



**Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia**

# **Ergonomic Assessment in Manufacturing Industry**

Thesis submitted in accordance with the requirements of the  
Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia for the Bachelor of  
Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Process) (Honours)

By

**Koh Choon Chieh**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

May 2006


**KOLEJ UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL KEBANGSAAN MALAYSIA**
**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS\***
**JUDUL: ERGONOMIC ASSESSMENT IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY**
**SESI PENGAJIAN: 2005/2006**

 Saya \_\_\_\_\_ **KOH CHOON CHIEH**  
 (HURUF BESAR)

mengaku membenarkan tesis (PSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia (KUTKM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis adalah hak milik Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia.
2. Perpustakaan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. \*\*Sila tandakan (√)

 SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

 TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

 TIDAK TERHAD

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

Disahkan oleh:

(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

 Alamat Tetap:  
216-G, Lorong Bukit Senjuang,  
75000 Melaka.

 Cop Rasmi:  
**NOR AKRAMIN BIN MOHAMAD**  
 Pensyarah  
 Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan  
 Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia  
 Karung Berkunci 1200  
 Ayer Keroh, 75450 Melaka

 Tarikh: 30 May 2006

 Tarikh: 30 May 2006

\* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai tesis bagi Ijazah Doktor Falsafah dan Sarjana secara penyelidikan, atau disertasi bagi pengajian secara kerja kursus dan penyelidikan, atau Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).  
 \*\* Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.



**FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN**

Rujukan Kami (Our Ref) :  
Rujukan Tuan (Your Ref):

30 May 2006

Pustakawan  
Perpustakaan Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia  
KUTKM, Ayer Keroh  
MELAKA.

Saudara,

**PENKELASAN TESIS SEBAGAI SULIT/TERHAD**  
**- TESIS SARJANA MUDA KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN (PROSES PEMBUATAN):**  
**KOH CHOON CHIEH**  
**TAJUK: ERGONOMIC ASSESSMENT IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY**

Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa tesis yang tersebut di atas bertajuk "*Ergonomic Assessment in Manufacturing Industry*" mohon dikelaskan sebagai terhad untuk tempoh lima (5) tahun dari tarikh surat ini memandangkan ia mempunyai nilai dan potensi untuk dikomersialkan di masa hadapan.

Sekian dimaklumkan. Terima kasih.

"BERKHIDMAT UNTUK NEGARA KERANA ALLAH"

Yang benar,

NOR AKRAMIN BIN MOHAMAD  
Pensyarah,  
Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan  
(Penyelia Utama)  
☎06-2332045

## DECLARATION

I hereby, declare this thesis entitled “Ergonomic Assessment in Manufacturing Industry”  
is the results of my own research  
except as cited in the reference.

Signature : \_\_\_\_\_  
Author's Name : Koh Choon Chieh  
Date : 30 May 2006

## **DEDICATION**

*Dedicated to my beloved family and friends*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to extend my warmest gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Nor Akramin B. Mohamad for his excellent supervision, invaluable guidance, advice, trust, constant help, support, encouragement and assistance towards me throughout this project.

I would also like to express my deepest appreciation to all the personnel at Kolej Universiti Teknikal Kebangsaan Malaysia Laboratory for their opinions and help in carry on my project.

I would like to thank Fetta Auto Part Industries (M) Sdn. Bhd. and their staff as they provided me the place, time and always shows their sincere kindness in helping and gave me useful information.

In addition, I would like to thank my family whose endless encouragement gave me the added strength and inspiration to carry out this project to the best of my ability. At the same time, I would like to thank my friends and course mates for their constructive comments throughout the accomplishment of this project.

