

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR OF FUSED DEPOSITION MODELLING (FDM) PRINTED PARTS

Muhammad Zulfikri Bin Zulkafle

Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering Technology (Product Design) with Honours

2019

EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ON MECHANICAL BEHAVIOUR OF FUSED DEPOSITION MODELLING (FDM) PRINTED PARTS

MUHAMMAD ZULFIKRI BIN ZULKAFLE

This report is submitted in accordance with the requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering Technology (Product Design) with Honours

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2019



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: Experimental Study on Mechanical Behaviour of Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) Printed Parts

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2019/20 Semester 1

4. **Sila tandakan (✓)

Saya MUHAMMAD ZULFIKRI BIN ZULKAFLE mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
- 2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
- 3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

| SULIT TERHAD | kepentingan Malaysia AKTA RAHSIA RASM (Mengandungi maklum | nat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau sebagaimana yang termaktub dalam MI 1972) at TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh na penyelidikan dijalankan) |
|---|---|--|
| TIDAK TERHA | AD | |
| Yang benar, | | Disahkan oleh penyelia: |
| MUHAMMAD ZULFIKE Alamat Tetap: 1037, JALAN KEDIDI 3, TAMAN PAROI JAYA, 70400 SEREMBAN NEGERI SEMBILAN DA | | IR. TS. MOHD NAZRI BIN AHMAD Cop Rasmi: |
| Tarikh: | | Tarikh: |

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declare this thesis entitled "Experimental Study on Mechanical Behaviour of Fused Deposition Modelling (FDM) Printed Parts" is the result of my research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in the candidature of any other degree.

| Signature | : |
|---------------|----------------------------------|
| Author's Name | : Muhammad Zulfikri Bin Zulkafle |
| Date | · |

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology of UTeM as partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering Technology (Product Design) with Honours. The member of the supervisory is as follow:

| Signature | : | |
|-----------|---|-------|
| _ | | ••••• |

Supervisor's Name Ir. Ts. Mohd Nazri Bin Ahmad

Date

ABSTRAK

Tujuan kajian ini mengenai kesan bebas dari setiap parameter pemprosesan pada sifat mekanik bahagian FDM. Bahan mentah digunakan PLA dan dianalisis dengan menggunakan Rekabentuk Eksperimen dan pengujian tegangan. Objektif projek ini adalah untuk menyiasat setiap parameter pemprosesan ke atas sifat-sifat mekanikal yang dijalankan menggunakan reka bentuk eksperimen Taguchi dan memperoleh sifat-sifat mekanik bagi setiap sampel yang dibuat menggunakan ujian tegangan mengikut piawaian ASTM D638 (Jenis IV). Kaedah untuk menghasilkan spesimen 'Bone Dog' menggambarkan model CAD dengan menggunakan perisian Solidworks, maka spesimen yang dihasilkan menggunakan Pencetak UP Plus 2. Parameter faktor yang menyumbang kepada percubaan ini adalah ketebalan lapisan iaitu 0.2mm, 0.3mm atau 0.4mm, orientasi iaitu 0°, 45° atau 90°, infill yang padat, longgar atau berongga, dan kelajuan yang baik, normal atau cepat. Ujian tarik adalah teknik utama untuk mendapatkan sifat mekanikal yang berkaitan dengan tekanan dan ketegangan spesimen. Corak pecah tegangan yang diperhatikan dengan menggunakan mikroskop. Perisian Minitab menghasilkan parameter yang dioptimumkan yang ketebalan lapisan adalah 0.4mm, orientasi adalah 90°, infill longgar dan kelajuan cepat. Hasilnya menunjukkan parameter terbaik yang dilakukan dalam ujian pengesahan yang tegasan tegangan ramalan adalah 44.59MPa. Setelah menjalankan ujian pengesahan mengikut parameter yang dioptimumkan, maka hasil tegangan tegangan untuk ujian pengesahan akan dibandingkan antara ramalan tegangan ramalan. Kesalahan peratusan untuk ujian pengesahan ialah 9.54% berbanding tekanan tegasan ramalan.

