



# **IMPROVING RUBBER-TO-METAL BONDING THROUGH THE USE OF SILANE COUPLING AGENT**

Submitted in accordance with the requirement of the Universiti Teknikal  
Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering  
(Hons.)

by

**TAN ING YUH**

**B051510081**

**951201-13-5985**

**FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING**

2019

**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA**

Tajuk: **IMPROVING RUBBER-TO-METAL BONDING THROUGH THE USE OF SILANE COUPLING AGENT**

Sesi Pengajian: **2019/2020 Semester 1**

Saya **TAN ING YUH (951201-13-5985)**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. \*Sila tandakan (√)

- SULIT** (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysiasebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
- TERHAD** (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
- TIDAK TERHAD**

Disahkan oleh:

*Tang*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alamat Tetap:  
B1-25-13-1, CONDOMINIUM MIHARJA,  
JALAN PALONG, CHERAS BATU ½,  
WILAYAH PERSEKUTUAN, KL  
Tarikh: 13/01/2020

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

\*Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.



## FAKULTI KEJURUTERAAN PEMBUATAN

### BORANG PENGESAHAN TAJUK INDUSTRI BAGI PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

Tajuk PSM: Improving Rubber to Metal Bonding Through The use of Silane Coupling Agent

Nama Syarikat: HML Auto Industries Sdn.Bhd.

Sesi Pengajian: 2018/2019

Adalah saya dengan ini memperakui dan bersetuju bahawa Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) yang bertajuk seperti di atas adalah merupakan satu projek yang dijalankan berdasarkan situasi sebenar yang berlaku di syarikat kami sepertimana yang telah dipersetujui bersama oleh wakil syarikat kami dan penyelia serta pelajar dari Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuatan, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka yang menjalankan projek ini.

Tandatangan Wakil

Syarikat: Cop Rasmi:

Nama Pegawai: *Tan Ing Yuh*

Jawatan: *DIRECTOR*

Tarikh: *11 OCT 2018*

H.M.L AUTO INDUSTRIES SDN. BHD.  
(710467-H)

No. 5816, Jalan Padang Gajah,  
Kawasan Perindustrian Tambak Jawa,  
45800 Mukim Jeram, Selangor.  
Tel: 03-3250 2323 Fax: 03-3250 7799

Tandatangan Pelajar: *Siang*

Nama Pelajar: Tan Ing Yuh

No Matriks: B051510081

Tarikh: 25/09/2018

*Siang*  
**DR. CHANG SIANG YEE**  
Senior Lecturer

Tandatangan Penyelia:

**Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering**  
**Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka**

Cop Rasmi:

**Hang Tuah Jaya**  
**76100 Durian Tunggal, Melaka**

Nama Penyelia: Dr. Chang Siang Yee

Jawatan: Pensyarah Kanan

Tarikh: 25/09/2018

## DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Improving Rubber-to-Metal Bonding Through the use of Silane Coupling Agent” is the results of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature :           *Tang*            
Author’s Name : TAN ING YUH  
Date : 13/01/2020

## **APPROVAL**

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka as a partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Hons.). The members of the supervisory committee are as follow:

.....  
**(DR. CHANG SIANG YEE)**

## ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, silana digunakan secara meluas untuk bon dua bahan yang tidak serupa seperti getah-logam. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kaedah untuk meningkatkan ikatan kimia antara aluminium-to-getah dengan menggunakan bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amina dan bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kesan teknik permohonan silana yang berbeza pada kekuatan ikatan antara muka getah logam dan menyiasat kesan komposisi penyelesaian silana pada kekuatan ikatan antara muka getah logam. Bahan yang digunakan terdiri daripada aluminium 6061-T6, dan getah asli, manakala bahan kimia adalah dua jenis silana, metanol, aseton, larutan alkali, asid asetik dan natrium hidroksida. Kekasaran permukaan aluminium diubahsuai oleh letupan pasir kemudian diikuti oleh alkali dirawat dengan hasil akhir  $3.943\mu\text{m}$ . Hasil XRD telah membuktikan hidroksida pada permukaan aluminium selepas alkali dirawat. Penyelesaian silana bercampur dalam tiga komposisi yang berbeza dan diuji bawah FTIR. Dalam hasilnya, kuasa kulit maksimum HML Auto Industries yang dijalankan dengan CHEMLOK adalah  $323.671\text{N}$  dengan tenaga mengelupas nominal  $12,947\text{ KJ}/\text{mm}^2$ . Selain itu, teknik memberus adalah digalakkan untuk memohon silana pada substrat aluminium antara penyemburan dan mencelup. Manakala 1-1 tetrasulfide-amino campuran silane mempunyai kekuatan tertinggi melekat dalam ikatan  $34.084\text{N}$  dan tenaga mengelupas nominal  $1,605\text{ KJ}/\text{mm}^2$ . Kesan komposisi campuran silana tidak disediakan kekuatan ikatan yang tinggi pada antara muka getah logam kerana ia digalakkan ikatan tidak stabil dengan hasil FTIR dengan puncak kecil dalam kumpulan memfungsionalisasikan. Kesimpulannya, penggunaan ejen gandingan silana dalam aluminium-to-getah tidak mengatasi penggunaan pelekat biasa CHEMLOK.

## ABSTRACT

Nowadays, the silane coupling agent had been widely used to bond the two dissimilar materials such as rubber-to-metal. The aim of this study is to evaluate the methods of improving the chemical bonding between aluminum-to-rubber by using the bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine and bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide. The objectives of this work are to investigate the effect of different silane application techniques on the bond strength of rubber-metal interface and investigating the effect of composition of silane solutions on the bond strength of rubber-metal interface. The materials used in this research were the aluminum 6061-T6, and natural rubber, where else the chemicals used were the two types of silanes, methanol, acetone, alkaline-cleaned solution, acetic acid and sodium hydroxide. The surface roughness of aluminum was modified by sand blasting then followed by alkaline treated with final result of  $3.943\mu\text{m}$ . The XRD result has proven the presents of hydroxide element on surface of aluminum substrate after alkaline treated for bonding purpose. Two types of silane solutions were mixed in three different compositions and tested under the FTIR for the chemical structure. In the control sample result, the maximum peel force of HML Auto Industries Sdn Bhd that carried out with the CHEMLOK 205 and CHEMLOK 220 was  $323.671\text{N}$  with the nominal peeling energy of  $12.947\text{ KJ}/\text{mm}^2$ . Besides, brushing technique is promoted to apply the silane on aluminum substrate among spraying and dipping. The result showed that the 1 to 1 tetrasulfide to amino silane mixture has the highest adhesion strength in bonding with  $34.084\text{N}$  and nominal peeling energy of  $1.605\text{ KJ}/\text{mm}^2$ . However, the effect of composition of silane mixture were not provided the high bond strength on the rubber-metal interface as it promoted unstable bonding by the FTIR result with the small peak in functionalize group. As a conclusion, the use of silane coupling agents in aluminum-to-rubber had not overcome the use of ordinary adhesive of CHEMLOK.

## **DEDICATION**

Only  
my beloved father, Tan Ling Soon  
my appreciated mother, Yung Chung Ee  
my adored sister and brother, Simon and Sharon  
for giving me moral support, money, cooperation, encouragement and understandings  
Thank You so much & love you all forever



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciates to my supervisor, Dr. Chang Siang Yee, for her exceptional guidance me throughout the development of my research project. Her commitment in ensuring that I understood the whole concept of research methods and her patience in guiding me through complex statistical analyses act as motivating factors for me to strive and persevere despite challenges and adversities. She taught me how to become an ethics and full of the responsibility about my work even though many times I have hurt about her feeling. But I would like to say thank you for everything that you have taught me throughout the two semesters. I really learned a lot and inspired many learned lessons that needed to be bring towards my future pathway.

Besides, especially thanks to my fellow friends, Lim Wei Qi and Lim Qing Jing, who always gave me encouragement, motivation and support, truly help the progression of my research project.

Furthermore, I would like to thanks to the HML Auto Industries Sdn Bhd for providing the raw materials and technical data to support the experiment research. A gratefully thank you to my industrial beloved supervisors, Muhammad Afiq Ani and Mimi Aliaza Ayop for their guidance throughout the whole progress of the research project.

