## DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF LIGHTWEIGHT CHASSIS FOR UTeM FORMULA STYLE'S RACE CAR

## MOHD SHAARANI BIN MOHD HASHIM

This report is presented in

Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Automotive)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

APRIL 2010

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

'I/We\* have read this thesis

and from my/our\* opinion this thesis

is sufficient in aspects of scope and quality for awarding

Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Automotive)'

| Signatures           | :                           |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Name of Supervisor I | : Muhd Ridzuan bin Mansor   |
| Date                 | : 24 <sup>th</sup> May 2010 |

# DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF LIGHTWEIGHT CHASSIS FOR UTeM FORMULA STYLE RACE CAR

## MOHD SHAARANI BIN MOHD HASHIM

This report is presented in

Partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Automotive)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

OCTOBER 2009

"I declare this report is on my own work except for summary and quotes that I have mentioned its sources"

| Signature      | :                               |
|----------------|---------------------------------|
| Name of Author | : Mohd Shaarani bin Mohd Hashim |
| Date           | : 24 <sup>th</sup> May 2010     |

Dedicated to my beloved mom and dad

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks to Allah because of bless I am sincerely appreciative to my lecturer, Mr. Muhd Ridzuan bin Mansor for serving as my supervisor and for providing guidance while conducting the research and the writing of this Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM).

I thank the laboratory management especially the lab technicians for their cooperation and support. I also want to thank my father, Mr Hashim and my mother, Siti Alwiyah for their continued support and encouragement in everything I do. All these years of education have been made possible by their support and love.

Last but not least, I thank everyone who involved directly and indirectly in this project. The sacrifice and commitment given towards me earning my bachelor's degree are indescribable and without them, this PSM thesis would have been impossible. Lastly, hope that all that have been study and research in this thesis can be use as a references to the other student in the future.

Mohd Shaarani bin Mohd Hashim

UTeM

April 2010

## ABSTRAK

Matlamat projek ini ialah untuk mereka bentuk dan membangunkan kerangka kereta Formula Student yang baru di mana kerangka yang akan di bangunkan mestilah mempunyai berat yang lebih ringan daripada kerangka yang sedia ada. Ini kerana, jisim adalah salah satu faktor utama yang akan memberi implikasi kepada kelajuan sesebuah kereta. Banyak kajian telah dilakukan semasa projek ini di jalankan untuk mencapai objektif utama projek ini. Kesimpulan yang telah di dapati daripada kajian telah mendapati cara untuk mengurangkan jisim kerangka ini adalah dengan cara mengubah rekabentuk kerangka yang sedia ada. Selain itu, pengurangan penggunaan besi yang berdiameter lebar juga telah dikurangkan. Namun, penggunaan jenis bahan masih di kekalkan seperti kerangka yang lepas. Walau bagaimanapun, setiap perubahan yang di buat mestilah selari dengan peraturan dan spesifikasi yang di keluarkan oleh pertandingan Formula Student. Kekuatan kerangka juga mestilah sama atau lebih kuat daripada kerangka yang sedia ada. Nilai simpulan torsi pula di kira untuk menentukan kadar kekuatan kerangka ini. Analisa regangan juga di lakukan untuk melihat kekukuhan kerangka ini. Kesimpulannya, banyak pengalaman dan pengetahuan yang baru dapt dipelajari hasil daripada kajian ini.