## **ABSTRACT**

This thesis title is aim to complete an ergonomic assessment in manufacturing industry. Ergonomic assessment in this thesis is defined as the design and improve the workplace, equipment, machine, tool, product, environment, and system, taking into consideration the human's physical capabilities, biomechanical and optimizing the effectiveness and productivity of work systems while assuring the safety, health, and well-being of the workers. The study begins with literature review on the scholarly articles, books and other sources that related to major ergonomic issues like environmental and musculoskeletal disorders issues that affected workers performances. This thesis purpose is to do research about the workers musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) injuries at different body areas and environmental factors that affected worker performance. This thesis purpose also included identification and analyses of ergonomic issues in workplace and make recommendations and implementations to prevent environmental and musculoskeletal disorders issues. In this study, data analysis divided to 8 parts; questionnaire that related to ergonomic issues, temperature and humidity, light level, sound level, heart rate, pinches strength, grip strength and lifts strength for analysis purposes. Based on results from data analysis, 9 improvement suggestions given, 5 suggestions approved by Fetta management for further project implementations such as implementation of grinding station, implementation of simple jigs on welding table, implementation of proper material loading area, implementation of scissor lift and implementation of small stairway. Lastly, the feedbacks' data collected base on the implementations done. These data are to evaluate the effectiveness of the 5 implementations. The feedbacks' analysis divided into 4 parts; questionnaires feedbacks that related to ergonomic issues, pinches strength feedbacks, grips strength feedbacks and lifts strength feedbacks for analysis purposes.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	i
Table of Contents	ii
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vi
List of Abbreviations, Symbols, Specialized Nomenclature	xi
List of Appendices	xii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statements	3
1.3 Objectives of the Research	4
1.4 Scopes of the Research	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction	5
2.2 Improvement in Manufacturing through Ergonomic Principles	5
2.3 Manual Handling Tasks' Risks	7
2.3.1 Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSD)	9
2.3.2 Classification of Cumulative Trauma Disorders (CTD)	13
2.3.2.1 Tendon Disorders	13
2.3.2.2 Neurovascular Disorders	14
2.3.2.3 Nerve Entrapment Disorders	15
2.3.3 Workstation Design	17
2.3.4 Workstation Tool Design	19
2.4 Environmental Factors	25
2.4.1 Illumination	25
2.4.2 Temperature	26
2.4.3 Noise	28



3. METHODOLOGY	36
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Experimental Design	40
3.3 External Load	41
3.3.1 Organizational Aspects	41
3.3.2 Environmental Aspects	42
3.4 Internal Load	44
3.4.1 General Fatigue	44
3.4.2 Functional Fatigue	44
3.5 Subjective Experienced Load	48
4. DATA COLLECTION	52
4.1 Introduction	52
4.2 Data Collected	52
4.3 Descriptions of the Data Collected	53
5. DATA ANALYSIS	59
5.1 Introduction	59
5.2 Analysis for Questionnaires Data	60
5.3 Analysis for Temperature and Humidity Data	65
5.4 Analysis for Light Level Data	69
5.5 Analysis for Sound Level Data	70
5.6 Analysis for Heart Rate Data	71
5.7 Analysis for Pinches Strength Data	73
5.7.1 Overall Discussions for Pinches Strength Results	78
5.8 Analysis for Grip Strength Data	79
5.8.1 Overall Discussions on Grip Strength Results	81
5.9 Analysis for Lifts Strength Data	82
5.9.1 Overall Discussions on Lifts Strength Results	84
5.10 Overall Conclusion for Data Analysis	85

6. SUGGESTIONS AND IMPLEMENTATIONS	94
6.1 Introduction	94
6.2 Suggestions	95
6.3 Implementation of Grinding Station at Final Weld Section	96
6.4 Implementation of Simple Jigs on Welding Table at Final Weld Section	98
6.5 Implementation of Proper Material Loading Area at Packing Section	100
6.6 Implementation of Scissor Lift at Packing (Test) Section	101
6.7 Implementation of Small Stairway at Packing (Spray) Section	102
7. FEEDBACKS' DATA ANALYSIS	110
7.1 Introduction	110
7.2 Feedbacks' Data Collected List	110
7.3 Analyses for Questionnaires Feedbacks' Data	111
7.4 Analysis for Pinches Strength Feedbacks' Data	112
7.4.1 Overall Discussions for Pinches Strength Feedbacks' Results	118
7.5 Analysis for Grips Strength Feedbacks' Data	119
7.5.1 Overall Discussions for Grip Strength Feedbacks' Results	122
7.6 Analysis for Lifts Strength Feedbacks' Data	122
7.6.1 Overall Discussions for Lifts Strength Feedbacks' Results	125
7.7 Overall Conclusion for Feedbacks' Data Analysis	126
8. CONCLUSION	138
REFERENCES	140
APPENDICES	
A    Questionnaires Form, Table Sample Size and Road Map	
B    External Load Data in Table Form	
C    Internal Load Format Data in Table Form	
D    Example Pictures of Data Collected	
E    Feedback Internal Load Data in Table Form	

