DRAG EVALUATION OF TRUCK-TRAILER

NOORLYDIANA BINTI HUSSAIN

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

I hereby admit that I have read this thesis and it is adequate in terms of scope and quality in accordance with the requirements of for the degree for

Bachelor of Engineering Mechanical in Structure and Materials

Signature:1st Supervisor's name: Mr. Ahmad RivaiDate: 18 MEI 2009



STUDY OF EVALUATION OF DRAG ACTING ON THE TRUCK TRAILER

NOORLYDIANA BINTI HUSSAIN

This report is presented as to fulfill partly of the grant requirement for Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering in Structure and Material.

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering University Technical Malaysia Melaka

> > MEI 2009

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

'I confess that this study is my own work accepts the summarization and quotation that I clearly explain the resources.

Signature:Name of writer: Noorlydiana Binti HussainDate: 9 October 2008

To family and friends



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge the many thank you to the University Technical Malaysia Melaka (UTeM). I particularly indebted to Supervisor Mister Ahmad Rivai who kindly giving a chance for me to completing this study and for spending time to supervise the student during this one semester.

Also thanks to the technician who patiently and kindly helps in completing this study.

Finally, I wish to express my thanks to my family for the constant support and encouragement shown throughout the preparation and producing of these report.

Lastly, thank you to all people who are involving whether directly or indirectly in helping complete this study on time.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini merangkumi kajian terhadap hubungan antara daya seretan yang bertindak terhadap trak-treler jenis MP 130D Scania P360 dengan halaju dan permukaan bersentuhan dengan bendalir iaitu udara. Bagi mendapatkan keputusan kajian itu, kaedah ujian menggunakan terowong angin digunakan. Beberapa ujian berdasarkan pada parameter halaju dan permukaan bersentuhan dirancang dan dijalankan. Kajian ini turut mengkaji keberkesanan alat pengurang daya seretan terhadap trak-treler disamping melihat pangaruh alatan tersebut terhadap penggunaan bahan bakar serta pekali daya seretan.

ABSTRACT

This study is covered the relationship between drag force acting on truck-trailer, Scania P 360, parameter of velocity and surface of contact. For that purpose, tests using wind tunnel, MP130D Subsonic with downstream fan is performed. The parameter of the tests are depends on the wind velocity and surface of contact of the truck-trailer model. This study also investigates the effective of drag reducer device to the trucktrailer. In addition, this study also identified the effect of drag reducer devices to the fuel consumption and drag coefficient of the truck-trailer.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER TITLE

PAGES

DECLARATION	i
DEDICATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLE	ix
LIST OF FIGURE	Х
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiv

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction of project	1
1.2	Problem Statement of the study	3
1.3	Scope of the stud	3
1.4	Objective of this study	4

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Theoretical be relevant to this study		
	2.1.1	Theoretical of automotive aerodynamics	5
	2.1.2	Principle of lift and drag	9

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

	2.1.3	Boundary layer	13
	2.1.4	Theory of wind tunnel	14
	2.1.5	Down force	19
2.2	Journa	l related to this study	
	2.2.1	Wind tunnel decides as the	20
		equipment of truck testing.	
	2.2.2	Studies of truck trailer	21
	2.2.3	Factors affecting drag and solution	
		improving the truck trailer	23

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Parameter obtain in this study		
3.2	Preparation for the testing		
	3.2.1 Construct dimensions and design of	31	
	the truck trailer model		
	3.2.2 Construct truck-trailer model	32	
	3.2.3 Construct centroids of models	35	
	3.2.4 Construct a platform	36	
3.3	Experiment procedure:		
	3.3.1 Equipment set up for measurement	38	
	of drag and lift forces		
3.4	Measure of actual drag force (N)		
	3.4.1 Calculation of center of drag, c	43	

CHAPTER 4 RESULT AND ANALYSIS

4.1	Data and analysis for different type of test	48
4.2	Comparison between the present of platform	54

CHAPTER 5 DISCUSSION

	5.1	Factors effect the drag force		
		5.1.1	Gap side area	55
		5.1.2	Surface of contact	57
		5.1.3	Down force	58
		5.1.4	Velocity	59
	5.2	Fuel co	onsumption of truck-trailer	60
	5.3	The eff	fect of platform	66
CHAPTER 6	CONC	LUSIO	N AND RECOMMENDATION	
	6.1	Conclu	sion of the study	67
	6.2	Limita	tion and recommendation	68
	REFE	RENCE	S	69
	BIBLI	OGRAI	РНҮ	70
	APPEI	NDIX		71

LIST OF TABLE

NO	TITLE	PAGES
2.2.3	Weight change for each modification	24
	[13]	
3.2.3	Cross section of the truck model	35
3.4.1(a)	Solution for cross-section	44
	(tests A, test B, test C, test F, test G)	
3.4.1(b)	Solution for cross-section (tests D, test E, test H)	45
3.4.1(c)	Solution for cross-section (tests I)	46
4.1(a)	Comparison of data for test A, test B and test C	49
C.1	Data for test A	73
C.2	Data for test B	73
C.3	Data for test C	74
C.4	Data for test D	74
C.5	Data test E	75
C.6	Data test F	75
C.7	Data test G	76
C.8	Data test H	76
C.9	Data test I	77

