

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology

DESIGN AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF FIRE SMOKE DAMPER

Cheng Hong Leng

Bachelor's Degree in Mechanical Engineering Technology (Refrigeration and Air-Conditioning Systems) with Honours

2019

DESIGN AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF FIRE SMOKE DAMPER

CHENG HONG LENG

This report submitted of the fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering Technology (Refrigerant and Air-Conditioning) with Honors

Faculty of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Technology

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2019

DECLARATION

I declare that this project report entitled "Design and Finite Element Analysis of Fire Smoke Damper" is the result of my work except as cited in references.

Signature :_____ Name :_____ Date :

APPROVAL

I at this moment declare that I have read this project report. In my opinion, this report is enough in term of scope and quality for the award of bachelor's degree in Mechanical Engineering.

Signature	:	
Name	:	
Date	:	

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother and father

ABSTRACT

A good and effective fire safety of ventilation systems can prevent heat and smoke from spreading through the ventilation system. The combination of fire smoke damper can provide a greater efficiency of fire and smoke prevention compare to fire and smoke damper. Thus, the aim for this project is to improve the structural design of Fire Smoke Damper and reduce the cost of material by design a conceptual body structure of frame casing of Fire Smoke Damper in 2 differences geometry design with 3 differences surface thickness of damper frame and to determine the material strength develops in Fire Smoke Damper for each differences design surface thickness of damper frame in Case 1 (Geometry 1) and Case 2 (Geometry 2) by using by CATIA Finite Element Analysis. Moreover, aluminium material was selected as primary material for the design by Morphological Chart and Pugh Method in materials selection and sizing of Fire Smoke Damper structural design. Thus, the maximum Von Mises Stress, equivalent strain and total deformation rate in both cases will be compared and discussed.

ABSTRAK

Keselamatan kebakaran sistem pengudaraan yang baik dan berkesan boleh menghalang haba dan asap daripada menyebarkan melalui sistem pengudaraan. Gabungan penyadap asap api dapat memberikan kecekapan kebakaran dan pencegahan asap yang lebih besar berbanding kebakaran dan peredam kebakaran. Oleh itu, matlamat projek ini adalah untuk meningkatkan reka bentuk struktur Pemadam Asap Kebakaran dan mengurangkan kos bahan dengan merangka struktur badan konseptual rangka selongsong Damper Kebakaran Asap dalam 2 reka bentuk geometri perbezaan dengan 3 ketebalan permukaan ketebalan peredam dan untuk menentukan kekuatan bahan yang terbentuk dalam Damper Kebakaran Asap bagi setiap perbezaan ketebalan permukaan reka bentuk ketebalan peredam dalam Kes 1 (Geometri 1) dan Kes 2 (Geometri 2) dengan menggunakan Analisis Elemen CATIA Finite. Selain itu, bahan aluminium dipilih sebagai bahan utama untuk reka bentuk oleh Morfologi Carta dan Kaedah Pugh dalam pemilihan bahan dan saiz reka bentuk asap Kebakaran Merokok. Oleh itu, Tekanan Von Mises maksimum, ketegangan yang sama dan kadar ubah bentuk dalam kedua-dua kes akan dibandingkan dan dibincangkan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to express my appreciation to my supervisor Ts Muhammad Nur for his patient guidance and excellent advice. He always provides useful ideas and suggestions to solve the problem faced during the progress.

Next, my sincere appreciation to my parents and my siblings for their love and prayers who always gives full support on my study along these few years. Their support is my motivation to complete the task.

Finally, I must special thanks to my friends who always encourage me throughout my study.

TABLE CONTENTS

DECLA	ARATION	
APPRO	OVAL	
DEDIC	CATION	
ABSTE	RACT	i
ABSTE	RAK	ii
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTS	iii
CHAP	TER 1	1
INTRO	DUCTION	1
1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Problem Statement	3
1.2	Objective	4
1.3	Scope of Work	4
1.4	Thesis Structure	5
CHAP	TER 2	7
LITER	ATURE REVIEW	7
2.0	Introduction	7
2.1	Damper in HVAC	8
2.2	Type of Damper	9
2.3	Fire Damper	14
2.4	Smoke Damper	16
2.5	Combination of Fire Smoke Damper	17
2.6	Performance Data for Damper	18
2.7	Leakage Ratings	19
2.8	Torque Requirements	21
2.9	Velocity Ratings	22
2.10	Temperature Ratings	22