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of the Category Research of Ergonomic related to Musculoskeletal Injuries	31
3.1	Lighting maintained Illuminance (lx) at Different Location and Task	43
3.2	Test Results on Work Muscle Strength in Pounds	45
3.3	Performance of All Subjects Grip Strength in Pounds	46
3.4	Average Performance of All Subjects on Tip Pinch in Pounds	46
3.5	Average Performance of All Subjects and Key Pinch in Pounds	47
3.6	Average Performance of All Subjects on Palmar Pinch in Pound	47
3.7	Summary of the Research Methodology and Experimental Design	50
4.1	Data Collected and Measurement Tools used at Fetta Industries	53
4.2	Total Employees Divisions at Fetta's Departments and Production Sections	54
4.3	The Data Requirements Listed in Category	56
4.4	Summary of Data Collection	57
5.1	Summary of Data Analysis	87
6.1	Summary of the Suggestions and Implementations	105
7.1	Summary of Feedbacks' Data Analysis	128
7.2	Comparison between Before and After Implementations	135

## LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Performance Shaping Factors	6
2.2	Relationship between Human Performance and Quality	7
2.3	Number of CTD Reported in the USA from 1981 to 1991	9
2.4	An Example of a Hand Tool with an Inadequate Design from an Ergonomic Point of View	20
2.5	Pistol Grip Handles Angled at 110° and 90° used against a Vertical Surface at Knee Height, Elbow Height and Shoulder Height	23
2.6	Pistol Grip Handles Angled at 110°, 90°, and an In-line Tool used against a Horizontal Surface of a Work-piece lying on a Workbench	23
2.7	A Screwdriver that is not suitable for the Current Task, since it forces the user to abduct the Upper Arm	24
3.1	Research Methodology Flow Chart	39
3.2	Data Collection Procedures	40
5.1	Common Complaints of Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries at Fetta Industries	60
5.2	Total Fetta Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries at All Departments	61
5.3	Pre-Assembly (Group B) Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries According to its Production Sections	62
5.4	Assembly (Group C) Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries According to its Production Sections	63
5.5	Packing/Final Stages (Group D) Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries According to its Production Sections	64

5.6	Average Morning Temperature between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	65
5.7	Average Afternoon Temperature between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	66
5.8	Average Morning Humidity between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	67
5.9	Average Afternoon Humidity between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	68
5.10	Average Light Level between Minimum and Maximum Lux at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	69
5.11	Average Sound Level between Minimum and Maximum Lux at Fetta Production Sections and Departments	70
5.12	Average Heart Rate in Beat per Minute from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	71
5.13	Average Left Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	73
5.14	Average Right Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	74
5.15	Average Left Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	75
5.16	Average Right Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	76
5.17	Average Left Palmar Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	77

5.18	Average Right Palmar Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	78
5.19	Average Left Grip Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	79
5.20	Average Right Grip Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	80
5.21	Average Arm Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	82
5.22	Average Torso Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	83
5.23	Average Floor Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	84
6.1	Grinding Tasks in Kneeling Situation (Before Implementation)	97
6.2	Implementation of Grinding Station (After Implementation)	97
6.3	Employee Perform Welding Tasks in Standing and Bending Forward Position (Before Implementation)	98
6.4	Employee Perform the Welding Tasks Accurately Without Bending Forward with the Help of Simple Jigs (After Implementation)	99
6.5	Employee Collects the Materials with Back Bent Forward More than 30° (Before Implementation)	100
6.6	Fix the Loading Materials Area on the Table (After Implementation)	100

6.7	Employee at Packing (Test) Section Carries the Materials with Back Bent Forward More than 30° and Carries for 2 Meter Distance (Before Implementation)	101
6.8	With the Implementation of Scissor Lift, Employee can Collects the Materials at Shorten Distance and Without Back Bent Forward Situation (After Implementation)	102
6.9	Employee Perform Spraying Tasks at Lower Area (Before Implementation)	103
6.10	Employee Perform Spraying Tasks at Small Stairway with Four-fixed Support (After Implementation)	104
7.1	Feedback from Employees at 3 production sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-bar and Packing) who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries after Implementations	111
7.2	Average Feedbacks' Left Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	113
7.3	Average Feedbacks' Right Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	114
7.4	Average Feedbacks' Left Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	115
7.5	Average Feedbacks' Right Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	116
7.6	Average Feedbacks' Left Palmar Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	117