LIST OF FIGURE

NO	TITLE	PAGES
1.1.a	Type of truck trailer [2]	2
2.1.2	Drag, lift and resultant force on the object [13]	10
2.1.22	Flow along the flat plate [1]	12
2.1.3	Air velocity at boundary [1]	13
2.1.42	Schematic diagram of open wind tunnel [9]	15
2.1.43	Schematic diagram of closed wind tunnel [9]	16
2.1.44	Slotted test section of a transonic wind tunnel [9]	17
2.2.1	Class-8 Trucks Account for the Majority (75 percent)	
	of Trucking Fuel Consumed [13]	22
2.2.2	Baseline truck trailer [13]	22
2.2.3a	Energy Use in a Typical Truck-Trailer [13]	23
2.2.3b	Four truck-trailer aerodynamics problems [13]	25
2.2.3c	Various truck models and their drag characteristics [12]	25
2.2.3d	Differentiation of gap between trailer and truck [2]	26
2.2.3e	Wind force creates yaw angle [2].	27
2.2.3f	Cross-gap flow [13]	27
2.2.3e	Gap seal prototype	28
2.2.3g	Rear Drag Device [12]	28
3.2.1 a	Scania P 380 truck-trailer	31
3.2.1 b	Schematic diagram of truck-trailer model	31
3.2.1a	Two block of solid wood is assembled	33
3.2.1b	Basic shape of truck	33
3.2.1c	The truck-trailer container model	34

2014	All models are approved	24
3.2.1d	All models are sprayed	34
3.2.4 a	Edge base of the platform	36
3.2.4 b	The platform with thin plastic surface	36
3.3a	Apparatus of wind tunnel [1]	37
3.3b	Lift and drag measurement [1]	37
3.3.1a	Diagram of experimental equipment set up [1]	38
3.2.1b	Fan motor indicator and inclined water manometer	39
3.3.1c	Positioned of model without platform [1]	39
3.3.1d	Truck-trailer model with a platform for test B	40
3.3.1e	Truck-trailer model for test C	40
3.3.1f	The model for test D without platform	41
3.3.1g	The model for test E without platform	41
3.3.1h	The model for test F with platform	41
3.3.1i	The model for test G with platform	42
3.3.1j	The truck model for test H without platform	42
3.3.1k	The truck model for test I without platform	42
3.4.1a	The distance between reference mark to	
	actual center of drag of model, X [1]	43
3.4.1a	Cross-section area of model for	
	test A, test B, test C, test F, and test G	44
3.4.1b	Cross-section area of model for test D, test E, and test H	45
3.4.1c	Cross-section area for test I	46
4.1b	Graph of drag coefficient against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test A, test B and test C	51
4.1 c	Graph of drag force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test D to test E	52
4.1 e	Graph of drag force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test H to test I	53
4.1 d	Graph of drag force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test F to test G	54
5.1.1b	Gap side on truck-trailer model	56

5.1.3	Schematic of drag fulcrum	59
A.1	Inclined water manometer	71
A.2	Contraction section differential pressure vs. velocity	71
D.1	Graph drags force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test A without and with platform	77
D.2	Graph drags force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test B without and with platform	78
D.3	Graph drags force against wind velocity-	
	comparison of test C without and with platform	78

xii

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

A	area
С	coefficient
Е	energy
F	force
G	gravity
h	height
HV	heating value
L	length
m	meter
mm	millimeters
Ν	Newton
Re	Reynolds number
Т	temperature
V	velocity
W	width
W	work
Х	distance

GREEK SYMBOL	DEFINITION
θ	Angle
ρ	Density
μ	dynamic viscosity
η	efficiency
ν	kinematics velocity

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

LIST OF APPENDICES

NO	TITLE	PAGES
A	Inclined water manometer	71
В	Calculate the actual drag force for truck-trailer model	72
С	Data sheet	73
D	Differentiation of without and with platform for main test	77

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION OF THE PROJECT

1.1 Introduction of project

Aerodynamics is a branch of dynamics concerned with studying the motion of air, particularly when it interacts with a moving object. When considering the fluid flow a submerged body, the body will surrounded by the fluid and this type of flow called 'external flow'. The external flow of air particularly referred to 'aerodynamics' [3].

There are lots types of aerodynamics but in this study only focus on automotive aerodynamics.

For this study, the involvement of wind tunnel test is to evaluate the drag of a truck trailer model with scale of 1:32. Besides testing in the wind tunnel, the other test that could be used in evaluating the drag is by using the computational method or road test.

In the tunnel, the engineer can carefully control the flow conditions that affect automobile performance. Wind tunnels usually designed for a specific purpose and speed range. [14].

