

‘Saya/Kami akui bahawa telah membaca  
Karya ini dan pada pandangan saya/kami\* karya ini  
adalah memadai dari skop dan kualiti untuk tujuan penganugerahan  
Ijazah Sarjana Muda Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (Struktur dan Bahan)

Tandatangan : .....  
Nama Penyelia I : .....  
Tarikh : .....

Tandatangan : .....  
Nama Penyelia II : .....  
Tarikh : .....

MAPPING CORROSION ACTIVITIES OF VARIOUS CONCENTRATION OF  
ACIDIC SOLUTION IN A PIPE USING ULTRASONIC TESTING

FARAHILDA BINTI MASEKAM

Laporan ini dikemukakan sebagai  
memenuhi sebahagian daripada syarat penganugerahan  
Ijazah Sarjana Muda Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (Struktur dan Bahan)

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal  
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

MAY 2008

“I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor Mechanical Engineering (Structure & Material)”

Signature : .....

Name of Supervisor : .....

Date : .....

## ABSTRACT

Conventional method of inspecting the thickness of pipe due to corrosion is using Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurements (UTTM). This experiment is to study the corrosion activities in a pipe with using different concentration of acidic. Hydrochloric acid is used as a medium to create corrosion in internal wall of pipe. The hydrochloric acid is a strong acid, since it is fully dissociated in water where is a strong inorganic acid that is used in many industrial processes. The different of concentration of hydrochloric acid in water, 30%, 50% and 70% are used for this experiment. The different concentration of acidic used shown distinguishes of corrosion activities on the internal wall of pipe. The thickness of pipe wall is measured with an Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurement method. The results of corrosion activities are presented in C-scan presentation resulted from A-scan readings obtained from Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurement method. The C-scan result displays are created using the MATLAB Programming.

## ABSTRAK

Ujian Pengukuran Ketebalan Ultrasonik (UTTM) merupakan kaedah yang paling sesuai untuk memeriksa dan menguji ketebalan paip yang disebabkan oleh kakisan. Eksperimen ini dijalankan bertujuan untuk mempelajari dan mengetahui aktiviti kakisan pada paip dengan menggunakan kepekatan asid yang berbeza. Asid hidroklorik digunakan sebagai medium untuk membentuk kakisaan pada permukaan dalam paip. Asid ini bersifat kuat dan meluas digunakan di pelbagai proses perindustrian. Pada eksperimen ini sebanyak tiga jenis kepekatan asid yang berbeza digunakan iaitu 30%, 50% dan 70% kepekatan. Perbezaan kepekatan asid yang digunakan menunjukkan perbezaan aktiviti kakisan pada bahagian dalam dinding paip. Ketebalan dinding paip akan diukur dengan menggunakan kaedah Ujian Pengukuran Ketebalan Ultrasonik (UTTM). Keputusan aktiviti kakisan akan dipaparkan dalam paparan *C-scan* yang ditukar daripada paparan *A-scan* yang diperolehi daripada Ujian pengukuran Ketebalan Ultrasonik dengan menggunakan perisian MATLAB.

## CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>PENGAKUAN</b>	
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	
	<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	
	<b>LIST OF FIGURE</b>	
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOL</b>	
	<b>LIST OF APPENDIX</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	19
	1.1 Project	19
	1.2 Problem Statement	19
	1.3 Objectives	22
	1.4 Scope of Study	22
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	23
	2.1 Corrosion in Pipelines	23
	2.2 Types of Corrosion	25
	2.3 Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurements	25

2.4	Comparison of Previous Researching	26
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>30</b>
3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	Specimen	32
3.2.1	Type of Specimen	32
3.2.2	Preparation of Specimen	33
3.2.3	Grid Line	35
3.3	Acidic Solution	36
3.3.1	Type of Acidic	36
3.3.2	Concentration of Acidic	38
3.3.3	Volume of Acidic Used	39
3.4	Ultrasonic Testing and Data Recording	39
3.4.1	A-Scan Data	41
3.4.2	C-Scan Data Presentation	42
3.5	Programming and Data Display	42
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>44</b>
4.1	MATLAB Programming	44
4.2	Result of 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	46
4.3	Result of 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	53
4.4	Result of 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	60
4.5	Result Comparison Between Three Various Concentration of Acidic	66

	4.6	Advantages of C-scan Display	68
	4.7	Factors Influenced the Corrosion Activities	70
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>		<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>CHAPTER 6</b>		<b>RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>73</b>
		<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>74</b>
		<b>APPENDIXS</b>	<b>76</b>