2.11	Pressure Ratings		23
2.12	Application of Environn	nent Effect	24
2.13			27
2.14	Material		27
2.14	.1 Metals		28
2.14	.2 Polymers		29
2.15	Aluminium alloy proper	ties	30
2.16	Stainless steel properties		31
2.17	Finite element analysis		31
2.18	Stress analysis		33
2.18	.1 Equivalent Von Mi	sses Stress	34
2.18	Equivalent Elastic S	Strain	35
2.18	3.3 Total deformation		35
СНАРТ	ER 3		36
METHO	DOLOGY		36
3.0	Introduction		36
3.1	Project Flowchart		37
3.2	Design Criteria of Fire S	Smoke Damper	38
3.3	Materials Selection and	Sizing of Fire Smoke Damper Structural Design.	39
3.3.	1 Preliminary design		39
3.3.	2 Morphological chart		39
3.3.	3 Pugh Method		40
3.3.	4 Damper Structural De	esign Sizing	42
3.4	3D Drawing in SOLIDW	VORK 2017 Software	46
3.4.	1 3D Drawing Design o	f Damper Frame	46
3.4.	2 3D Drawing Design o	of Damper Blade	48
3.4.	3 3D Drawing Design o	of Damper Linkage Rod	52
3.5	Assembly Fire Smoke D	amper Parts in SOLIDWORK 2017 Software	53
3.6	Pre-setting and hyper me	eshing in CATIA software	56
3.7	Assigning materials and	physical property of the Fire Smoke Damper	58
3.8	Application of load and	boundary conditions	61
СНАРТ	ER 4		65
RESUL	FAND DISCUSSION		65

v

4.0	Introduction	65
4.1	Case 1: Result of aluminium material for damper frame of geometry 1	66
4.1.1	Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 1	67
4.1.2	2 Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 2	70
4.1.3	3 Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 3	73
	4 Comparison result of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different knesses in Case 1.	76
	5 Comparison result of Equivalent Strain of aluminium material on 3 different knesses in Case 1.	77
	6 Comparison result of Translational Displacement of aluminium material on 3 event thicknesses in Case 1.	3 78
4.2	Case 2: Result of aluminium material for damper frame of geometry 2	79
4.2.1	Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 1	80
4.2.2	2 Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 2	83
4.2.3	3 Result of Finite Element Analysis of thickness 3	86
	4 Comparison result of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different knesses in Case 2.	89
	5 Comparison result of Equivalent Strain of aluminium alloy material on 3 erent thicknesses in Case 2.	90
	6 Comparison result of Translational Displacement of aluminium alloy materia different thicknesses in Case 2.	al 91
4.3	Comparison of result between Case 1 and Case 2	92
4.3.1 thick	Comparison result of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different constrained and Case 2.	nt 92
4.3.2 diffe	2 Comparison result of Equivalent Strain of aluminium alloy material on 3 erent thicknesses between Case 1 and Case 2.	93
	³ Comparison result of Translational Displacement of aluminium alloy materia different thicknesses between Case 1 and Case 2.	al 94
4.4	Comparison between Case 1 and Case 2 in term of weight and density	94
4.5	Conclusion of comparison between Case 1 and Case 2	98
CHAPTI	ER 5	100
CONCLU	USION AND RECOMMENDATION	100
5.0	Introduction	100
5.1	Conclusion	100
5.2	Recommendation and future work	101

REFFERENCES

102

APPENDIX

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2. 1 UL555S Maximum Allowable Damper Leakage Classification	16
Table 2. 2 Maximum Static Pressure Differential	23
Table 2. 3 Maximum Static Pressure Differential Capability	24
Table 2. 4 Preservation of Damper Corrosion 1	26
Table 2. 5 Advantages of Appropriate determination Damper Size	27
Table 2. 6: Four classes of engineering materials	28
Table 2. 7 Properties of polymers.	29
Table 2. 8 Aluminium properties	30
Table 2. 9: Properties of stainless steel.	31
Table 3. 1: Morphological chart of Fire Smoke Damper Body Structural	40
Table 3. 2: Weightage of Pugh method	41
Table 3. 3: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness1)	43
Table 3. 4: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness 2)	43
Table 3. 5: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness 3)	44
Table 3. 6: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness1)	44
Table 3. 7: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness 2)	45
Table 3. 8: Design parameter of Fire Smoke Damper geometry 1 (thickness 3)	45
Table 4. 1: Result of aluminium material of Case 1 (Geometry 1) in 3 different thick	nesses
	66
Table 4. 2: Result of aluminium material of Case 2 (Geometry 2) in 3 different thick	nesses
	79
Table 4. 3 inertia measure result of Aluminium material Case 1 (Geometry 1) and Ca	ase 2
(Geometry 2)	98

