



ULTRASONIC ASSISTED 3D PRINTING TO IMPROVE PRINTED PARTS SURFACE FINISH

Submitted in accordance with the requirement of the University Teknikal
Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering

By

PUTERI NURUL SYAZA SYAHIRAH BINTI SAMSUDIN

B051410296

951118-10-6278

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2018

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

Tajuk: **ULTRASONIC ASSISTED 3D PRINTING TO IMPROVE PARTS SURFACE FINISH**

Sesi Pengajian: **2017/2018 Semester 2**

Saya **PUTERI NURUL SYAZA SYAHIRAH BT SAMSUDIN (951118-10-6278)**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. *Sila tandakan (√)

- SULIT** (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysiasebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
- TERHAD** (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
- TIDAK TERHAD**

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:

LOT 1675-B, JALAN GAJAH 10, KG KUBU

GAJAH, 47000 SG BULOH, SELANGOR

Tarikh: _____

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: _____

*Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Ultrasonic assisted 3D printing to improve printed parts surface finish “is the results of my own research except as cited in reference.

Signature :

Name : Puteri Nurul Syaza Syahirah Binti Samsudin

Date : 22 May 2018

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering Design Department (Hons). The members of the supervisory committee are as follow:

Signature :

Supervisor Name : Associate Professor Dr. Shajahan Bin Maidin

Date :

ABSTRACT

Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) has given several benefits such as it can utilize less expensive material and has free-form design characteristic. Although, FDM had offers great opportunity to have better improvement in the future technologies, it also has several limitations such as seam lines appear between layer and the production of excess material residue on the parts surface. The implementation of ultrasound frequency had been applied in numerous traditional machining and it had given a positive result in getting better surface roughness. However, from the literature review there is no investigation that has been made on the application of ultrasonic frequency for additive manufacturing (AM). This research documented an approach to obtaining better surface finish for FDM sample by applying ultrasonic vibration. There are three input parameters that were evaluated in this research which is layer thickness, frequency and fill of density correspond to it output respond which is surface roughness, Ra. The design of expert 6.0.8 software was used to design an experimental matrix by using Response Surface Methodology (RSM) analysis. Since the experiments for the surface roughness test were conducted, the experimental designs were valid as it was investigated within the ranges and optimum value parameters can be defined. From the experimental result, it is shown that the parameter of frequency and fill of density does not give any influence towards improvement of surface roughness. However, the optimum surface roughness can be occurred at 0.2 mm layer thickness. The optimize parameters on the effects of ultrasonic assisted FDM can be used in order to achieve better surface roughness.

ABSTRAK

Pemendapan Pemodenan Terlakur (FDM), telah memberi beberapa kebaikan seperti ia menggunakan barang yang murah dan mempunyai ciri-ciri reka bentuk bebas. Walaupun FDM telah menawarkan peluang yang luas untuk teknologi di masa hadapan, namun ia juga mempunyai beberapa kelemahan seperti garis diantara lapisan sering kelihatan dan pengeluaran sisa bahan berlebihan di atas permukaan sampel. Penggunaan frekuensi ultrabunyi telah diguna pakai dalam pelbagai proses pemesinan tradisional dan ia telah memberikan keputusan yang positif dalam mendapat kemasan permukaan yang lebih baik. Namun begitu, berdasarkan kajian literatur, tiada penyiasatan telah dilakukan dalam aplikasi terhadap Pembuatan Tambah (AM). Kajian ini mempamerkan pendekatan dalam mendapat kemasan permukaan yang bagus untuk sampel FDM dengan menggunakan getaran ultrabunyi. Terdapat tiga input parameter yang dinilai dalam kajian ini iaitu ketebalan lapisan, frekuensi dan isi permukaan manakala output respon kekemasan permukaan. Perisian Design Expert 6.0.8 telah digunakan bagi mereka bentuk matriks percubaan dengan menggunakan kaedah tindak balas permukaan (RSM). Memandangkan uji kaji untuk ujian kekasaran permukaan telah dilakukan, reka bentuk eksperimen sah kerana ia diselidiki dalam julat dan parameter nilai optimum boleh ditakrifkan. Dari hasil ujikaji, ditunjukkan bahawa parameter kekerapan dan pengisian ketumpatan tidak memberikan sebarang pengaruh ke arah peningkatan kekasaran permukaan. Walau bagaimanapun, kekasaran permukaan optimum boleh berlaku pada ketebalan lapisan 0.2 mm. Parameter yang mengoptimumkan terhadap kesan ultrasonik dibantu FDM boleh digunakan untuk mencapai kekasaran permukaan yang lebih baik.