## ABSTRACT

The goal of this project is to design and develop a new chassis which are lightweight than the previous of Formula Student UTeM chassis. This is because weight is the main point that affected the performance of a car. Therefore, a lot of research has been done in order to achieve the main objective of this project. From the research, this can be conclude that the method to reduce weight is by changes the geometry of chassis design and reduce the hollow tubes dimension while the material that are used still same as the previous chassis. However, changes must complying the rules and regulation of competition. The strength also must be equal or greater than the previous. The torsional stiffness value is determined in order to know the stiffness of this chassis. The chassis was later analyses for its structural performance using finite element analysis method to know the critical path and the parts that will give any possible failure effect. As a conclusion, a lot of new experience and knowledge have gather during the period of this project.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER    | TITLE  | E       |                   | PAGE |
|------------|--------|---------|-------------------|------|
|            | PREFA  | ACE     |                   | Ii   |
|            | DEDIC  | CATION  |                   | Iv   |
|            | ACKN   | OWLEE   | OGEMENT           | V    |
|            | ABSTI  | RAK     |                   | Vi   |
|            | ABSTI  | RACT    |                   | vii  |
|            | TABL   | E OF CC | DNTENT            | viii |
|            | LIST ( | OF TABI | LE                | X    |
|            | LIST ( | OF FIGU | RE                | Xi   |
|            | NOME   | ENCLAT  | URE               | xiv  |
| CHAPTER I  | INTRO  | ODUCTI  | ON                | 1    |
|            | 1.10   | Objec   | tive              | 1    |
|            | 1.20   | Proble  | em statement      | 2    |
|            | 1.30   | Scope   |                   | 2    |
| CHAPTER II | LITER  | RATURE  | REVIEW            | 4    |
|            | 2.10   | Comp    | etition           |      |
|            |        | 2.1.0   | Competition rules | 4    |
|            |        | 2.1.1   | Competition       | 6    |

|             |       | 2.1.2  | Car                        | 6  |
|-------------|-------|--------|----------------------------|----|
|             | 2.20  | Types  | of Chassis Design          | 7  |
|             |       | 2.2.1  | Ladder frame               | 7  |
|             |       | 2.2.2  | Space frame                | 8  |
|             |       | 2.2.3  | Backbone Chassis           | 8  |
|             |       | 2.2.4  | Monocorque                 | 9  |
|             |       | 2.2.5  | Carbon Fiber Monocoque     | 9  |
|             | 2.30  | Types  | of chassis used in Formula | 10 |
|             |       | SAE    |                            |    |
|             |       | 2.3.1  | Tubular Steel Space Frame  | 11 |
|             |       | 2.3.2  | Metal Monocoque            | 11 |
|             |       | 2.3.3  | Composite Semi-Monocoques  | 12 |
|             | 2.40  | Types  | of material possibly use   | 12 |
|             |       | 2.4.1  | Steel                      | 13 |
|             |       | 2.4.2  | Plain Carbon Steel         | 13 |
|             |       | 2.4.3  | Mild steel                 | 14 |
|             |       | 2.4.4  | Medium carbon steel        | 14 |
|             |       | 2.4.5  | High carbon steel          | 15 |
|             |       | 2.4.6  | Alloy Steels               | 15 |
|             |       | 2.4.7  | Stainless Steel            | 15 |
|             |       | 2.4.8  | Aluminum                   | 16 |
|             | 2.50  | Torsic | onal Stiffness             | 16 |
|             | 2.60  | Metal  | inert gas (MIG) welding    | 18 |
|             |       | 2.6.1  | Welding technique          | 19 |
| CHAPTER III | METHO | DOLO   | DGY                        | 20 |
|             | 3.10  | Flow   | chart                      | 20 |
|             |       | 3.1.0  | Flow chart of PSM I        | 21 |
|             |       | 3.1.1  | Flow chart of PSM II       | 22 |
|             | 3.20  | Analy  | sis of last year's chassis | 23 |
|             | 3.30  | Const  | lltation                   | 23 |
|             |       | 3.3.1  | Last Year Team Members     | 23 |
|             |       | 3.3.2  | Supervisor                 | 24 |

