

### SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL

'I hereby declared that I had read through this thesis and in my opinion that this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality which fulfill the requirements for the award of Bachelor of Technology Management (High-Tech Marketing)

SIGNATURE	:
NAME OF SUPERVISOR	: IR. BUDIONO HARJONO
DATE	·

SIGNATURE	:
NAME OF PANEL	: DR. CHEW BOON CHEONG
DATE	:

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

# THE IMPACT OF SPORTSWEAR BRAND PERSONALITY ON GEN Y CUSTOMER PREFERENCE IN CENTRAL MALAYSIA

CHEAM YEN TENG

The thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of Bachelor of Technology Management (High-Tech Marketing)

Faculty of Technology Management and Technopreneurship

(High Technology Marketing)

Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

Jun 2017



### **DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK**

I declare that the work I am submitting for assessment contains no section copied in whole or in part from any other source unless explicitly identified in quotation marks and with detailed, complete and accurate referencing.

Signature :
Name :
Date :

i

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to denote my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Mr. Ahmad Fawwaz for the guidance, assistance and patience and every person that sacrificed their time for helping me to complete this dissertation. Without their helps, I could never finish my research.

Also, I am grateful for those who take part in my survey because they were contributed their precious time and information during the course of finishing this dissertation.

Last but not least, I also thankful for the opinions and stimulating of motivation from my friends and family throughout my research and degree, their help and tolerance were very much appreciated.

### ABSTRACT

This study aims to use quantitative approach to exploring brand personality of sportswear. An online questionnaire survey is used to measure the personality dimensions based on Aaker's five personality dimensions. An estimated 300 Gen Y who aged between 22-39 years old will target as sample of this research. The human like traits found in brands help consumers to establish a connection with the brand. The findings help marketers in formulating effective product design, positioning, and promotion strategies .Most of the research on the subject General BP Study in western country, seldom in Malaysia especially for Gen Y and Sportswear. The results found regarding brand personality is important to sportswear brand, as they can be used to identify those personality brand dimensions that appear to be most important in explaining consumer preferences.

Keywords: Brand personality, Gen Y, Sportswear, Customer preference

#### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif untuk meneroka personaliti jenama sukan. Tinjauan soal selidik dalam talian yang digunakan untuk mengukur dimensi personaliti berdasarkan lima dimensi personaliti Aaker. Dianggarkan 300 Gen Y yang berusia antara 22-39 tahun akan mensasarkan sebagai sampel kajian ini. Manusia seperti ciri-ciri yang terdapat dalam jenama membantu pengguna untuk mewujudkan sambungan dengan jenama. Dapatan membantu pemasar dalam merumuskan reka bentuk yang berkesan produk, kedudukan, dan strategi promosi .Kebanyakan penyelidikan mengenai subjek Ketua BP Kajian di negara barat, jarang di Malaysia terutamanya bagi Gen Y dan sukan. Keputusan mendapati mengenai personaliti jenama adalah penting untuk jenama pakaian sukan, kerana ia boleh digunakan untuk mengenal pasti dimensi jenama personaliti yang muncul untuk menjadi yang paling penting dalam menerangkan keutamaan pengguna.

Keywords: Personaliti jenama, Gen Y, pakaian sukan, keutamaan pengguna

### **TABLE CONTENTS**

CHAPTER	CONTENT	PAGE NUM
	SUPERVISOR'S APPROVAL	
	DECLARATION OF ORIGINAL WORK	i
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ii
	ABSTRACT	iii
	ABSTRAK	iv
	TABLE CONTENTS	V
	LIST OF TABLE	ix
	LIST OF FIGURE	х

# CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Questions	3
1.4	Research Objectives	3
1.5	Research Hypothesis	3
1.6	Research Scope	4
1.7	Research Limitation	4
1.8	Research Significant	5
1.9	Conclusion	5

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

**CHAPTER 3** 

2.1	Brand	6
2.2	Brand Personality	
	2.2.1 Brand Personality Definitions and Perspective	7
	2.2.2 Function/Benefit of Brand Personality	8
	2.2.3 Dimension of Brand Personality	9
2.3	Sportswear	13
2.4	Generation Y (Gen Y)	14
2.5	Customer Preference	16
2.6	Gen Y's and Sportswear Preference	17
2.7	Theoritical Framework	18
RESE	ARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Research Design	20
3.3	Methodology Choice	21
3.4	Data Sources	
	3.4.1 Primary Data	22
	3.4.2 Secondary Data	22
3.5	Location of Research	23
3.6	Research Strategy	23
3.7	Sampling Design	26
	3.7.1 Sampling Technique	26
	3.7.2 Sampling Size	26

