



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

**OPTIMIZATION OF MACHINING PARAMETERS IN TURNING
PROCESS OF ALUMINUM ALLOY 6063**

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Robotic and Automation) with Honours.

by

LEE CHEE YOONG

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2010



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: **Optimization of Machining Parameters in Turning Process of Aluminum Alloy 6063**

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2009/10 Semester 2

Saya **LEE CHEE YOONG**

mengaku membenarkan tesis Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan ini adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. **Sila tandakan (√)

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh:

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Alamat Tetap:

No. 9, Lorong Kledang Utara 1,

31450, Menglembu,

Ipoh, perak

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: _____

Tarikh: _____

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “**Optimization of Machining Parameters in Turning Process of Aluminum Alloy 6063**” is the results of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature :

Author's Name : LEE CHEE YOONG

Date : 9 APRIL 2010

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Robotic and Automation) with Honours. The member of the supervisory committee is as follow:

(Signature of Supervisor)

.....

(Official Stamp of Supervisor)

OPTIMIZATION OF MACHINING PARAMETERS IN
TURNING PROCESS OF ALUMINUM ALLOY 6063

LEE CHEE YOONG

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

ABSTRACT

This report presents an optimization of machining parameters in turning process of Aluminum alloy 6063 T6. OKUMA LCS-15 horizontal turning machines have been used to run the experiments on Aluminum alloy 6063 T6. Aluminum is widely used in automotive industry, aerospace applications, architectural applications and others. The main objective of the research was to determine the effect of different parameters setting such as depth of cut, feed rate and cutting speed on surface roughness and hardness. In this research, the RSM (response surface methodology) method with Central Composite Design (CCD) was used to design the experiment and 20 trials were conducted. The machined surface was checked by using portable surface roughness tester and hardness was measured by using Digital Microhardness tester. The quadratic model was selected in the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the model graph revealed the interaction between the three controllable parameters. The analysis revealed that cutting speed was the significant effect to the surface roughness followed by the feed rate while depth of cut was less effect. The best surface finish can be obtained with the combination of lowest cutting speed and feed rate. Besides, the numerical optimization method was employed in the optimization and the best predicting setting was depth of cut 0.69 mm, feed rate 0.09 mm/rev and cutting speed 153.14 m/min. The average deviation percentage value was 54.84%. In the experiment, the hardness was influenced by the cutting speed and feed rate. The highest of cutting speed and feed rate will cause hardness decreasing.

ABSTRAK

Laporan ini menerangkan pengoptimuman parameter dalam proses pemesinan larik pada Aluminium alloy 6063 T6. Okuma SKB-15 mesin larik melintang digunakan untuk menjalankan eksperimen pada bahan kerja Aluminium 6063 T6. Aluminium banyak digunakan dalam industri automotif, angkasa aplikasi, arsitektur aplikasi dan lain-lain. Objektif utama dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menentukan kesan daripada tatacara parameter yang berbeza seperti kedalaman pemotongan, kadar suapan dan kelajuan dalam pemotongan ke atas kekasaran permukaan dan kekerasan. Dalam kajian ini, kaedah RSM (response surface methodology) bersama dengan Central Composite Design (CCD) digunakan untuk merancang percubaan bagi eksperimen ini dan 20 uji kaji telah dijalankan dengan merujuk kepada jadual matriks. Permukaan yang telah dimesin diperiksa dengan menggunakan alat uji kekasaran permukaan mudah alih dan kekerasan diukur dengan menggunakan Digital Micohardness tester. Model kuadratik dipilih dalam analisis varians (ANOVA) dan model grafik mendedahkan interaksi antara tiga parameter yang dikendalikan. Analisis menunjukkan bahawa kelajuan pemotongan adalah pembolehubah yang signifikan terhadap kekasaran permukaan diikuti oleh kadar suapan sementara kedalaman pemotongan adalah kurang signifikan. Permukaan terbaik boleh didapati dengan kombinasi kelajuan pemotongan dan kadar suapan dengan nilai yang terendah. Selain itu, kaedah pengoptimuman berangka telah digunakan dalam optimasi dan tatacara yang terbaik untuk meramalkan adalah kedalaman pemotongan 0.69 mm, kadar suapan 0.09 mm/rev dan kelajuan pemotongan 153.14 m / min. Nilai rata-rata deviasi peratusan adalah 54.84%. Dalam eksperimen ini, kekerasan telah dipengaruhi oleh kelajuan pemotongan dan kelajuan secara maklumnya. Peningkatan kelajuan pemotongan dan kadar suapan secara maklum akan menyebabkan penurunan kekerasan.