(Geometry 2)

viii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2. 1 Operation of Parallel Blade Dampers	10
Figure 2. 2 Operation of Opposed Blade Dampers	11
Figure 2. 3 Round Dampers	12
Figure 2. 4 Multiple Section Damper Assembly	13
Figure 2. 5 Drive Blade Axle Extended for Horizontal Multiple Section Damper Assemble	oly.
	14
Figure 2. 6 Wall/Partition Fire Damper	15
Figure 2. 7 Ceiling Fire Dampers	15
Figure 2. 8 Graphic Presentation of Leakage Performance	21
Figure 2. 9 Low Leakage Dampers	21
Figure 2. 10 Example of meshing	32
Figure 2. 11 Apply load to the single node.	32
Figure 2. 12 Applying force on the edge	33
Figure 2. 13 Applying of pressure and vacuum.	33
Figure 3. 1: Fire Smoke Damper frame structure	46
Figure 3. 2: Extrude Cut the both side of damper frame into an edge.	47
Figure 3. 3: Draw the small hole on the side of damper frame.	47
Figure 3. 4: Extrude cut the small hole for both side.	48
Figure 3. 5: Sketch the damper layout according the design dimension.	48
Figure 3. 6: Extrude the blade layout into the into design dimension.	49
Figure 3. 7: Draw a blade linkage holder and extrude it into respected design dimension.	. 49
Figure 3. 8: Draw a small hole on blade linkage holder and extrude cut it.	50
Figure 3. 9: Use chamfer features to cut both side of the blade in reinforce cut edge.	50
Figure 3. 10: 3D viewing of complete damper blade drawing.	51
Figure 3. 11: 3D viewing of complete damper blade drawing	51
Figure 3. 12: Sketch a circle layout according the design dimension and extrude it in to	a
rod.	52
Figure 3. 13: 3D viewing of complete damper linkage rod drawing.	52
Figure 3. 14: Drag out all Fire Smoke Damper Part and ready for assembly process.	53