	3.8	Resea	arch Instrument	28
	3.9	Time	Horizon	28
	3.10	Pilot '	Testing	29
	3.11	Scien	tific Canon	31
		3.11.1	Reliability Test	31
		3.11.2	2 Validity Test	31
	3.12	Data Analysis		32
		3.12.1	l Descriptive Analysis	33
		3.12.2	2 Pearson's Correlation Analysis	35
		3.12.3	3 Multiple Regression	36
	3.13	Concl	usion	37
CHAPTER 4				
CHAPTER 4	1	DATA	A ANALYSIS & FINDINGS	
CHAPTER 4	1	<b>DAT</b> A 4.1	A ANALYSIS & FINDINGS Introduction	38
CHAPTER 4	1			38 38
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1	Introduction	
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1	Introduction Descriptive analysis	38
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1	Introduction Descriptive analysis 4.2.1 Demographic break down	38 39
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1	Introduction Descriptive analysis 4.2.1 Demographic break down 4.2.2 Cross tabulation	38 39 44
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1 4.2	IntroductionDescriptive analysis4.2.1Demographic break down4.2.2Cross tabulation4.2.3Independent variable	38 39 44 47
CHAPTER 4	ł	4.1 4.2	Introduction Descriptive analysis 4.2.1 Demographic break down 4.2.2 Cross tabulation 4.2.3 Independent variable Reliability and validity test from pilot test	38 39 44 47 47
CHAPTER 4	ł	<ul><li>4.1</li><li>4.2</li><li>4.3</li></ul>	Introduction Descriptive analysis 4.2.1 Demographic break down 4.2.2 Cross tabulation 4.2.3 Independent variable Reliability and validity test from pilot test 4.3.1 Reliability	38 39 44 47 47 47

67

### CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION

5.1	Introduction	
5.2	Discussion	
	5.2.1 Descriptive findings	59
	5.2.2 Correlationship	60
	5.2.3 Suggestion	60
5.3	Conclusion	61
5.4	Implication of study	
	5.4.1 Practioner	61
	5.4.2 Marketer	62
5.5	Recommendation for future research	63
		64

APPENDIX	

REFERENCE



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLES	CONTENT	PAGE NUM
2.2.1	Brand Personality Definitions and	7
	Perspectives of Researchers	
2.2.2	Brand Personality Definitions and Perspectives	7
	of Practitioners / Marketers	
2.2.3	Brand Personality Definitions and Perspectives	8
	of Consumers	
2.2.4	Measurement of Brand Personality Scales	11
2.4.1	Global Generation Overview	16
3.3.1	Comparison between quantitative and	23
	qualitative method	
3.6.2	Measurement of brand personality dimension	26
3.6.3	In-depth study of brand personality dimension	26
3.8.1	Likert Scale's Table	30
3.8.2	Questionnaire section	30
3.12.1.1	Descriptive analysis	35
3.12.2.1	Values of the correlation coefficient	38
4.2.1.1	Gender composition	41
4.2.1.2	Description of respondent's age	42
4.2.1.3	Description of respondent's yearly spending on sportswear	43

4.2.1.4	Description of respondent's education level	44
4.2.1.5	Description of respondent's favorite sportwear brand	45
4.2.3	Mean score range	49
4.3.1.1	Reliability statistics	52
4.3.1.2	Reliability analysis	54
4.4.1	Pearson correlation analysis	55
4.4.2	Category of linear relationship	56
4.5.1	Category of linear association	57
4.5.2	Model summary	57
4.5.3	ANOVA	58
4.5.4	Coefficient value	58
4.6	Result of hypothesis testing	60