ABSTRACT

The aimed of this study on the independent effect of each processing parameter on the mechanical properties of FDM parts. The raw material was used PLA and analyzed by using Design of Experiment and tensile testing. The objectives of this project were to investigate each processing parameter on the mechanical properties conducted using Taguchi's design of experiments and to obtain the mechanical properties of each fabricated sample using a tensile test per ASTM D638 standards (Type IV). The method to produce 'Dog Bone' specimen is drawn the CAD model by using Solidworks software, then the specimen produced using UP Plus 2 Printer. The parameter of factors that contributed to this experiment was the thickness of the layer which is 0.2mm, 0.3mm or 0.4mm, an orientation which is 0°, 45° or 90°, infill which is solid, loose or hollow, and the speed which is fine, normal or fast. Tensile testing is the main technique to get the mechanical properties that were about stress and strain of the specimen. The tensile breaking pattern observed by using a microscope. The Minitab software generated the optimized parameter which was the thickness of a layer is 0.4mm, orientation is 90°, infill is loose and speed is fast. The result shows the best parameters then conducted in the confirmation test which the prediction tensile stress was 44.59MPa. After running the confirmation test according to the optimized parameter, then the result of tensile stress for the confirmation test will be compared between the prediction tensile stress. The percentage error for the confirmation test is 9.54% compared with the prediction tensile stress.

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my beloved parent, my mother Hajah Zaini Binti Ismail and my father Haji Zulkafle Bin Zainal who always gives support and encouragement during the challenges of my whole university life.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Bismillahirrahmanirrahim.

Alhamdulillah, thanks to Allah SWT, whom with His willing allowing me to complete this Final Year Project successfully.

Firstly, and foremost, I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere acknowledgement to my supervisor Ir. Ts. Mohd Nazri Bin Ahmad and act as a lecturer from the Faculty of Engineering Technology, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for his essential supervision, support, and encouragement towards the completion of this thesis.

Also, I would like to thanks Mr Mohd Hidayat Bin Ab Rahman, Mr Mohd Azlan Bin Mohamed and all the lecturers and UTeM staff and who had been involved directly or indirectly throughout this project.

Deepest thanks to all my peers, university mate, my beloved parents and family for their moral support, cooperation and encouragement in completing this degree. Lastly, thank you to everyone who had been to the crucial parts of the realization of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DEC | CLARATION | |
|------|--|------|
| APP | PROVAL | |
| ABS' | TRAK | i |
| ABS' | TRACT | ii |
| DED | DICATION | iii |
| ACK | KNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TAB | BLE OF CONTENTS | V |
| LIST | Γ OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST | Γ OF FIGURES | xi |
| LIST | Γ OF APPENDICES | XV |
| LIST | T OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| LIST | Γ OF ABBREVIATIONS | xvii |
| | | |
| СНА | APTER 1: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.0 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Background | 1 |
| 1.2 | Problem Statement | 2 |
| 1.3 | Objective of Study | 3 |
| 1.4 | Scope of Study | 3 |
| 1.5 | Structure of Thesis | 4 |
| CHA | APTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW | |
| 2.0 | Introduction | 5 |
| 2.1 | Additive Manufacturing | 5 |
| | 2.1.1 Definition of Additive Manufacturing | 5 |
| | 2.1.2 ASTM F2792 | 6 |
| 2.2 | Types of Additive Manufacturing | 7 |
| | 2.2.1 Liquid-Based | 7 |
| | 2.2.1.1 VAT Photopolymerization | 8 |
| | 2.2.1.1.1 Stereolithography (SLA) | 9 |
| | 2.2.1.1.2 Digital Light Processing (DLP) | 10 |
| | 2.2.1.1.3 Material Jetting (MJ) | 11 |

