

APPROVAL

“I hereby approve have read this thesis submitted to the senate of UTeM and have accepted this thesis as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree in Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Design & Innovation)”

Signature : _____

Supervisor 1 : En Ruzi bin Hj Harun

Date : _____

Signature : _____

Supervisor 2 : En Ahmad Fuad Ghani

Date : _____

THE STUDY OF COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BOOTHROYD DEWHURST
(BD) DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURE AND ASSEMBLY (DFMA) METHOD

MOHD ASYRAF BIN RUSLAN

This report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Bachelor of
Mechanical Engineering (Design & Innovation)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

APRIL 2009

DECLARATION

“I hereby, declared this thesis entitled
THE STUDY OF COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF BOOTHROYD DEWHURST
(BD) DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURE AND ASSEMBLY (DFMA) METHOD

is the results of my own research except as cited in the references”.

Signature :

Author's Name : MOHD ASYRAF BIN RUSLAN

Date :

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express my gratefulness to my supervisor, En Ruzi bin Hj Harun for all her contribution to make this project moved in seclude. He is very good supervisor who had a lot of brilliant idea that can help to improve my project to the better level. Unforgettable to express thankfulness to my previous supervisor, En Rizal Alkahiri for his moral support after I being transfer to the other supervisor.

ABSTRACT

Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) interested to study on Comparative analysis of Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA) method. There are two different analysis approached were used to determined the design performance of noodle maker machine. The result from both methods shows a different value in result. Then, new concept was generated to improve the current product and have evaluated by Pugh Concept. Again, the design performance is analyzed. The outcome still shows the different result even though the analysis was conduct by same people. The detail drawing of the new concept is generate by using CAD software. Finally, the new model was fabricated by used foam modeling technique

ABSTRAK

Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) ini menjurus kepada kajian mengenai perbandingan analisis dengan menggunakan kaedah BD. Kaedah BD boleh dilaksanakan dengan dua cara iaitu menggunakan analisis manual atau berbantu komputer. Mesin pembuat mee dijadikan bahan kajian. Hasil analisis, ia menunjukkan kedua-dua keadah ini gagal memberikan bacaan yang sama. Siasatan dilakukan untuk mengenal pasti punca ianya berlaku. Kemudian, satu konsep baru akan dipilih bagi menggantikan produk sedia. Model ini dibina menggunakan kaedah pembentukkan gabus.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	CONTENTS	PAGES
	Declaration	i
	Acknowledgement	ii
	Abstract	iii
	Abstrak	iv
	Table of content	v
	List of table	x
	List of figure	xi
	List of symbol	xiv
	List of appendix	xv
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	1
	1.2 Objective	3
	1.3 Title	3
	1.4 Scope of project	3
	1.5 Expected result	4
	1.6 Summary	4
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Background	5

2.2	DFMA Methodologies	7
2.3	Taguchi Method	8
2.4	Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) method	8
2.4.1	The BD manually analysis	9
2.4.2	Software aid method	10
2.5	Lucas DFA method	11
2.5.1	Lucas methodology	12
2.5.2	Function Analysis	13
2.5.3	Handling Analysis	14
2.5.4	Fitting Analysis	15
2.5.5	Manufacturing Analysis	16
2.6	Concept generation	17
2.6.1	Pugh Method	18
2.7.2	The procedure	18
2.7	Detail design	20
2.7.1	Computer Aided Three Dimensional Interactive Application (CATIA)	21
2.8	Conclusion	22
CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGIES		23
3.1	Project organizing	23
3.1.1	Problem statement	24
3.1.2	Literature Review on DFMA Methodology	25
3.1.3	The methodologies	26
3.1.4	Result	26
3.1.5	Conclusion & Recommendation	27
3.2	BD manual method	27
3.2.1	Guidelines for Part Handling	27
3.2.2	Guidelines for Insertion and Fastening	28
3.2.3	Codes and subsequent times	32

