

INCORPORATING OF GREY WOLF OPTIMIZATION TO THE BEES ALGORITHM FOR CONTINUOUS OPTIMIZATION IN WELDED-BEAM DESIGN PROBLEM

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Hons)

by

LIM CHIN XIAN

B051410168

941128-10-5675

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2018

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS L	APORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA
Tajuk: INCORPORATING GREY WOI BEES ALGORITHM FOR CON WELDED-BEAM DESIGN PRO	LF OPTIMIZATION TO THE FINUOUS OPTIMIZATION IN BLEM
Sesi Pengajian: 2017/2018 Semester 2	
Saya LIM CHIN XIAN (941128-10-5675)	
mengaku membenarkan Laporan Projek Sa Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia kegunaan seperti berikut:	rjana Muda (PSM) ini disimpan di Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat
 Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universi Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaj untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan iz Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salin pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tin *Sila tandakan (√) 	siti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis. ysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan zin penulis. nan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan ggi.
SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat y Malaysiasebagaimana yan	yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan g termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972
TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat badan di mana penyelidika	TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ an dijalankan)
V TIDAK TERHAD	
11	Disahkan oleh:
UT .	- J-
Alamat Tetap:	Con Rasmi
37, JALAN INTAN 9, TAMAN YAYASAN 42600 JENUAROM	NIK MOHD FARID BIN CHE ZAINAL REIDIN
SELANGOR DARUL EHSAN.	Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuaran Fakulti Kejuruteraan Pembuaran

DECLARATION

I hereby declared this report entitled "Incorporating of Grey Wolf Optimization to The Bees Algorithm for Continuous Optimization in Welded-Beam Design Problem" is the result of my own research except as cited in the references.

Signature

Author's name : LIM CHIN XIAN

Date

: 22 May 2018

APPROVAL

This is report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Hons.), the member of supervisory committee is as follow:

Nik Mohd Farid Bin Che Zainal Abidin

(Supervisor)

ABSTRAK

Sebenarnya, dunia ini tidak terwujudnya algoritma yang dapat mengungguli yang lain pada semua masalah pengoptimuman. Oleh itu, perkembangan berterusan algoritma sebelumnya adalah penting kerana keberkesanan algoritma dalam menyelesaikan satu set masalah tidak menjamin kejayaannya dalam pelbagai jenis masalah ujian. Walau bagaimanapun, matlamat projek ini tertakluk sebagai penggabungan Pengoptimuman Grey Wolf (GWO) kepada Algoritma Bees (BA) sebagai algoritma yang dicadangkan untuk menyelesaikan pengoptimuman berterusan dalam masalah reka bentuk las-dikimpal. Objektif projek ini akan meliputi algoritma diubahsuai GWO-BA dan menunjukkan peningkatan kelajuan konvergensi algoritma yang dicadangkan untuk mencari penyelesaian yang optimum terhadap BA sebelumnya. Oleh itu, fungsi ujian tanda aras dengan beberapa ciri yang berbeza akan menjadi kaedah untuk membandingkan algoritma yang dicadangkan dan algoritma sebelumnya untuk menganalisis dan mengesahkan prestasi algoritma yang dicadangkan. Berdasarkan hasil ujian dan simulasi yang dijalankan dalam perisian MATLAB, penambahbaikan terhadap kelajuan konvergensi dan kinerja untuk GWO-BA yang diubahsuai terhadap BA standard adalah 48.12% berdasarkan penilaian min 10 fungsi uji kaji dan 37.43% berdasarkan min harga masalah reka bentuk las dilaraskan.

ABSTRACT

In fact, there is no existing algorithm which is able to outperform the others on all optimization problems. Therefore, the continuous development of previous algorithms is important because the effectiveness of an algorithm in solving a set of problems will not guarantee its success in different kinds of test problems. However, the goal of this project is subjected as the incorporating of Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) to the Bees Algorithm (BA) as the proposed algorithm in order to solve the continuous optimization in welded-beam design problem. The objectives of this project will covered the modified algorithm towards searching of optimal solution against the previous BA. Thus, the benchmark test functions with several diverse properties will be the methods that to comparing the proposed algorithm. Based on the result of running test and simulation in the MATLAB software, the improvements of convergence speed and performance for modified GWO-BA against the standard BA were 48.12% based on the mean evaluation of 10 benchmark test functions and 37.43% based on the mean cost of welded-beam design problem.