Finally, I would like to thank you again to everyone who helped me, in terms of guidance or advice during this period. Other than that, not forgetting my family especially my parents, thanks for having my back all the time.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRAK	i
ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xiii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objective	6
1.4 Research Scope	6
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Strut mount	8
2.1.1 Aluminum 6061	10
2.1.2 Natural rubber	12
2.1.3 Sulfur-cured rubber	13
2.2 Rubber-to-metal bonding by adhesive	14
2.2.1 Adhesive and primer	14

2.2.2	CHEMLOK 205 and CHEMLOK 220	15
2.3	Rubber-to-metal bonding by silane	18
2.3.1	Silane coupling agent	19
2.3.2	Mechanism of the silane on the substrate	20
2.3.3	Silane film thickness	24
2.3.4	Effect of silane composition on the bond strength	26
2.4	Effect of silane solution pH value on the bond strength	27
2.5	Surface preparation of the aluminum thin sheet	28
2.5.1	Surface roughness of the aluminum thin sheet	29
2.5.2	XRD analysis	30
2.6	Application techniques of silane solution on rubber-to-metal interface	31
2.7	FTIR analysis	32
2.8	Peel test	36
<b>CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY</b>		38
3.0	Introduction	38
3.1	Materials	38
3.1.1	Aluminum 6061-T6	39
3.1.2	Natural rubber	39
3.1.3	Bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine	40
3.1.4	Bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide	41
3.1.5	Methanol	42
3.1.6	Acetone	42
3.1.7	Alkaline cleaner solution	43
3.1.8	Acetic acid	44
3.1.9	Sodium hydroxide	44

3.2	Methodology	45
3.2.1	Preparation of the control sample	46
3.2.2	Preparation of aluminum 6061-T6	46
3.2.3	Pre-treatment procedure of metal substrate	47
3.2.4	Preparation of un-vulcanized rubber	49
3.2.5	Preparation of silane solution	50
3.2.6	Applying silane solution on metal substrate	51
3.3	Peel Test	53
3.4	FTIR analysis	55
3.5	XRD analysis	56
<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>57</b>
4.1	Surface roughness analysis on aluminum substrate	57
4.2	XRD analysis	60
4.3	Peel test result of control sample	63
4.4	Silane application techniques result	64
4.5	FTIR analysis	66
4.5	Composition of the silane mixture result	69
<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>71</b>
5.1	Conclusions	71
5.2	Recommendations	73
5.3	Sustainability development	74
<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>76</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>		<b>82</b>
A	Surface roughness data	83
B	Applied techniques result data	84

C	Composition of silane solution result data	84
D	FTIR result	86

## LIST OF TABLES

2.1: Components weightage percentage for the aluminum alloy 6061-T6.	11
2.2: Properties of Aluminum 6061	11
2.3: Basic Properties of natural rubber	12
2.4: Typical Properties of CHEMLOK 205/220 Adhesive System.	17
2.5: Performance result by applying the CHEMLOK primer and adhesive.	18
2.6: Common method of adhesive application	24
2.7: Adhesion strength of rubber compound to cold-rolled steel as a function of the silane film thickness	26
2.8: List of compatible acid in organic and inorganic form	27
2.9: The adhesion result based on the two different pH value	28
2.10: Shear strength with respect to surface roughness value of aluminum adherent's joints.	29
2.11: Peak assignments for bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine	35
2.12: Infrared peak assignments for bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide	35
3.1: MSDS data sheet of Bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine	40
3.2: MSDS data sheet for Bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide	41
3.3: Technical data for EMSURE brand methanol	42
3.4: Technical data for acetone	43
3.5: Test parameters with different composition ratio values for two types of silane solution	51
4.1: Surface roughness result for original aluminum plate, sand blasted aluminum plate and alkaline-treated aluminum plate	58
4.2: Compound presented for alkaline-treated Al sample with omega 1.5 glazing angle	62
4.3: Peel test result based on the three different applied technique	64
4.4: Peel test result based on the composition of the silane mixture	69

