

LONGITUDINAL AERODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF AN AIRCRAFT MODEL

FONG SEE YAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

I/We* have read this thesis
and from my/our* opinion thesis
is sufficient in aspects of scope and quality for awarding
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Thermal Fluid)

Signature :
Name of Supervisor :
Date :

**Line which is irrelevant*

LONGITUDINAL AERODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE OF AN AIRCRAFT MODEL

FONG SEE YAN

This report is presented in
Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
Degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Thermal Fluid)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

MAY 2009

“I declare this report is on my own work except for summary and quotes that I have mentioned its sources”

Signature :

Name of author :

Date :

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank my project supervisor, Mr. Ahmad Rivai for his guidance, friendship and encouragement throughout this research project. This allowed me to work on a project of great interest to me and to pursue the project freely. His comments and suggestions throughout the project are appreciated.

I would like to thank Dr. Shuhaimi Mansor and Mr. Iskandar Shah Ishak, UTM lecturer who give me some valuable information details when conducting wind tunnel testing. I very appreciate UTM technicians who assisted me to do testing. I also would like to thank my friends that willing to share information and knowledge with me. They spend a lot of times to correct my draft. Their valuable comments and suggestions are appreciated.

Finally, I would like to thank my family because they provided moral support and help that enable me overcome rough times during the execution of this project.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini dijalankan untuk memeriksa kesan variasi sudut serang dan sudut elevator defleksi terhadap pekali daya angkat, pekali seretan dan pekali momen anggukan. Projek ini adalah pembelajaran awal untuk mendapatkan UAV hukum kontrol. Rumus kestabilan terbitan dalam arah longitudinal ditentukan. Kajian rancangan, mereka pesawat terbang pendukung dan mereka template sebelum menjalankan uji terowongan angin. Pesawat terbang model Piper J-3 Cub 4 digunakan untuk menguji dalam siaran terowongan angin kecepatan rendah. Eksperimen yang dilakukan pada kecepatan rendah juga disebut sebagai subsonik. Koefisien yang diperolehi daripada eksperimen akan diterapkan ke dalam rumus kestabilan terbitan. Perincian menerbit pekali daya angkat, pekali seretan, pekali momen anggukan and sifat-sifat longitudinal aerodynamic juga dapat belajar dalam kajian ini. Dalam eksperimen ini, elevator memberi efek yang tinggi bagi pesawat model ini. Sifat-sifat bagi pesawat model Piper J-3 Cub 4 ini telah ditentukan.

ABSTRACT

This study carried out to examine the effect of variation angle of attack and angle of elevator deflection on the lift coefficient, drag coefficient and pitching moment coefficient. This project is initial study to obtaining control law of UAV. The stability derivative equation in the longitudinal direction is determined. Test plan, design aircraft model support and templates to fix control surface angle are conducted before implement wind tunnel testing. An aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 4 is used to test in closed circuit low speed wind tunnel in order to reach the objective of the project. The experiments are conducted at a constant low speed flow field which also called as subsonic. The experiment results of coefficients are applied into stability derivative equation for this aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 4. The details to derive lift coefficient and pitching moment coefficient and basic of longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics were studies in this study. From the results, it is found that elevator contribute high effectiveness to this aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 4. This aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 4 longitudinal direction characteristics had been discovered.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TOPIC	PAGE
	VERIFICATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	AKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	ABSTRACT	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2

1.3	Objectives	2
1.4	Scope	2
1.5	Report Organization	3
2.0	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1	Basic Aerodynamic	4
2.2	Function of Aircraft Control Surface	6
2.3	Longitudinal Static Stability	8
2.4	Effect of Lift, Drag and Pitching Moment Coefficient (C_L , C_D and C_M) Function of Angle of Attack (α)	9
2.4.1	Wing Contribution	10
2.4.2	Tail Contribution – Aft Tail	12
2.4.3	Fuselage Contribution	15
2.4.4	Elevator Effectiveness	17
2.5	Wind Tunnel	18
2.5.1	How Wind Tunnel Works	19
3.0	METHODOLOGY	22
3.1	Experiment preparation	22
3.2	Equipment Setup	25
3.3	Experiment Parameter Testing	27
4.0	RESULTS	30

5.0	DATA ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION	31
5.1	Lift Coefficient	32
5.1.1	Lift Coefficient and Angle of Attack	32
5.1.2	Lift Coefficient and Elevator Deflection	34
5.2	Angle of Attack Lift Force Coefficient	35
5.3	Elevator Deflection Lift Force Coefficient	37
5.4	Lift –to-Drag Coefficient Ratio	38
5.5	Pitching Moment Coefficient	41
5.5.1	Pitching Moment Coefficient and Angle of Attack	41
5.5.2	Pitching Moment Coefficient and Elevator Deflection	46
5.6	Angle of Attack Pitching Moment Coefficient	46
5.7	Elevator Deflection Pitching Moment Coefficient	48
5.8	Source of Error	49
6.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	51
6.1	Conclusion	51
6.2	Recommendations	52
	REFERENCES	53
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	55
	APPENDIX A	56

