly the raw materials, into the finished products, aiming at a maximum productivity.
- The procedural Aspect of manufacturing systems: The manufacturing system is
 the operating procedures of production. This constitutes the so-called
 management cycle, i.e., planning, implementation and control. (Katsundo
 Hitomi, 1998)

For simulation process, *QUEST* is used as the simulation software. *QUEST* is designed for the construction of discrete event simulations that model the overall flow of resources through and between work cells and is thus well suited to reviewing the performance of production lines. *QUEST* presents a detailed and dimensionally accurate 3-dimensional animation of the system as part of its output, as opposed to the 2-dimensional animation (Peter J. Lawrence, 2003). The simulation technology is used for;

- 1. To analyze systems and operational processes.
- 2. To execute experiments.
- 3. To analyze material and information flows.
- 4. To identify interdependencies between processes.
- 5. To identify interrelations and weak points.
- 6. To show possible trends.
- 7. To optimize processes.

Simulation technology is employed in the following three stages;

1.) During the planning phase

If a new system is going to be acquired an available one has to be optimized. In a previous phase the system should be invested regarding dimensions, throughput, capacity, disrupting factors etc. In this way various alternatives can be analyzed and

compared. Investigations in reality absorb time and cause in addition high cost.

Modification in a simulation model can often be realised very easy and without high effort and cost. The use of simulation technology already during the planning phase quickens the planning process and supports the planner in decision making.

2.) During the realisation phase

When a new system has to be implemented during the going concern. With the simulation model the personnel can be instructed for important situations before beginning the operations.

3) During the operation

If the personnel planning is arranged. Here a scenario which defines the daily schedule can provide information about required resources as well as about the utilization of the system. The optimization of task order can be subsequent objective of simulation.

Storage area is related to the conceptual of warehouse in every industry. Consider a warehouse that functions as a distribution center. Its requirements fall into two major categories. First, it receives incoming products. It must arrange to store these products. Important considerations include storage capacity and efficient space utilization. Secondly, it receives orders from its customers. It must arrange to ship these orders. Important considerations include timeliness and order-filling efficiency. Of course, these two types of requirements interact. For example, in the order-filling process, one would like for products to be stored in a manner that facilitates order-picking efficiency. Also, in a steady-state system, the amount of goods received should equal the amount of products ordered, over a long time horizon.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

In this chapter, it is decide to look into the problems occur within the storage area in daily operation. It means that it takes a lot of cost and time waste if we want to change layout design to get desire output. In this Petronas Penapisan Malaysia warehouse, the layout is fixed according to their origin layout, and it's hard to implement new optimization as the layout has been used over 15 years old. This is because it's need some major changes in the like of forklift path and machine to be organize so that it doesn't affect the current process. But first it must have appropriate system in which it must measure to determine the correct sequence. That's why the need of simulation tool is essential in the first place. The simulation can give us exact output (95%) from the actual time by using verification and validation system and will give us opportunity to planning which design is the best comparing to actual layout. In PPM, the actual running time from loading product to unload takes more than 10 to 15 minutes, so the task is to minimize/reduce time by using several alternatives by running it in simulation. So, it must develop a model simulation for warehouse in PPM and studying the performance of efficiency in the model itself. The current software to make simulation is by using QUEST from Delmia Corporation. It is used to analyze system and operational process and also to execute experimental.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objective of this paper is to give information about the arrangement of product in storage area, and how to manipulate each parameters and data to achieve high efficiency regarding the warehouse layout. The main objective fall into 2 parts:

- To develop a primary model of a manufacturing system (storage area) using QUEST.
- To study the effects of varying the design parameters on the performance by optimizing delivery time and improvement of productivity.

1.4 SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The design of manufacturing system is normally confronted with the 'what if' questions. Physically, to answer such questions will incur high costs. Hence, simulation is used as an alternative. A manufacturing system (storage area) will be selected as a case study. Various design options will be tested. For a selected design layout, various design parameters will be changed and the effects will be studied. It is focusing more on storage area in Petronas Penapisan Malaysia where the boundary is not covering the production process. The process involve in delivering time is from loading part in forklift to unloading part in selected station. The parameter covers on the speed of forklift and distance from starting point until ending point, which means the forklift will reverse to the starting point again and pickup the part over and over again. The motion of the forklift is not unidirectional, but using bidirectional direction because of limitation of path. It also uses the data taken from PPM to interpret onto simulation model to validate whether the simulation model is the same as actual system. It must have appropriate alternatives to solve problem in the warehouse storage time so that it can optimize the output process.