|            |   | 3.3.3  | Workshop Staff   | 24   |
|------------|---|--|--|--|
|            | 3.40  | Proce  | SS   | 24   |
|            | 3.50  | Desig  | n study and process  | 27   |
|            |   | 3.5.1  | Aims   | 27   |
|            |   | 3.5.2  | Size   | 28   |
|            |   | 3.5.3  | Strength   | 28   |
|            |   | 3.5.4  | Weight   | 28   |
|            |   | 3.5.5  | Miscellaneous  | 29   |
|            |   | 3.5.6  | Requirements   | 29   |
|            | 3.60  | Form   | ula SAE Study and Research   | 30   |
|            | 3.70  | Mode   | ling   | 30   |
|            | 3.80  | Select   | ion of materials   | 31   |
|            |   | 3.8.1  | Comparisons  | 31   |
|            |   | 3.8.2  | Conclusion in choosing the   | 33   |
|            |   | materi   | al   |  |
|            | 3.90  | Finite   | element analysis   | 33   |
| CHAPTER IV | DESIGN ANALYSIS AND PROCESS                                   |  |  |  |
|            | 4.10  | Flow   | chart of the design process  | 37   |
|            |   |  |  | 20   |
|            | 4.20  | Desig  | n planning   | 38   |
|            | 4.20<br>4.30  | Desig<br>3D M  | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis   | 38<br>39   |
|            | 4.20<br>4.30<br>4.40  | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce   | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation   | 38<br>39<br>40   |
|            | 4.20<br>4.30<br>4.40  | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1  | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept  | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41   |
|            | 4.20<br>4.30<br>4.40  | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2   | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation   | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41   |
|            | 4.20<br>4.30<br>4.40  | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3  | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation   | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>41   |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla   | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>anation of evaluation concept  | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43   |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1  | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics  | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41                                     |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1<br>4.5.2                                     | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics<br>Concept selection   | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41<br>44                               |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1<br>4.5.2<br>4.5.3                            | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics<br>Concept selection<br>Weighted Rating Method   | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41<br>44<br>45                         |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1<br>4.5.2<br>4.5.3<br>4.5.4                   | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics<br>Concept selection<br>Weighted Rating Method<br>Final concept selection  | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41<br>44<br>45<br>45                   |
|            | <ul><li>4.20</li><li>4.30</li><li>4.40</li><li>4.50</li></ul> | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1<br>4.5.2<br>4.5.3<br>4.5.4<br>4.5.5          | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics<br>Concept selection<br>Weighted Rating Method<br>Final concept selection<br>3D model of new design                                  | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41<br>44<br>45<br>45<br>45             |
|            | <ul> <li>4.20</li> <li>4.30</li> <li>4.40</li> </ul>          | Desig<br>3D M<br>Conce<br>4.4.1<br>4.4.2<br>4.4.3<br>Expla<br>4.5.1<br>4.5.2<br>4.5.3<br>4.5.4<br>4.5.5<br>Desig | n planning<br>odel of previous chassis<br>ept generation<br>First concept<br>Second concept generation<br>Third concept generation<br>mation of evaluation concept<br>Characteristics<br>Concept selection<br>Weighted Rating Method<br>Final concept selection<br>3D model of new design<br>n dimension and specification | 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>41<br>44<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45<br>45 |

|           |        | 4.6.1 | Main roll hoop                  | 53 |
|-----------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|----|
|           |        | 4.6.2 | Front hoop                      | 54 |
|           |        | 4.6.3 | Bulkhead                        | 56 |
|           |        | 4.6.4 | Rear box                        | 57 |
|           |        | 4.6.5 | Side impact member              | 57 |
|           |        | 4.5.6 | Engine bay                      | 58 |
|           |        |       |                                 |    |
| CHAPTER V | FINITE | ELEN  | IENT ANALYSIS                   | 60 |
|           | 5.10   | Defin | e load                          | 61 |
|           | 5.20   | Torsi | on Displacement Analysis        | 63 |
|           |        | 5.2.1 | Stress analysis on the overall  | 64 |
|           |        |       | chassis                         |    |
|           |        | 5.2.2 | Stress analysis on the front    | 65 |
|           |        |       | knee box of chassis             |    |
|           |        | 5.2.3 | Stress analysis on the rear box | 66 |
|           |        |       | of chassis                      |    |
|           | 5.30   | Analy | sis results                     | 66 |
|           |        | 5.3.1 | Torsional displacement of the   | 67 |
|           |        |       | previous chassis design         |    |
|           |        | 5.3.2 | Torsional displacement of the   | 67 |
|           |        |       | new chassis design              |    |
|           |        |       |                                 |    |
|           |        | 5.3.3 | Stress analysis on overall      | 68 |
|           |        |       | chassis                         |    |
|           |        | 5.3.4 | Stress analysis on the rear box | 69 |
|           |        |       | (differential box)              |    |
|           |        | 5.3.5 | Stress analysis on the front    | 70 |
|           |        |       | knee box                        |    |
|           |        |       |                                 |    |

