

**POST OCCUPANCY EVALUATION (POE) AND INDOOR  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (IEQ) ASSESSMENT: A CASE STUDY OF  
UTEM'S TECHNOLOGY CAMPUS**

**THAMARAI VATHANI ELANGKOVAN**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**POST OCCUPANCY EVALUATION (POE) AND INDOOR  
ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY (IEQ) ASSESSMENT: A CASE STUDY OF  
UTEM'S TECHNOLOGY CAMPUS**

**THAMARAI VATHANI ELANGKOVAN**

**This report is submitted  
in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of  
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering**


**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**JUNE 2020**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this project report entitled “Post Occupancy Evaluation (Poe) And Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) Assessment: A Case Study of UTeM’s Technology Campus” is the result of my own work except as cited in references.

Signature : 

Name : THAMARAI VATHANI ELANGKOVAN

Date : 20/7/2020

## **APPROVAL**

I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor : DR TEE BOON TUAN

Date :

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved mother and father

## ABSTRACT

Satisfaction and comfort level in a building is most demandable aspect by occupants that required to be concerned. Good management of indoor environmental quality can results enhancement towards health and well-being of occupants. Thus, by determining factors which involves in indoor environmental quality such as thermal comfort parameters and air quality parameters, various illness and unstable emotions of the occupants. This study of evaluating the indoor environmental quality works along the process of post occupancy evaluation which is to gather feedback performance of the building in use. The main objective of this research is to identify occupants' satisfaction and perception level on academic building in technology campus of UTEM especially in Faculty of Mechanical Engineering (FKM) and Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT). Thermal comfort analysis and indoor air quality analysis were conducted to appraise the indoor environmental quality of the buildings. Both analyses were conducted in the form of physical measurement and subjective assessment. Physical measurement in classroom were carried out with relevant instruments. Meanwhile, subjective assessment was carried out with distributing questionnaire in google form in order to obtain occupants' feedback. Followed by regression analysis which is to estimate the values of the dependent variables by using values of independent variables such as operative air temperature, PMV index, relative humidity, air velocity in order to identify their strength relationship. Based on the findings of this study, technical design improvisation are proposed with the goal to improve the indoor environmental quality in the buildings. This research will be helpful for those who endeavour to enrol research in this similar field.

## ABSTRAK

*Tahap kepuasan dan keselesaan di bangunan adalah aspek yang paling diperlukan oleh penghuni yang perlu diberi perhatian. Pengurusan kualiti persekitaran dalaman yang baik dapat menghasilkan peningkatan terhadap kesihatan dan kesejahteraan penghuni. Oleh itu, dengan menentukan faktor-faktor yang melibatkan kualiti persekitaran dalaman seperti parameter keselesaan termal dan parameter kualiti udara, pelbagai penyakit dan emosi penghuni yang tidak stabil. Kajian ini menilai kualiti persekitaran dalaman berfungsi sepanjang proses penilaian pasca penghunian iaitu untuk mengumpulkan prestasi maklum balas bangunan yang sedang digunakan. Objektif utama penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti tahap kepuasan dan persepsi penghuni terhadap bangunan akademik di kampus teknologi UTeM terutamanya di Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal (FKM) dan Fakulti Pengurusan Teknologi & Teknologi (FPPT). Analisis keselesaan termal dan analisis kualiti udara dalaman dilakukan untuk menilai kualiti persekitaran dalaman bangunan. Kedua-dua analisis dilakukan dalam bentuk pengukuran fizikal dan penilaian subjektif. Pengukuran fizikal di kelas dilakukan dengan instrumen yang relevan. Sementara itu, penilaian subjektif dilakukan dengan menyebarkan borang soal selidik dalam bentuk google untuk mendapatkan maklum balas penghuni. Diikuti dengan analisis regresi iaitu menganggarkan nilai pemboleh ubah bersandar dengan menggunakan nilai pemboleh ubah bebas seperti suhu udara operasi, indeks PMV, kelembapan relatif, halaju udara untuk mengenal pasti hubungan kekuatannya. Berdasarkan penemuan kajian ini, improvisasi reka bentuk teknikal dicadangkan dengan tujuan untuk meningkatkan kualiti persekitaran dalaman bangunan. Penyelidikan ini akan bermanfaat bagi mereka yang berusaha untuk mendaftar penyelidikan dalam bidang serupa ini.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My appreciation and gratitude extended to my honourable supervisor Dr. Tee Boon Tuan from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his guidance and generosity to share the tremendous knowledge throughout this research journey. I also would like to thank him for providing continuous support and motivation from the very beginning until the end.