DEDICATION

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful

I dedicated this work to;

My parents:

Samsudin Bin Hj. Baslah & Salena Binti Hj.Asan

Cherished siblings

Honorable supervisors and lecturers

Faithful friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH, the most gracious, the most merciful, with the highest praise to Allah that I manage to complete this final year project successfully without difficulty. My respected supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Shajahan Bin Maidin from Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering, Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the great mentoring and passionately guided that was given to me throughout the project. Besides that, I would like to express my gratitude to Mr. Hairuddin Bin Kanan for the kind supervision, advice and guidance as well as exposing me with meaningful experiences throughout the study and for permission using equipment"s.

Last but not least, I would like to give a special thanks to my parents and siblings who gave me so much motivation and cooperation mentally and physically in completing this report. I also would like to thank to all lecturers of FKP, UTeM for giving their critical suggestion and comments throughout my research. Thanks for the great friendship. Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to this FYP report, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally each one of you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
APPROVAL	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
ABSTRAK	v
DEDICATION	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective	4
1.4 Scope of Project	5
1.5 Significant of study	5
1.6 Organization of report	6

CHAPTER 2	7
LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Definition of AM process	7
2.3 Generic of AM process	9
2.4 Type of AM system	13
2.4.1 Stereolithography (SLA)	13
2.4.2 Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)	15
2.4.3 Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)	16
2.5 Advantages and disadvantages of AM	18
2.6 AM Application	19
2.6.1 Medical Application	20
2.6.2 Automobile Manufacture	21
2.6.3 Space Engineering	22
2.7 Ultrasonic Assisted machine (UAM)	23
2.7.1 Ultrasonic piezoelectric	23
2.7.1.1 Electrical Discharge Machining (EDM)	24
2.7.1.2 Grinding	25
2.8 Summary of UAM	28
2.10 Surface roughness	29
2.10 Response Surface Methodology (RSM)	30
2.10 Summary	31
CHAPTER 3	33
METHODOLOGY	33
3.1 Introduction	33
3.2 Flow Chart	34
3.3 Experimental Equipment	35
3.3.1 UP Plus 2 3D printer	35
3.3.2 Ultrasonic Piezoelectric Transducer	37
3.3.3 Function Generator	38
3.4 Experimental Preparation and Procedure	39
3.4.1 Experiment setup	39

3.4.2 Machine calibration	40
3.5 Design of Experiment	42
3.6 Analysis of RSM data	44
3.7 Parameter optimization value	45
3.8 Surface roughness measurement	46
CHAPTER 4	49
RESULT AND DISCUSSION	49
4.1 Introduction	49
4.2 Printed sample roughness modeling	50
4.3 ANOVA for RSM Quadratic Model	50
4.3.1 Analysis of Variance Table	51
4.3.2 Model Diagnostic Report	55
4.3.3 Optimization parameter	59
4.4 Summary	61
CHAPTER 5	62
CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	62
5.1 Conclusion	62
5.2 Work recommendation	63
5.3 Sustainability Element	63
REFERENCES	65

