## MULTIPLAYER NETWORKED GAME OVER LAN AND QUALITY OF SERVICE ISSUES

## ARIF AFFENDI BIN CHE HAMID



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

# MULTIPLAYER NETWORKED GAME OVER LAN AND QUALITY OF SERVICE ISSUES

## ARIF AFFENDI BIN CHE HAMID

This report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Computer Science (Computer Networking)

FACULTY OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA 2011

## **BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS \***

JUDUL: MULTIPLAYER NETW	ORKED GAMES AND QOS ISSUES ANALYSIS
SESI PENGAJIAN:2011	_
Saya ARIF AFFENDI BIN CHE HAI	MID
(	HURUF BESAR)
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	arjana/Doktor Falsafah ) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan nikasi dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:
1. Tesis dan projek adalah hakmilik	universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.
2. Perpustakan Fakulti Teknologi I	Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan
untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.	
<ol> <li>Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi</li> </ol>	Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan
tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukara	n antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. ** Sila tandakan (/)	
5.	
SULIT	(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
TERHAD	(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidakan dijalankan)
TIDAK TERHAD	
To a	Suld
(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)	(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)
ARIF AFFENDI BIN CHE HAMID	EN. NOR AZMAN BIN MAT
B2-03, JALAN SRI STULANG 1/1 TAMAN SRI STULANG	ARIFF
JOHOR BAHRU	
Tarikh 13.7.2011	Tarikh 13.7.2011

CATATAN: \* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai Laporan Akhir Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM)
\*\*Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak
berkuasa.

## DECLARATION

## I hereby declare this project report entitled

# MULTIPLAYER NETWORKED GAME OVER LAN AND QUALITY OF SERVICE ISSUES

Is written by me and is my own effort and that no part has been plagiarized without citations.

STUDENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_ Date:_	8-7-2011
	(ARIF AFFENDI BIN CHE HAMI	D)	
SUPERVISOR	Sould	_ Date:_	8/7/2011
	(NOR AZMAN BIN MAT ARIFF)		

 $\mathcal{U}$ 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

#### Bismillahirrahmanirrahim

Assalamualaikum w.b.t and Alhamdulillah, praise to Allah SWT, whom with His willing give me the opportunity to complete this Final Year Project, Projek Sarjana Muda which is title Multiplayer Networked Games and QoS Issues Analysis. This final year project report was prepared for Faculty of Information and Communication Technology (FTMK), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM), importantly for final year student to complete the undergraduate program that leads to the degree of Bachelor of Computer Science. This report is based on the methods given by the university.

I would like to express my appreciation to UTeM for providing me a well-planned semester. I am most grateful to my academic supervisor, Mr Nor Azman bin Mat Ariff who has providing me detailed information regarding to PSM and very appreciated the words of guidance and supports.

Highest salute to my beloved parents: Che Hamid bin Mat and Maimunah Bt Sellah for their supports, love, patience and guidance.

Special thanks are due to all of the lecturers in UTeM for their invaluable feedbacks, tireless assistance, advices and management behind scenes.

Finally, to my beloved friends who have shared in this experience with me from the beginning. Thank you for being there unconditionally, always with a smile and good story to share.

Firstly, I would like to express my deepest thanks to, Mr Nor Azman Bin Mat Ariff, a lecturer at FTMK for 4 years my period study, UTeM and also assign, as my supervisor who had guided be a lot of task during semester session 2010/2011. I also want to thanks the lecturers and technicians of FTMK for their cooperation during I complete the final year project that had given valuable information, suggestions and guidance in the compilation and preparation this final year project report.

Deepest thanks and appreciation to my parents, family, special mate of mine, and others for their cooperation, encouragement, constructive suggestion and full of support for the report completion, from the beginning till the end. Also thanks to all of my friends and everyone, that has been contributed by supporting my work and helps myself during the final year project progress till it is fully completed.