DEDICATION

This humble work is dedicated to my beloved parents, family, friends, respectful supervisor, all supporters and future researchers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to give thanks to the ancestors who paved the path before me upon whose shoulders I stand. Following their never-ending footsteps, I am qualified to explore and study the computational methods.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my respectful project supervisor, Mr. Nik Mohd Farid Bin Che Zainal Abidin, for his unwavering support, patience, encouragement, mentorship and constructive guidance throughout this project.

I am particularly grateful to Department of Manufacturing Management, Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the coordination and cooperation.

Lastly, I would like to acknowledge with gratitude, the support and love of my parents, my brother and my friends. Their encouragements gave me a great sense of uplift, always push me through until the completion of this project. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abst	rak	i
Abst	ract	ii
Dedi	cation	iii
Ackr	nowledgement	iv
Table	e of Contents	v
List	of Table	viii
List	of Figures	ix
List	of Abbreviations	xii
List	of Symbols	xiv
СНА	PTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Background Study	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of Study	4
1.5	Research Significance	4
1.6	Organization of Report	5
CHA	PTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1	Optimization	6

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

v

	2,1.1	Continuous Optimization vs Discrete Optimization	9
	2.1.2	Unconstrained Optimization vs Constrained Optimization	10
	2.1.3	None vs One vs Many Objectives	11
	2.1.4	Deterministic Optimization vs Stochastic Optimization	12
	2.1.5	Single-Objective Optimization Problems	14
2.2	Search	h Methods for Optimality	16
	2.2.1	Random Walk	16
		2.2.1.1 Brownian Motion	17
		2.2.1.2 Lévy Flight	19
	2.2.2	Optimization by Natural Selection	21
2.3	Meta-	Heuristics	22
2.4	Nature	e-Inspired Population Based Meta-Heuristic	23
	2.4.1	Evolutionary Algorithm	25
		2.4.1.1 Genetic Algorithm	28
		2.4.1.2 Differential Evolution	29
	2.4.2	Swarm Intelligence Algorithm	30
		2.4.2.1 Ant Colony Optimization	31
		2.4.2.2 Particle Swarm Optimization	31
	2,4,3	Bees-Inspired Algorithm	32
		2.4.3.1 Intelligence Behavior - Food Foraging from Honey Bees	34
		2.4.3.2 Intelligence Behavior - Nest Site Selection	35
		2.4.3.3 Intelligence Behavior - Mating and Fertilization	35
2.5	Grey	Wolf Optimization	36
2.6	Bees	Algorithm	39

2.7	No-Free-Lunch Principle	44
2.8	Benchmark Test Functions	44
2.9	Statistical T-Test	46
2.10	Welded-Beam Design Problem	48
2.11	Summary	49
СНА	PTER 3: METHODOLOGY	50
3.1	Project Planning and Scheduling	50
	3.1.1 Progress Flow Chart	51
	3.1.2 Gantt Chart and Milestones	51
3.2	Software	54
3.3	Proposed Algorithm	55
3.4	Experiment Set-Up	58
3.5	Summary	65
СНА	PTER 4: RESULT AND DISCUSSION	66
4.1	Statistical Results of GWO-BA on 10 Benchmark Test Functions	66
4.2	Comparison of Results on Success Rate of BA and GWO-BA	68
4.3	Comparison of Convergence Speed for BA and GWO-BA	69
4.4	Comparison of Stability for BA and GWO-BA	75
4.5	Percentage Improvement of GWO-BA against Standard BA	80
4.6	Statistical T-test Analysis for Results of Benchmark Test Functions	81
4.7	Statistical Results of GWO-BA on Welded-Beam Design Problem	83
4.8	Statistical T-test Analysis for Results of Welded-beam Design Problem	84

4.9	Summary

CHAI	PTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	86
5.1	Contributions	86
5.2	Conclusions	87
5.3	Recommendations	88
Refer	ences	90
Apper	ndix	98
A	Overall results of GWO-BA on benchmark test functions for 50 runs (MSExcel)	98
В	Excel data of GWO-BA on Sum_diff_pow function for 50 runs	99
C	Excel data of GWO-BA on Branin function for 50 runs	100
D	Excel data of GWO-BA on Shekel_5 function for 50 runs	101
Е	Excel data of GWO-BA on Shekel_7 function for 50 runs	102
F	Excel data of GWO-BA on Shekel_10 function for 50 runs	103
G	Excel data of GWO-BA on Schaffer_6 function for 50 runs	104
н	Excel data of GWO-BA on Masters function for 50 runs	105
I	Excel data of GWO-BA on Rastrigin function for 50 runs	106
J	Excel data of GWO-BA on Pathological function for 50 runs	107
K	Excel data of GWO-BA on Alpine function for 50 runs	108
L	Excel data of GWO-BA on welded-beam design problem for 3 independent runs & source codes for welded-beam design function	109
М	Source codes of MATLAB in used for experiment	110