Figure 3. 16: Repeat the previous step until the Fire Smoke Damper is fully assembly. 54 Figure 3. 17: Check the interference and accuracy after the damper fully assembly. 54 Figure 3. 18: Make sure all the Blade Linkage Rod is installed accurate in each damper 55 Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble product. 55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software. 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 26: Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application. 60 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62	Figure 3. 15: Assemble the Damper Linkage Rod into the Damper Blade and installed in	nto
Figure 3. 17: Check the interference and accuracy after the damper fully assembly. 54 Figure 3. 18: Make sure all the Blade Linkage Rod is installed accurate in each damper 55 Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble product. 55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface 62 edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material 11 library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software. CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application. eonstraint force while pressure force apply. 61 Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation after the computation resource estimation. 63 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis re	the Damper Frame hole.	53
Figure 3. 18: Make sure all the Blade Linkage Rod is installed accurate in each damper 55 Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble product. 55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software. 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application. 60 Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper. 62 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation. 63 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4.	Figure 3. 16: Repeat the previous step until the Fire Smoke Damper is fully assembly.	54
frame hole. 55 Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble product. 55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software. 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application. 60 Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper. 62 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation. 63 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status so ff Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1in details. 68 Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 17: Check the interference and accuracy after the damper fully assembly.	54
Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble product. 55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software. 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application. 60 Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper. 61 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status of analysis. 63 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material 68	Figure 3. 18: Make sure all the Blade Linkage Rod is installed accurate in each damper	
55 Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper. 56 Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software. 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply. 61 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation. 63 Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material 68	frame hole.	55
Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper.56Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design software.57Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges.57Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	Figure 3. 19: Use Section View features to check the cutaway part of the assemble prod	luct.
Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design 57 Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface 60 edges. 57 Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material 58 Ibrary of CATIA Software. 58 Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in 59 CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh 60 application. 60 Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper. 62 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material 68		55
software.57Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surfaceedges.57Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in materiallibrary of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manuallymodified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected inCATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Meshapplication.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix theconstraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	Figure 3. 20: 3D Viewing of complete assembled Fire Smoke Damper.	56
Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface edges.57Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material library of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	Figure 3. 21: Fire Smoke Damper Frame drawing file imported into CATIA design	
edges.57Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material11library of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually59Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in59CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	software.	57
Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in materiallibrary of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually59modified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected inCATIA Software.CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 22: Turn the Fire Smoke Damper Sketching into shades geometry and surface	
library of CATIA Software.58Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	edges.	57
Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually modified into aluminium alloy properties. 59 Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in 59 CATIA Software. 59 Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case. 60 Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh 60 application. 60 Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the 61 constraint force while pressure force apply. 61 Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis. 62 Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material 68	Figure 3. 23: selected the aluminium material in default material catalogue in material	
modified into aluminium alloy properties.59Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	library of CATIA Software.	58
Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	Figure 3. 24: ensures the current selected material properties of aluminium are manually	у
CATIA Software.59Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	modified into aluminium alloy properties.	59
Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.60Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material60	Figure 3. 25: Generative Structural Analysis of Analysis and Simulation has selected in	
Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	CATIA Software.	59
application.60Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 26 Static analysis was selected from the analysis case.	60
Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 27: set meshing element node size into 5mm for the Tetrahedron Mesh	
constraint force while pressure force apply.61Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element63Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	application.	60
Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.62Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 28: 4 faces of Restraints Clamp were applied both side of the damper to fix the	e
Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.62Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 thickness 168Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material68	constraint force while pressure force apply.	61
Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.63Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4.63Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1.67Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in details.68Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 29: Force applied on the inlet surface of the damper.	62
Figure 3. 32: Wait until the computation status has fully completed run. The Finite Element Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in 68 Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material 68	Figure 3. 30: Click Compute for computation status of analysis.	62
Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63 Figure 4. 1: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1. 67 Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1 in details. 68 Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Figure 3. 31: Continued the computation after the computation resource estimation.	63
Figure 4. 2: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 1in details. Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material	Analysis result was tabulated in chapter 4. 63	
details. Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material		07
Figure 4. 3: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium material		68
Case thickness 68	Case 1 thickness 1.	u 68

Figure 4. 4: Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material Ca	ase
1 thickness 1 in details.	69
Figure 4. 5: Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 1 thickness 1.	69
Figure 4. 6: Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 1 thickness 1.	70
Figure 4. 7: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 2.	70
Figure 4. 8: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 2 in	
details.	71
Figure 4. 9: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium materia	al
Case 1 thickness 2.	71
Figure 4. 10: Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material G	Case
1 thickness 2 in details.	72
Figure 4. 11: Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 1 thickness 2.	72
Figure 4. 12 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 1 thickness 2.	73
Figure 4. 13: Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 3.	73
Figure 4. 14: Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 1 thickness 3 in	
details.	74
Figure 4. 15: Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium mater	ial
Case 1 thickness 3.	74
Figure 4. 16: Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material G	Case
1 thickness 3 in details.	75
Figure 4. 17: Total deformation 3D view of aluminium alloy material Case 1 thickness	3.75
Figure 4. 18: Total deformation 3D view of aluminium alloy material Case 1 thickness	3.76
Figure 4. 19: Bar Chart of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses in case 1	76
Figure 4. 20: Bar Chart of Equivalent Strain of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses in case 1	77
Figure 4. 21: Bar Chart of Translational Displacement of aluminium material on 3 diffe	erent
thicknesses in case 1	78
Figure 4. 22 Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 1.	80
Figure 4. 23 Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 1in	
details.	81
Figure 4. 24 Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium materi	al
Case 2 thickness 1.	81