**LIST OF TABLE**

<b>NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
1	Properties of Hydrochloric Acid (Source: <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrochloric_acid">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrochloric_acid</a> )	37
2	Physical Properties of Hydrochloric Acid with Different Concentration (Source: <a href="http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrochloric_acid">http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hydrochloric_acid</a> )	38

## LIST OF FIGURE

NO.	TITLE	PAGES
1.0	Internal Corrosion on Pipeline (Source: <a href="http://www.corrview.com/service_03.htm">http://www.corrview.com/service_03.htm</a> )	21
3.1	Project Flow Diagram	31
3.2	Both of End of Pipe Will Welding With Two Lids and Hole to Insert Acidic on Specimen	33
3.3	Welding Area on Specimen	34
3.4	Dimension of Specimen	34
3.5	Specimen of Experiment	35
3.6	Grid Line on Layout Pipe Surface	35
3.7	Grid Line on a Pipe Surface	36
3.8	Calibration Block K1 (right) and Calibration Block K2 (left)	40
3.9	Example of A-Scan Image Seen on Ultrasonic Instrument	41

(Source: Sonix, Inc. “The ABC’s of Ultrasonics Power Point Presentation”)

3.10	Color Range of Pipe Wall Thickness	43
4.0	Example of C-scan Result Display	46
4.1	First Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	46
4.2	Second Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	47
4.3	Third Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	47
4.4	Forth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	48
4.5	Fifth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	49
4.6	Sixth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	50
4.7	Seventh Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	50
4.8	Eighth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	51

4.9	Ninth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	51
4.10	Tenth Reading Display Result for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	52
4.11	First Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	53
4.12	Second Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	54
4.13	Third Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	54
4.14	Forth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	55
4.15	Fifth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	56
4.16	Sixth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	57
4.17	Seventh Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	57
4.18	Eighth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	58
4.19	Ninth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of	59

## Hydrochloric Acid

4.20	Tenth Reading Display Result for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	59
4.21	First Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	60
4.22	Second Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	61
4.23	Third Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	61
4.24	Forth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	62
4.25	Fifth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	63
4.26	Sixth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	63
4.27	Seventh Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	64
4.28	Eighth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	65
4.29	Ninth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	65

4.30	Tenth Reading Display Result for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	66
4.31	Graph of Percentage Thickness Reduction	67

**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

h	=	height, m
r	=	Radius, m
V	=	Volume, m <sup>3</sup>

**LIST OF APPENDIX**

<b>NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
A	Gantt Chart of PSM I and PSM II	76
B(i)	Week 1 Data Reading Initial Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	78
B(ii)	Week 2 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	79
B(iii)	Week 3 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	80
B(iv)	Week 4 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	81
B(v)	Week 5 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	82
B(vi)	Week 6 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	83
B(vii)	Week 7 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	84



B(viii) Week 8 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	85
B(ix) Week 9 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	86
B(x) Week 10 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 30% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	87
C(i) Week 1 Data Reading Initial Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	88
C(ii) Week 2 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	89
C(iii) Week 3 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	90
C(iv) Week 4 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	91
C(v) Week 5 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	92
C(vi) Week 6 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	93
C(vii) Week 7 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	94
C(viii) Week 8 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model	95

for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid

C(ix)	Week 9 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	96
C(x)	Week 10 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 50% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	97
D(i)	Week 1 Data Reading Initial Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	98
D(ii)	Week 2 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	99
D(iii)	Week 3 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	100
D(iv)	Week 4 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	101
D(v)	Week 5 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	102
D(vi)	Week 6 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	103
D(vii)	Week 7 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	104
D(viii)	Week 8 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of Hydrochloric Acid	105

D(ix)	Week 9 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of hydrochloric Acid	106
D(x)	Week 10 Data Reading Thickness of Pipeline Model for 70% Concentration of hydrochloric Acid	107

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Project

The purpose of this project is to conduct experiment to study the corrosion activities in a pipe using various concentration of acidic solution using Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurement (UTTM) method, and to produce a program that models a C-scan presentation of the corrosion activities in the pipe from A-scan presentation obtained from UTTM. The study and data collection of this project will be held at the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM).

#### 1.2 Problem Statement

Corrosion in pipelines is a problem long faced since long time ago. Industrial sector fields grow older and move to more challenging and often aggressive environments, the difficulties presented by corrosion are multiplying. Some pipelines deteriorate slowly, and certain cases pipeline life has been reliably targeted at 70 years or more. Other pipelines have been built which have exhausted their life after 1 year operation. (Nestleroth, 2006).

