

AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF
TURNING PARAMETERS ON THE SURFACE
ROUGHNESS OF AISI 1040 CARBON STEEL

NOORFAZILAH BINTI MOHD ARSAD

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

**AN EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF TURNING
PARAMETERS ON THE SURFACE ROUGHNESS OF AISI 1040
CARBON STEEL**

This report is submitted in accordance with the requirements of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Process) with Honours.

By

**NOORFAZILAH BINTI MOHD ARSAD
(B050610158)**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

May 2009



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PSM

JUDUL: An Experimental Study on the Effect of Turning Parameters on the Surface Roughness of AISI 1040 Carbon Steel

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2008 / 2009 Semester 2

Saya NOORFAZILAH BINTI MOHD ARSAD

mengaku membenarkan laporan PSM / tesis (Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM / tesis adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM / tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. *Sila tandakan (√)

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

(TANDATANGAN PENULIS)

Alamat Tetap:
No. 24 Kg. Belahan Tampok,
83100 Rengit, Batu Pahat,
Johor

Tarikh: 22 MAY 2009

(TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)

Cop Rasmi:

PROF. DR. MOHD. RAZALI BIN MUHAMAD
Dekan
Pusat Pengajian Siswazah
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

Tarikh: 22.5.2009

* Jika laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh tesis ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

Karung Berkunci 1200, Ayer Keroh, 75450 Melaka

Tel : 06-233 2421, Faks : 06 233 2414

Email : fkp@kutkm.edu.my

FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN

Rujukan Kami (Our Ref) :
Rujukan Tuan (Your Ref):

25 Mei 2009

Pustakawan
Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM)
Taman Tasik Utama, Hang Tuah Jaya,
Ayer Keroh, 75450, Melaka

Saudara,

PENKELASAN LAPORAN PSM SEBAGAI SULIT/TERHAD PROJEK SARJANA MUDA KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN (MANUFACTURING PROCESS): NOORFAZILAH BINTI MOHD ARSAD

Sukacita dimaklumkan bahawa Laporan PSM yang tersebut di atas bertajuk
“ An Experimental Study on the Effect of Turning Parameters on the
Surface Roughness of AISI 1040 Carbon Steel” mohon dikelaskan sebagai
SULIT / TERHAD untuk tempoh LIMA (5) tahun dari tarikh surat ini.

Sekian dimaklumkan. Terima kasih.

Yang benar,

.....
Prof. Dr. Mohd Razali Bin Muhamad
Pensyarah,
Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this report entitled "An Experimental Study on the Effect of Turning Parameters on the Surface Roughness of AISI 1040 Carbon Steel" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references.

Signature : 
Author's Name : **NOORFAZILAH BINTI MOHD ARSAD**
Date : 22 May 2009

APPROVAL

This PSM submitted to the Faculty of manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Process) with Honours. The members of the supervisory committee are as follow:

.....
Main Supervisor
(Prof. Dr. Mohd Razali Bin Muhamad)

.....
Co-Supervisor
(Mr. Md Nizam Bin Abd Rahman)

ABSTRACT

Surface roughness plays an important role in product quality and how a real object will interact with its environment. The surface profile and roughness of a machined workpiece are two most important product quality characteristics for most mechanical products. The aim of this research is to find the significant parameters of turning process that affect the surface roughness. The model is developed in terms of cutting speed (CS), feed rate, and depth of cut (DoC). In this research, Precision Lathe Machine (MOMAC SPA) Model SM 200x1500 has been used to machine sample part (AISI 1040 carbon steel). For measurement, SJ-301 Surface Roughness Tester (Stylus Profilometer) will be used to determine surface roughness based on three factors that have been prescribed. Surface integrity and tool wear were analyzed using Metallurgical Microscope. Design of Experiment (DoE) will be used in order to study the relationship between these variables on surface roughness. The data will be analyzed using MINITAB 15 software. The result shows that cutting speed was the most significant factor that had affected the surface roughness (Ra) of medium carbon steel (AISI 1040).