Figure 4. 25 Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material 2 thickness 1 in details. 82	
Figure 4. 26 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 1.	82
Figure 4. 27 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 1.	83
Figure 4. 28 Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 2.	83
Figure 4. 29 Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 2 in	1
details.	84
Figure 4. 30 Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium mater	rial
Case 2 thickness 2.	84
Figure 4. 31 Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material	Case
2 thickness 2 in details.	85
Figure 4. 32 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 2.	85
Figure 4. 33 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 2.	86
Figure 4. 34 Maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 3.	86
Figure 4. 35 Static maximum and minimum Von Mises Stress of Case 2 thickness 3 ir	1
details.	87
Figure 4. 36 Maximum and minimum Translational Displacement of aluminium mater	rial
Case 2 thickness 3.	87
Figure 4. 37 Static maximum and minimum equivalent strains of aluminium material	Case
2 thickness 3 in details.	88
Figure 4. 38 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 3.	88
Figure 4. 39 Total deformation 3D view of aluminium material Case 2 thickness 3.	89
Figure 4. 40: Bar Chart of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses in case 2 (Geometry 2)	89
Figure 4. 41: Bar Chart of Equivalent Strain of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses in case 2 (Geometry 2)	90
Figure 4. 42: Bar Chart of Translational Displacement of aluminium material on 3 diff	ferent
thicknesses in case 2 (Geometry 2)	91
Figure 4. 43: Bar Chart of Von Mises Stress of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses between Case 1 (Geometry 1) and Case 2 (Geometry 2).	92
Figure 4. 44: Bar Chart of Equivalent Strain of aluminium material on 3 different	
thicknesses between Case 1 (Geometry 1) and Case 2 (Geometry 2).	93
Figure 4. 45: Bar Chart of Translational Displacement of aluminium material on 3 diff	ferent
thicknesses between Case 1 (Geometry 1) and Case 2 (Geometry 2).	94

- Figure 4. 46 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 1 of Case 1 (Geometry 1). 95
- Figure 4. 47 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 2 of Case 1 (Geometry 1). 95
- Figure 4. 48 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 3 of Case 1 (Geometry 1) 96
- Figure 4. 49 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 1 of Case 2 (Geometry 2) 96
- Figure 4. 50 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 2 of Case 2 (Geometry 2) 97
- Figure 4. 51 inertia measure result of Aluminium in thickness 3 of Case 2 (Geometry 2) 97

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVATION

AFP	Active Fire Protection
PFP	Passive Fire Protection
FSD	Fire Smoke Dampers
FEA	Finite Element Analysis
NFPA	National Board of Fire
CFD	Computational Fluid Dynamics
HVAC	Heating Ventilation Air-Conditioning
FEM	Finite Element Method
ρ	Density
Pa	Pascal
Kg	Kilogram
V	Volume
D	Diameter
Mm	Millimetre
А	Area
σ	Stress
3	Strain
E	Young's modulus
τ	Shear Stress
F	Force
m	Mass

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Nowadays, in this modern generation era, the fire prevention system is a need and vital piece of a building's infrastructure to secure valuable inventory and lives (Mattel Johnson, 2017). An effective fire prevention system can help extinguish the fire or control the fire, help in tenant departure, and protect property from harm. These systems can be isolated into two classes: active fire protection (AFP) and inactive or passive fire protection (PFP). However, like active fire protection require a trigger activity to work, and incorporate things like fire extinguishers, fire/smoke alerts, and sprinkler system. Passive fire protection frameworks can incorporate structure components, for example, fire and smoke appraised dividers and floors that are utilized to isolate portions of the structure.

As a component of the passive fire prevention system, fire/smoke appraised allotments and obstructions compartmentalize the building and forestall the spread of flame and smoke to the whole building. While infiltrating these obstructions with ventilation work ought to be maintained a strategic distance from if conceivable, at times it is fundamental. In these entrances, fire or potentially smoke dampers can be used to keep up the control of imperviousness to fire rating. There are three kinds of these dampers: fire dampers, smoke dampers, and new innovation of combination of fire/smoke dampers (FSD).

Fire dampers are designed and intended to forestall the spread of blazes over an appraised hindrance. A flame damper is installed inside the duct where the duct intersects

the obstruction. They work utilizing a heat sensitive device that, when actuated, melts and makes the damper segments close. A smoke damper can likewise be introduced inside the duct, and is enacted by a sign from a smoke locator inside the damper. Endless supply of smoke, the actuator will consequently close the damper segments. A combination of fire smoke damper are fordable to support prevent smoke and fire. Thus, it closes the damper can likewise contain an electric heat release device, which can be reset, and enables the damper to shut in an increasingly controlled manner, as opposed to pummelling shut when the electric heat release device. A combination of fire/smoke damper will likewise close upon the failure of electrical power, as they are held open by a fuelled actuator. This implies they can be interlocked with the structure fire caution framework to such an extent that they close in the wake of getting a sign from the fire alarm system.