#### **ABSTRACT**

Multiplayer games, games that played by two or more persons that interact simultaneously over networks like Local Area Network and Internet. There is a reason why people love to do something like that, that is because it is fun thing to do and share with the others. But, in order to them get the satisfaction on playing with the other peoples, there is a major problem of networked-based multiplayer games which is network transmission delay. This delay causes difficulties to users and leads to paradoxical situations. Approaches to provide for a global consistent state of the game by introducing a local presentation delay have been proposed, however, these increase the application-level delay even more. Therefore, it is important to investigate the impact such delays can have on the performance of multiplayer games and the attractiveness of these games for the human players. Such a study is the purpose of this work. We concentrate on real-time games for the Internet where significant delays can occur. The evaluation is performed through measurements using a real-time based networked game.

#### ABSTRAK

Permainan bersama adalah jenis permainan yang dimainkan oleh dua atau lebih orang yang berinteraksi secara bersama melalui rangkaian seperti Local Area Network dan Internet. Terdapat sebab mengenai mengapa orang suka melakukan perkara tersebut, ini adalah kerana perkara tersebut adalah menyeronokan dan dikongsi bersama orang lain. Tetapi, untuk mencapai kepuasan dalam permainan bersama orang lain, terdapat masalah utama iaitu Network transmission Delay. Delay ini adalah menyebabkan kesukaran kepada pengguna dan membawa mereka kea rah paradoks. Pendekatan untuk menyumbangkan suasana konsisten secara global di dalam permainan dengan memperkenalkan Local Presentation delay telah diusulkan, namun, ini akan menyebabkan Application-level Delay dengan lebih lagi. Oleh sebab itu, adalah penting untuk mengkaji mengenai kesan seperti delay boleh ada pada keupayaan permainan bersama dan juga daya tarikan permainan ini untuk para pemain. Isu ini adalah tujuan ujikaji dijalankan. Kami memberi tumpuan kepada real-time games untuk internet dimana delays yang disignifikasikan boleh berlaku. Penilaian ini dijalankan mengikut ukuran menggunakan platform permainan real-time secara rangkaian.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	SUBJECT	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABSTRACT	$\mathbf{v}$
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
CHAPTER I	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Project Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	4
	1.4 Scope	4
	1.5 Project Significance	5
	1.6 Expected Output	6
	1.7 Conclusion	6

CHAPTER II	LITERATURE REVIEW & PROJECT METHODOLOGY		
	2.1 Introduction	7	
	2.2 Literature Review	8	
	2.2.1 Domain	8	
	2.2.2 Keyword	9	
	2.2.2.1 Quality of Services (QoS)	9	
	2.2.2.2 Distinct Network Monitor	9	
	2.2.2.3 Network Latency	10	
	2.2.2.4 Network Jitter	11	
	2.2.3 Previous Research	11	
	2.4 Project Schedule and Milestone	22	
	2.5 Conclusion	24	
CHAPTER III	ANALYSIS		
	3.1 Introduction	25	
	3.2 Problem Analysis	26	
	3.2.1 Network Architecture	26	
	3.2.2 Logical and Physical Design	27	
	3.3 Requirement Analysis	29	
	3.3.1 Quality of Data	29	
	3.4 Conclusion	31	
CHAPTER IV	DESIGN		
	4.1 Introduction	32	
	4.2 Possible Scenario	33	
	4.2.1 Physical and Logical Design	34	
	4.4 Conclusion	35	

CHAPTER V	IMP	LEMENTATION	
	5.1 L	ntroduction	36
	5.2 N	letwork Configuration Management	37
	5.3 H	lardware Configuration Management	45
	5.4 S	ecurity	50
	5.5 D	Development Status	53
	5.6 C	Conclusion	54
CHAPTER VII	TES	TING	
	6.1 Iı	ntroduction	55
	6.2 T	est Plan	56
	6.3 T	est Strategy	60
	6.4 T	est Design	62
	6.5 T	est Result and Analysis	64
	6.6 C	Conclusion	72
CHAPTER V	PRO	JECT CONCLUSION	
	7.1	Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths	73
	7.2	Propositions for Improvement	74
	7.3	Contribution	75
	7.4	Conclusion	75
REFERENCES			76
BIBLIOGRPHY			77
APPENDICES			
	A	Buku Log	80
	В	Gantt Chart	88

