

**THE CORRELATION BETWEEN MACHINABILITY AND SURFACE ROUGHNESS  
IN CNC LATHE MACHINING**

**NUR HAZIRA BINTI AMRAN**

**A report submitted  
In fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of  
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering with Honours**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**2018**

## DECLARATION

I declare that this project report entitled “The Correlation between Machinability and Surface Roughness in CNC Lathe Machining” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references.

Signature : .....

Name : .....

Date : .....

## APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this report is sufficient in term of scope and quality for the award of the Degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering with Honours.

Signature : .....

Supervisor's Name : .....

Date : .....

## **DEDICATION**

**First and Foremost praise is to,**

Allah S.W.T, the Almighty, the greatest of all, on whom ultimately we depend on  
sustenance and guidance.

To my beloved mother and father,

**SALAMSIAH BINTI MOHTAR**

**AMRAN BIN ZAKARIA**

For all ears and shoulders

## **ABSTRACT**

Surface finish is the predominant characteristic for machined component to evaluate their quality. Surface finish gives great effect on the performance or functioning of mechanical parts and production costs. The present studies investigate about the surface quality in turning operation of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel AISI 1060 on CNC OKUMA LB-R Lathe machine. Three parameters namely spindle speed, feed rate, and depth of cut are varied to study their effects of machining parameters on the surface finish of the machined materials. Two machining condition was conducted which are machining under dry and coolant condition to determine the optimal surface roughness on the surface finish of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel 1060. An experiment on 1 inch diameter and 150mm long of both 32 Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel AISI 1060 have been carried out. Surface Roughness of the machined materials was measured by using 3D Non-Contact Profilometer. Results showed that quality of materials depends on the certain value of cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut. Besides, optimal surface roughness effective machining under coolant condition.

## ABSTRAK

*Kemasan permukaan adalah ciri utama bagi komponen permesinan untuk menilai kualiti mereka. Kemasan permukaan memberi kesan yang besar terhadap prestasi atau fungsi bahagian mekanikal dan kos pengeluaran. Kajian sekarang menyiasat tentang kualiti permukaan dalam beralih operasi aluminium 6061 dan keluli ringan AISI 1060 pada mesin pelarik CNC. Tiga parameter iaitu kelajuan gelendong, kadar suapan, dan kedalaman pemotongan berbeza-beza untuk mengkaji kesan parameter pemesinan pada permukaan permukaan bahan-bahan pemesinan. Dua keadaan pemesinan telah dilakukan di mana pemesinan di bawah keadaan kering dan sejuk untuk menentukan kekasaran permukaan optimum pada penamat permukaan aluminium 6061 dan keluli ringan 1060. Eksperimen pada diameter 1 inci dan panjang 150mm kedua-dua 32 aluminium 6061 dan keluli ringan AISI 1060 telah dijalankan. Permukaan Permukaan bahan-bahan pemesinan diukur dengan menggunakan Profilometer Non-Contact 3D. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa kualiti bahan bergantung kepada nilai tertentu laju pemotongan, kadar suapan dan kedalaman potong. Selain itu, kekasaran permukaan yang optimum diperolehi pemesinan di bawah keadaan penyejuk.*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest appreciation to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd Ahadlin Bin Mohd Daud from Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka for his essential supervision, support, encouragement towards the completion of this project report for the entire year. Without his dedication, this thesis would not be possible.

Next, I would also like to express my greatest gratitude to my panels of PSM I and PSM II Dr. Mohd Azli Bin Salim and Dr. Nadhlene Binti Razali for every advice and suggestion in evaluation of this project report. Apart from that, I would like to thanks to Mr. Mazlan and Mr. Hairi the technician from machining laboratory who patiently guide me using the equipment in the laboratory regardless of time. In the meantime, special thanks also to Mr. Habirafidi technician from welding laboratory and Mr Yusrizaini the technician from fabrication that continuously helped me conduct this study, give a lot of input ad knowledge also a lot of suggestion and guides me among the whole year.

Furthermore, I also want to express my love and gratitude to both of my parents, Mr. Amran Bin Zakaria and Mrs. Salamsiah Binti Mokhtar and siblings who always with me and support whenever I need it. My final year project would not have been completed without my teammate, peers and everyone who had been involved in completing this project. Lastly, thank you to everyone who had been to the crucial parts of realization of this project.