1.1 Problem Statement

In this advanced generation with full of high rise buildings, an effective and pragmatic the ventilation systems of fire safety of was important to secure valuable inventory and lives, and to provide and ensure a safety working conditions for the rescue team. A fire occurrence must be limited before it develops into a disaster. Foremost to save lives, but also significant values may be lost. The requirements for personal safety and the emergency response capacity are very closely linked to the risk of fire spreading and stability of the building. A good and effective fire safety of ventilation systems can prevent heat and smoke from spreading through the ventilation system. Fire safety in ventilation plants is thus necessary in modern buildings.

According to the examination of National Board of Fire (NFPA) data in the 1930s, in 1939 the National Board of Fire Underwriters proposed that the dampers been selected and installed in HVAC systems must able to interrupt the passage of smoke, flame, and heat during a fire. Since that time, numerous experts in the field of the fire sciences have substantiated the effectiveness of automatic closing fire or smoke dampers and automatic fan shutdown in HVAC systems in preventing the migration of smoke, flame, and heat to areas of a building remote from the area of origin. Thus, John Knapp (2015) stated the limitation of the current Fire Damper is only operating to close the damper once the fusible link of fire damper is melt or the thermal sensor detected high rise temperature occurs in the ducting system. Thus, the smoke damper is operating due to the smoke detector once the smoke concentration is over the safety rate according to the standard of National Board of Fire (NFPA). To overcome the current limitation of fire and smoke damper, an improvement and modification is needed and necessary; the best solution to overcome the problem is through the use of the combination of fire smoke damper. Thus, the combination of fire smoke damper can provide a greater efficiency during operation which it closes not only upon high duct temperature but also upon the detection of smoke. It is UL leakage-rated to stop smoke in its tracks, which is the main difference from the current fire dampers and smoke dampers. Moreover, the improvement of design geometry of past fire and smoke damper is needed, to improve the material efficiency in term of weight, damper sizing, and damper frame thickness to reduce the cost of material thus provide a greater efficiency than past fire and smoke damper.

1.2 Objective

The main objectives of this project are:

- 1. Designed a conceptual body structure of frame casing of Fire Smoke Damper in differences geometry with differences surface thickness of damper frame.
- 2. Determined the material strength develops in Fire Smoke Damper for differences geometry and differences surface thickness of damper frame.

1.3 Scope of Work

Scopes are recorded to guarantee the venture will be inside its expected limit. The scopes will be functional to guarantee those project is heading in the right course with attaining the objective. In this project, there are several scopes to be considered in order to achieve the objective. Which is designed a conceptual combination Fire Smoke Damper (FSD) in differences design geometry with differences thickness of damper frame surface thickness by using SOLIDWORK according the specifications standard of UL 555 (Standard for Safety/Fire Dampers and Ceiling Dampers) and UL 555S (Standard for Leakage Rated Dampers for Use in Smoke Control Systems) to improves the limitation of current Fire Damper. Thus, the structural design of the Fire Smoke Damper (FSD) in this

project is only applicable to the rectangular duct in Heat Ventilation Air-conditioning (HVAC) system with a Single duct Section size in 18" W x 18" H Vertical Mount and up to the body structure design of the fire smoke damper which including the materials use of fire smoke damper and the geometry design of damper frame, blade and blade linkage rod.

Moreover than that, this project also applied the optimization design technique to optimize the body structure design of Fire Smoke Damper (FSD) into 2 differences damper frame design geometry which is geometry 1 the ordinary design of past fire damper (without Cut Edged from side) and geometry 2 the new innovation Fire Smoke Damper Frame (cut edged 20mm from both side) on 3 differences thickness parameter of FSD damper frame on each geometries. Thus, Finite Element Analysis (FEA) of CATIA was applied for determined the material strength of selected material that develops in Combination of Fire Smoke Damper for differences geometry with different thickness of damper frame to produce a better design structure of fire smoke damper and to improve the material efficiency in term of weight, damper sizing, and damper frame thickness to reduce the weight of fire smoke damper frame and material cost to fulfil the objective of the project.

1.4 Thesis Structure

In Chapter 1, it will be explained briefly about the possibility of the project. Tasks background will be discussed in this part. This part will concentrate on the outline of the undertaking, specifying the objective, the issue articulation, and the scope of the project.

In chapter 2, this section is about the idea, hypothesis, and some characteristic of equipment and component that utilized as a part of this task. This part also contains a meaning of term used as a part of this undertaking and furthermore discusses about the idea of the research and how it identified with the theory.