3.2.4	Symmetry	32
3.2.5	Rearrange the system	33
3.2.6	Classification system for manual insertion and fastening	34
3.3	BD software aid method	36
3.4	Summary of chapter 3	38
CHAPTER 4	PRODUCT CASE STUDY	39
4.1	Product description	40
4.2	Explode view of the product	41
4.3	Product structure tree for existing product	42
4.4	Total part consists	43
4.5	Approach of BD	45
4.6	Summary of chapter 4	49
CHAPTER 5	CONCEPT DESIGN	50
5.1	Design under consideration	50
5.1.1	Concept 1	51
5.1.2	Concept 2	52
5.1.3	Concept 3	53
5.1.4	Concept 4	54
5.2	Concept selection	55
5.3	Final concept	58
5.3.1	Summary of design change	59
5.4	Product tree structure for redesign	60
5.5	Total part in redesign	61
5.6	DFA analysis	62
5.6.1	Manual approach	62
5.6.2	BD DFA software aid	64
5.7	Summary of chapter 5	65

CHAPTER 6	DETAIL DESIGN	66
6.1	Procedure	66
6.2	Detail drawing	69
6.3	Summary of chapter 6	69
CHAPTER 7	DESIGN FOR MANUFACTURING	70
7.1	Selection of material and process	71
7.2	DFM analysis	72
7.2.1	DFM analysis on base plate	74
7.2.2	General shape attributes	76
7.3	Summary of chapter 7	78
CHAPTER 8	FABRICATION	79
8.1	Material & Tools	80
8.2	Procedure	81
8.3	Safety precaution	82
8.4	Summary of chapter 8	83
CHAPTER 9	RESULT & DISCUSSION	84
9.1	Comparing the result	84
9.1.1	Average reduction	87
9.1.2	State the problem and error	88
9.2	Concept	89
9.3	Summary of chapter 9	90
CHAPTER 10	FINAL CONCLUSION & RECOMMENDATION	91
10.1	Conclusion	91
10.2	Recommendation	92
	REFERENCES	93

BIBLIOGRAPITY	95
APPENDIXES	96

LIST OF TABLES

NO.	TITLE	PAGES
2.0	Pugh chart	19
2.1	The example of the decision matrix	20
4.0	The number of part in the noodle maker machine	43
4.1	The DFA analysis table	45
4.2	The result from the software	48
5.0	The concept screening of the concept generation	56
5.1	The concept scoring	57
5.2	Design changes	59
5.3	The detailed of total parts number	61
5.4	The DFA's table for redesign	62
5.5	The result from the software	64
7.0	DFM table	75
7.1	General shape attributes	76
9.0	The average reduction gain by the BD user	87

LIST OF FIGURES

NO.	TITLE	PAGES
2.0	The screen shoot of BD software	11
2.1	The DFA Lucas analysis sequence	13
2.2	The manual method of handling analysis	15
2.3	How to calculate the fitting index	15
2.4	The conceptual design phase of the design process	17
2.5	The example of CATIA capability	21
3.0	The methodology of the research	24
3.1	The characteristic need to be avoid	28I
3.2	Incorrect geometry can allow part to jam during insertion.	28
3.3	Provision of air-relief passage to improve insertion into blind holes	29
3.4	Design for ease of insertion – assembly of long stepped bushing into counter bored hole	29
3.5	Provision of chamfer to allow easy insertion	29
3.6	Standardize parts	30
3.7	Single axis pyramid assembly	30

3.8	Provision of self-locating features to avoid holding down and alignment	30
3.9	Design to aid insertion	31
3.10	Common fastening methods	31
3.11	The example of the different types of shape and angle	32
3.12	Basic information must be insert for good documentation	36
3.13	The icon for type of part or process	36
3.14	Individual part information	37
3.15	Handling and insertion criteria	37
3.16	The result	37
4.0	The noodle maker machine	40
4.1	The explode view of the machine	41
4.2	The product tree structure for existing design	43
5.0	The 1 st concept	51
5.1	The 2 nd concept	52
5.2	The 3 rd concept	53
5.3	The 4 th concept	54
5.4	The explode drawing for redesign	58
5.5	The new product tree structure	60
6.0	The drawing tool	67
6.1	Ready to create the 3D model	67
6.2	Product structure tools	68
6.3	Set the constraint	68
6.4	The final product	68
6.5	The drafting layout	69