## CHAPTER VI FABRICATION

6.10The flowchart of fabrication736.20Project planning74

72

|         | 6.30   | Construction                                   | 75  |
|---------|--------|--|-----|
|         | 6.40   | Finishing                                      | 83  |
|         | DIGG   |  |     |
| CHAPTER | DISCU  | JSSION   | 85  |
| VII     |        |  |     |
|         | 7.10   | Discussion on torsional stiffness              | 85  |
|         |        | value  |     |
|         |        | 7.1.0 Calculation                              | 85  |
|         |        | 7.1.1 Comparison result with other researchers | 87  |
|         |        | 7.1.2 Discussion on stress analysis result     | 91  |
|         |        | 7.1.3 Discussion on the design and fabrication | 91  |
|         |        | 7.1.4 Percentage of weight reduction           | 93  |
|         |        | 7.1.5 Manufacturing costing                    | 93  |
| CHAPTER | CONC   | CLUSION AND                                    | 96  |
| VIII    | RECO   | OMMENDATION                                    |     |
|         | 8.10   | Conclusion                                     | 96  |
|         | 8.20   | Recommendation                                 | 90  |
|         | Refere | ences  | 99  |
|         | Biblio | graphy   | 101 |
|         | Appen  | dix A UTeM Formula Varsity                     | 102 |
|         | regula | tion   |     |
|         | Appen  | dix B design dimension of previous             | 120 |
|         | design |  |     |
|         | Appen  | dix C Design dimension of new design           | 124 |

## LIST OF TABLE

## TABLE

## TITLE

## PAGE

| 2.1 | Comparison among the chassis   | 10 |
|-----|--|----|
| 3.1 | Comparison among the material  | 32 |
| 4.1 | Weighted rating method   | 45 |
| 4.2 | Rating value   | 46 |
| 5.1 | material properties of low carbon steel                                  | 61 |
| 7.1 | torsional analysis results for new and previous chassis                  | 86 |
| 7.2 | Comparison the torsional stiffness value with some of Formula SAE's team | 88 |
|     | (Source: Alexander M Soo. (2008), William B. Riley and                   |    |
|     | Albert R. George(2002))  |    |
| 7.3 | Structural analysis result   | 91 |
| 7.4 | table of manufacturing costing and material quantity used                | 94 |

## LIST OF FIGURE

#### FIGURE TITLE PAGE 2.1 Illustration of the side impact member's location 5 (Sources: Formula SAE Rules (2009)) 2.2 5 Illustration of the clearance required above the drivers head. (Sources: Formula SAE Rules (2009)) 2.3 95th percentile male dimensions as depicted in the 2006 rules 6 (Sources: Formula SAE Rules (2009)) 2.4 Last year car on track 7 2.5 The 2003 University of Queensland FSAE Chassis 11 (Source : Blessing.J.P (2004)). 2.6 University of Western Washington's Composite Tub Chassis 12 (Source : Blessing.J.P (2004)). 2.7 Tensile Strength and Hardness of Plain Carbon Steels 14 (Source : Baker.C.S, (2004)). 2.8 Example of frame chassis in torsion 17 2.9 Free body diagram that look from front suspension bay 18 (Source : Riley.W.B and George.A.R. 2002) 3.1 Flow chart of PSM I 21 3.2 Flow chart of PSM II 22 3.3 34 Properties of material is define 4.1 Flow chart of design process 37 4.2 Breakdown structure of chassis 39