7.7	Average Feedbacks' Right Palmar Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	118
7.8	Average Feedbacks' Left Grip Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	120
7.9	Average Feedbacks' Right Grip Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	121
7.10	Average Feedbacks' Arm Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	123
7.11	Average Feedbacks' Torso Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	124
7.12	Average Feedbacks' Floor Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)	125



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS, SPECIALIZED NOMENCLATURE**

CTD	-	Cumulative Trauma Disorders
CTS	-	Carpal Tunnel Syndrome
EASP	-	Externally Applied Surface Pressure
HAVS	-	Hand-Arm Vibration-syndrome
MSD	-	Musculoskeletal Disorders
NIOSH	-	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
RSI	-	Repetitive Strain Injury
OSHA	-	Occupation Safety and Health Administration
WHO	-	World Health Organization
WMSD	-	Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders
bpm	-	Beats per Minute
dB	-	Decibel
In	-	Inch
lx	-	Lux
mm	-	Millimeter
N	-	Newton
°	-	Degree
°C	-	Degree Celsius
%	-	Percentage

## **LIST OF APPENDICES**

### APPENDIX A: Questionnaires Form, Table Sample Size and Road Map

A.1 Musculoskeletal Disorders Survey Form

A.2 Musculoskeletal Disorders Feedback Survey Form

A.3 Table Shows Sample Size (S) Required for Given Population Sizes (N)

A.4 Table Shows Thesis I Road Map

A.5 Table Shows Thesis II Road Map

### APPENDIX B: External Load Data in Table Form

B.1 Table shows Common Complaints of Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries at Fetta

B.2 Table shows Total Fetta's Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries

B.3 Table shows Pre-Assembly (Group B) Production Sections Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries

B.4 Table shows Assembly (Group C) Production Sections Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries

B.5 Table shows Packing/Final Stages (Group D) Production Sections Employees who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries

B.6 Table shows Average Morning Temperature between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

B.7 Table shows Average Afternoon Temperature between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

B.8 Table shows Average Morning Humidity between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

B.9 Table shows Average Afternoon Humidity between Minimum and Peak Degree Celsius at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

B.10 Table shows Average Light Level between Minimum and Maximum Lux at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

B.11 Table shows Average Sound Level between Minimum and Maximum Lux at Fetta Production Sections and Departments

#### APPENDIX C: Internal Load Format Data in Table Form

C.1 Table shows Average Heart Rate in Beat per Minute from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.2 Table shows Average Left and Right Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.3 Table shows Average Left and Right Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.4 Table shows Average Left and Right Palmar Pinch Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.5 Table shows Average Left and Right Grip Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.6 Table shows Average Arm Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.7 Table shows Average Torso Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

C.8 Table shows Average Floor Lift Strength in Pounds from 49 Employees at 3 Differences Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

#### APPENDIX D: Example Pictures of Data Collected

D.1 Figure Shows Temperature, Humidity, Light Level and Sound Level Data Collected at Fetta's Plate Shearing Production Section

D.2 Figure shows Temperature, Humidity, Light Level and Sound Level Data Collected at Fetta's Pipe Bending Production Section

- D.3 Figure shows Light Level Data Collected at Fetta's Polishing Production Section
- D.4 Figure shows Sound Level Data Collected at Fetta's Bracket Stamping Production Section
- D.5 Figure shows Heart Rate Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.6 Figure shows Tip Pinch Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.7 Figure shows Key Pinch Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.8 Figure shows Plamar Pinch Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.9 Figure shows Grip Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.10 Figure shows Arm Lift Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.11 Figure shows Torso Lift Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee
- D.12 Figure shows Floor Lift Strength Data Collected at Fetta's Employee

#### APPENDIX E: Feedback Internal Load Data in Table Form

- E.1 Table Shows Feedback from Employees at 3 production sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-bar and Packing) who have Musculoskeletal Disorder Injuries after Implementations
- E.2 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Left and Right Tip Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)
- E.3 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Left and Right Key Pinch Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)
- E.4 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Left and Right Palmar Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)
- E.5 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Left and Right Grip Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)
- E.6 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Arm Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-bar and Packing)
- E.7 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Torso Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

E.8 Table Shows Average Feedbacks' Floor Lift Strength in Pounds from 45 Employees at 3 Production Sections (Polishing, Final Weld K-Bar and Packing)

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background Introduction

According Jeffrey (1995), ergonomics is defined as the design of the workplace, equipment, machine, tool, product, environment, and system, taking into consideration the human's physical capabilities, biomechanical and optimizing the effectiveness and productivity of work systems while assuring the safety, health, and well-being of the workers. In general, the aim in ergonomics is to fit the task to the individual, not the individual to the task.