DEDICATION

To my lovely family and fellow friends that accompanying me along the difficult pathway in my university life, thanks for your help and support.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to take this opportunities to express my sincere thank to all people that helping me to succeed this project. Firstly, I would like to thank to my project supervisor, Ms LIEW PAY JUN. Without her guidance, I would not be succeeding to accomplish my project. Once again thanks for her guidance, advice, and help in this project.

Secondly, I would like to thank to Manufacturing Engineering Laboratory of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for providing me the equipments and machines. Moreover, I would like to thank to Mr. Farihan for giving me lot convenience in using the equipments in the Laboratory. Besides, I would like to thank to laboratory of Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM) for providing equipments to accomplish my project.

Furthermore, special thanks to all my friends Lee Weng Sum, Liew Tong Ken and Tan King Hwang. They had giving me advice, ideas, comments and sharing their time to completed my project.

Lastly, unforgettable I would like to thank to my parents and family that always give me encourage and supports to me. I was very appreciating the supports they give to me with heartfelt.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstract	i
Abstrak	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List Abbreviations	xii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objective	3
1.4 Scope	3
1.5 Importance of study	4
1.6 Result expected	4
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Turning Process	5
2.2 Parameters	6
2.2.1 Cutting Speed	7
2.2.2 Feed Rate	8
2.2.3 Depth of Cut	8
2.3 Aluminum alloy 6063	9
2.4 Cutting Tool Material	9
2.5 Responses of Turning Process	11
2.5.1 Surface Roughness	12
2.5.2 Surface Texture	13

2.5.3	Vickers Hardness Test	14
2.6	Design of Experiment	16
2.6.1	Response Surface Methodology	16
2.6.1.1	Central Composite Design (CCD)	17
2.6.1.1.1	Factorial Points	17
2.6.1.1.2	Star or Axial Points	18
2.6.1.1.3	Center Points	18
2.7	Summary of Journal	19
2.8	Conclusion	23
3.	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	24
3.1	Flow chart of study	25
3.2	Stage 1: Define the objective of the experiment	26
3.3	Stage 2: Determine the parameters speed at low level and high level	26
3.4	Stage 3: Identify the appropriate responds variable	27
3.5	Stage 4: Design of experiment matrix	27
3.6	Stage 5: Preparation of the experiment	28
3.6.1	OKUMA LCS-15 horizontal machines	28
3.6.2	Abrasive cutting machine	29
3.6.3	Workpiece preparation	30
3.6.3.1	Material properties	31
3.6.4	Cutting inserts	32
3.6.5	Tool Holder	33
3.7	Stage 6: Run the experiment	33
3.7.1	Surface Roughness Testing	35
3.7.2	Cutting Process (Cross Section)	36
3.7.3	Mounting Process	37
3.7.4	Hardness Test	39
3.8	Stage 7: Analysis the Results	40
3.9	Stage 8: Conclusion	40
3.10	Gantt chart for PSM 1	41

3.11	Gantt chart for PSM 2	42
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	43
4.1	Results	43
4.2	Analysis of Surface Roughness	45
4.2.1	Transformation	45
4.2.2	Fit Summary	46
4.2.2.1	Sequential Model Sum of Squares [Type I]	46
4.2.2.2	Lack of Fit Tests	48
4.2.2.3	Model Summary Statistics	48
4.2.3	ANOVA	49
4.2.3.1	Backward Elimination	51
4.2.3.2	Regression Statistics	52
4.2.4	Model Diagnostics Plots	53
4.2.5	Model Graph	55
4.2.5.1	Effect of Feed Rate on Surface Roughness	55
4.2.5.2	Effect of Cutting Speed on Surface Roughness	57
4.2.5.3	Effect of Depth of Cut on Surface Roughness	60
4.2.5.4	Perturbation Graph	61
4.2.5.5	Effect of Interaction between Cutting Speed and Feed Rate on Surface Roughness	62
4.2.5.6	Effect of Interaction between Depth of Cut and Feed Rate on Surface Roughness	63
4.2.5.7	Cube Plot	64
4.3	Optimization	65
4.4	Confirmation Run	68
4.4.1	Average Deviation Percentage Value	70
4.5	Hardness Test	71
5.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	73
5.1	Conclusion	73