| | | 2.2.1.2 Material Extrusion or Molten Material | 12 |
|-----|--------|---|----|
| | | 2.2.1.2.1 Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) | 13 |
| | | 2.2.1.2.2 Drop-on-demand (DOD) | 14 |
| | 2.2.2 | Powder-based | 15 |
| | | 2.2.2.1 Powder Bed Fusion | 15 |
| | | 2.2.2.1.1 Electron-Beam Melting (EBM) | 16 |
| | | 2.2.2.1.2 Selective laser sintering (SLS) | 17 |
| | | 2.2.2.1.3 Direct metal laser sintering (DMLS) | 18 |
| | | 2.2.2.1.4 Selective Laser Melting (SLM) | 19 |
| | | 2.2.2.2 Coaxial Laser Deposition | 21 |
| | | 2.2.2.1 Laser metal deposition (LMD) | 21 |
| | | 2.2.2.3 Binder Jetting | 22 |
| | 2.2.3 | Solid-based (Sheet) | 23 |
| | | 2.2.3.1 Laminated object manufacturing (LOM) | 24 |
| 2.3 | Appli | cation of Additive Manufacturing | 24 |
| 2.4 | Mater | rials definition in chemistry | 25 |
| | 2.4.1 | Plastics | 25 |
| | 2.4.2 | Type of Plastics-based filament 3D Printing | 26 |
| | 2.4.3 | Polylactic Acid (PLA) | 26 |
| 2.5 | Benef | it of Additive Manufacturing | 26 |
| | 2.5.1 | Pros and Cons | 27 |
| 2.6 | Mech | anical Properties | 28 |
| | 2.6.1 | ASTM D638 standard | 29 |
| | 2.6.2 | Tensile Test | 29 |
| 2.7 | Paran | neter definition | 31 |
| | 2.7.1 | Taguchi's Method | 31 |
| 2.8 | Sumn | nary | 32 |
| CHA | PTER 3 | 3: METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.0 | Introd | luction | 33 |
| 3.1 | Flow | Chart of Research (PSM) | 34 |
| | 3.1.1 | Flow Chart of Process Experiment Planning | 35 |
| 3.2 | Ganti | t Chart | 36 |
| | 3.2.1 | Bachelor Degree Project I | 36 |

| | 3.2.2 | Bachelor Degree Project II | 37 |
|-----|-----------|--|----|
| 3.3 | Materials | | 38 |
| 3.4 | Equip | ment | 38 |
| | 3.4.1 | SolidWorks Software | 38 |
| | 3.4.2 | UP Plus 2 Printer and Software | 39 |
| | 3.4.3 | Minitab Software | 39 |
| | 3.4.4 | Tensile Test Machine | 40 |
| 3.5 | Specia | men and Preparation | 40 |
| | 3.5.1 | Specimen ASTM D638 standards (Type IV) | 40 |
| 3.6 | Select | ion of Parameters Factors and Levels | 41 |
| | 3.6.1 | Thickness | 42 |
| | 3.6.2 | Infill | 43 |
| | 3.6.3 | Orientation angle on z-axis | 43 |
| | 3.6.4 | Print speed | 44 |
| 3.7 | Design | n of Experiment | 45 |
| | 3.7.1 | Taguchi's Method | 45 |
| СНА | PTER 4 | 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | |
| 4.0 | Introd | uction | 47 |
| 4.1 | Param | neters and levels | 47 |
| 4.2 | Design | n of Experiment (DoE) | 48 |
| | 4.2.1 | Taguchi's Method | 48 |
| 4.3 | Specin | men production processes flowchart | 50 |
| | 4.3.1 | CAD Drawing | 50 |
| | 4.3.2 | 3D Printing Duration | 51 |
| 4.4 | Testin | g process | 53 |
| | 4.4.1 | Tensile testing | 53 |
| | 4.4.2 | Mechanical Properties | 54 |
| | 4.4.3 | Tensile breaking pattern | 72 |
| 4.5 | Optim | nization | 73 |
| | 4.5.1 | Prediction Result | 77 |
| 4.6 | Confi | rmation test | 77 |
| | 4.6.1 | Optimization's result | 77 |
| 4.7 | Result | t of confirmation test | 80 |