According to Dennis et al. (2004), environmental factors or features that arise from the illumination, temperature, workplace noise and others that could be encountered at work and affect behavior. Environmental features can affect people health, performance and comfort. The affects of these three aspects are usually combined. For example, poor health can lead to both poor performance and reduced comfort and, thus, reduced work satisfaction. The ideal range for performance and comfort is narrow. Therefore, trying to adapt to conditions outside the ideal range can make people use more effort, which can lead to reduced performance and comfort. For example, a person tries to see fine details when illumination levels are too low or too high.

The physical demands of many jobs make the musculoskeletal system highly vulnerable to a variety of occupational injuries and illnesses. According to Dennis et al. (2004), musculoskeletal injuries associated with work-related musculoskeletal

disorders (WMSD) and the conditions with repeated exposure to physical activity called cumulative trauma disorders (CTD).

According to Dennis et al. (2004), work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD) are a type of injury that results from chronic (or long term) overuse or misuse of muscles, tendons, ligaments, joints, cartilage, or spinal discs during work. Carpal tunnel syndrome, tendonitis, thoracic outlet syndrome, and tension neck syndrome are examples. Work activities that are frequent and repetitive, or activities with awkward postures cause these disorders, which may be painful during work or at rest. Almost all work requires the use of the arms and hands. Therefore, most WMSD affect the arm, hands, wrists, elbows, neck, shoulders, back and others. Work using the legs can lead to WMSD of the legs, hips, ankles, and feet. Some back problems also result from repetitive activities.

According to Dennis et al. (2004), cumulative trauma disorders (CTD) can result from intense, repeated, sustained, or insufficient recovery from exertion, motions of the body, vibration, or cold. CTD generally develop over periods of weeks, months, and years. Repetitive strain injury (RSI) is another term for a cumulative trauma disorder specifically related to repetitive tasks. Examples of cumulative trauma disorders (CTD) include:

- i) Tendon disorders: tendonitis, tenosynovitis, bursiti, ganglionic cyst.
- ii) Neurovascular disorders: thoracic outlet syndrome, vibration syndrome.
- iii) Nerve entrapment disorders: carpal tunnel syndrome.

The application of ergonomic principles in the workplace can result in the following (Jeffrey, 1995):

- i) Increased productivity.
- ii) Improved health and safety of workers.
- iii) Lower workers' compensation claims.
- iv) Compliance with government regulations (e.g. OSHA standards).

- v) Job satisfaction.
- vi) Increased work quality.
- vii) Lower worker turnover.
- viii) Lower lost time at work.
- ix) Improved morale of workers.
- x) Decrease in absenteeism rate.

Fetta Auto Part Industries (M) Sdn. Bhd. at Malacca accepts the “Ergonomic Assessment” research that will carry on their company. Fetta Auto Part Industries (M) Sdn. Bhd. is one of the Malaysia’s leading producers of automobile exhaust system, protector bars and car accessories. Besides that, the company also produce custom made car accessories according to customer requirement such as canopy, roof rack, bed linear, cargo linear, rubber lining, utility box, towing hook, rubber lining, stone guard and others. The company also engages in the manufacturing of automobile exhaust system, protector’s bars as well as the custom made car accessories for both the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) and export market.

## **1.2 Problem Statements**

According to Dennis et al. (2004), stressors, arising from illumination, temperature, noise or any other aspect of the environment can adversely affect people when they reach a certain level, although the effect may not be apparent either to the person being affected or to an observer.

Most of the workers need to perform many manual-handling tasks, most of the tasks involved with handling the heavy weight materials in the production. Typically, the factors that caused workers’ work-related musculoskeletal disorders (WMSD) and cumulative trauma are such as:

- i) Application of force
- ii) Repetitive motion