5.2	Recommendations	74
-----	-----------------	----

REFERENCES	75
-------------------	-----------

APPENDICES

A	Results of surface roughness
---	------------------------------

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Summary of journal	19
3.1	Factors and their entire unit and levels	26
3.2	Input variables from RSM software	27
3.3	Specification of OKUMA LCS-15 horizontal turning machines	29
3.4	Chemical composition	31
3.5	Mechanical properties	32
3.6	Physical properties	32
3.7	Constant parameter	34
4.1	Surface roughness value with different factor	44
4.2	Sequential Model Sum of Squares [Type I]	47
4.3	Lack of Fit Test	48
4.4	Model Summary Statistics	48
4.5	Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares – Type III]	50
4.6	Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares – Type III] after removing the significant model	52
4.7	Regression statistic	52
4.8	Solution Value generates by Design Expert software	67
4.9	Optimization value for surface roughness	68
4.10	Average Deviation Percentage Value	70
4.11	Hardness test value with difference distance	71

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	The hardness of various cutting-tool materials as a function of temperature (hot hardness)	10
2.2	Ra of a surface profile P on a sampling length L	13
2.3	Surface characteristic	14
2.4	Degree of diamond indenter	15
2.5	Indenter shape	15
2.6	Central Composite Design (CCD)	18
3.1	Stages of experiments	24
3.2	OKUMA LCS-15 horizontal turning machines	28
3.3	METACUT A250 Automatic Abrasive Cutting Machine	30
3.4	Horizontal band saw machine	30
3.5	Flow chart of material preparation	31
3.6	TNMG 160408 ML TT3500 carbide inserts	32
3.7	MTJNR 2525 M16W tool holder	33
3.8	Flow chart of procedures	34
3.9	Portable surface roughness tester, SJ-301	35
3.10	Precision reference specimen	35
3.11	Detector stylus parallel to the workpiece surface	36
3.12	Cutting process with abrasive cutting	36
3.13	METKON FINOPRESS MOUNTING PRESS	37
3.14	Phenolic resin	38
3.15	A mounted specimen	38
3.16	Digital Microhardness Tester (Affri)	39
3.17	Diamond shape on the surface	40
3.18	Distance between each diamond shape (1mm)	40
4.1	Box-Cox plot for power transform	45

4.2	Normal Plot of Residuals for Quadratic graph	53
4.3	Predicted versus residuals for Quadratic graph	54
4.4	One factor graph of feed rate versus surface roughness	55
4.5	One factor graph of cutting speed versus surface roughness	57
4.6	One factor graph of depth of cut versus surface roughness	60
4.7	Perturbation graphs of Factor A, B and C	61
4.8	3D model and contour graph of feed rate and cutting speed to respond of surface roughness	62
4.9	Effect of interaction depth of cut and feed rate on surface roughness	63
4.10	Cube plot of three factors that influence the surface roughness	64
4.11	Numerical optimization criteria	65
4.12	Ramp function graph	68

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	-	Arithmetic Average
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
BUE	-	Build-up-edge
CCD	-	Central Composite Design
CLA	-	Center Line Average
CNC	-	Computerized Numerical Control
CVD	-	Chemical-vapor Deposition
DF	-	Degree of Freedom
DOC	-	Depth of Cut
DOE	-	Design of Experiment
HRC	-	Rockwell Hardness Number
HSS	-	High Speed Steel
HV	-	Vickers Hardness
Prob	-	Probability
PVD	-	Physical-vapor Deposition
RPM	-	Revolution per Minute
Ra	-	Roughness Average
RSM	-	Response Surface Methodology
SCEA	-	Side Cutting Edge Angle
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SiC	-	Silicon Carbide
SS	-	Sum of Squares
TiC	-	Titanium Carbide
TiCN	-	Titanium Carbonitride
TiN	-	Titanium Nitride
UTeM	-	University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
UTHM	-	University Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter describes the introduction of the project and briefly explained the problem statements and objective in the research. This chapter also included the scope and the importance of the study for the project.