Moreover, I would also like to thank Mr. Asjufri bin Muhajir, assistant engineer for air-conditioning lab who taught me the procedure to utilise the relevant instruments to complete the physical measurements with very professional manner.

Furthermore, I would like to express special thanks to all the respondents in both buildings who reveal their feedback and perception on satisfaction level without any hesitation. Never forget to also thank my family and friends who have motivated me to complete the study.

Last but not least, my sincere thanks to all staffs of Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT) for helping on arranging the schedules for physical measurement and storing instruments safely in place until the physical measurements are completed. I am grateful for all the contributions and appreciate all their kind assistance on completion of the research.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	
	<b>SUPERVISOR'S DECLARATION</b>	
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iii
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	iv
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOL</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope of Project	3
	1.5 Significance of Research	4
	1.6 General Methodology	5
<b>CHAPTER 2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	7
	2.1 Post Occupancy Evaluation (POE)	7
	2.2 Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ)	8
	2.2.1 Indoor Air Quality (IAQ)	9
	2.2.1.1 Carbon dioxide	9
	2.2.1.2 Carbon Monoxide	10
	2.2.2 Thermal Comfort	10
	2.2.2.1 Temperature	11
	2.2.2.2 Radiant Temperature	11
	2.2.2.3 Humidity	11

	2.2.2.4 Clothing Insulation	12
	2.2.2.5 Metabolic Heat	12
2.3	Standard	12
	2.3.1 Malaysia Standard MS 1525:2019	13
	2.3.2 ASHRAE Standard 55-2017, Thermal Environmental Condition for Humans Occupancy	13
	2.3.3 ASHRAE Standard 62.1 – 2010 and 62.2-2019, Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality	14
2.4	Study on IEQ in classroom by Nurul Malina Jamaluddin et al. (2017)	15
	2.4.1 Methodology	15
	2.4.2 Results	16
	2.4.3 Conclusion	17
2.5	Influence of IEQ on Work Productivity in Green Office Buildings: A Review by Masoud Esfandiari et al. (2017)	18
	2.5.1 Methodology	18
	2.5.2 Results	19
	2.5.3 Conclusion	20
2.6	IEQ Design for Advanced Occupants’ Comfort -A Pre-POE of a Green – Certified Office Building by	21
	2.6.1 Methodology	21
	2.6.2 Results	22
	2.6.2.1 Thermal Condition	22
	2.6.2.2 Indoor Air Quality	23
	2.6.2.3 Acoustic Comfort Analysis	24
	2.6.3 Conclusion	25

2.7	POE of conventional-designed buildings by Farid Wajdi Akashah et al. (2015)	26
	2.7.1 Methodology	26
	2.7.2 Results	27
	2.7.3 Conclusion	28
2.8	POE and IEQ of UTP Academic Complex by Mohd Faris Bin Khammidi et al. (2013)	29
	2.8.1 Methodology	29
	2.8.2 Results	30
	2.8.3 Conclusion	31
2.9	Summary of Journals	32
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	34
3.1	Technology Campus of UTEM	34
3.2	Selection of classroom in FKM and FPTT	35
3.3	Physical Measurement	35
	3.3.1 Thermal Comfort Parameters	36
	3.3.2 Measurement of Indoor Air Quality Parameters	39
3.4	Survey	42
	3.4.1 Respondents selection	43
3.5	Result Analysis	43
	3.5.1 Comparison of results between physical parameters measurement and standards.	44
	3.5.2 Analysis of occupants' votes according to questionnaire	44
	3.5.3 Comparison of results between questionnaire and physical measurement	44
3.6	Recommendation on indoor environment quality improvement measures	44
3.7	General Methodology	46
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>RESULT AND ANALYSIS</b>	46
4.1	Physical Measurement Result	47