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

| APP | PENDICES | |
|-----|--------------------------------|----|
| REF | TERENCES | |
| 5.3 | Recommendation and Suggestions | 83 |
| 5.2 | Problem Faced During Research | 82 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 81 |
| 5.0 | Introduction | 81 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2.1 | List of advantages of Additive Manufacturing | 27 |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 2.2 | List of disadvantages of Additive Manufacturing | 28 |
| Table 3.1 | Gantt Chart table PSM 1 | 36 |
| Table 3.2 | Gantt Chart table PSM 2 | 39 |
| Table 4.1 | The selected Parameters and Levels | 46 |
| Table 4.2 | Result of Table L9 orthogonal array | 48 |
| Table 4.3 | Duration of 3D printing for specimen | 51 |
| Table 4.4 | Table Mean of Tensile Strength | 52 |
| Table 4.5 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP1 | 54 |
| Table 4.6 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP2 | 56 |
| Table 4.7 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP3 | 58 |
| Table 4.8 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP4 | 60 |
| Table 4.9 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP5 | 62 |
| Table 4.10 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP6 | 64 |
| Table 4.11 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP7 | 66 |

| Table 4.12 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP8 | 68 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 4.13 | Mechanical Properties Table of EXP9 | 70 |
| Table 4.14 | Tensile breaking pattern for EXP1 – EXP9 | 71 |
| Table 4.15 | Mechanical Properties Table of Confirmation Test | 78 |
| Table 4.16 | Tensile breaking pattern for Confirmation Test | 79 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 2.1 | The diagram show type of Additive Manufacturing and categories | 7 |
|-------------|--|----|
| | material used | |
| Figure 2.2 | The process of VAT Photopolymerization | 9 |
| Figure 2.3 | The process of Stereolithography (SLA) | 10 |
| Figure 2.4 | The process of Digital Light Processing (DLP) | 11 |
| Figure 2.5 | The process of Material Jetting (MJ) | 12 |
| Figure 2.6 | The process of Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) | 14 |
| Figure 2.7 | The process of Drop-on-demand (DOD) | 15 |
| Figure 2.8 | The process of Electron-Beam Melting (EBM) | 17 |
| Figure 2.9 | The process of Selective laser sintering (SLS) | 18 |
| Figure 2.10 | The process of Direct metal laser sintering (DMLS) | 19 |
| Figure 2.11 | The process of Selective Laser Melting (SLM) | 20 |
| Figure 2.12 | The process of Coaxial Laser Deposition | 21 |
| Figure 2.13 | The process of Laser Metal Deposition (LMD) | 22 |
| Figure 2.14 | The process of Binder Jetting | 23 |
| Figure 2.15 | The process of Laminated object manufacturing (LOM) | 24 |

| Figure 2.16 | The measurement of the specimen for tensile test | 30 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.17 | Systems for gripping tensile specimens | 30 |
| Figure 3.1 | Flowchart of Research | 34 |
| Figure 3.2 | Flowchart of Process | 35 |
| Figure 3.3 | PLA filament 1.75mm diameter | 38 |
| Figure 3.4 | The features of Minitab 17 | 39 |
| Figure 3.5 | Instron® Electromechanical Universal Testing Machines | 40 |
| Figure 3.6 | Dimension of Specimen ASTM 638 standards | 41 |
| Figure 3.7 | Print Setup features | 42 |
| Figure 3.8 | Physical Characteristics of UP! Plus 2 | 43 |
| Figure 3.9 | The orientation setup in UP Plus 2 software | 44 |
| Figure 3.10 | Physical Characteristics of UP Plus 2 | 44 |
| Figure 3.11 | The table of L9 (34) Standard orthogonal array | 45 |
| Figure 4.1 | Flowchart of Taguchi's Method | 47 |
| Figure 4.2 | The result of Table L-9 Orthogonal Array | 48 |
| Figure 4.3 | Flowchart of process produce specimen | 49 |
| Figure 4.4 | The specimen in the SolidWorks Drawing Part | 50 |
| Figure 4.5 | UP! PLUS 2 3D Printer print the specimens | 51 |
| Figure 4.6 | Flowchart of testing process | 52 |