7.0	The detail drawing of base plate	74
7.1	The summary of material and process selection	77
8.0	The foam block was cut into shape	81
8.1	The foam model	81
8.2	The foam surface is cover with cement	82

LIST OF SYMBOLS

y	=	Input signal
M	=	Output response
N_m	=	The minimum number of theoretically
T_m	=	Operation time
E_d	=	Design Efficiency
A	=	Number of the important components
B	=	Number of not important components
M_i	=	Manufacturing cost index
R_c	=	Relative cost
C_c	=	Complexity factor
C_{mp}	=	Material factor
C_s	=	Minimum section
C_t	=	Tolerance factor
C_f	=	Finish factor
P_c	=	Processing cost
M_c	=	Material cost
V	=	Volume (mm^3)
C_{mt}	=	Material cost
W_c	=	Waste coefficient

LIST OF APPENDIXES

NO.	TITLE	PAGE
A	Cylindrical Part Envelopes	96
B	Prismatic Part Envelopes	97
C	Flat or Thin walled Section Envelopes	97
D	Complexity C_c (blank = not feasible)	98
E	Limiting Section C_s (millimeters) (blank = not feasible)	98
F	Basic Processing Cost/Quantity P_c	99
G	Material Suitability C_{mp} (blank = unfeasible)	100
H	Waste Coefficient W_c (blank = unfeasible)	100
I	Material Cost Selection C_{mt}	101
J	Tolerance C_t (Based on number of planes on which critical tolerances occur)	101
K	Surface Finish C_f (Based on number of planes on which critical surfaces finishes occur)	102
M	The suggestion for new concept design	103
N	Manual Handling Table	108
P	Manual Insertion Table	109
O	The Detail Drawing Of the Components	110

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Design for Manufacturing and Assembly (DFMA) is a design philosophy used by engineer and designer when a reduction in a part count, a reduction in assembly time or simplification of the design is needed. Many of international companies likes Dell, Motorola, Texas Instrument, Brown & Sharpe and Ford Motor have successfully reducing their manufacturing costs through eliminating the unwanted parts inside the product thus lets their products be more competitive in the market

1.1 Problem Statements

Every engineer and company dreams to develop and achieve a robust engineering in their production. There are several criteria to define and measure what is robust engineering. One solution how to achieve the robust engineering is reducing the

manufacturing cost. To be more specific, the cost can be cut by eliminate the unwanted part in the design concept or product.

The intention in this problem is how to reduce the total part without block or limit the mechanism movement. Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) is one of DFMA methodologies available in the market. It have a two different methods to analysis the design. The first is, the manually approach. It will start with the reverse engineering, just to understand closely about the product and it mechanism. The component of the product will be reassemble and redraw. This is the initial step to investigate on how to reduce the total part of the product so it can be simplest product.

The second approach is by software analysis assistant. The recommended software is from Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD). BD is a systematic and effectively tool for engineer to analyze and understand the cost effects on the design decisions. The working principle of software actually based on the manually method. From the earliest conceptual stages of design, BD software equips the engineer with quick and accurate cost information.

Because both methods will end at the finish line with same target, the outcome should be same. However, there are no facts to prove that statement. A study is construct to learn about the process and present the final result after the analysis be done by both methods.

1.2 Objective of project

The research considers the possibilities for reduce the number of parts and redesign the product in order to reduce manufacturing costs and to increase the likelihood of the product. The research will be carrying out and to achieve the following target which is to analyze and compare the B-D DFMA method using manual analysis and software analysis that addressing the parts counts reduction of a product

1.3 Title of project

Comparative analysis of Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA) method.