According to Kucera (1988), CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>S gases, in combination with water, define most of the corrosion problems in oil and gas production. Other problems include microbiological activity and the solids accumulation. The mechanisms of CO<sub>2</sub> corrosion are generally well defined. However, the reality inside a pipeline becomes complicated when CO<sub>2</sub> acts in combination with H<sub>2</sub>S, deposited solids, and other environments. H<sub>2</sub>S can be highly corrosive, but can, in some cases, form a protective sulfide scale that prevents corrosion. Microorganisms can attach to pipe walls and cause corrosion damage.

On the latest researching by A. Cosham, P. Hopkins, K.A. Macdonald (2007), corrosion can be classified into one of three general categories. There are internal corrosion caused by the produced fluids and gases, external corrosion caused by exposure to groundwater or seawater, and atmospheric corrosion caused by salt spray and weathering offshore. Of these, internal corrosion is the most costly since internal mitigation methods cannot be easily maintained and inspected. Pitting corrosion along the bottom of the pipeline is the primary corrosion mechanism leading to failures in gas pipelines. The common features of this mechanism are:

- a. The presence of water containing any of the following; carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), bacteria, oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), or solids;
- b. Pipelines carrying higher levels of free-water production with no means of water removal, i.e. well site separation or dehydration;
- c. The presence of fluid traps where water and solids can accumulate;
- d. Vapor phase corrosion is a less common mechanism that has also led to failures. Although not specifically addressed in this recommended practice, many of the preventative measures described in this document will also mitigate this mechanism.



Figure 1.0: Internal Corrosion on Pipeline  
(Source: [http://www.corrview.com/service\\_03.htm](http://www.corrview.com/service_03.htm))

On April 2006, in Alaska they had a case about the corrosion in pipelines. On 2<sup>nd</sup> March 2006 until April 2006 was determined that 201,000 gallons of oil had spread over almost 2 acres of tundra. The leaky pipeline remains out of service while field workers check out corrosion problems. The corrosion on pipelines was detected by the pipeline leak-detection system sound warnings on four straight days in the week leading up to last month's record North Slope oil spill. They found that the 34 inches pipeline that leaked had circumstances that made leak detection become difficult, which including a relatively high level of sediment moving through the pipe along with the oil. They also found the fluctuations in the volume of oil sent was down to the line from a processing plant can mask a potential leaks. Then the corrosion was blamed for causing an almond-sized hole through which the oil escaped. (*Wesley Loy, Anchorage Daily News, April 20, 2006*).

### 1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

- a. To study the corrosion activities in internal pipe using various concentration of acidic solution.
- b. To measure the wall pipe thickness due to corrosion using Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurements (UTTM).
- c. To produce a program that models a C-scan presentation of the corrosion activities in the pipe from A-scan presentation obtained from UTTM using MATLAB Programming.

### 1.4 Scope of Study

This study is focused on the internal corrosion in pipelines, where the corrosion is the main problem since a long time ago. This experiment is to study the corrosion activities in a pipe with using a different concentration of acid. Then, the thickness of pipe will be measure with using the Ultrasonic Testing, where every different concentration of acid affects the different corrosion rate. The acid that is used on this experiment is a hydrochloric acid (HCl), and the various concentration of acid that will be used is 30%, 50% and 70% concentration per volume. For one specimen, there have 108 points of thickness need to be measured. The thickness reading taken is doing by weekly from week one until week ten. The results of corrosion activities will be present as a program that models a C-scan from A-scan presentation obtained from Ultrasonic Testing Thickness Measurement (UTTM) method. MATLAB Programming is using to create a C-scan result display.

## CHAPTER 2

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Corrosion in Pipelines

According to A. Cosham, P. Hopkins, K.A. Macdonald (2007), corrosion is an electrochemical process where it is a time dependent mechanism and depends on the local environment within or adjacent to the pipeline. Corrosion usually appears as either general corrosion or localizes (pitting) corrosion. There are many different types of corrosion, including galvanic corrosion, microbiologically induced corrosion, alternate current (AC) corrosion, differential soils, differential aeration and cracking. Corrosion causes metal loss. It can occur on the internal or external surfaces of the pipe, in the base material, the seam weld, the girth weld, and/or the associated heat affected zone (HAZ).

According to Bolt R and Owen RW (1999), the internal and external corrosion are together one of the major causes of pipeline failures. Data for onshore gas transmission pipelines in Western Europe for the period from 1970 to 1997 indicates that 17% of all incidents resulting in a loss of gas were due to corrosion.

On the latest researching by Eiber , Miele and Wilson (2002), incident data from the Office of Pipeline Safety in the USA for the year 2001 attributes 29% of incidents in liquid pipelines, and 19% of incidents in gas pipelines due to corrosion. Corrosion in a