Lots of parameter can be evaluate using the wind tunnel test, but this study only focus on the evaluation of the drag for the tested model of automobile. The speed of wind velocity in will affect the drag act on the automobile and the more horsepower needed the greater the drag occur. A total automobile drag can divided into two main components that are aerodynamics drag and tire drag. Both drags are influence by the speed of the automobile. However, for the aerodynamics drag, one of the factors that influencing the aerodynamics drag on the automobile is through the frontal area and the shape of the truck trailer itself.

The figure 1.1.0 shows that the differentiation between three types of truck trailer combination that causes the different effect of drag. The larger value of b, which refer to the surface of contact, then the drag occur will also increase.



Figure 1.1.a: Type of truck trailer [2]

In addition, the importance factor is the amount of gap between the truck and the trailer. This is due to a turbulent circulation occurs at the gap between the truck and the trailer. The larger the gap, the greater the disruptions to the air flow which resulting drag on the truck. This becomes more importance when encountering the crosswind condition [2].

1.2 Problem Statement of the study

Nowadays, the high fuel prices causing lots of problem to the automobile users especially to the truck trailer users. The fuel cost increasing unexpectedly and this burden the users. Theoretically, the fuel consumption is function of power required to moving the automobile (truck trailer). The horsepower required automobile to sustain a given speed that is a function of drag. Thus, as to reduce the horsepower of automobile the drag have to be reduce by evaluating the drag acting on the automobile.

1.3 Scope of the study

The scopes of this study are:

- theoretical of automotive aerodynamics
- drag on the automotive
- design of wind tunnel testing
- testing the model using wind tunnel
- collecting the data
- analyze the result

1.4 Objective of this study

The objective of this study is:

i) To evaluate drag acting on the truck trailer

ii) To study the factors that effect drag force on the truck-trailer

iii) To study the effectiveness of drag reducer device

iv) To investigate the effect of drag reducer device of fuel consumption and drag coefficient.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW OF THE STUDY

2.1 Theoretical be relevant to this study

The objective of this study is to evaluating the drag that is acting on the automobile. To complete the objective of this study the theoretical study which relevant to these topics have to be understood clearly because the evaluation is depends on the understanding of the topics.

Those information can provide the idea while evaluate the drag on the vehicle tested. All those information or theory can convey the evaluation on the right line. For this study the theoretical study covered the theoretical of aerodynamics especially on automobile aerodynamics, principle of drag and lift, skin friction and pressure drag, boundary layer, and the theory of wind tunnel.

2.1.1 Theory of automotive aerodynamics

2.1.11 Introduction of aerodynamics

Aerodynamics is a branch of dynamics concern with studying the motion of air, particularly when it interacts with a moving object.

Aerodynamic problems can be identified in a number of ways. The flow environment defines the first classification criterion. External aerodynamics is the study of flow around solid objects for various shapes.

Evaluating the lift and drag on an airplane, the shock waves that form in front of the nose of a rocket or the flow of air over a hard drive head are examples of external aerodynamics. Internal aerodynamics is the study of flow through passages in solid objects. For instance, internal aerodynamics encompasses the study of the airflow through a jet engine or through an air conditioning pipe [3].

Aerodynamic problems are often solved using conservation laws as applied to a fluid continuum. In many basic aerodynamics problems, three conservation principles are used:

• The first principle is by using the Conservation of Mass: This principle state that matter is neither created nor destroyed. If a certain mass of fluid enters a volume, it must either exit the volume or change the mass inside the volume.

• Balance of Momentum:

This principle is an application of Newton's second law of motion to a continuum.

• Conservation of Energy:

Although it can be converted from one form to another, the total energy in a given system remains constant.

There are two types of aerodynamics, which is incompressible aerodynamics, and compressible aerodynamics.

• A constant density despite flowing over surfaces or inside ducts characterizes an incompressible flow. A flow can be considered incompressible as long as its speed is low. For higher speeds, the flow will begin to compress as it comes into contact with surfaces. The Mach number is used to distinguish between incompressible

and compressible flows. Subsonic flow is the example of an incompressible aerodynamics.

• According to the theory of aerodynamics, a flow is considered to be compressible if its change in density with respect to pressure is non-zero along a streamline. In short, this means that, unlike incompressible flow, changes in density must be considered.

Furthermore, that maximum 5% density change occurs at the stagnation point of an object immersed in the gas flow and the density changes around the rest of the object will be significantly lower. Transonic, supersonic, and hypersonic flows are all compressible.

2.1.12 Application of aerodynamic

Aerodynamics is important in a number of applications other than aerospace engineering. It is a significant factor in any type of vehicle design, including automobiles. It is important in the prediction of forces and moments in sailing. It is used in the design of large components such as hard drive heads.

Structural engineers also use aerodynamics, and particularly aero elasticity, to calculate wind loads in the design of large buildings and bridges. Urban aerodynamics help town planners and designers improve comfort in outdoor spaces, create urban microclimates and reduce the effects of urban pollution[3].