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Standard test part for tensile test (Igunnuoda, O. (2017)	7
2.2	Properties of Aluminum Alloy 6061	14
2.3	Properties of Mild Steel AISI 1060	15
3.1	Input parameters for turning operation.	29
4.1	Surface roughness obtained from Aluminum Alloy 6061 with increasing spindle speed under dry condition.	31
4.2	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under dry condition	33
4.3	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under dry condition	34
4.4	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under dry condition	36
4.5	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under dry condition	37
4.6	Surface roughness obtained from Mild Steel AISI 1060 with increasing spindle speed under dry condition.	39
4.7	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under dry condition	41
4.8	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under dry condition	42
4.9	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under dry condition	43
4.10	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under dry condition	45
4.11	Surface roughness obtained from Aluminum Alloy 6061 with increasing spindle speed under coolant condition	47



4.12	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under coolant condition	49
4.13	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under coolant condition	50
4.14	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under coolant condition	51
4.15	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under coolant condition	53
4.16	Surface roughness obtained from Mild Steel AISI 1060 with increasing spindle speed under coolant condition.	55
4.17	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under coolant condition	57
4.18	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under coolant condition	58
4.19	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under coolant condition	59
4.20	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under coolant condition	61
4.21	Justification on effect of spindle speed	63
4.22	Surface roughness obtained from Aluminum Alloy 6061 with increasing feed rate under dry condition	64
4.23	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under dry condition	66
4.24	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under dry condition	67
4.25	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under dry condition	68
4.26	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under dry condition	69
4.27	Surface roughness obtained from Mild Steel 1060 with increasing feed rate under dry condition	71
4.28	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under dry condition	73

4.29	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under dry condition	74
4.30	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under dry condition	75
4.31	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under dry condition	77
4.32	Surface roughness obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 with increasing feed rate under coolant condition	79
4.33	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under coolant condition	81
4.34	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under coolant condition	82
4.35	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under coolant condition	83
4.36	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under coolant condition	84
4.37	Surface roughness obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 with increasing spindle feed rate under coolant condition	85
4.38	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm under coolant condition	87
4.39	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm under coolant condition	88
4.40	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm under coolant condition	89
4.41	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm under coolant condition	90
4.42	Justification on effect of feed rate	92
4.43	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.05mm/rev under dry condition	93
4.44	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.20mm/rev under dry condition	94
4.45	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.05mm/rev under dry condition	95

4.46	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.20mm/rev under dry condition	96
4.47	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.05mm/rev under coolant condition	97
4.48	Data obtained for Aluminum Alloy 6061 at constant feed rate of 0.20mm/rev under coolant condition	98
4.49	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant feed rate of 0.05mm/rev under coolant condition	99
4.50	Data obtained for Mild Steel AISI 1060 at constant feed rate of 0.20mm/rev under coolant condition	101
4.51	Justification on effect on depth of cut	102
4.52	Comparison of Aluminum Alloy 6061 among dry and coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	103
4.53	Comparison of Mild Steel AISI 6061 among dry and coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	103

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Motions in turning operation (Agrawalla, 2014)	8
2.2	Surface texture [surface roughness, waviness and Lay], ANSI/ASME B 46.1, American Society of Mechanical Engineers, 1985 (Abdul Lani, 2009)	9
2.3	Illustration of the depth of cut in turning operation (Phogat et al., 2013)	12
3.1	Project flow chart of this study	18
3.2	Process flow in the CNC turning process.	19
3.3	CNC lathe machine OKUMA LB200-R.	20
3.4	Basic parts in CNC Lathe machine	21
3.5	Tool turret or tool post used to clamp cutting tool	23
3.6	Chips and dirt at spindle chuck area	23
3.7	Clamping work piece at spindle chuck	24
3.8	Ensure the door is closed before start the operation	24
3.9	(a) Aluminum Alloy 6061, (b) Mild Steel AISI 1060, (c) Al 6061 and AISI 1060 after performing turning operation.	25
3.10	Ultrasonic cleaner, beaker and Mild Steel AISI 1060	27
3.11	Illustration of using ultrasonic cleaning	27
3.12	3D-Non Contact Profilometer for surface roughness measurement	28
3.13	(a) Measuring surface for Mild Steel AISI 1060, (b) Measuring surface for Aluminum Alloy	28
4.1	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2 mm	33
4.2	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.4 mm	35

4.3	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2 mm	36
4.4	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2 mm	37
4.5	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2mm	41
4.6	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.4mm	42
4.7	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.6mm	44
4.8	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.8mm	45
4.9	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2mm	49
4.10	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.4mm	50
4.11	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.6mm	52
4.12	A line graph of surface roughness Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.8mm	53
4.13	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2mm	57
4.14	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.4mm	58
4.15	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.6mm	60
4.16	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.8mm	61
4.17	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	66
4.18	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm	67
4.19	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm	68