1.4 Scopes of the project

The scope for this project is used Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) Design for Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA) for:

1. To study and analyze manually B-D's DFMA method
2. To apply and analyze B-D's DFMA software
3. To compare both design performances
4. To generate conceptual design and detail design
5. To fabricate mock up for the new design

1.5 Expected result

By the end of the analysis, it is expected to gain the same result from the manual and software aid of BD method. Otherwise, an investigation to find the error will be conducted if the result shows a different value.

1.3 Summary

Chapter 1 was discussed about the problem statement. From the problem statement, the research continues with identifying the project objective, project title and the scopes. The project also was organized so that the works can be finished on time.

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter is a part where explain about the information, procedure, and all points the related to the research activities. The data collected from published literature, article, magazine, journal, and etc which give attention on the DFMA and outlines the power of the DFMA methodology. Some of the results are reduction in manufacturing cycle time, part count reduction, and part cost reduction, time to market improvement, quality and reliability improvements and reduction in assembly time.

2.1 Background

Design of Manufacture and Assembly (DFMA) is a methodology that stresses evolving a design concept to its absolute simplest configuration ^[1]. DFMA was the combination method of Design of Manufacture (DFM) and Design of Assembly (DFA). Each of them has different function, approach and guidelines. DFA is focusing on the

attention on part count reduction and easy process to handle and insert the part. The analysis helps the designer to determine the minimum part need in the design with the same product function requirement. Eliminating the unnecessary part will reduce the manufacturing costs.

Meanwhile, DFM continue the process by give the input or information about the estimation cost and manufacturing process involved. DFM guide the designer to understand the suitable process and material selection in order to achieve the objective to choose effective shape forming processes. Consideration and modification about the several points such as the tolerance, surface finish and other part detail can give reduction in cost and establish the benchmark for what the product should cost.

1. Jack B. Revelle, Manufacturing Handbook of Best Practices, pg. 69

DFMA not only represent about single method to provide the product simplification and cost reduction. Under DFMA, there a lot of method and approach depend on the designer interest and environment. The examples of DFMA's method are Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD), Lucas, Design Profit, Design Function Deployment, Design to Target Cost (DTC) and etc. BD is the most systematic system to perform DFMA and it was registered trademark of Boothroyd Dewhurst, Inc. It was introduced by Dr Boothroyd and Dr Dewhurst in 1983. Until now, there are thousand international and domestic company implement DFMA in their production such as Harley Davidson, Motorola, Abbott Laboratories and etc.

Hundreds of leading companies use DFMA methods and tools to make their products lean from the start. Since the methodology and tools were introduced to the marketplace by Dr. Boothroyd and Dr. Dewhurst in 1983, these companies including Harley-Davidson, John Deere, and Abbott Laboratories have cut millions of dollars from their product manufacturing costs while creating products that are easier to manufacture and maintain.

2.2 DFMA methodologies

As state in the background, the methodologies of DFMA are different with each other. DFA and DFM which work together to develop a total product cost by optimizing the design using the best materials and processes in an effort to meet the customer functions at the lowest possible cost [2]. Even though these methodologies will be discussed separately at the beginning, they will be merged at the end to derive the maximum value for the engineer team or client involved.

DFA methodology is useful for evaluating the overall design of components and/or assemblies/processes and allows one to identify as well as quantify the unnecessary components for any given product or process by determining the optimum design solution based upon functional requirement [3]. The minimum number of parts that are required is the key to development of the most cost effectively product based upon the required functions that the product must meet. DFA can be done and available in manual and software assist method to achieve the target of arriving at the most competitive product.

As DFA, DFM uses a structured approach which helps with consistency and improves the ability of being able to repeat the analysis at a later point in time or with a slightly different group of people.

In this chapter, it will list up three different methods of DFMA methodologies. The reason is to see differences and study the procedure to apply those methods. Usually each method has own characteristic and strength but still aim to achieve the same target, to produce the most perfect and competitive product. The methods that will be highlight are Taguchi, Boothroyd Dewhurst (BD) and Lucas Method.