APPENDIX B	59
APPENDIX C	61
APPENDIX D	64
APPENDIX E	66
APPENDIX F	69
APPENDIX G	71
APPENDIX H	74
APPENDIX I	82
APPENDIX J	84
APPENDIX K	92

LIST OF FIGURES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Stability axes and control surfaces of an aircraft	5
2.2	Airflow around airfoil and definition of terms (Source: Brian L. Stevens <i>et al.</i> 1992)	5
2.3	Pitching moment coefficient versus angle of attack (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	8
2.4	Wing contribution to the pitching moment (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	11
2.5	Flow field surrounding a lifting wing (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	13
2.6	Aft tail contribution to the pitching moment (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	13
2.7	The influence of the elevator on the C_m versus α curve (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	17

NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.8	Open wind tunnel	20
2.9	Closed circuit or return flow low speed wind tunnel	21
3.1	Strut support assembly without windshield	23
3.2	Aircraft model support	24
3.3	Side view of the assembly of aircraft model and strut (forward bayonet)	25
3.4	Side view of the assembly of aircraft model and strut (aft bayonet)	25
3.5	Aircraft model complete assembly onto wind tunnel balance	26
3.6	Front joint gap of aircraft model attach with adhesive tape	26
3.7	Template paste on elevator to fix the testing elevator deflection angle	27
5.1	Graph of C_L versus α	32
5.2	Theoretical Graph of C_L versus α (Source: John D.Anderson, Jr 2001)	33
5.3	Graph of C_L versus δe	34
5.4	Graph of $C_{L\alpha}$ versus δe	36
5.5	Graph of $C_{L\delta e}$ versus α	37

NO	TITLE	PAGE
5.6	Graph of C_L/C_D versus α	38
5.7	Sample theoretical graph of lift/drag versus angle of attack (Source: A.C Kermode 2006)	40
5.8	Graph of C_m versus α	41
5.9	Influence of elevator on pitching moment	42
5.10	Pitching moment at zero elevator deflection and angle of attack	42
5.11	Theoretical graph of pitching moment coefficient versus angle of attack (Source: Robert C.Nelson, 1998)	43
5.12	Plot of C_m vs α for $V = 6\text{m/s}$ with various elevator deflection (Source: Paw Yew Chai, 2007)	44
5.13	Graph of C_{m_0} versus δ_e	45
5.14	Graph of C_m versus δ_e	46
5.15	$C_{m\alpha}$ versus δ_e	47
5.16	$C_{m\delta_e}$ versus α	49

LIST OF TABLES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Wind tunnel testing log book	29
5.1	Slope reading from graph of C_L versus δ_e at several elevator deflections	35
5.2	Slope reading from graph of C_L versus α at several angle of attack	37
5.3	C_m values at zero angle of attack for each elevator deflection	44
5.4	Slope reading from Figure 5.8	47
5.5	Slope reading from Figure 5.13	48
5.6	Data Temperature and Density	50

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Concise list of symbols in order of appearance:

F = Force (N)

P = Pressure (Pa or N/m²)

A = Area surface (m²)

L = Lift force

C_L = Lift coefficient

ρ = Air density

V = Velocity

C_L = Lift coefficient

C_D = Drag coefficient

C_m = Pitching moment coefficient

\bar{c} = Aerodynamic mean chord

α = Angle of attack

L = Lift force

H = Ratio of pressure dynamic, which called as tail efficiency

V_H = Horizontal tail volume ratio

- C_{m_0} = Total pitching moment coefficient
- k_2-k_1 = Correction factor for the body fitness ratio
- S = Wing reference area
- W_f = Average width of the fuselage sections
- α_{0w} = Wing zero-lift angle relative to the fuselage reference line
- i_f = Incidence of the fuselage camber line relative to the fuselage reference line at the center of each fuselage increment
- Δx = Length of the fuselage increments
- M_{cgw} = Wing contribution to the pitching moment at center gravity
- M_{acw} = Wing contribution to the pitching moment at aerodynamic center
- $C_{m_{cgw}}$ = Wing contribution to the pitching moment coefficient at center gravity
- $C_{m_{acw}}$ = Wing contribution to the pitching moment coefficient at aerodynamic center
- L_w = Lift force at wing contribution
- D_w = Drag force at wing contribution
- Q_w = Pressure dynamic at wing contribution
- C_{L_w} = Wing contribution to the lift force coefficient
- C_{D_w} = Wing contribution to the drag force coefficient
- $C_{L_{\alpha_w}}$ = Wing contribution to angle of attack lift force coefficient
- $C_{m_{\alpha_w}}$ = Wing contribution to angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- $C_{m_{0w}}$ = Wing contribution to zero angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- M_{cgt} = Tail contribution to pitching moment at center gravity