| Figure 4.7 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP1 | 53 |
|-------------|---|----|
| Figure 4.8 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP1 | 54 |
| Figure 4.9 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP2 | 55 |
| Figure 4.10 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP2 | 56 |
| Figure 4.11 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP3 | 57 |
| Figure 4.12 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP3 | 58 |
| Figure 4.13 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP4 | 59 |
| Figure 4.14 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP4 | 60 |
| Figure 4.15 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP5 | 61 |
| Figure 4.16 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP5 | 62 |
| Figure 4.17 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP6 | 63 |
| Figure 4.18 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP6 | 64 |
| Figure 4.19 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP7 | 65 |
| Figure 4.20 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP7 | 66 |
| Figure 4.21 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP8 | 67 |
| Figure 4.22 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP8 | 68 |
| Figure 4.23 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for EXP9 | 69 |
| Figure 4.24 | Graph of Load against Extension for EXP9 | 70 |
| Figure 4.25 | Result of Optimization using Minitab software | 73 |

| Figure 4.26 | Result of Main Effects Plot Means | 73 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 4.27 | Result of Main Effects Plot for SN ratios | 74 |
| Figure 4.28 | Analyze Taguchi Design for SN Ratio | 74 |
| Figure 4.29 | Analyze Taguchi Design for SN Ratios | 75 |
| Figure 4.30 | Analyze Taguchi Design for Means | 75 |
| Figure 4.31 | The Taguchi Analysis Predicted Values and Factor levels | 76 |
| Figure 4.32 | Graph of Tensile stress against Tensile strain for Confirmation Test | 77 |
| Figure 4.33 | Graph of Load against Extension for Confirmation Test | 78 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A: Specimen Part Drawing

Appendix B: Mechanical Properties

Appendix C: Print Orientation

Appendix D: Specimen

LIST OF SYMBOLS

 μm - micrometre

mm - millimetre

° - degree

°C - degree Celsius

 $\,$ cm 3 /h - centimetre cubic per hour

MPa - Mega Pascal

mm/mm - millimetre per millimetre

N - Newton

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

3D - 3 Dimension

SL - stereolithography

UV - ultra-violet

SLA - StereoLithography Apparatus

AM - Additive Manufacturing

FDM - Fused Deposition Modelling

ASTM D638 - Standard Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

This Chapter was provided with the background information of this study and the organization of the theory. The originality of this study is revealed by the information presented in this thesis. The study gives descriptive information: background, statement of problems, goals, scope and structure of thesis.

1.1 Background

In 1987, additive manufacturing first established in 3D Systems with stereolithography (SL), a process which solidifies light-sensitive liquid polymers of the thin layers of ultra-violet (UV) using the layer laser. SLA stands for Stereolithographic Apparatus. The precursor of the once-popular SLA 250 was the SLA-1 machine, the world's largest commercially available AM system. The 3D Systems Viper SLA item substituted the SLA 250 many years earlier.

Additive Manufacturing provides major benefits in the production of the part which provides unrivalled flexibility of design and the ability to produce single or multiple components from a broad spectrum of materials. The technique is regarded as a process of additives rather than a process of subtraction that extracts fabric parts such as milling. 3D Printing, Additive Fabrication, Freeform Fabrication, Fabbing and Additive Layer Manufacture include the other terms used for describing the general process.

In the middle of the 1980s, the early AM process was established as a solution for the rapid development of products. Rapid Prototyping was the practice at this time as it was intended to make three-dimension designs or mock-ups to verify the shape, fit-up and function. As a part of industry and technology, three-dimensional printing, or additive manufacturing, has been around for already more than thirty years as a rapid prototyping technology-fast and cost-effective method for creating prototypes for product development within the industry (3D Printing Industry, 2015). Although it has been available for years, it is only recently that 3D printing technology has found its way on the markets, which helped it to become another mainstream of the century. Since then, the technology is now used in prototyping and distributed manufacturing with applications from architecture to fashion, from aerospace to dental technology, and way beyond.

1.2 Problem Statement

FDM devices have a basic layer-by-layer part to build. In order to support both tasks, the FDM uses a separate nozzle and structural material deposition. The used material is ideally one that is fused and quickly solidified after looking at the previous layer in a preselected temperature. It is important to identify the right FDM machine parameters (UP! Plus 2) to produce a component that can comply with the desired specifications. There are a few important parameters for the manufactured part that can affect part specification. For instance, the layer thickness, support angle and orientation axis are such parameters. The combinations of various sets of parameters certainly generate parts with distinct requirements, and so it is essential to examine the appropriate mix of parameters for optimal production.