ABSTRAK

Kekasaran permukaan memainkan peranan yang penting dalam menentukan kualiti sesuatu produk dan bagaimana sesuatu objek itu dapat berinteraksi dengan persekitarannya. Profil dan kekasaran permukaan sesuatu bahan kerja adalah dua perkara yang penting didalam kualiti sesuatu produk dan keperluan teknikal yang penting bagi produk mekanikal. Matlamat penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji parameter yang paling memberi kesan larian keatas kekasaran permukaan. Kajian ini dilaksanakan atas beberapa faktor iaitu kelajuan pemotongan, kadar suapan dan kedalam pemotongan. Dalam kajian ini, Mesin Larik (MOMAC SPA) Model SM 200 x 1500 akan digunakan untuk pemesenan bahan ujikaji (besi karbon AISI 1040). Untuk pengukuran, alat Penguji Kekasaran Permukaan SJ-301 untuk menguji kekasaran permukaan bahan uji kaji berdasarkan tiga faktor yang telah ditetapkan. Tekstur permukaan bahan keja dan kehausan mata alat dikaji menggunakan Mikroskop Metalurgi. Rekabentuk Ujikaji (DoE) akan digunakan untuk mengkaji hubungan antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah yang digunakan pada kekasaran permukaan bahan ujikaji. Data-data yang terhasil akan dianalisis menggunakan perisian MINITAB 15. Hasil yang diperolehi daripada kajian menunjukkan bahawa kelajuan pemotongan adalah parameter yang memberikan kesan paling besar pada kekasaran permukaan keluli karbon sederhana (AISI 1040).

DEDICATION

Special thanks I dedicate to my beloved family especially for my father (Mohd Arsad Bin Hj. Marzuki) and my mother (Sapariah Binti Othman). Thanks for all your love and support. I also would like to say thanks to all my friends for contributing to the success of my project. The successful of this project, cannot be achieved without all of you. Once again, thank you for everything.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and Thank to Allah S.W.T. with all His Gracious and His Merciful for giving me strength and ability to accomplish this project research successfully. I would like to take the utmost opportunity to express my sincere and gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr Mohd Razali Bin Muhamad and my co-supervisor, Mr. Md Nizam Bin Abd Rahman, for giving me support and guidance throughout this Final Year Project until this completing project level.

Also the greatest thanks to my beloved parents and family who always pray and give the encouragement while pursuing my research. Their sacrifices are never being forgotten.

I also would like to convey my biggest appreciation to all FKP technicians for supporting me in accomplishes my final year project without hesitation. All knowledge and experience I gained would not be forgotten.

And last but not least, to all my fellow friends who involves direct or indirectly that always stand strong beside me in giving opinions and supports throughout our relationship, I really thankful and appreciate it. All yours are the most valuable things for the rest of my life.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstract	i
Abstrak	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Table	ix
List of Figure	x
List of Abbreviation	xiii
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objective	3
1.4 Scope of Study	3
1.5 Gantt Chart	4
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Introduction To Surface Roughness	6
2.2 Application of Surface Roughness	8
2.3 Surface Structure and Properties	9
2.4 Terminology of Surface Roughness	10
2.4.1 Surface Texture	11
2.4.2 Real Surface	11
2.4.3 Roughness	11
2.4.4 Roughness Width	12
2.4.5 Roughness Height	12
2.4.6 Roughness Width Cut Off	12
2.4.7 Waviness	12
2.4.8 Waviness Height	13
2.4.9 Waviness Width	13

2.4.10	Lay	13
2.4.11	Flaws	13
2.4.12	Roughness Sampling Length	13
2.5	Surface Finish Parameters	14
2.5.1	Roughness Average (R_a)	14
2.5.2	Arithmetic Mean (R_z)	15
2.5.3	Root-mean-square (rms) Roughness (R_q)	15
2.5.4	Maximum peak-to-valley Roughness Height (R_y or R_{max})	15
2.6	Measurement of Surface Roughness	16
2.6.1	Type of Surface Roughness Measuring Techniques	16
2.6.2	Categories of Surface Measuring Techniques	16
2.6.3	Surface Finish Measuring Method	18
2.7	Material	19
2.7.1	Medium Carbon Steel	20
2.8	Lathe Machine	21
2.8.1	Lathe Operation	22
2.8.2	Turning Operation	22
2.8.3	Turning Process Capabilities	23
2.8.4	Guidelines for Turning Process	24
2.9	Turning Parameters	25
2.9.1	Principle of Metal Cutting	25
2.9.2	Cutting Speed	26
2.9.3	Spindle Speed	28
2.9.3.1	Spindle Speed Calculations	28
2.9.4	Feed Rate	30
2.9.5	Depth of Cut	31
2.10	Cutting Tool for Lathe	31
2.10.1	Tool Geometry	31
2.10.2	Cutting Tool Holder	32
2.10.3	Tool Bit	33
2.11	Tool Wear	34
2.11.1	Flank Wear	34
2.11.2	Crater Wear	35
2.12	Cutting Fluid (Coolant)	36