4.1.1	Classrooms in FKM building	48
4.1.2	Classroom 8 in FPTT	58
4.1.3	Classroom 5 in FPTT	68
4.1.4	Classroom 11 in FPTT	78
4.1.5	Overall Physical Measurement Results	88
4.2	Subjective Assessment	95
4.3	Regression Analysis	105
4.3.1	Regression analysis in FKM	106
4.3.2	Regression analysis in FPTT	111
4.3.3	Summary of Result	116
4.4	Improvements	117
4.4.1	Improvement Measures in FKM	118
4.4.2	Improvement Measures in FPTT	121
4.4.3	Improvement measures in overall building of FKM and FPTT	124
<b>CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>129</b>
5.1	Conclusion	129
5.2	Recommendation	130
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>132</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>135</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Concentration of interest for few air contaminants recommended by (ASHRAE 62.1, 2010)	15
2.2	Research Methods (Nurul Malina Jamaluddin et al., 2017)	16
2.3	Studies in the field of POE or BPE which analyzed IEQ factor	19
2.4	Spearman Correlation between Illness Symptom and IEQ Component (Farid Wajdi Akashah et al., 2015)	28
2.5	Equipment used for field measurement (Mohd Faris Khamidi et al., 2013)	30
2.6	Overall Severity Index for all parameters (Mohd Faris Khamidi et al., 2013)	31
2.7	Summary of Previous Work	33
3.1	Physical parameters involved in this study	36
3.2	Probes of Thermal Microclimate HD32.1	37
3.3	Probes used for this research	38
4.1	Carbon dioxide level in Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	54
4.2	Carbon dioxide level in Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon	55
4.3	Carbon Dioxide Level in Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	64
4.4	Carbon Dioxide Level in Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	64
4.5	Carbon dioxide level of Classroom 5 of FPTT during morning.	74
4.6	Carbon dioxide level of Classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon.	74
4.7	Carbon dioxide level of Classroom 11 of FPTT during morning	84

4.8	Carbon dioxide level of Classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon	84
4.9	Summary of Physical measurements in Classroom 4 of FKM	88
4.10	Summary of Physical measurements in Classroom 8 of FPTT	89
4.11	Summary of Physical measurements in Classroom 5 of FPTT	90
4.12	Summary of Physical measurements in Classroom 11 of FPTT	91
4.13	Occupant's thermal sensation vote for FKM and FPTT classrooms	95
4.14	Relative humidity sensation vote of occupants in FKM and FPTT classrooms	97
4.15	Air velocity sensation vote of occupants for both FKM and FPTT classrooms	98
4.16	Odour perception vote of occupants for both FKM and FPTT classrooms	100
4.17	Occupants' satisfaction on air temperature in FKM and FPTT classrooms	102
4.18	Occupant's overall comfort level on FKM and FPTT classrooms	103
4.19	Variables involved in regression analysis.	106
4.20	R-squared Value Classification (Zikmund & William et al., 2000)	106
4.21	Summary of regressions results and strength of relationship for both faculties.	117
4.22	Ventilation rate and breathing zone outdoor airflow, $V_{bz}$	120
4.23	Specification of exhaust fan	120
4.24	Ventilation rate and breathing zone outdoor airflow, $V_{bz}$	121
4.25	Specification of exhaust fan	122
4.26	Specification of air purifier	123

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>TABLE</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Factors of Indoor Environmental Quality (Muhammad Abdul Mujeebu et al., 2018)	9
2.2	Students satisfaction level on the thermal condition (Nurul Malina Jamaluddin et al., 2017)	17
2.3	The percentage of dissatisfied people in common IEQ parameters (Masoud Esfandiari et al., 2017)	20
2.4	Comparative Analysis of Thermal Comfort Psychrometric Charts Spring Season of both Building Phases (Ihab Elzeyadi et al., 2017)	23
2.5	Comfort Parameters and Gas Concentrations (Ihab Elzeyadi et al., 2017)	24
2.6	Acoustic Comfort Mapping for Spatial Decay with STI (Ihab Elzeyadi et al., 2017)	24
2.7	Occupant's Satisfaction Percentages from a POE Building Survey of Both Studied Building Phases (Ihab Elzeyadi et al., 2017)	25
3.1	Thermal Microclimate HD32.1 (Delta Ohm SRL,2009)	37
3.2	Thermal Microclimate positioned in experimental zone for pilot test	39
3.3	IAQ-Calc Air Quality Meter 7545	41
3.4	DustTrak II Aerosol Monitor	42
3.5	Flow chart of methodology	46
4.1	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	49
4.2	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon	50
4.3	Relative humidity in Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	51
4.4	Relative Humidity in Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon	52
4.5	Air Velocity for Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	53