### **LIST OF FIGURES**

FIGURES	CONTENT	PAGE NUM
2.2.1	Brand Personality Scale	10
2.3.1	Total revenue of the global sports apparel	15
	market from 2011 to 2018 (in billion U.S. dolla	ars)
2.4.1	Number of Population by sex and age group,	17
2.7	Theoritical framework	19
	Malaysia, 2000 and 2010	
3.12.1.1	Frequency Chart	36
3.12.1.2	Standard derivation graph	37
4.2.1	Respondent's gender	40
4.2.2	Respondent's age	41
4.2.3	Respondent's yearly spending on sportswear	42
4.2.4	Respondent's education level	43
4.2.5	Respondent's favorite sportwear brand	45
4.2.2.1	Cross tabulation of favorite brand and gender	46
4.2.2.2	Cross tabulation of education and gender	47
4.2.2.3	Cross tabulation of sincerity and gender	48
4.2.2.4	Cross tabulation of education and brand	49
4.2.3.1	Histogram of sincerity	50
4.2.3.2	Histogram of competence	51
4.2.3.3	Histogram of excitement	51
4.2.3.4	Histogram of sophistication	52
4.2.3.5	Histogram of ruggedness	52

#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

There is a considerable amount of attention has been given to consumer behavior research on the construct brand personality, which refers to the set of human characteristics associated with a brand.(Aaker, 1997). Aaker developed brand personality scale and identified five distinct personality dimensions that are associated with brands, which are excitement, sincerity, competence, sophistication and ruggedness (Tong & Su 2014).Brand and symbol are becoming the key driver to indicate customer preference. A set of human characteristics associated with a brand create and build meaningful consumer–brand relationships define as brand personality is becoming effective marketing strategy on building brand image.

According to a definition supplied by the Sporting Goods Manufacturers Association, sportswear is "a product purchased with the intent that it will be used in active sport" (Newbery, 2009). The sportswear market is expanding as the trend of the promotion of healthy and active living grows (Ponsignon et al. 2015). The global sportswear market is highly competitive and is one of the most heavily branded segments in the global apparel market (Tong & Su 2014).

Considering that few studies have been done to specifically identify the personalities of sportswear brands, this research takes a Gen Y perspective to examine the personalities that are specifically associated with sportswear brands and tests the applicability of Aaker's brand personality framework in the context of sportswear brands. (Tong & Su 2014).Gen Y refers to the specific generation born between the

1980's to the early 1990's(Muda et al. 2016). Gen Ys in Malaysia make up the largest population of consumers, have a high level of spending power and make informed decisions on their purchases (Asian Institute of Finance 2015). The median age of Malaysia is 28 years old (Department of Statistics Malaysia Official Portal 2016). Members of Generation Y have been described as individualistic, well-educated, technologically savvy, sophisticated, mature, and structured (Syrett and Lammiman, 2003).(Howe et al. 2013). Thus, GenY as largest populations in Malaysia is potential consumer group target by the marketer. (Asian Institute of Finance 2015).

A studied focus on Gen Y perspective was conducted to provide an insight into sportswear industry. Therefore, marketing practitioners have become increasingly aware of the importance of building a clear and distinctive brand personality (Das et al., 2012; Keller and Richey, 2006). as a central driver of consumer preference (Toldos-Romero & Orozco-Gómez 2015).

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Aaker (1997) had conducted researches on brand personality. The conceptual and operational definitions of brand personality vary from study to study. Therefore it is needed to conduct more research for better understanding of a brand personality. Besides that, most of the studies conducted on brand personality are mainly done in western countries instead of Malaysia. Hence, it is an opportunity to conduct the research in Malaysia to investigate brand personality in this multi-cultural country.

Previously, there was few researcher conducted some study regarding the brand personality of sportswear in western countries. Very few studies explore the impact of brand personality of sportswear among Gen Y, especially in Malaysia. Thus, this research is going to examine the impact of brand personality on customer preference among Gen Y in Malaysia.

#### **1.3 Research Questions**

1) What is the impact of excitement on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia

2) What is the Impact of sincerity on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia?

3) What is the impact of competence on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia?

4) What is the impact of sophistication on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia?

5) What is the impact of ruggedness on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia?

#### **1.4 Research Objectives**

1) To investigate the impact of excitement on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

2) To determine the impact of sincerity on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

3) To describe the impact of competence on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

4) To analyze the impact of sophistication on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

5) To evaluate the impact of ruggedness on customer preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

#### **1.5 Research Hypothesis**

**H1:** Excitement has a positive relationship with customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

**H2**: Sincerity has a positive relationship with customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

**H3**: Competence has a positive relationship with customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

**H4**: Sophistication has a positive relationship with customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

**H5**: Ruggedness have a positive relationship with customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia.

#### **1.6 Research Scope**

The research scope is focused on a study the impact of five main dimensions of brand personality which are excitement, sincerity, competence, sophistication and ruggedness in, sportswear industry to customer's preference among Gen Y in central Malaysia. Footwear and clothing of five different brands will be the main focus of this study such as Nike, Adidas, Puma, New Balance and Asics .Whereas population of age group from 22-39 years old as Gen Y are target respondents of central Malaysia.