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	UADG experimental parameter	26
2.2	Various UAM methods	28
3.1	UP Plus 2 and its specifications	36
3.2	Specifications of ultrasonic piezoelectric transducer	37
3.3	Specification of function generator	38
3.4	Experimental matrix using Response surface methodology (RSM)	43
3.5	Experimental runs planning and output response	45
3.6	Parameter used in surface roughness measurement	47
3.7	Surface roughness tester specification	48
4.1	Experimental design and surface roughness result	51
4.2	ANOVA table for Surface roughness model	52
4.3	ANOVA summary statistic for surface roughness model	53
4.4	ANOVA for coefficient statistic	53
4.5	Optimization characteristic set	59
4.6	Optimization set parameter	59

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	CAD image showing the impact of using different layer thickness.	10
2.2	CAD- based model	11
2.3	Generic process of CAD to part	12
2.4	Adjustment of part orientation and placement	13
2.5	Post-processing processes	14
2.6	Polymerization, laser-stereolithography; scheme; solidification of a single layer lowering of the platform	16
2.7	Illustration of selective laser sintering (SLA) process	17
2.8	Example of (a) open framed and (b) fully enclosed FDM	18
2.9	Illustration of FDM process a) of plastic material is fed through a heated moving head b) that melts and extrudes it depositing it, layer after layer, in the desired shape c). A moving platform e) lowers after each layer is deposited. For this kind of 3D printing technology additional vertical support structures d) are needed to sustain overhanging parts	19
2.10	(a) Acetabula hip cup with integrated bone ingrowth surface. (b) Low stiffness hip stem implant optimized to promote bone ingrowth and reduce stress shielding. (c) Patient specific transcutaneous osseointegrated implant for the direct attachment of a prosthetic limb to the skeletal anatomy	22

2.11	Race car upright fabricated by using additive manufacturing	23
2.12	UEDM illustration with ultrasonic actuation attached to the work piece	26
2.13	Schematic experimental diagram of UADG	27
2.14	Surface quality for grinding (a) Dry conventional grinding (b) Dry ultrasonic assisted grinding	29
2.15	Poor surface roughness finishing product	31
3.1	Flow chart of FYP I & II	36
3.2	UP Plus 2 3D printer	39
3.3	Ultrasonic piezoelectric transducer	40
3.4	Function generator	41
3.5	Experiment setup	42
3.5	1.5 cm x 1.5 cm x 1.5 cm of printed sample	44
3.6	Piezoelectric ultrasound transducer attached to the perfboard of FDM	45
3.7	Surface area measurement of printed sample	50
3.8	Mitutoyo surface roughness portable tester	50
4.1	3D views surface roughness plot	57
4.2	Normal plot of residuals for surface roughness analysis	58
4.3	Residual versus predicted graph for surface roughness analysis	59
4.4	Residual versus experiment run graph for surface roughness analysis	60

4.5	Predicted versus actual graph for surface roughness analysis	61
4.6	Box-Cox plot for power conversion	62
4.7	3D surface with frequency and layer thickness interaction	63

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CAD	-	Computer Aided Design
AM	-	Additive Manufacturing
RP	-	Rapid Prototyping
ABS	-	Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene
PLA	-	Polylactic Acid
MRR	-	Material Removal Rate
FDM	-	Fused Deposition Modeling
DOE	-	Design of Experiment
RM	-	Rapid Manufacturing
SLB	-	Selective Laser Beam
EBM	-	Electron Beam Melting
PBF	-	Metal Powder Bed Fusion
STL	-	Stereolithography
LAN	-	Local Area Network
SLS	-	Selective Laser Sintering
UV	-	Ultraviolet Light
SLA	-	Stereolithography
FFF	-	Fused Filament Fabrication
PJP	-	Plastic Jet Printing

LBMD	-	Laser-Based Metal Deposition
EB	-	Electron Beam
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging
CT	-	Computed Tomography
UAM	-	Ultrasonic Assisted Machining
EDM	-	Electrical Discharge Machining
UEDM	-	Ultrasonic-Assisted Electrical Discharge Machining
UADG	-	Ultrasonic Assisted Dry Grinding
CDG	-	Conventional Dry Grinding
UAG	-	Ultrasonic Assisted Grinding
CG	-	Conventional Grinding
RSM	-	Response Surface Methodology
3D	-	3 Dimensions
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
CCD	-	Central Composite Design