viii

85

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	List of previous researches on bee-inspired algorithm and related applications	33	
2.2	Bees algorithm parameters	40	
2.3	Classification of 10 benchmark test functions	45	
3.1	Parameter setting in BA and GWO-BA for benchmark test functions	58	
3.2	The 2D graphical plots for 10 benchmark test functions	59	
3.3	Parameter of the Bees Algorithm for the welded beam design problem (Pham et al, 2009a)	64	
3.4	Results for the welded beam design scenario using Bees Algorithm and other techniques (Pham et al, 2009a)	64	
4.1	Results of 10 benchmark test functions by GWO-BA algorithm over 50 runs	67	
4.2	Success rate of BA vs GWO-BA for 10 benchmark test functions over 50 runs	68	
4.3	Mean and standard deviation of functions evaluation over 50 runs for experiment	69	
4.4	Percentage of improvement for mean evaluation on benchmark test functions	81	
4.5	Statistical t-test results of benchmark test functions for BA and GWO-BA	82	
4.6	Results for the welded-beam design scenario using BA and GWO-BA	83	
4.7	Percentage of improvement for mean cost on welded-beam design problem	84	
4.8	Statistical t-test results of welded-beam design problem for BA and GWO-BA	84	

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Schematic view of optimization process	7
2.2	Overview of classification in optimization process	8
2.3	Overview of classification in optimization techniques	13
2.4	Illustration of Brownian motion function in 1D and 2D	18
2.5	The comparison between Brownian motion and Lévy flight	20
2.6	Classification scheme of optimization methods: population-based metaheuristic	24
2.7	Overview of classification in nature-inspired population metaheuristic	25
2.8	The basic scheme of evolutionary algorithm	26
2.9	A schematic of simple crossover	29
2.10	Illustration of honeybees foraging	34
2.11	Hierarchy of grey wolves	36
2.12	2D and 3D position vectors and the next possible locations	37
2.13	Position updating in GWO	38
2.14	Flowchart of the basic BA	41
2.15	Graphical illustration of basic BA	43
2.16	Welded beam design (a) schematic (b) stress heatmap (c) displacement heatmap	48
3.1	Flowchart of the overall project	52
3.2	Gantt charts of FYP 1 and FYP 2	53
3.3	Flowchart of GWO-BA	57
4.1	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for <i>fl</i> (Sum_diff_pow)	70

4.2	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f2 (Branin)	70
4.3	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f3 (Shekel_5)	71
4.4	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f4 (Shekel_7)	71
4.5	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f5 (Shekel_10)	72
4.6	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f6 (Schaffer_6)	72
4.7	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f7 (Masters)	73
4.8	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f8 (Rastrigin)	73
4.9	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f9 (Pathological)	74
4.10	Convergence curves between BA and GWO-BA for f10 (Alpine)	74
4.11	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for fl (Sum_diff_Pow)	75
4.12	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f2 (Branin)	75
4.13	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f3 (Shekel_5)	76
4.14	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f4 (Shekel_7)	76
4.15	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for 15 (Shekel_10)	77
4.16	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f6 (Schaffer_6)	77
4.17	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f7 (Masters)	78
4.18	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f8 (Rastrigin)	78
4.19	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f9 (Pathological)	79
4.20	Stability performance of the BA against GWO-BA for f10 (Alpine)	79

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

EA	Evolutionary Algorithm
GA	Genetic Algorithm
DE	Differential Evolution
SI	Swarm Intelligence
AS	Ant System
ACO	Ant Colony Optimisation
PSO	Particle Swarm Optimisation
LFPSO	Lévy flight Particle Swarm Optimization
MBO	Marriage in Honey-Bees Optimisation
FMBO	Fast Marriage in Honey-Bees Optimisation
нво	Honey-Bees Optimisation
НВМО	Honey-Bees Mating Optimisation
VBA	Virtual Bee Algorithm
ABC	Artificial Bee Colony
BA	Bees Algorithm
GWO	Grey Wolf Optimisation
MOGWO	Multi-objective Grey Wolf Optimisation
LGWO	Lévy-embedded Grey Wolf Optimisation
JSSP	Job Shop Scheduling Problem
NN	Neural Network