#### **1.7 Research Limitation**

There has some researcher limitation on this research. First, some respondent doesn't have adequate knowledge about the topic that researcher want to study. Brand personality elements are not familiar among consumers, some terms were challenging to convert in simpler words in the questionnaire. It might because respondents misunderstood the content of the questionnaire. For those who doesn't understand the content of questionnaire will cause the problem of unintentional respondent errors. Therefore it could influence the reliability level of the research.

Second, some of the respondents who have limited experiences on purchasing branded sportswear lack of familiarity and awareness on the uniqueness of branded sportswear. Therefore, sportswear brand personality might not become their main concerned. In that case, the tendency of result could be a middle range.

Third, some of the respondents do not provide an honest answer. Respondent bias created by the unwillingness to provide honest answers stems from the participant's natural desire to provide socially acceptable answers in order to avoid embarrassment. This phenomenon is widely known as social desirability bias.

#### **1.8 Research Significant**

The findings of this study will bring a small contribution to the framework of Brand Personality(Aaker 2014). This study evaluates the relationship of brand personality with sportswear. A valid and reliable scale that measures personality for sportswear products and confirms that consumers do associate brand personality dimension with sportswear brand.

Limited research has been conducted on investigating and understanding Generation Y's behavior toward sportswear. This paper is useful to marketing practitioners of sportswear industry to understand the impact of brand personality on customer's preference among Gen Y. An insight provides to what dimension of brand personality would deliver the best result in today's competitive sportswear Therefore, sportswear brand could design products according to brand personality dimension prefer by Gen Y. The outcomes of the research contribute sportswear industry in Malaysia to improve its performance efficiently and effectively.

#### **1.9 Conclusion**

Background of study was discuss the definition and significant of brand personality in researchers, marketers and customers view. The booming of sportswear industry induced the interest of marketer study customer preference. Gen Y is major target market who contribute largest sales to sportswear industry. Previous study was focus on western countries instead of Malaysia. Moreover majority of previous studies was generally about brand personality without specific subject. Therefore, a study of sportswear brand personality on Gen Y in Malaysia was conducted. The research questions and objectives will investigate the impact of brand personality dimension (sincerity, excitement, competence, sophistication and ruggedness) on customer preference among Gen Y. Research scope and limitation was clearly stated 1.6 and 1.7. This study is important confirms that consumers do associate brand personality on customer's preference among Gen Y to marketer.

### **CHAPTER 2**

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### 2.1 Brand

The brand is a composition of name, term, sign, symbol, or design for differentiate products or services with competitors (Kotler ,2000). Brand is an essential asset with high market value.(Vazifehdoost, 2016). Brands have many meaningful benefits.

First, brands is important for customer's choices, promise on delivering high quality products or services, minimize risk and develop trust. Brands stimuli customers remember the entire experience that customer's adoption of products or services. (Su & Tong, 2015a).

Second, brand plays an important role in determining the effectiveness of marketing efforts such as advertising and distribution channel Furthermore, the brand is legal protection of ownership and quality signals (De Chernatony and McDonald, 2003).

Successful brands as the associated elements that cannot be copied by competitors, enhancing consumer preferences over competing brands. (Ebrahim, 2013). The main challenging of branding is to build an in-depth positive associations for the brand. (Kotler, 2000, pg.188). Strengthen of brand able to win consumer preferences and construct long-lasting relationships (Kay, 2016)

#### 2.2 Brand Personality

#### **Brand Personality Definitions and Perspectives** 2.2.1

Brand personality has been explored by numbers of researchers especially in western countries. They provide a different insight and concepts from researcher, marketers and customer's perceptions. Table 1 stated brand personality view of several academicians and researchers. These definitions are collected and combined from various previous research.

Table 2.2.1: Brand personality definitions and perspectives of researchers

No.	Author & year of publication	Brand personality definitions and perspectives
		Researchers
1	(Aaker,	Brand personality, which refers to the set of human
	1997).	characteristics associated with a brand
2	(Azoulay &	Brand personality is the set of human personality traits that
	Kapferer 2003)	are both applicable to and relevant for brands.