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.1: Car Strut Mount	2
1.2: Removal of rubber part from aluminum of strut mount	5
2.1: Structure of the strut mount.	9
2.2: Examples of defective strut mount bearings after exerted to heat and damping vibration	10
2.3: Structure formula of aluminum 6061	11
2.4: Structural formula of the natural rubber with chemical formula of $(C_5H_8)_n$ .	12
2.5: Transformation of NR to synthetic rubber with adding the sulfur element	13
2.6: Interfacial dynamics of a rubber-to-metal bond	15
2.7: Structure of adhesive of cyanoacrylate	16
2.8: CHEMLOK 205 primer and CHEMLOK 220 Adhesive	17
2.9: General formula of silane coupling agent	19
2.10: Structure of hydrolytic and anhydrous deposition of silane	22
2.11: Cross-linking of the pendant silane by bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine.	23
2.12: Variation silane concentration in EtOH with the thickness of the silane film (spin-coated and baked on polished chrome steel), as viewed by SEM. (A) 5 $\mu\text{m}$ (3000x); (B) 1 $\mu\text{m}$ (15 000x); (C) 3 $\mu\text{m}$ (5000x) ;( D) 7 $\mu\text{m}$ (2000x).	25
2.13: Schematic diagram of the rubber–to-steel interface after cure	26
2.14: X-ray diffraction spectra from aluminum plates treated thermally and ultrasonically at 65°C and untreated, polished control substrate	30
2.15: FTIR spectra of carbon steel surfaces after treatment with different silane coupling agents.	32
2.16: Effect of silane coupling agent on the peel strength between natural rubber and carbon steel	33
2.17: IR spectra of individual silanes and the freshly prepared mixture in the volume ratio of 1:3 of bis-amino and sulfur silanes	34

2.18: SEM micrographs of surfaces of peeled film and substrate. The micrographs on the left are from the peeled aluminum film and those on the right are from the silicon substrate.	36
2.19: Type of failure obtained with a bond strength test-piece.	37
3.1: Structural formula of bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine.	40
3.2: Structural formula of bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide	41
3.3: Methanol solution	42
3.4: Acetone	43
3.5: Alkaline-cleaned solution	44
3.6: Flow chart of the methodology	45
3.7: Laser cutting machine (Mitsubishi electric ML2512HV2-R PLUS)	47
3.8: Sand blasting machine	47
3.9: Ultrasonic Cleaner (Power sonic4 10)	48
3.10: Desiccator	48
3.11: The sample mold for the hot pressing	49
3.12: Rubber from HML Auto Industries Sdn Bhd in frozen formed	49
3.13: Hot plate stirrer.(Stuart brand)	50
3.14: Silane treated sample in the middle portion of 25mm x 25mm	52
3.15: Drying cabinet (BERKELEY) with humidity of 28% and temperature of 22°C	52
3.16: Hot pressing machine (GOTECH)	52
3.17: 90° peel test using the tensile test machine	54
3.18: Fabrication of flat peel test fixture	54
3.19: FTIR machine.(Jasco FT/IR-6100)	55
3.20: XRD machine (X'Pert PRO)	56
4.1: Surface treatment of aluminum plate (a) original, (b) sand blasted, (c) alkaline-treated	59
4.2: Optical microscope image for three different treatment of aluminum sample from (a) original, (b) sand blasting, (c) alkaline-treated in 5x magnification	59
4.3: XRD result pattern for the original Al sample in omega 1.5 glazing angle with the elements presented in each peak.	61
4.4: XRD result pattern for the sand blasted Al sample in omega 1.5 glazing angle with the elements presented in each peak.	61
4.5: XRD pattern for the alkaline treated aluminum sample with 0.5 omega and 1.5 omega	62



4.6: Force vs displacement plot for peel test of control sample	63
4.7: Three different applied techniques of bonded sample after peel test, (a) dipping, (b) brushing, (c) spraying	65
4.8: FTIR result of 1:3 polysulfur to amino silane on metal substrate	66
4.9: FTIR result on the three different composition of the silane mixture	67
4.10: FTIR result between alkaline-treated aluminum sample and silane-treated aluminum sample	68
4.11: Bonded sample after peel test for 3:1 polysulfur to amino silane	69
4.12: Bonded sample after peel test for 1:3 polysulfur to amino silane	70
4.13: Bonded sample after peel test for 1:1 polysulfur to amino silane	70

## LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AFM	-	Atomic Force Microscope
Al	-	Aluminum
APS	-	3-(Triethoxysilyl)-propylamine
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BTSE	-	1.2 bis (triethoxysilyl) ethane
CR	-	polychloroprene
CS	-	Carbon Steel
EGMA	-	ethyleneglycol monoacetate
ETOH	-	Ethyl alcohol
FTIR	-	Fourier Transform Infrared Radiation
IR	-	polyisoprene
NBR	-	nitrile
NR	-	Natural Rubber
OM	-	Optical Microscope
pH	-	Potential of Hydrogen
SBR	-	styrene-butadiene
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
THF	-	toluene
VS	-	vinyltrimethoxysilane

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

°C	-	Degree Celsius
K	-	Dielectric Constant
F	-	Feed Rate
N	-	Force
K <sub>IC</sub>	-	Fracture Toughness
GPa	-	Giga Pascal
HB	-	Hardness Brine
J	-	Joule
MPa	-	Mega Pascal
m	-	Meter
µm	-	Micrometer
ml	-	Milli liter
mm	-	Millimeter
Min	-	Minutes
%	-	Percentage
n	-	Refractive Index
s	-	Second
rpm	-	revolution p

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

This chapter introduces the subject matter and problems being studied and indicates its importance and validity. The chapter comprises of research background, problem statement, objectives, and research scope of the work entitled “Improving rubber-to-metal bonding through the use of silane coupling agent”.

### **1.1 Research Background**

Rubber-to-metal bonding is a conventional expression covering various related procedures of the mechanical and chemical bonding. The rubber reinforced units that outcome from the procedure are utilized for the segregation of commotion and vibration in car and designing applications.

The Figure 1.1 showed the strut mount from the HML Auto Industries Sdn Bhd. Strut mounts is one of the automotive parts that used the rubber and metal to combine them together. It can also be defined as one of the most important parts in the vehicle’s suspension system. They are exerting to a heavy vibration during their lifespan and like any rubber-to-metal bonded parts, they are susceptible to the wear over time (MONROE Shock Absorbers 2018).



Figure 1.1: Car Strut Mount

Over the past decade, there has been an increasing emphasis on rubber-to-metal bonding through various type of bonding mechanism. Reliable rubber-to-metal bonding is important for various automotive parts such as engine mounting, strut mount, and rubber bushing etc. Basically, rubber-to-metal bonding can generally be classified into two variants. There are mechanical bonding and chemical bonding. Mechanical bonding basically involves providing a rough surface texture on the metal surface and incorporation of holes, ribs or cuts on the metal parts to promote bonding. While chemical bonding refers to the use of adhesive and coupling agent to enhance interfacial adhesion. Among these two techniques, chemical bonding has proved to be more effective than the mechanical bonding that had been widely used technique to bond rubber-to-metal parts in recent. The use of coupling agent such as silane has proved to be effective in bonding natural rubber with various metallic materials such as steel type (Van Ooij, 2018).

Regarding the rubber-to-metal that used the mechanical bonding of processing the strut mount, it applied the primer and adhesive as a type of linking rubber and metal together. The adhesive used is generally CHEMLOK 220 and the primer used is CHEMLOK 205 for the bonding between natural rubber and aluminum. They are uniformly sprayed onto the metal parts. Once the part is ready for rubber over molding, the metal parts are inserted into the mold cavity then followed by compressed with the rubber. As the elevated molding temperature cures the natural rubber into the vulcanized rubber, it activated the mechanical bonding

locking with the adhesive on the surface between rubber-to-metal (Martin Rubber Company, 2018).