| 4.3  | 3D model of previous chassis                                   | 40 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.4  | First concept generation                                       | 41 |
| 4.5  | Second concept generation                                      | 42 |
| 4.6  | Third concept generation                                       | 42 |
| 4.7  | Model of main hoop and front hoop                              | 48 |
| 4.8  | 3D Model of new design rear angle view                         | 48 |
| 4.9  | 3D Model of new design front angle view                        | 48 |
| 4.10 | Top View the new chassis design                                | 50 |
| 4.11 | Top view of previous chassis design                            | 50 |
| 4.12 | Side view of new chassis design                                | 51 |
| 4.13 | Side view of previous chassis design                           | 51 |
| 4.14 | Front view of new chassis design                               | 52 |
| 4.15 | Front view of previous chassis design                          | 52 |
| 4.16 | Final design for the main role hoop                            | 53 |
| 4.17 | The most complex component the front hoops final design.       | 55 |
| 4.18 | The front view of bulkhead                                     | 56 |
| 4.19 | The dimensions of the rear box component of the final design.  | 57 |
| 4.20 | Side impact member dimension                                   | 58 |
| 4.21 | Engine bay design dimension                                    | 59 |
| 5.1  | Free body diagram look from side view                          | 61 |
| 5.2  | Location of applied load and constraint for torsion            | 64 |
|      | displacement analysis  |    |
| 5.3  | Location of applied load and constraint for stress analysis on | 64 |
|      | the overall chassis  |    |
| 5.4  | Location of applied load and constraint for stress analysis on | 65 |
|      | the front knee box   |    |
| 5.5  | Location of applied load and constraint for stress analysis on | 66 |
|      | the rear box   |    |
| 5.6  | Torsional displacement of the previous chassis design          | 67 |
| 5.7  | Torsional displacement of the new chassis design               | 68 |
| 5.8  | Stress analysis on overall chassis                             | 69 |
| 5.9  | Stress analysis on the rear box (differential box)             | 70 |
| 5.10 | Stress analysis on the front knee box                          | 70 |

| 6.1  | Flow chart of fabrication process                             |    |
|------|---|----|
| 6.2  | The breakdown structure of chassis                            | 74 |
| 6.3  | The full scale of design is template on trace paper.          | 75 |
| 6.4  | The pipes is cut by the disc cutter                           | 76 |
| 6.5  | Bundle of cutting pipes                                       | 76 |
| 6.6  | The frame is jig by nails and tape with template on the full  | 77 |
|      | scale dimension of trace paper                                |    |
| 6.7  | The welding procedure   | 78 |
| 6.8  | Grinding procedure to remove surplus of welding               | 78 |
| 6.9  | Frame is position in the straight line                        | 79 |
| 6.10 | Front box is fully constructed                                | 80 |
| 6.11 | Passenger cell construction                                   | 80 |
| 6.12 | Engine bay construction which using a template and nails in   | 81 |
|      | order to hold the pipes                                       |    |
| 6.13 | The final design fully constructed                            | 81 |
| 6.14 | Chassis is check for the sufficient in all areas of the       | 82 |
|      | dimensions and construction.                                  |    |
| 6.15 | Chassis is check for the sufficient in all areas of the       | 82 |
|      | dimensions and construction.                                  |    |
| 6.16 | Grinding to remove acces metal of welding                     | 83 |
| 6.17 | Painting the final product                                    | 83 |
| 6.18 | Weighing the final product                                    | 84 |
| 7.1  | Comparison the ratio of torsional stiffness per weight value  | 89 |
|      | with some of Formula SAE's team                               |    |
| 7.2  | Comparison the torsional stiffness value with some of Formula | 89 |
|      | SAE's team  |    |
| 7.3  | The driver and seat positioned in appropriately.              | 92 |
| 8.1  | The construction which easily jig the main frame of chassis   | 98 |