1.1 Introduction

In this challenge world, industries around the world constantly strive for lower cost solutions with reduced lead time and better surface quality in order to maintain their competitiveness. Automated and flexible manufacturing systems are employed for that purpose along with computerized numerical control (CNC) machines that are capable of achieving high accuracy and very low processing time (Nalbant, 2006). In the CNC machining, determining optimal cutting conditions or parameters under the given machining situation is difficult in practice. Conventional way for selecting these conditions such as cutting speed and feed rate has been based upon data from machining handbooks and/or on the experience and knowledge on the part of programmer. As a result, the metal removal rate is low because of the use of such conservative machining parameters (Kyung and Soung, 1997).

Turning is the first most common method for cutting and especially for the finishing machined parts. In a turning operation, it is important task to select cutting parameters for achieving high cutting performance. Cutting parameters are reflected on surface roughness, surface texture and dimensional deviations of the product (Nalbant, 2006).

Surface finish obtained in manufacturing processes mainly depends on the combination of two aspects: the ideal surface finish provided by marks that manufacturing process produces on the surface and the actual surface finish which is generated taking into account irregularities and deficiencies that may appear in the process, changing manufacturing initial conditions (Arbizu and Perez, 2002).

The surface of every part includes some type of texture created by any combination of the following factors; the microstructure of the material, the action of the cutting tool, cutting too instability, errors in tool guide ways and deformations caused by stress patterns in the component.

The surface texture of an engineering component was very important. It was affected by the machining processes by changes in the conditions of either the component or machine (Abouelatta and Madl, 2000). A machined surface carries a lot of valuable information about the process including tool wear, built-up edge, vibrations, damaged machine elements etc. Under stable machining conditions, the surface texture changes remarkably due to the changes in the cutting tool shape caused by wear. Since the cutting tool operates directly on the workpiece during a machining operation, it affects the texture of the workpiece surface which in turn provides reliable and detectable information to categorize the condition of the tool. Consequently, a machined surface is a replica of the cutting edge which carries valuable information related to the tool condition (i.e., sharpness or bluntness) (Kasim *et. al.* 2006).

The purpose of this project was to investigate the surface roughness and hardness on engineering component by turning process. To achieve the result of surface roughness and hardness, the material used for the investigation was aluminum alloy 6063 T6. Thus, the surface roughness and the hardness was measured and observed in the experiment. The RSM method was used to design the variables of cutting parameter in the experiment in order to obtained data of various specimens. Optimization was involved in the research to provide a better surface roughness prediction for turning aluminum alloy.

1.2 Problem statement

In machining operations, the quality of the surface finish was playing an important role for many turned workpieces. However, human operators or programmer normally inspecting the surface according to their experiences or refer from machining handbooks. With regarded to the quality characteristics of turning parts, some of the problems included surface roughness, burr, and tool wear, etc. The machining parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate, depth of cut, features of tools, work piece material and coolant conditions were highly affect the performance characteristics. It was necessary to select the most appropriated machining settings in order to improved cutting efficiency, process at low cost, and produced high-quality products.

- a) What are the relationships between the controllable factors (in the study: spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut) and the response factor (surface roughness and hardness)?
- b) What are the most controllable factors that produced a better surface finish?

1.3 Objective

- To study the effect of different parameters to the surface roughness and hardness of aluminum alloy 6063 using RSM (response surface methodology) method.
- To optimize the parameters for surface roughness.

1.4 Scope

The study was aim on the spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut on the surface roughness and hardness of workpiece. The aluminum alloy 6063 T6 was used as the workpiece material and the insert that used in the cutting process was TNMG 160408 ML TT3500 CVD coated carbide inserts. In addition, the machining process was under

the wet environment. The surface roughness was tested by using portable surface roughness tester, SJ301. Besides, the hardness of the materials was tested by using Affri Digital Microhardness Tester. The parameters that not cover in the research were tool wear, nose radius, edge preparation, insert angle, cutting forces and others.

1.5 Importance of study

The importance of this study was to investigate the surface roughness and hardness produce by different controllable parameter such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut by turning aluminium alloy 6063. By using the RSM method, it was easy to established variables parameters in design the experiment. Besides, the technique of the optimization was implemented for obtained better surface finish values.