LIST OF SYMBOLS

KH_z	-	kilo hertz
KP_a	-	kilo Pascal
W	-	Watt
Mm	-	Millimeters
V_{ft}	-	Feed Speed
Mm/min	-	Millimeters per minute
V_c	-	Cutting speed
a_e	-	Depth of Cut
f	-	Frequency
A	-	Amplitude
Kg	-	Kilogram
Mm	-	Millimeters
μm	-	Micrometers
g	-	Gram

V_p	-	Peak voltage
Ω	-	Ohm
V_{p-p}	-	Peak to peak voltage
ϵ	-	Absolute error

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Additive manufacturing (AM) is one of amongst 3D printing innovations that manufacture an object by adding layer upon layer of the materials. The old manufacturing method is the material are being carved or shaped into the desired product by removing the part in a variety ways. However, AM works opposite from the traditional method which is the structure are made by the addition of minuscule layer which will combine later to form a product. This process involves the use of a computer and CAD software such as AutoCAD and CATIA, which it can relay the message to the printer to print the desired shape. The most advantages of using AM technologies are greater range of shape can be produced. The diversity of shape with a complex design such as hollow center or scooped still can be prepared to a single bit without need the weld or attach other separate component together.

In rapid prototyping (RP) sector industry, maintaining the quality of the product by lowering its cost is the goal for every industry in this world. Hence, an additive manufacturing (AM) process is an alternative to get a good surface finish without consume too much money on it. For the past 30 years, (AM) has come through a transformation of (RP) technologies which are continuously exhibited in the others names such as 3D printing, additive fabrication, rapid manufacturing, layered free-forming and so forth.

These variations of terminology have been used differently along the world industry due to different perspectives that appears from that industry and academic that utilizes that system. For example, in the US, a solid freeform fabrication is known as an AM term.

However, according to (Wong & Hernandez, 2012) , (AM) is a “processes take the information from a computer-aided design (CAD) file that is later converted to a stereo lithography (STL) file”. In this technique, the graphics made in the CAD software is be indistinguishable to a triangles which containing a segment of information that should be set off the each layer that will be printed. The machinery used can compose a multiform shape of product without produce a lot of deformity. Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) is an example of additive manufacture which produces parts from thermoplastic fibers such as Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) and Polylactic Acid (PLA). The extended filaments are held on spools that are fills through the heated extrusion nozzle. The substances is then being heated until it become a semisolid forms and be injected through a heated nozzle layers by layers to initiate a section of certain element that are controlled by an x-y motion control. Every machine has its own pro and cons, same goes to the other machine, they also have their own advantage and disadvantage. FDM have a capability to form operative parts with a multifarious shape. However, during printing parts processing there are staircase effects which resulting in poor surface finish (Vahabli & Rahmati, 2016).

Ultrasonic assisted machining is one of mechanism in nontraditional machining that used ultrasonic actuation that are attached on the machine tool or at the sample for enhancing the better surface finishes and Material Removal Rate (MRR) of the machine. Furthermore, this ultrasonic assisted machining also are safe to use and conduct because it non-thermal, non-thermal and does not required to electrically conducted to the work piece which make it user friendly. In result, there is no formation of integrity effects, which later may lead to the formation of compressive leftover stresses on the work piece that leads to increase it fatigue strength. The objective of this project is to examine the effect of using ultrasonic-assisted technique by using low-frequency electrical energy (approximately 20 kHz) to improve the printed parts surface finish build by FDM. Ultrasonic is proven technologies that are able to increase the quality of surface finish and has been commonly used for machining (Maidin *et al.*, 2015)

The ultrasonic vibrations with piezoelectric unit that oscillate in vertical axis have been used in laser machining will produce a high degree of surface finish. The electrical signal is relayed to the transducer that converts it into mechanical vibrations that are transmitted through a 3D printer platform. The machining that assisted by ultrasonic will not produce or cause any chemical reaction which it is safe to use. Furthermore, the work piece also does not require to electrically conducting.