Table 2.2.2: Brand personality definitions and perspectives of practitioners / marketers

No.	Author &	Brand personality definitions and perspectives	
	year of	Practitioners / Marketers	
	publication		
1	(D'Astous	Creating distinct images in consumers' mind in order to	
	et al. 2006).	differentiate their offerings from those of competitors.	
2	(Demangeot	Brand personality is a popular metaphor in marketing to	
	&	investigate consumers' brand perceptions and describe brands	
	Broderick	as if they were human beings	
	2010)		



No.	Author & year of publication	Brand personality definitions and perspectives Consumers
1	(Beldona &	When consumers view a brand as having human
	Wysong	characteristics, the brand is said to have a personality
	2012)	
2	(Alignment	People express themselves through the brands they consume
	et al. 2014)	to the extent that these brands serve as an extension of the
		self.

Table 2.2.3: Brand Personality Definitions and Perspectives of Consumers

### 2.2.2 Function/ Benefit of Brand Personality

Brand personality is giving extra advantages to company with strong influential power .(Demangeot & Broderick, 2010). Brand personality induce the persuasive level of the brand uniqueness. Brand personality difficult and hard to copy. (Aaker, 2014). Higher level of brand equity influence more customer preference.(Aaker, 2014). Other than that it could build brand loyalty( (Su & Tong, 2015b).

There are some managerial advantage of brand personality. (Ahmad & Thyagaraj 2015). Differentiating of brand from the competitors could leverage brand personality as an essential tool. (Tong & Li 2013, Vazifehdoost 2016). Furthermore brand personality is the key of consumer preference, usage and purchase intention (Keller, 2003). As the "soul" of the brand, brand personality is an essential promotional tool to tackle customers for the building of an entire brand image (Gwinner & Eaton, 1999).

Moreover, it could increase the effectiveness of marketing communication with target customers through right marketing strategies for creating favorable attitudes and behaviors for the brand.(Su & Tong, 2015b). Furthermore, it provides a better understanding of the development and maintaining of relations between brands and consumers (J. Gouteron, 2008). Personality is an appropriate association for brands

develops attraction attributes for brands which are having a personality similar to human personality based on customer preference. (M. N. Koebel & R. Ladwein, 1999).

The consumer prefer choose a brand that is associated with the group they wishes to belong to, accepting the brand's identity as a part of their own. It tends to serve as a symbolic or self- expressive function (Huang et al.2012). and also serves as a channel for representing product-related functional advantage and brand attributes.( Aaker, 2014). Personality is an essential consideration in the consumer's choices of brands. Customer prefer choose brand which congruence with their own personalities.(A. Ahmad & Thyagaraj, 2015).

Previous studies stated brand personality is important for brand differentiation and increasing consumer preference.(Demangeot & Broderick, 2010) .In short, brand's personality can increase consumer preference for and usage of that brand, develop feelings of comfort and confidence in the minds of consumers, enhance levels of loyalty and trust and provide a basis for brand differentiation among the myriad brands in the market. (Keller,2003;Wang et al., 2009). Consumers may hold more positive product evaluations toward a strong and positive brand personality's product. (Tong & Li, 2013).

Brand personality was define as one type of brand association in consumer memory that may be accessed as the need or desire for a particular product or services, and that may influence consumer preferences.(Freling & Forbes, 2005). As a result; the higher the congruency between both personalities, the higher is the consumer's preference and purchase intention for the respective brand.

#### 2.2.3 Dimension of Brand Personality

Brand personality is measured with five dimensions that specially for consumers' brand attribute (Aaker, 1997). Aaker (1997). Constructed brand personality scale (Table 2.2) by determining the number and nature of dimensions of brand personality traits. In addition, Aaker (1997). developed a measurement scale which is brand personality scale with combination of 42 traits. Five personality scale was applicable for all sample even with different groups, demography or brand choices. These five brand personality dimensions is becoming a trend and preference by

majority of brands for their products are sincerity, excitement, competence, sophistication and ruggedness.



Figure 2.2.1: Brand personality scale

The impact of this model has been so profound that since 1997 most academic publications about brand personality is based on Aaker's methodology. This model has been adapted in other countries as the scale of studied different brands and products.

Table 2.2.4 as a compiled of previous study's result conducted based on Aaker's brand personality scale.