4.6	Air Velocity of Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon.	54
4.7	PM2.5 concentration in Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	56
4.8	PM2.5 concentration in Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon	56
4.9	PM10 concentration in Classroom 4 of FKM during morning	57
4.10	PM10 concentration in Classroom 4 of FKM during afternoon	58
4.11	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	59
4.12	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	60
4.13	Relative humidity in Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	61
4.14	Relative humidity in Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	62
4.15	Air Velocity, $V_a$ in Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	63
4.16	Air Velocity, $V_a$ in Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	64
4.17	Concentration of PM 2.5 of Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	65
4.18	Concentration of PM 2.5 of Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	66
4.19	Concentration of PM 10 of Classroom 8 of FPTT during morning	67
4.20	Concentration of PM 10 of Classroom 8 of FPTT during afternoon	68
4.21	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 5 of FPTT during morning	69
4.22	Indoor Air Temperature in Classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon	70
4.23	Relative humidity level in Classroom 5 of FPTT during morning	71
4.24	Relative Humidity in Classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon	72
4.25	Air Velocity for Classroom 5 of FPTT during morning	73
4.26	Air Velocity of Classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon.	74
4.27	Concentration of PM 2.5 of the classroom 5 of FPTT during morning	76
4.28	Concentration of PM 2.5 of the classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon.	76
4.29	Concentration of PM 10 of the classroom 5 of FPTT during morning	77
4.30	Concentration of PM 10 of the classroom 5 of FPTT during afternoon.	78
4.31	Indoor Air temperature of Classroom 11 of FPTT during morning.	79



4.32	Air temperature of Classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon.	80
4.33	Relative humidity of Classroom 11 of FPTT during morning.	81
4.34	Relative humidity of Classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon.	82
4.35	Air Velocity of Classroom 11 of FPTT during morning.	83
4.36	Air Velocity of Classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon.	84
4.37	Concentration of PM 2.5 of the classroom 11 of FPTT during morning.	85
4.38	Concentration of PM 2.5 of the classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon.	86
4.39	Concentration of PM 10 of the classroom 11 of FPTT during morning.	87
4.40	Concentration of PM 10 of the classroom 11 of FPTT during afternoon.	88
4.41	Frequency Distribution of occupants' thermal sensation according to ASHRAE 7-point scale	96
4.42	Frequency Distribution of occupants' relative humidity sensation according to ASHRAE 7-point scale	98
4.43	Frequency Distribution of occupants' air velocity sensation according to ASHRAE 7-point scale	100
4.44	Frequency distribution of occupants' odour perception	101
4.45	Occupants' satisfaction on air temperature in FKM classroom and FPTT classroom	103
4.46	Frequency Distribution of occupant's overall comfort perception in FKM and FPTT classrooms	105
4.47	Regression of Thermal Sensation Vote versus Operative Temperature in FKM	107
4.48	Regression of PMV versus operative temperature in FKM	108
4.49	Regression of PMV versus relative humidity in FKM	109
4.50	Regression of PMV versus air velocity in FKM	109
4.51	Regression of carbon dioxide versus PM 2.5 in FKM	110
4.52	Regression of carbon dioxide versus PM 10 in FKM	111
4.53	Regression of TSV and operative temperature in FPTT	112
4.54	Regression of PMV and operative temperature in FPTT	113
4.55	Regression of PMV and relative humidity in FPTT	114
4.56	Regression of PMV and air velocity in FPTT	114
4.57	Regression of carbon dioxide versus PM 2.5 in FPTT	115
4.58	Regression of carbon dioxide versus PM 10 in FPTT	115
4.59	Automated shading system	125
4.60	Cool roof system	126
4.61	Activ'Air Plasterboard	128
4.62	Gyproc Thermaline Plasterboard	128

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITTLE	PAGE
A	Flow Chart of Final Year Project	135
B	Gantt Chart of PSM 1	136
C	Gantt Chart of PSM 2	137
D	Plan of Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT)	138
E	Questionnaire for Faculty of Mechanical	139
F	Questionnaire for Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship	145
G	Graphs of PPD as a function of PMV for Faculty of Mechanical	151
H	Graphs of PPD as a function of PMV for Technology Management and Technopreneurship	154
I	Physical Measurement	161

## LIST OF SYMBOL

<b>SYMBOLS</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
°C	Degree Celcius
m	Metre
s	Seconds
%	Percentage
m/s	Velocity
ppm	Parts-per-million
cfm	Cubic feet per minute
R <sup>2</sup>	Coefficient of determination

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

<b>ABBREVIATION</b>	<b>DESCRIPTION</b>
AV	Air Velocity
ASHRAE	American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Engineers
CO	Carbon Monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	Carbon Dioxide
FKM	Faculty of Mechanical
FPTT	Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship
IEQ	Indoor Environmental Quality
IAQ	Indoor Air Quality
LEED	Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design
MS	Malaysian Standard
PM	Particular Matter
POE	Post Occupancy Evaluation
PMV	Predicted Mean Vote
PPD	Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied
RH	Relative Humidity
TSV	Thermal Sensation Vote
UTeM	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

In the era of rapid growing technology, people tend to chase after the term of satisfaction and comfort level in their respective occupied building. Aspects of comfortability to use and utilize serves as it must be fit for purpose as its demandable priority of occupants. The question is how efficient is the building performance that tally with occupants' satisfaction?