2.12.1	Types of Cutting Fluid	36
2.12.2	Oils	36
2.12.3	Emulsions	37
2.12.4	Synthetic Solutions	37
2.12.5	Semi-synthetic Solutions	37
2.13	SJ-301 Portable Surface Roughness Tester	38
2.13.1	Main Future for SJ-301	39
2.14	Design of Experiment (DOE)	39
3.0	METHODOLOGY	42
3.1	Introduction	42
3.2	Flow Chart	43
3.3	Material Selection	44
3.4	Methods	45
3.4.1	Experimental Design	45
3.4.2	Design of Experiment (DOE)	47
3.4.3	Spindle Speed Calculation	48
3.5	Machining	49
3.5.1	Type of Machine	49
3.5.2	Lathe Machine Specifications	50
3.5.3	Main Procedures of Lathe Machine	50
3.5.4	Coolant	51
3.5.5	Experimental Procedures	51
3.5.6	Safety	52
3.6	Data Collection	53
3.6.1	Stylus Profilometer Specifications	54
3.6.2	Calibration Procedure	54
3.6.3	Surface Roughness Measuring Procedures	55
3.6.4	Data Processing Flow	56
3.7	Metallurgical Microscope Procedures	57
3.8	Surface Roughness Analysis	57
3.8.1	How to Use MINITAB	58
3.8.1.1	Startup the MINITAB	58
3.8.1.2	Enter the Data	58

3.8.1.3	Conducting the Analysis	59
3.8.1.4	Interpretation of the Regression Output	60
4.0	ANALYSIS OF RESULT	61
4.1	Analysis Method	61
4.2	DoE Analysis	61
4.2.1	Normal Probability Plot	63
4.2.2	Pareto Chart	64
4.2.3	Main Effects Plot	65
4.2.4	Interaction Plot	66
4.2.5	Surface Plot	67
4.3	Surface Texture Analysis	70
4.4	Tool Wear	78
5.0	DISCUSSION	83
5.1	Surface Roughness	83
5.2	Surface Texture	84
5.3	Tool Wear	85
6.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	86
6.1	Conclusion	86
6.2	Recommendation	87
	REFERENCES	88
	APPENDICES	
A	The Range of Surface Roughness Obtained in Various Machining Process	
B	The Range of Dimensional Tolerance Obtained in Various Machining Process	
C	General Information on Metal Cutting for Cutting Speed and Feed Rate	
D	Example Result of Surface Roughness from Stylus Profilometer	
E	Example Specimen after Machining	

LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Gantt Chart PSM 1	4
1.2	Gantt Chart PSM 2	5
2.1	Factors Affecting Surface Roughness and Major Investigators	7
2.2.	Mechanical properties of Medium Carbon Steel (AISI 1040)	20
2.3	Thermal Properties of Medium Carbon Steel (AISI 1040)	20
2.4	Typical Production Rates for Various Cutting Operations	24
2.5	General Troubleshooting Guide for Turning Operations.	25
2.6	Cutting Speed for Various Materials (Based on a Plain High Speed Steel	27
2.7	Fundamental Pattern of a 2-Level, 3 Factor Full Factorial	41
3.1	Chemical Analysis of AISI 1040 Carbon Steel	44
3.2	Levels of Independent Variables	46
3.3	Experiment Cutting Conditions	46
3.4	Design of Experiments and Data	47
3.5	Stylus Profilometer Specification	54

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Surface Measurement in Manufacture and Perform Sequence	8
2.2	Schematic Illustration of a Cross-Section of the Surface Structure of Metals	9
2.3	Surface Characteristics (Courtesy, ANSI B46.1 – 1962).	11
2.4	Standard Terminology and Symbols to Describe Surface Finish	14
2.5	The Arithmetic Mean Roughness Value	15
2.6	Element of a ‘Contact’ Stylus Profilometer	18
2.7	Lathe Machine.	21
2.8	Turning Operation	23
2.9	Depth of Cut	31
2.10	Cutting Tool Geometry	32
2.11	Cutting Tool Holder	33
2.12	Tool Bit (Insert)	33
2.13	Method of Attaching Inserts to Toolholder	34
2.14	Flank Wear	35
2.15	Crater Wear	35
2.16	Surfatest SJ-301 Portable Surface Roughness Tester	38
3.1	Flow Chart of the Experiment	43
3.2	AISI 1040 Carbon Steel Bar	44
3.3	Band Saw Machine	45
3.4	Precision Lathe Machine (MOMAC SPA) Model SM 200x1500	49
3.5	Water Soluble Coolant	51
3.6	Pre Machining Operation	52
3.7	Mitutoyo Surface Roughness Tester SJ-301	53
3.8	Calibration Process	55
3.9	(a) Measuring Roughness of the Workpiece, (b) Printing the Result	55