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE	
2.1	Subjective impression	10	
2.2	Methodologies comparison	16	
2.3	Comparison of techniques	17	
2.4	Project milestones for PSM1	23	
2.5	Project milestones for PSMII	24	
5.1	IP address implementation	38	
5.2	Hardware specifications	46	
6.1	Hardware specifications and function	57	
6.2	Software specification	59	
6.3	Test schedule.	60	
6.4	Overall throughput average of three scenarios	67	
6.5	Average Jitter on three scenarios	70	
6.6	Overall packet lost based on three scenarios	71	

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGI
2.1	M Et 1	1.2
2.1	Multiplayer game models	13
2.2	Static presentation delay procedure	15
2.3	Top-Down Design Approach Phases	19
2.4	Data procession and Obtaining Result	20
3.1	Logical design	27
3.2	Physical design	28
4.1	Logical design	34
4.2	Physical Design	35
5.1	Network topology	38
5.2	Warcraft III interface	39
5.3	Game lobby interface.	39
5.4	Game server creating the game.	40
5.5	Advance options that can be configure by the host.	40
5.6	Game session that succesfully created.	41
5.7	Warcraft III game interface.	41
5.8	Client selecting the game that created by the host.	42
5.9	Copy the address of the installed folder.	43
5.10	Configuring the JAVA packages.	43
5.11	System properties configuration.	43
5.12	Adding the path of JAVA packages.	44

5.13	Jperf's server interface.	44
5.14	Jperf's client interface.	45
5.15	Configuring the addresses.	51
5.16	Firewall setting.	51
5.17	Allowing the program.	52
5.18	In-game port setting.	53
6.1	Streaming result	65
6.2	Multiplayer gaming session	66
6.3	Streaming and multiplayer gaming session	66
6.4	Single streaming session jitter.	68
6.5	Single multiplayer gaming session jitter	69
6.6	Streaming and multiplayer gaming session jitter	69

#### **CHAPTER I**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1. Project Background

Over past few years, multiplayer games are becoming large part of today's digital entertainment. This rapid development in information and technology in all over the world makes networking is one of the most common issues. It's the evolution from a shared input model into LAN and worldwide network gaming. That is also because of new models introduced the common problems associated to group communications using internet. Users started to become more demanding with these evolutions of the network connections and the improvement of their capabilities. The technology is as challenging as it keeps changing time by time with more new application and technology need to be supported. That's the main concern involving network gaming are about the study of QoS (Quality of Service) parameters in this type of systems.

In the past, early multiplayer games used to be played by two players on the same machine. They are connected using either sharing the same input device such as keyboard, joystick or joy pad. After the evolutions, LAN (Local Area Network) games were emerged and those players can play on different machine that involved two or more computers across the local network. Besides, with the internet, users can play with or against another player anywhere around global.

In this project, QoS is the main focus. QoS is stand for Quality of Service. QoS is an industry-wide set of standards and mechanism for ensuring high-quality performance for critical applications. In case of network games, end-to-end communication delay, jitter and packet loss to a certain degree are the most important QoS attributes of the network.

This research is all about performing evaluation of QOS in networked games environment using real core network environment. Overall, this project will focus on to analyze how QoS behave under this following performance parameter such as a delay, jitter, and throughput and packet loss.

## 1.2. Problem Statement

There is several problems based on this topic and this is the factors that carried out this project. This project will overcome the analysis about those QoS problems. When it comes to QoS, there are the main parameters such as bandwidth, delay, and jitter. From the earlier, people only know play the game only on their computer and also at that time most of the game developers developed their game standalone. Here, there is no QoS problem or demand for run the application because they are only on standalone computers and users pay it alone. But, times change and people more demand. They play the game but they are not satisfied because they cannot share their happiness with their friends. So, because of that, games development were evolution most of the game after that were developed can be play by one or more persons but that is still standalone. Users need to share the input and output device such as keyboard, mouse, and monitor.

But they also can use the external input output device such as controller, joystick and so on. Here also there are not demanding QoS performance too much. That is because they are only on standalone computer.

But now a day, people are more demand and they still not satisfied with the current technology, the game must be shared but the equipment. Means, they want to play the same game but on the different computers. So when it comes to different computers, all the data that ran will get some issues to travel across network. Also for the game, there is two type of the game which is real-time based and turn-based type game. In this case delay, jitter and throughput is main concern in order to achieve the satisfaction in playing multiplayer games.