4.20	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm	69
4.21	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.2mm	73
4.22	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.4mm	75
4.23	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.6mm	76
4.24	A line graph of surface roughness Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at constant depth of cut, DOC = 0.8mm	77
4.25	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	81
4.26	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	82
4.27	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	83
4.28	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	84
4.29	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.2mm	87
4.30	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.4mm	88
4.31	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.6mm	89
4.32	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under coolant condition at constant depth of cut, DOC=0.8mm	91
4.33	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy Al 6061 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.05mm/rev	93
4.34	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy Al 6061 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.20mm/rev.	94
4.35	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel 1060 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.05mm/rev	95
4.36	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 6061 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.20mm/rev	96

4.37	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.05mm/rev	97
4.38	A line graph of surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.20mm/rev	99
4.39	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.05mm/rev	100
4.40	A line graph of surface roughness of Mild Steel AISI 1060 under dry condition at feed rate of 0.20mm/rev	101

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al 6061	-	Aluminum Alloy 6061
CNC	-	Computerized Numerical Control
DOC	-	Depth of cut
RPM	-	Revolution per minutes
SOP	-	Standard operation procedure



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$V$	-	Cutting Speed
$f$	-	Feed rate
$D$	-	Initial diameter
$d$	-	Final diameter
$D_{cut}$	-	Depth of cut
$\pi$	-	Circular Constant
$\mu$	-	Micro
m	-	Meter
mm	-	Millimeter
$N$	-	Spindle Speed

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	i
	<b>APPROVAL</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	vi
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xvi
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope of the Study	3
	1.5 General Methodology	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 CNC Lathe Machine	5
	2.3 Turning Process	6
	2.4 Surface Roughness	8
	2.5 Parametric Study	10
	2.5.1 Spindle Speed	10
	2.5.2 Feed Rate	11
	2.5.3 Depth of Cut	11
	2.6 Machining Condition	12
	2.6.1 Dry Condition	13
	2.6.2 Coolant Condition	13
	2.7 Materials	14
	2.7.1 Aluminum Alloy	14
	2.7.2 Mild Steel	14
	2.8 Previous Research	15

<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	17
	3.1 Experimental Overview	17
	3.2 Project Flowchart	18
	3.3 Experimental Flowchart	19
	3.4 CNC Lathe Machine	20
	3.4.1 Standard Operating Procedure (SOP)	22
	3.4.2 Operating Procedures	22
	3.4.3 Cleaning Procedures	25
	3.4.4 Safety Precaution	26
	3.5 Measurement of Surface Roughness	26
	3.5.1 Cleaning Process of Materials	26
	3.5.2 Surface Roughness Device	27
	3.6 Tabulation of Data	29
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	30
	4.1 Introduction	30
	4.2 Effect of Spindle Speed on Surface Roughness	30
	4.2.1 Surface Roughness obtained under Dry Condition	30
	4.2.2 Surface Roughness obtained under Coolant Condition	47
	4.2.3 Justification on Effect of Spindle Speed	62
	4.3 Effect of Feed Rate on Surface Roughness	63
	4.3.1 Surface Roughness obtained under Dry Condition	63
	4.3.2 Surface Roughness Obtained under Coolant Condition	79
	4.3.3 Justification on Effect of Feed Rate	91
	4.4 Effect of Depth of Cut on Surface Roughness	92
	4.4.1 Surface Roughness obtained under Dry Condition	92
	4.4.2 Surface Roughness obtained under Coolant Condition	97
	4.4.3 Justification on Effect of Depth of Cut	102
	4.5 Effect of Machining Condition on Surface Roughness	103
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	105
	5.1 Justification of Objectives	105
	5.2 Review of Methods	105
	5.3 Review of Findings	105
	5.4 Explanation of Findings	106
	5.5 Limitations of Study	107
	5.6 Implication of the Study	107

5.7 Recommendation of the Research	107
<b>REFERENCES</b>	108
<b>APPENDIX</b>	112

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Research Background

Nowadays, machining industries are contributing a lot in metal based industry. Machining is any of various processes in which a cutting tool is used to remove or cut an unwanted raw material into a desired shape and size by a controlled removal process. Usually, machining process used to manufacture metal product but it also can be used on materials such as wood, plastic, ceramic and composites. In most machining process, there are two relative motion which are divided into primary and secondary motion. For primary motion it is called cutting speed and feed rate for secondary motion. These two relative motion combined will produced the desired shape.