3.10	Data Process Flow	56
3.11	Metallurgical Microscope	57
3.12	Screen Shot of Minitab	58
3.13	All Variables	59
3.14	Regression Window	59
3.15	Output of Regression Analysis	60
4.1	Normal Probability Plot of Effects for Surface Roughness	63
4.2	Pareto Chart of the Effects for Surface Roughness	64
4.3	Main Effects Plot for Surface Roughness	65
4.4	Interaction Plot for Surface Roughness	66
4.5	Surface Plot of Ra vs Feed Rate, Cutting Speed	67
4.6	Surface Plot of Ra vs Depth of Cut, Cutting Speed	68
4.7	Surface Plot of Ra Vs Feed Rate, Depth of Cut	69
4.8	Surface Texture for Specimen 1	70
4.9	Surface Texture for Specimen 2	70
4.10	Surface Texture for Specimen 3	71
4.11	Surface Texture for Specimen 4	71
4.12	Surface Texture for Specimen 5	72
4.13	Surface Texture for Specimen 6	72
4.14	Surface Texture for Specimen 7	73
4.15	Surface Texture for Specimen 8	73
4.16	Surface Texture for Specimen 9	74
4.17	Surface Texture for Specimen 10	74
4.18	Surface Texture for Specimen 11	75
4.19	Surface Texture for Specimen 12	75
4.20	Surface Texture for Specimen 13	76
4.21	Surface Texture for Specimen 14	76
4.22	Surface Texture for Specimen 15	77
4.23	Surface Texture for Specimen 16	77
4.24	Tool wear specimen 1 and 2	78
4.25	Tool wear specimen 3 and 4	79
4.26	Tool wear specimen 5 and 6	79
4.27	Tool wear specimen 7 and 8	80

4.28	Tool wear specimen 9 and 10	80
4.29	Tool wear specimen 11 and 12	81
4.30	Tool wear specimen 13 and 14	81
4.31	Tool wear specimen 15 and 16	82

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA	-	Arithmetic Average
AISI	-	American Iron and Steel Institute
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
ANSI	-	American National Standard Institute
ASME	-	American Society of Mechanical Engineers
BUE	-	Built-up Edge
C	-	Carbon
CLA	-	Center Line Average
cm	-	Centimeter
Co	-	Carbon monoxide
Cr	-	Chromium
CS	-	Cutting Speed
Cu	-	Copper
CVS	-	Constant Volume Sampler
D	-	Diameter
DIN	-	German Institute for Standardization
DoC	-	Depth of Cut
DoE	-	Design of Experiment
FR	-	Feed Rate
ft	-	Feet
GPa	-	Giga Pascal
hp	-	Horse power
HSS	-	High Speed Steel
in.	-	Inches
ipr	-	Inches per revolution
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
JIS	-	Japanese Industrial Standard
kW	-	Kilowatt
LCD	-	Liquid Crystal Display
m	-	Meter

min.	-	Minute
mm	-	Millimeter
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
Ni	-	Nickle
R _a	-	Roughness Average
rev	-	Revolution
rpm	-	Rotation per minute
R _q	-	Root-mean-square (rms) Roughness
R _y	-	Maximum Peak-To-Valley Roughness Height
R _z	-	Arithmetic Mean
SAE	-	Society of Automotive Engineers
Si	-	Silicon
STM	-	Scanning Tunneling Microscopy
vs	-	Versus
X	-	Predictor variable
Y	-	Response variable
π	-	Pai
μm	-	Micrometer
%	-	Percent

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 OVERVIEW

Metal cutting is one of the most significant manufacturing processes in the area of material removal (Chen and Smith, 1997). Black (1979) defines metal cutting as the removal of metal from a workpiece in the form of chips in order to obtain a finished product with desired attributed of size, shape, and surface roughness.

The quality of machined components is evaluated by how closely they adhere to set product specifications of length, width, diameter, surface finish, and reflective properties. High speed turning operations, dimensional accuracy, tool wear, and quality of surface finish are four factors that manufacturers must be able to control (Lahidji, 1997). Among various process conditions, surface finish is central to determining the quality of workpiece (Coker and Shin, 1996).