- M_{ac_t} = Tail contribution to pitching moment at aerodynamic center
- $C_{m_{cg_t}}$ = Tail contribution to pitching moment coefficient at center gravity
- L_t = Lift force at tail contribution
- D_t = Drag force at tail contribution
- Q_t = Pressure dynamic at tail contribution
- C_{L_t} = Tail contribution to lift force coefficient
- $C_{L_{\alpha_t}}$ = Tail contribution to angle of attack lift force coefficient
- $C_{m_{\alpha_t}}$ = Tail contribution to angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- $C_{m_{0_t}}$ = Tail contribution to zero angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- M_t = Tail contribution to pitching moment
- $C_{m_{\alpha_f}}$ = Fuselage contribution to angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- $C_{m_{0_f}}$ = Fuselage contribution to zero angle of attack pitching moment coefficient
- $C_{L_{\delta_e}}$ = Lift force coefficient of angle of elevator deflection
- $C_{m_{\delta_e}}$ = Pitching moment coefficient of angle of elevator deflection
- T_c = Thrust coefficient

LIST OF APPENDICES

NO	TOPIC	PAGE
A	Gantt Chart	56
B	Flow Chart	59
C	Wind Tunnel Test Plan	61
D	Body Support Drawing	64
E	Lug Drawing	66
F	Template Drawing	69
G	Strut Details	71
H	Wind-On Raw Data – Tare Raw Data	74
I	Bayonet Test Run 24RR	83
J	Net Model Axial Axial User Force	85
K	Convert to Wind Axis	93

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background Study

Interest in Unmanned-aerial-vehicles (UAVs) in recent years has increased significantly. These aircraft are useful for applications ranging from military to scientific research because of their ability to perform dangerous missions without risking human life. [1] UAV able to depart from one point and arrive another point automatically by setting the coordinate of depart and arrive. This project is the initial study to obtaining control law of UAV. The study of force and moment aerodynamic changes which affected by the control surface will be included in this thesis. Aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 48 is utilized to analyze performance of control surface in the longitudinal direction. A theoretical stability control derivative will be found to determine the total pitching moment coefficient. The model will be tested with variation angle of attack and angle of elevator deflection. Close loop low speed wind tunnel is utilized to determine the effectiveness of longitudinal control surface and to evaluate force coefficient and moment coefficient.

1.2 Problem Statement

Development of an effective set of flight controls was a critical advance in the development of the aircraft since aircraft flight control plays important roles in adjusting and controlling the aircraft's flight attitude or aircraft performance. An aircraft free to rotate in three axes which perpendicular to each other and intersect at the plane's center of gravity. Whereas elevator is the primary control surface on an aircraft that controlling longitudinal direction motion. How effective of control surface influence aircraft attitude? Thus, this project will investigate and discuss about the performance of control surface especially in longitudinal of aircraft model Piper J-3 Cub 48.

1.3 Objectives

The main objective of this project is to study variation of lift, drag and pitching moment coefficient (C_L , C_D and C_m) function of angle of attack and elevator deflection in longitudinal direction and to determine stability derivatives of the aircraft model.

1.4 Scope

The investigation will focus on the longitudinal aerodynamic behavior especially lift, drag and pitching moment coefficient. This project also involves simplification of stability derivative equation with control surface coefficient. Aircraft model also will be tested at close loop low speed wind tunnel at UTM. The procedure setup and wind tunnel test plan have to be developed before testing. Data processing and analysis data also have included in this study.

1.5 Report Organization

In this report, five chapters are included. Chapter 1 covers introduction of the report, Chapter 2 covers longitudinal aerodynamic and theoretical stability control derivative, Chapter 3 covers methodology conducting wind tunnel testing, Chapter 4 covers data results, Chapter 5 covers data analysis and discussion, Chapter 6 covers conclusion and recommendation.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Basic Aerodynamic

Aerodynamics is a branch of dynamics concerned with studying the motion of air, particularly when it interacts with a moving object. The common air moving object is space vehicle – airplane which is control in three dimensions. The three dimensions axes are known as ‘body axes’, x-axis, y-axis and z-axis. The motion of an airplane in the vertical plane involves three degrees of freedom, one rotational and two translational. The figure below shows stability axes and control surfaces of an aircraft.