Next, surface finish or surface roughness is the predominant characteristic for machined component to evaluate their quality. Surface finish gives great effect on the performance or functioning of mechanical parts and production costs. For example in machine tool industries such as chains, screws, rivets, nails and etc. The surface finish is important in term of tolerances as it can reduces assembly time thus reduces operation time and leads to overall cost reduction. The quality of product very much depends on surface finish. As decreasing the surface finish, also leads to decreasing the product quality. There are various parameters such as cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut that effect the surface roughness of the machined parts.

In the traditional production industry, most machining are using conventional machine such as conventional lathe and conventional milling machine which are these conventional machine need to operate manually. During the turning operation of the conventional lathe machine, heat is produced in the cutting zone due to the friction between tool-chips and tool-work interfaces. The generated heat strongly affected the tool life and surface finish of the product especially during dry machining or machining the material

without any fluids at all, and only atmospheric air surrounding the cutting zone. In order to minimizing the temperature or generated heat at the machining zone, coolant need to apply to reduce the friction force between the tool-chips and tool-work and also it can carry away the heat produced from the cutting zone.

The principle of numerical control, as demonstrated in 1952, is the electronic version which is coded information in to machine tool instruction. For example, machining is carried out by Computer Numerical Control (CNC), in which computers are used to translate into the movement and operation of the milling, lathe and other various cutting machines. Early CNC machine reads information from tapes or punched cards. In the present day, the CNC machine tool has much advanced further functionality. CNC machines provide flexibility in selecting accurate cutting speed, depth of cut and feed rate simultaneously. This is due to the surface finish quality issue in most machined part. Surface finish becomes main indicator for the machined component quality in manufacturing.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Quality plays significant role in today's manufacturing metal based industry. The demand for high quality of machined parts, focuses on surface condition of product especially on surface roughness because its effect on the product appearance, function and reliability. Surface roughness has a great influence on product quality which means the quality of product very much depends on surface roughness. The decreasing of the surface roughness quality also leads to the decreasing of product quality. However, machining industries are facing very great challenges to achieve high quality product with low cost in short time.

There are various parameters that can affect surface roughness of the machined parts which are machining parameters, geometry of the tool, material used or work piece, rigidity of machine and usage of coolant. Meanwhile, due to the surface quality issue, it will affect the mechanical properties of the machined components such as corrosion resistance and fatigue strength, wear resistance, load bearing capacity, heat transmission and load bearing capacity. Therefore, it is necessary to obtain high quality of machined surface roughness and appropriate processes parameters have to be selected to reach the desired surface quality of machined parts.

Therefore, the purpose of the study is to investigate the relationship between cutting parameters and surface roughness of the machined components. An experiment carried out

on a Computer Numerical Controlled (CNC) turning machine with different cutting parameters such as cutting speed, depth of cut, feed rate. Next, the concept of dry machining or there is no applied of coolant during the turning process economic in terms of bring down the manufacturing cost but can cause the tool wear problem, dimensional accuracy of product and low surface finish which is not good in long term effect. Hence, the implementation of coolant is the suggested ways to achieve high quality machined product and increase the tool life.

The study was carried out to evaluate the effect of parameters on the surface roughness for internal cutting profile with turning operation, where the surface roughness values were statistically comparable and to find out the optimum cutting condition by analyzing the different cutting tool length to get the lowest surface roughness in turning an Aluminum solid rod.

### **1.3 Objective of the Study**

The objectives for this project are:

1. To study the effect of machining parameters on the surface finish of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel 1060 solid rod in turning operation.
2. To determine the optimum surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel 1060 rod with or without coolant in turning operation.

### **1.4 Scope of the Study**

In order to achieve the objective, the scopes are prepared as shown below:

1. Studying the surface finish of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel 1060 solid rod by performing Turning operation in CNC Lathe Machine with different machining parameters.
2. Determining the optimum surface quality of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel AISI 1060 solid rod by using coolant or without coolant during turning process at CNC Lathe Machine.
3. Analyzing the optimum surface roughness of Aluminum Alloy 6061 and Mild Steel AISI 1060 solid rod by using 3D-Non Contact Profile

## 1.5 General Methodology

The actions that need to be carried out to achieve the objectives in this project are listed below.

1. Literature review

Journals, articles or any materials regarding the project will be reviewed.

2. Experimental

An experiment will be conducted by using CNC Lathe machine according to the required data.

3. Analysis

Data acquisition or an analysis will be presented on how the parameters and coolant affected the surface roughness on the material.

4. Report writing

A report on this study will be written at the end of the project.