1.6 Result expected

At the end of this study, it was expected that the effect of different parameters to the machined surface and hardness can be obtained. The optimum parameters were obtained simultaneously.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter describes the turning process and the parameters influenced to the surface roughness and hardness by referred from the previous journal. Besides, the method that used for design the experiment was also explained in this chapter.

2.1 Turning Process

In modern industry the goal is to manufacture low cost, high quality products in short time. Automated and flexible manufacturing systems were employed for that purpose along with computerized numerical control (CNC) machines that are capable of achieving high accuracy and very low processing time. Turning was the most common method for cutting and especially for the finishing machined parts. Furthermore, in order to produce any product with desired quality by machining, cutting parameters should be selected properly (Chorng *et. al.*, 2008).

Turning was a widely used machining process in which a single-point cutting tool removes material from the surface of a rotating cylindrical workpiece. Three cutting parameters, i.e., cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut, must be determined in a turning operation. Common methods of evaluating machining performance in a turning operation are based on the following performance characteristics: tool life, cutting force, and surface roughness. Basically, tool life, cutting force, and surface roughness are strongly correlated with cutting parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut (Nian *et. al.*, 1998).

Turning constitutes the majority of lathe work. Thus, it was the process of machining straight, conical surfaces, external cylindrical, curved and grooved workpieces. The cutting tool was attached to the tool post, which is driven by the lead screw, and removes material by travelling along the bed (Kalpakjian, 2006).

2.2 Parameters

In turning process, there have lot of parameters that will influence the process such as tool geometry, cutting speed, depth of cut, etc. The consideration needed to make to determine the effect of cutting parameters on the work piece. Lalwani *et al.* (2007) has made an experimental investigation on the effect of cutting parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut on the feed force, thrust force, cutting force and surface roughness in finish hard turning of MDN250 (50 HRC) steel using coated ceramic tool. They found that the cutting speed has no significant effect on cutting forces and surface roughness. But before the researcher has stated that the cutting speed has greater influence on roughness followed by the feed and the depth of cut has no significant influence on the roughness according by Davim (2001). He also mentioned that the interaction cutting velocity/feed is the most important of the other analyzed parameters.

Besides that, Nalbant *et al.* (2006) also found that the insert radius and feed rate are the main parameters among of three controllable factors (insert radius, feed rate and depth of cut) that influence the turning process. Noordin *et al.* (2003) has applied the RSM in investigation into the effect of feed rate, side cutting edge angle (SCEA) and cutting speed on the surface roughness and tangential force. He revealed that feed is the most significant factor influencing the response followed by the SCEA. Moreover, a test were conducted to find the effect of tool parameters such as insert shape, cutting edge preparation, type and nose radius by Arunachalam *et al.* (2004). Another authors, Dahlman *et al.* (2003) show that tool geometry as well as cutting parameters affects residual stresses in the experiments. The authors also show that a negative rake angle

gives higher compressive stresses and feed was significantly higher compressive stresses. But the cutting depth does not affect the residual stresses as well.

According to the past researches, there have lot of parameters such as cutting feed, feed rate, depth of cut, nose radius, rake angle, insert material, etc. But cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut were considered as the most significant cutting parameters for turning process.

2.2.1 Cutting Speed

In general, speed (V) was the primary cutting motion, which relates the velocity of the rotating workpiece with respect to the stationary cutting tool. The cutting speed refers to the edge speed of the rotating workpiece. It is generally given in unit of surface feet per minute (sfpm) or inches per minute (in. /min), or meters per minute (m/min). For a given material there will be an optimum cutting speed for a certain set of machining conditions, and from this speed the spindle speed (RPM) can be calculated (Kalpakjian, 2006). Factors affecting the calculation of cutting speed are:

- i. The material being machined (steel, brass, tool steel, plastic, wood)
- ii. The material the cutter is made from (Carbon steel, High speed steel(HSS), carbide, ceramics)
- iii. The economical life of the cutter (the cost to regrind or purchase new, compared to the quantity of parts produced).

$$V = \pi DN_s \text{ ————— (1)}$$

Where,

V = speed (m/min, in/min, m/rev)

N_s = Spindle speed (RPM)

D = diameter of the material