LVQ	Learning Vector Quantization
MLP	Multilayer Perceptron
NFL	No Free Lunch
GWO-BA	the BA that incorporating with GWO
n	population
m	number of selected sites
e	number of top-rated sites out of m selected sites
ngh	initial patch size
stlim	stagnation limit
nep	number of bees recruited for best e sites
nsp	number of bees recruited for the other (m-e) selected sites
Std. Dev.	standard deviation
Avg.	average
Mean	central value of a set of numbers
D	dimension
t	t-value for statistical t-test
df	degree of freedom
APPROX	Approximation Algorithm
DAVID	DAVID Functional Annotation
GP	Genetic Programming
SIMPLEX	Dantzig's Simplex Algorithm
RANDOM	Randomized Algorithm

LIST OF SYMBOLS

f(x)	objective function
x _i	design parameter
$f_i(x)$	design vector function
$h_j(x)$	design vector function
$g_k(x)$	design vector function
\mathbb{R}^{n}	search space
h _j	equalities
g _k	inequalities
М	number of objectives
J	number of equality-constraints
K	number of inequality-constraints
lbi	lower bound of the iteration variable
ub _i	upper bound of the iteration variable
S _N	total of each consecutive random step
X _i	random step taken from a random distribution
v_0	drift velocity of system
D	effective diffusion coefficient
S	step length
τ	time interval
Ŷ	scale parameter

α	1 st best solution
β	2 nd best solution
δ	3 rd best solution
ω	the rest candidate solutions
t	iteration
Ā	random vector
Ĉ	random vector
\vec{X}^*	location of prey
<i>X</i>	position of wolves
ā	temporal parameter linearly decreased from a_0 to 0
a_0	constant parameter basically set to 2 in GWO
r_1, r_2	random values within [0, 1]
$\vec{X}_{lpha}, \vec{X}_{eta}, \vec{X}_{\delta}$	locations of alpha, beta and delta respectively
$\vec{L}_1,\vec{L}_2,\vec{L}_3$	random vectors
$\vec{A}_1, \vec{A}_2, \vec{A}_3$	random vectors
α_s	significance level
μ	population mean
μ_0	hypothesized mean
S	sample standard deviation
\overline{X}	sample mean
n	sample size

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter explains the background of this study, problem statements, objectives as well as the scope of the project.

1.1 Background Study

Over the years, the fundamentals of the evolutionary algorithm and swarm intelligence were applied as the inspirations for continuous development of population-based algorithms in solving many-sided optimization problems. In general, the optimization is a process of searching the best solutions within the search spaces and it is applicable from engineering design to business planning. Pham & Castellani (2009) states that many real-world engineering problems require the manipulation of a number of system variables in order to optimize a given quality parameter such as the reliability or accuracy of a process, or the value or performance of a product. Therefore, optimization is necessary for actual applications and there is a greater need to achieve sustainability. The population-based algorithm is considered as computation program of Artificial Intelligence (AI) which develop by researchers in order to ease the engineers in solving optimization problems. For instances, the AI program was developed by engineers which enable the computer to operate and perform the intelligent human behavior for solving the problem without any human work.

In the past few decades, the nature-inspired metaheuristic was commonly adapted by researchers as a computational problem-solving method in the development of algorithms. The algorithm has been widely used to solve many complex optimization problems and perceived as an important method in the field of AI. There will be mathematical derivations and programming are applied in order to obtain the algorithm models and computer simulations for solving such optimization problems with various efficient search algorithms. Swarm Intelligence (SI) optimization is one of the population-based approaches typically inspired by natural phenomenon such as the behavior that mimics the problem-solving skills from the flock of living creatures. For instances, the Bees Algorithm imitates the foraging behavior of honey bees which considered as one of the examples of a bees-inspired algorithm (Pham *et al.*, 2005). Apart from the Bees Algorithm (BA), there are also examples of the swarm-based methods which known as Ant Colony Optimization (ACO), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) and Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO).

Compared to other swarm algorithms, BA is proved to be highly competitive in terms of learning accuracy and speed (Pham and Castellani, 2009). The algorithm performs a selectively of exploitative neighborhood search in the local search which combined with random exploration in global search. In order to boost the algorithm performance especially in term of its search direction, several researchers have combined the BA with the other optimization techniques. Abdullah & Alzaqebah (2013) proposed a hybridized self-adaptive BA with three site-selection techniques of disruptive, tournament and rank selection strategies to solve the examination timetabling problems. Besides that, there was also a research that integrating BA with Artificial Bee Colony (ABC) into a hybrid ABC-BA system to face constrained optimization problems (Tsai, 2014).