## LIST OF NOMENCLATURE

| $\sigma_{\text{von misses}}$ | = | Von misses stress                            |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Sut                          | = | Ultimate tensile strenght                    |
| Fz                           | = | Reaction force                               |
| cg                           | = | Centre of gravity                            |
| L                            | = | Length                                       |
| m                            | = | Mass   |
| $M_{driver}$                 | = | Mass of driver                               |
| $M_{\mathrm{wheel}}$         | = | Mass of wheel                                |
| $M_{chassis}$                | = | Mass of chassis                              |
| $\Delta y$                   | = | Displacement at the location of applied load |
| θ                            | = | Angle of twist                               |

## LIST OF APPENDIX

NOTITLEPAGEARegulation of UTeM' Formula Varsity102BDesign dimension of previous UTeM Formula Varsity chassis120CDesign dimension of new design UTeM Formula Varsity124chassischassis124

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

Formula Varsity race car is a competition that is organized by Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka in the quite few years. The competition challenges students to design, analyze, build and race the working model of a racing car in real track condition. The design guidelines were based on the specifications ruled by Formula Varsity 2008 event.

This report deals with the design of the chassis including the method that has been applied in development a formula style race car chassis.

## 1.10 Objective

The main objective of this project are to design and development of the Formula Style Race Car which is lightweight than a previous car's chassis. New chassis must be lighter than previous but maintain strength.

### 1.20 Problem Statement

The design of a chassis for a formula style race car contains all the necessary components to support the car and the driver. It must comply with the Formula Varsity rules and regulation. In order to produce a competitive vehicle with optimum chassis performance, many areas need to be studied and tested.

Weight is the main point that affected the performance of the car. Therefore, the main purpose of this project is to design and develop a lightweight chassis. The new chassis is must be lighter than the past year chassis but must maintain the strength of the chassis when load is applied on it.

Some factors that can affect the weight of a vehicle are the types of material used, the diameter or dimension of tubes use to built space frame chassis, and the design geometry of chassis.

This project was started by performing background research required to sustain an accurate database of design criteria. Design criteria is allowed the design process and methodology to be derived as well as and to allow for smooth construction of an efficient and effective space frame chassis. Once construction of the chassis was completed, analyses were conducted to investigate the effects of working loads on the chassis. Finite element analysis was used to simulate the conditions of various load combinations.

### 1.30 Scope

 Produce the detail design of a new chassis using 3D CAD software based on UTeM Formula style competition and regulation

- ii. Select suitable material for the chassis through material selection analysis.
- iii. Evaluate the torsional stiffness for the chassis based on the load analysis.
- iv. Perform Finite Element Analysis on chassis.
- v. Fabricate the new design of Formula Style Race Car chassis.
- vi. Make a comparison about the torsional stiffness value and weight reduction of the new design chassis with the previous car's chassis.



### **CHAPTER II**

### LITERATURE REVIEW

### 2.10 Competition

### 2.1.0 Competition rules

Adhering to the rules that govern the chassis for the competition is a pivotal part of the research. If one small sub-section rule is not followed, the chassis will disqualified the whole car from the competition.

Within the competition rules that are solely for the chassis when attempting to insure all the rules are met, it is easy to miss small details when the rules are set out in this form. Therefore, to simplify this process a summary of the rules was created and broken down into all individual areas of the chassis layout. These areas were, Main Hoop, Front Hoop, Bulkhead, Main Hoop Bracing, Front Hoop Bracing, Bulkhead Support, Other Bracing and Side Impact Members.

The summarized version of the rules can be found in Appendix A. Along with this summary of the rules come some diagrams that relate to the safety aspect of the car as shown in following figure.



Figure 2.1: Illustration of the side impact member's location. (Sources: Formula SAE Rules (2009))



Figure 2.2: Illustration of the clearance required above the drivers head. (Sources: Formula SAE Rules (2009))