Surface roughness and tolerances are among the most critical measures in many mechanical products. As competition grows closer, customers now have increasingly high demands on quality, making surface roughness become one of the most competitive dimensions in today's manufacturing industry. Surface roughness also affects several functional attributes of parts, such as contact causing surface friction, wearing, light reflection, heat transmission, ability of distributing and holding a lubricant, coating, or resisting fatigue. Therefore, the desired finish surface is usually specified and the appropriate processes are selected to reach the required quality (Coker and Shin, 1996).

Several factors will influence the final surface roughness in turning operation. The final surface roughness might be considered as the sum of effects. Factors of effect such as spindle speed, feed rate, depth of cut, shape of cutting tool, material properties of both tool and workpiece, tool wear, chip loads, chip formations, vibration of the machine tool, defects in the structure of the work material, or irregularities of chip formation contribute to the surface damage in practice during machining. Some of these factors are difficult to control.

Tools, workpiece and machine vibration, tool wear and tool material variability are the example of factors that difficult to control (Coker and Shin, 1996). However, there are some factor can be controlled to get the good surface roughness when machining. The factors can be controlled are cutting speed, depth of cut, and feed rate. These three factors can be use in machining process, otherwise lathe operation to get best result in surface roughness.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Currently, in the turning process there are a few of condition part after machining. The condition of the part is by referring the accuracy of the dimension and surface roughness. The good surface roughness caused by many variables and the values of surface roughness cannot be realized without a good combination between the preferred parameter. For this analysis, the main effects are cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut. After machining process, the surface roughness shall give results depending on the cutting condition. Type of material used is AISI 1040 medium carbon steel. In order to study the problem, an analysis has carried out with help of previous study on the literature review where investigations into the effect of cutting conditions on surface roughness in turning, design of experiments (DoE) and other references for this analysis and research method has been implemented.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this study are:

- a) To investigate a better understanding on the effects of turning parameter which are cutting speed (CS), feed rate, and depth of cut (DoC) through the surface roughness.
- b) To identify the main influencing factor on the surface roughness.
- c) To identify a well combination between the parameter to get a good surface of the AISI 1040.
- d) To analyze the surface texture of the workpieces and tool wear of the cutting tools after machining operation.

1.4 SCOPE OF STUDY

This study is focusing on analyzing the turning parameter effect to the surface roughness of work piece. The parameters involved in these experiments are cutting speed, feed rate, and depth of cut. All the parameters in the variable values. Type of material used was AISI 1040 carbon steel. The insert carbide-cutting tool is used for all turning process. In order to obtain desired surface roughness, cutting parameters values should be determined before the machining processes put in action. Some of those data could be taken from machinist handbooks or by conducting experiments. Design of Experiment (DoE) has been used in order to study the relationship between these variables on surface roughness. Surfaces roughness is measured by Mitutoyo Surface Roughness Tester SJ-301 (stylus profilometer), and the data presented are analyzed with the MINITAB software. The performance evaluated on tool wear and surface texture of AISI carbon steel will be analyzed by the Metallurgical Microscope Axioskop 2 Mat.

1.5 GANTT CHART

Table 1.1: Gantt chart PSM 1

No	Details		Week															
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
1	Confirm the Topic and discuss the Topic with supervisor	Planning	█															
		Actual	█															
2	Information search (related to the topic)	Planning		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█			
		Actual		█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█	█			
3	Analyse the information and prepared the Chapter 1	Planning			█	█												
		Actual			█	█												
4	Submission of Chapter 1 * Introduction	Planning				█												
		Actual				█												
5	Preparation of Chapter 2	Planning				█	█	█	█	█								
		Actual					█	█	█	█	█							
6	Submission of Chapter 2 * Literature Review	Planning								█								
		Actual									█							
7	Preparation of Chapter 3	Planning								█	█	█	█					
		Actual										█	█	█				
8	Submission of Chapter 3 * Methodology	Planning										█						
		Actual											█					
9	Preparation of Draft Report	Planning										█	█	█				
		Actual											█	█	█			
10	Submission of Draft Report * Chapter 1, 2, 3 and Conclusion	Planning											█					
		Actual												█				
11	Edit the Draft Report (after check by Supervisor)	Planning											█	█	█			
		Actual													█	█	█	
12	Submission of Technical Repoart PSM 1 * Chapter 1, 2, 3 and Conclusion	Planning													█			
		Actual														█		
13	Submission of Logbook	Planning														█		
		Actual															█	
14	Preparation of Oral Presentation PSM 1	Planning														█	█	
		Actual															█	█
15	Oral Presentation PSM 1	Planning															█	
		Actual																█