Based on users these days, they all want the fast and robust application with stable network performance and there isn't any interest about what lies under—the—graphical environment. So the only thing that is somehow visible to the client and that matters to them is nothing but the latency especially in real-time games. That makes the difference between hitting or missing a target In an FPS or narrowly missing or hitting an obstacle or a car in a driving simulator. The possibility to access latency values, in some cases, could even make it easier for a person to choose not to play the game. There are various technical problems reflected in gameplay derived from the main QoS parameters. For the developers, there is a need to deal with these problems, so they have to measure some features like latency/lag level and consequently jitter, appropriate transport protocols (TCP versus UDP), possibility of packet compression and aggregation, dead reckoning techniques and also multicast advantages.

## 1.3. Objective

There are several objectives that will achieve throughout this project. There are:

- To implement a networked multiplayer game application and running it in network environment within Local Area Network.
- ii. To analyze the QoS performance based on the parameter such as a delay, jitter, and throughput.
- iii. To test how far the games and network can affected by throughput, jitter and packet loss issues.

## 1.4. Scope

The scope is boundary of the project that will implement. Below are the scopes of this project:

- Type of networked game and monitoring tools that will be used in this project.This project will be using these:
  - a. Game
    - i. The Defend of the Ancient (DotA) this games is the multiplayers strategy real-time game type.
  - b. Network monitoring tools
    - Wireshark Wireshark is free software and is available for almost all types of UNIX and Unix-like systems and Windows (Ronnie Sahlberg, 2008).
    - ii. Jperf a Java graphical interface to measure and graph the throughput, and is very simple, accurate and easy to use (Keith R. Parsons & Jared Griffith)

## ii. Type of network

The project will implement at Local Area Network (LAN), two computers connected. Router will be configured to enable the connection in a LAN. One of those computers will be running as a server and another computer will be running as client.

## iii. Platform of Operating System

This project that implement will configure and test at windows platform which is the operating system that will use are Microsoft Windows 7 Ultimate for both of them.

## 1.5. Project Significance

Even though the project was not done yet, the performance analysis will have some diagrams, table and graph based on the understanding on the function of the scenario implementation.

This project is about to test performance of QOS through the multiplayer networked game's session. The result will determine how the QoS functional and it will be analyzed and that will be the result of this project.

Benefits of analysis multiplayer networked game in several evaluation criteria can be applied. Users can know the something that happen back of the graphical interface and those problems that occurred. Then, the result of analyze performance of QOS can be use to do research about bandwidth, delay and jitter during game play for each client.

## 1.6. Expected output

Analysis of the QOS issues performance while the game play over Local Area Network consists of two levels. The first level is implementation a small network that can connect two computers and configured so that both computers communicate and can run the game. The second level is analyzing the performance parameters of throughput, delay, packet loss and jitter.

The expected output throughput, delay, jitter and packet loss while the game play can be trace and analyze. Furthermore by an analysis, the results will demonstrate by using graph that can show a detail performance about those parameters.

#### 1.7. Conclusion

There are many aspects to consider when analyzing QoS performance while running multiplayer networked game. It requires a very high QoS performance to maintain the game and give satisfaction to the users. There are technical issues such as which protocol to use and methods of compression and aggregation of packets. There are more details which this analysis project does not go into but it should help give an overview of the issues that need to be dealt with when it comes to QoS performance analysis.

#### CHAPTER II

#### LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1 Introduction

QoS stands for Quality of Service. It is quite hard to understand term since there is no fixed definition for it. Depending on where, how and why it is used, people have their different perspective and understand it. The most typical definition we have of QoS is the measure of performance in a data communications system. It also refers to a broad collection of networking technologies and techniques. The main objective of QoS is to provide guarantees on the ability of a network to deliver predictable results. The often scope of QoS are include availability (uptime), bandwidth (throughput), delay (delay) and also error rate (Bradley Mitchell, 2008).