1.2 Problem Statement

In general, a standard BA applies a uniform random distribution in its initialization phase where the stochastic behavior is contributing the system to generate new solutions to various complex problems. Due to the stochastic behavior, the uniform random distribution of solutions on the search space will causing the unpredictable results since the uncertainty occurred within the generations of numerical solution. Therefore, this is the main reason why BA consisting of a slow convergence speed to the optimum solution and just like the cognition of other stochastic algorithms (Alfi and Khosravi, 2012; Hussein *et al.*, 2013).

A new hybridized (GWO-BA) algorithm was proposed to replace the standard version of BA and improve the convergence speed of optimal solutions. According to Bergh & Engelbrecht (2006), there should be abrupt changes in the movement of search agents over the initial steps of optimization. Additionally, instead of using a uniform random distribution for the local scout bees' initialization in BA, it combined with the use of convergence behavior of GWO which the abrupt changes are set up in the initial steps of iterations with the continuous decrement that across the iterations. For instances, the hierarchy of grey wolf and hunting mechanism from GWO will substitute into the basic structure of BA as the coexisting of both formulations. The GWO-BA can still demonstrate the main features of the basic BA in exploration and exploitation tendencies while it corporate with adaptive value of new parameters from GWO for the well-balanced within the iterations of exploration and exploitation. Hence, the outcome of this project should evaluate and verify the performance of the GWO-BA algorithm by the comparison with other population-based algorithms such as BA, GA, DE, ACO, PSO, and GWO.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this research are:

- To propose a modified algorithm by incorporating the GWO with the standard BA for continuous optimization.
 - To improve the convergence speed of proposed algorithm against the standard BA in term of the searching toward optimal solutions.
 - iii. To analyze and validate the application of proposed algorithm in the continuous optimization of a welded beam design problem.

1.4 Scope of Study

This research focuses on the incorporation of Grey Wolf Optimizer (GWO) with the Bees Algorithm (BA) in continuous optimization. The proposed algorithm shall be applied to ten numerical benchmark test functions as to compare the convergence speed for every function involved. Moreover, this research will also cover in the studies of the previous developments of other approaches in Bees Algorithm (BA). The implementation of the related experiment will be conducted in the MATLAB R2015a (64-bits) by using a laptop with specifications of Intel Core i7-4700MQ 2.40GHz processor and 4GB DDR3 Ram. In the end, the performance of the improved algorithm is analyzed and compared with the previous algorithm as followed by the applications in solving a welded-beam design problem.

1.5 Research Significance

As the title of the research is related on the incorporation of Grey wolf optimization (GWO) into Bees Algorithm (BA), the research will be concentrated on the enhancement of the original BA by integrating some optimization techniques from GWO. BA gather the most promising solutions and discriminatingly examines their neighborhoods while looking for the global optima of the objective functions (Pham & Castellani, 2009). In the mechanism of GWO, the evaluation of each solution will decide the type of solutions in either alpha, beta or delta and it based on the hierarchy of wolf pack (Mirjalili *et al.*, 2014). GWO has the abilities of fast approaches to the optimal solution and prevention of local optima while the computation of neighborhood search within solution spaces. In macro, the original structure of Bees Algorithm performs through the stages of initialization, local search, global search and population update. In micro, we try to adapt the social hierarchy of GWO to save the best solutions over the iterations, along with the encircling mechanism and hunting methods are applied in order to strengthen the neighborhood local search of the best and elite sites from BA.

1.6 Organization of Report

This report is consists of five chapter. The first chapter covers the introduction of the report. It generally describes the background of the project, problem statement, objective and scope of the project. The remainder of the report is organized as follows.

Chapter two consists of the literature review. This chapter reviews the definition of optimization and highlights the behavior in metaheuristic algorithms. The development of population-based algorithms is presented. Examples of optimization problems that related to the previous researches by Bees Algorithm and Grey Wolf Optimization Algorithm are emphasized. In addition, the No Free Lunch Theorem is briefly described.

Chapter three consists of the methodology of the report. This chapter provides the detailed and precise procedural information on the flow of the research, a method of gathering information and data analyzing. It introduces the adaptive parameter setting in the proposed algorithm. The hybridized algorithm is tested on numerical benchmarks and welded-beam design problem.

Chapter four consists of the result and discussion. This chapter presents the result of analysis and validation of the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm in the convergence to the optimal solution. The statistical results of proposed algorithm on numerical benchmarks and welded-beam design problem will be compared with the previous algorithm. The statistical T-test will be conducted on the results to proven that the results are statistically significant.

Chapter five consists of conclusion and recommendation. This is the last section of the report, it presents a true reflection of the project. It lists the contributions of this project and shows a major impact of the project. It is also summarized the results and provides the recommendation for future research.