There are so many options about the effect of using ultrasonic in FDM machine. (Maidin *et al.*,2015) claimed that ultrasonic oscillations can condense the stairway impact during the printing which may promote better surface finish of the part model. (Friel & Harris, 2011) found that, the processes are suited to high tech metal matrix composites with high temperature and density. In this study, three important process parameters of FDM will be measured which is; (a) the frequency of ultrasonic vibration, (b) layer thickness and (c) fill of density. The measured surface roughness will be determined in the end of the printing process. In this project CATIA will be used to draw the 3D model of the part model.

1.2 Problem Statement

For the past few years an Additive manufacturing (AM) technologies had been around with theatrical improvements in order to recover its quality build. However, there are some restrictions on the printed 3D surface which is the seam lines show up amongst layers and excess material if often left as residue, which tend it to have destitute surface texture. In addition, the FDM-based AM process has the most noticeably poor surface roughness compare to the different liquid (stereolithography) and powder based material (selective laser sintering) AM process. This occurred because of the use of nozzle for material deposition, which give it higher propensity to use of higher layer thickness so that the effect of stair-stepping error and raster pattern are significant (Taufik & Jain, 2017).

Some researchers have noticed the chip discontinuity in material such as Inconel and aluminum when ultrasound frequencies are applied in the drilling process; some others

did not address the chip-breaking formation either in penetrating or turning machining. The utilization of ultrasonic oscillations in various fabricating processes is well reported for more than 50 years (Pandey *et al.*, 2003). Ultrasonic machining has been basically connected on delicate material, and in spite of the fact that expulsion rates are quite high, thus ultrasonic technologies has suit exceptionally to this type of material. Ultrasonic assisted machining has been demonstrated to be successful technique to moving forward the machinability of a few light materials such as aluminum (Brehl & Dow, 2008).

1.3 Objective

The objectives of the research are as follow:

- i. To identify the significant term of process parameter by using Design-Expert software towards better surface roughness during 3D printing assisted ultrasonic piezoelectric transducer.
- ii. To determine optimization value set of process parameter by using Design Expert software towards good surface roughness.
- iii. To examine the impact of applying low frequency of ultrasonic (below 20 kHz) on 3D printed sample surface finish.

1.4 Scope of Project

This project focused on the impact of applying ultrasound frequency to develop better surface finish of 3D printed parts. The material used in this research is ABS polymers with a measurement of 1.5 cm x 1.5 cm x 1.5 cm were created by using Solidwork program. The sample model of 3D printing is fabricated by using low cost FDM machine UP Plus 2 3D printer. In addition to implement the ultrasonic assisted FDM, it is important to assess the capability of FDM process for making parameters such as layer thickness; frequency of piezoelectric transducer and fill of density. In ultrasonic state, the standard piezoelectric transducer were working in a flat mode were designed, manufactured and securely mounted to the perfbboard of FDM machine. The piezoelectric transducer was settled so that the vibration was transmitted equally through the platform of FDM machine. A power generator which transmits the ultrasonic vibration that has frequency range between 1 until 27 KHz was used. The measurement of the nozzle is same as FDM nozzle in FDM research facility of Faculty Manufacturing Engineering, UTeM. The Mitutoyo surface roughness portable tester was used to determine the surface roughness value of the printed sample. In this project, the Design-Expert software version 6.0.8 was used to design the experimental runs of this research and analyze the response obtain by using Central Composite Design (CCD) under Response Surface Methodology (RSM) method.

1.5 Significant of study

Low frequency ultrasonic piezoelectric transducer is applied during the process of 3D printing of ABS filament. The purpose of implementation of ultrasound frequency is to reduce stair-case effect and seam lines that often appear to the surface of printed parts that may resulting in poor surface roughness. The Design of Experiment (DOE) will be applied to this research to help in analyze and obtaining the significant value of the correspond output which is surface roughness, Ra.