For example, there is users are likely to see QoS being deployed over a campus where students in dorm play half-life over the campus LAN. QoS deployment in this case can favor traffic more the more important office data at the detriment of trivial network gaming, without however killing the latter. On the other hand, surfing the global Internet, there is most of the time no real QoS (unless your ISP has deployed QoS mechanisms). QoS is an important tool for multiplayer networked games success. Through the years QoS mechanisms have become more

and more sophisticated. Nowadays, QoS mechanisms can be set up for small LANs up to giant networks.

#### 2.2 Literature Review

A literature review conducted at the start of the project will identify the most relevant research finding relating to qualitative issues being investigated in this research. Furthermore, a literature will provide needed context to the research topic, assist in the selection of optimal strategy and guide execution.

In order to analyze QoS performance on multiplayer networked games, a research has been conducted in order to help a better understanding about this project. The research was mainly conducted through the internet, journal and reference from books also done.

This chapter will review on previous effort and researches that had been carried out by various researches regarding QoS performance. Reviewing other works and attempts could lead some idea and information in order to understand the specified field and problems. The literature review can be done by searching, collecting, studying and analyzing relevant sources from book, journal, technical report, anonymous reference as well as web pages. Besides that, there were some extraction and drawing conclusion from the information and research that had gathered.

#### 2.2.1 Domain

The main objective for this project is about analyzing QoS performance while running multiplayer game in real core network environment. QoS performance will be test by letting two players play the multiplayer game in the network. This project will be focus on analyze all the possible QoS parameters that stated on project's objectives such as delay, jitter and throughput.

## 2.2.2 Keyword

Below are the several terms that being used in this project.

## 2.2.2.1 Quality of Services (QoS)

QoS stands for Quality of Service. It is quite hard to understand term since there is no fixed definition for it. Depending on where, how and why it is used, people have their different perspective and understand it. The most typical definition we have of QoS is the measure of performance in a data communications system. It also refers to a broad collection of networking technologies and techniques. The main objective of QoS is to provide guarantees on the ability of a network to deliver predictable results. The often scope of QoS are include availability (uptime), bandwidth (throughput), delay (delay) and also error rate. QoS involves prioritization of network traffic. A network monitoring system must typically be deployed as part of QoS, to insure that networks are performing at the desired level.

#### 2.2.2.2 Distinct Network Monitor

The Distinct Network monitor captures network traffic and translates the protocol negotiation of that traffic into simple English. It is perfect solution for understanding the type of network traffic that is going over the network as well as for pinpointing network problems. The Network Monitor may view statistics from various networks concurrently. The statistics captured and displayed are the following:

- a) The list of IP protocols and the total number of bytes and packets transmitted for each one.
- b) The list of application protocols showing how many bytes were sent and received for each protocol. Protocols are identified by port number. It also shows which IP addresses generated the traffic.
- c) Bandwidth usage over the specified time period using the number of sample specified.

#### 2.2.2.3 Network Latency

Network latency or lag / delay refer to the time taken for a packet sent to travel from its source to its final destination. Latency is measured by sending a packet that is returned to the sender and the round-trip time is considered the latency.

The latency assumption seems to be that data should be transmitted instantly between one point and another (that is, with no delay at all). The contributors to network latency include:

- a. Propagation: This is simply the time it takes for a packet to travel between one place and another at the speed of light.
- b. Transmission: The medium itself (whether optical fiber, wireless, or some other) introduces some delay. The size of the packet introduces delay in a round trip since a larger packet will take longer to receive and return than a short one.

There have been many studies on the acceptable amount of lag for various types of game. Pantel and Wolf (2002) demonstrate that a delay of more than 100ms in a car racing game begins to affect the gameplay. Armitage G.J (2003) shows how players of Quake 3 prefer to select a server with a lag below 150-180ms. They also showed how players who played with a lag of 45ms on average achieved 1 point per minute more than players with a lag of 200ms. The acceptable level of lag tends to be quite subjective. Refer to table 2.1 shows that the range of latency and it impressions.

Table 2.1: Subjective Impression

Delay (ms)	User's impression	
	This is unacceptable.	
500	<ul> <li>Users cannot control their character.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>User's action and the game's reaction were not fit.</li> </ul>	
	Delay can still be tolerant	
200	The characters can be control well	
	But the overall behavior is not realistic	
100	Still got delay but hardly to detect	
50	Users have the realistic in every game environment	
	Minor delay that surely hard to detect	