According to the Senthil *et al.* (2012), silane coupling agent is a type of chemical bonding that have been used for improving the adhesion strength between inorganic fibers and polymer matrices in many composite applications as well as in many paint-to-metal adhesions systems. The adhesion of sulfur-vulcanized rubber-to-metal has not been adequately supported by the past research. However, the preliminary investigations on the mechanism of adhesion are reported the effectiveness of the silane coupling agent in bonding the various rubber type to metal steel. According to the Sang *et al.* (2017), initially, the use of one silane solution is implemented into the research such as 3-(Triethoxysilyl)-propylamine (APS) silane is proved to be effective in improving the bond strength between the natural rubber and carbon steel. However, based on Van Ooij *et al.* (2002), it stated that in the latest research, the silane has been found to improve the adhesion between a metal substrate and a polymer layer by using the combination of two silane solutions with organic and inorganic silane type. For example, the combination of non-organofunctional silane of 1,2 bis (triethoxysilyl) ethane (BTSE) and organofunctional silane of vinyltrimethoxysilane (VS) may provide improved adhesion strength between a metal substrate to a peroxide-cured rubber, noted that the metal substrate is mainly for the cold roll steel (CRS). However, these same silane solutions were found out not being used when replacing by other type of rubber such as the sulfur-cured rubber. Thus, there is a need for the research of improving the methods of generating the adhesion between a metal substrate and a polymer layer.

In short, the usage of silane coupling agent has been appeared in the industry field nowadays for the purpose of research about the dissimilar materials bonding as it provided the chemical bonding between the organic and inorganic material. The chemical bonding has the stronger bond compared to the mechanical bonding as the chemical bonding involve the changing in the molecules structure while the mechanical bonding involves only the surface part but not the internal molecule structure.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The material used by the HML Auto Industries Sdn Bhd in strut mount is natural rubber and aluminum 6061 type. This is because natural rubber is a material that has a high tensile strength and it is resistant to the fatigue from wear such as chipping, cutting or tearing (Labbe 2004). The aluminum 6061 type is used as it is lighter than the steel material in the automotive industry. For the current situation, the usage of the ordinary adhesive for the two dissimilar materials to bond together caused the failure of the parts after a short period of time. Ordinary adhesive involves only the surface part of the material which does not provide a good adhesion strength for the bonding. Therefore, the problem is needed to be solved by adding another type of adhesive which can give the better bonding.

CHEMLOK 220 adhesive is a cover coat adhesive designed for use over CHEMLOK 205 primer. This adhesive system will bond a wide variety of elastomers such as natural rubber (NR), styrene-butadiene (SBR), polychloroprene (CR), nitrile (NBR) and polyisoprene (IR) to various metals and other rigid substrates during vulcanization of the elastomer. It is composed of a mixture of polymers, organic compounds and mineral fillers dissolved or dispersed in an organic solvent system (LORD technical Data 2012). However, the use of CHEMLOK as the primer and adhesive were not improving the bonding between the rubber and metal as it does not occur any chemical bonding which strengthen the interfacial bonding between them. The mechanical bonding is just taking place on the surface of the metal and rubber without modifying the bond structure. Therefore, it resulted in the removal of rubber part from the aluminum part of the strut mount when serious vibration or damping occurs after a period which shown in the Figure 1.2.



Figure 1.2: Removal of rubber part from aluminum of strut mount

Based on the Auto Industries Sdn Bhd, cover coated adhesive CHEMLOK caused the failure of the parts between rubber-to-metal interfacial after a period of time when expose to the vibration and heat. To improve the bonding between the rubber and metal parts in automotive strut mount application, the traditional method of applying the primer and adhesive are replaced by adding the silane coupling agent.

Hence, there is a need to go for chemical bonding such as adding the coupling agent onto the metal parts. By using the coupling agent, the bonding between the rubber-to-metal changed from mechanical bonding to chemical bonding which can change the interfacial structure between the rubber-to-metal bonding and improving the bond strength between them. According to the Senthil *et al.* (2012), the combination of bis-(trimethoxysilylpropyl) amine and bis-(triethoxysilylpropyl) tetrasulfide have proven to be effective with the bonding between the sulfur-cured rubber and CRS. However, there was no significant research regarding the uses of these combination of these silanes to bond with the aluminum substrate. Based on the Jing Sang *et al.* (2017), it stated that the APS is proved to be functionalize between the natural rubber and carbon steel (CS).

Therefore, the research is moving forward towards using the two types of organofunctional and non-organofunctional silanes to show the hypothesis of the improvement the bonding between the aluminum substrate and vulcanized rubber.