Post Occupancy Evaluation (POE) is the process of obtaining feedback performance in use. Being mandatory in majority of public buildings, it's rapidly recognised. This process also acts as a weapon in correcting past mistakes in terms of design where this is conducted after occupancy of occupants. In many cases, designed buildings' performance not up to the planning and expectation. These may bump on the results such as over costs and poor performance, occupant's wellbeing and business efficiency. Among the benefits from POE is it helps to identify successful design features to repeat (Watson,2003), identify problems to migrate or reduce, improve building environment performance (Vischer,2002; Hewitt et. al,2005).

Meanwhile. Indoor Environmental Quality (IEQ) encompasses the conditions inside a building—air quality, lighting, thermal conditions, ergonomics and their effects on occupants or residents. Strategies for addressing IEQ include those that protect human health, boost quality of life, and minimize stress and potential injuries. Better indoor

environmental quality can enhance the lives of building occupants, increase the resale value of the building. Moreover, it also reduces liability for building owners.

The common endeavour of human being across the globe and through the timeline of human is to create a comfortable indoor environment at any circumstance to satisfy people. The overall comfort of indoor air environment constantly plays a critical role for human not only because of human comfort but also it has critical and major influence on human life (Costanza et al. 2007) There are several factors that influencing indoor environmental quality. There is thermal comfort, indoor air quality, lighting, acoustic condition and so on. By reviewing what occupants' experience and how they response to their needs by use and occupy building, information of building conditions can be collected.

In this research, the main elements that have focused are thermal comfort and indoor air quality in order to evaluate the indoor environmental quality in both buildings of Faculty of Mechanical and Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT) from UTeM's Technology Campus. According to the results obtained from the study, proactive measures to improve the indoor environmental quality will be recommended.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Classrooms in each faculty plays a vital role in students' university life. These learning space must perfect in terms of the physical atmosphere where students' learning process can take place uninterruptedly. According to the previous observations, it is noticed that some of the students are being affected by health and also performance (Salleh et al., 2013). Some even felt hot and inconvenient while learning in classroom, where they tend to feel weak. Since there are several aspects may contribute to the impacts faced by the students it is best to conduct this research based on these questions to get a clear vision on this issue.

1. Do occupants satisfy with current indoor environmental quality of academic building in technology campus of UTeM.?
2. Do the learning process of students are being affected due to poor environmental conditions?
3. How to improve indoor environment quality in academic building and implement them in practical?

### **1.3 Objectives**

1. To determine occupants' satisfaction and perception level on academic building in technology campus of UTeM.
2. To suggest and recommend ways to improve indoor environment quality in academic building.

### **1.4 Scope**

Due to the rapid development of the university, the Technology Campus is utilised to accommodate the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and the university's latest Faculty of Engineering Technology which was admitting its first batch of students in September 2011 and Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT). The premise has a land area of 119,200 sqm and was made as the industrial campus to sustain the increasing number of students. The industrial campus was equipped with laboratory facilities, library and a more organised administrative office. In this study, the focus of evaluating the indoor environmental quality is towards both Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT) since it

is the new building in UTeM's Technology campus. Sample of the classes are only air-conditioned classrooms and number of classrooms are 4. For Faculty of Mechanical Engineering classroom 4 have been taken as sample and for Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship (FPTT) classroom 5, 8 and 11 were selected as sample. The measurement of data includes physical and subjective measurements. Physical measurement in classroom were carried out with relevant instruments. Meanwhile, subjective assessment was carried out with distributing questionnaire in google form in order to obtain occupants' feedback. The focus of the study is to evaluate the IEQ and suggest effective ways to improve them.

### **1.5 Significance of Research**

There are multifarious benefits can be obtained by conducting this research of POE and IEQ in campus technology of UTeM. First and foremost, it is important to carry out this research in order to monitor satisfaction level of occupants especially students during lecture hours. By collecting the data, we can improve user requirements in terms of comfortability.

Furthermore, it is also work as a strategic space planning design by management as a tool to work on management procedures to improve design of building which contributes to positive and negative feedback from occupants. Thus, it is fundamental to conduct this research were all the data collected can be interpreted into table and carry out a comparison between lecture rooms. Upon submission of the data obtained and suggestion provided from the research management will have a clear idea to come up with various solution that currently exist.

According to the recommendation, management also can plan for the budget that needed to be spent to execute relevant actions. For example, collection of funds from