SUPERVISOR CONFIRMATION

'I hereby declared that I had read through this thesis and in my opinion that this thesis is adequate in terms of scope and quality which fulfil the requirements for the award of Bachelor of Technopreneurship'

Signature

| _ | |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Supervisor's Name | : En. Hasan Bin Saleh |
| Date | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Signature | : |
| Panel's Name | : Prof.Madya Ahmad Rozelan Bin Yunus |
| Date | : |

THE SUCCESSFUL FACTOR OF JOHOR TRADITIONAL FOOD ENTREPRENEUR TOWARD SMEs PRODUCT: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

NURAMIRAH BINTI SALEKAN

Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Bachelor of Technopreneursip (Honours)

Faculty of Technology Management & Technopreneurship
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

JUNE 2017



DECLARATION

"I declare that this project is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The research project has not been for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree"

| Signature | · |
|-----------|----------------------------|
| Name | : Nuramirah Binti Salekan. |
| Date | I |

DEDICATION

I would like dedicate the appreciation to my family members especially my parents Mr. Salekan Bin Tambi and Mrs. Rossidah Binti Mujiman who motivate me in completing this research. They continuously give me support and advice to fulfil the requirement of the research.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, praises and thanks to the God, the Almighty, for His showers of blessings throughout my research work to complete the research successfully. I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Mr. Hasan Bin Saleh for giving me the opportunity to do research and providing invaluable guidance throughout this research. He has taught me the methodology in quantitative approach to carry out the research and to present the research works as clearly as possible. It was a great privilege and honour to work and study under her guidance. I also would like to thank Prof. Salleh Yahya for sharing their expertise and knowledge in the Research Method subject that really helped me through in the writing of this research. I am extremely grateful to my parents and family for their love, prayers, caring and sacrifices for educating and preparing me for my future. Finally, my thanks go to all my course mate, lecturers and the people who have supported me in completing the research directly or indirectly.

Thank you

ABSTRACT

Malaysia is a country which has a rich diversity of culture. One of it were, traditional food. "Diversity" is the key word that we often discuss, primarily on traditional food in Malaysia. Nowadays traditional food were less known by the younger generation as many snacks and junk food has been introduced on the market. Apart from that, the lack of promotion and exposure of the present generation towards traditional food also was the main course. The traditional foods need to be introduced and reminded to the younger generation. Johor is one of the emerging states in Malaysia .Therefore, as a way to attract tourists from home and abroad Johor has triggered the development of traditional food industry as a national cultural asset. Hence, to maintain the quality of traditional food products, recipes, how, skills, and special materials it has triggered traditional food processing operation by entrepreneurs who are experienced in working on traditional food industry. In addition, to maintain the quality and progress in the field of traditional food processing, entrepreneurs must improve the quality and development food in line with the demand and needs of society nowadays .So, this study aims to investigate and identify the factors of success traditional food entrepreneurs by Small and Medium Enterprise (SMEs) this .Research analysis using quantitative as an instrument of research. Moreover, the study involved 100 respondents which were clearly skilled and able to commercialize its own traditional food in traditional food control in Johor.

Keywords: Traditional Food, Entrepreneurs, Small and Medium Enterprise (SMEs)

ABSTRAK

Malaysia merupakan Negara yang memiliki kekayaan keanekaragaman budaya.Salah satunya adalah makanan traditional. "Kepelbagaian" merupakan perkataan utama yang sering kita bincangkan ,terutamanya adalah tentang makanan traditional di Malaysia.Namun begitu,pada masa kini makanan traditional kurang dikenali oleh generasi muda kerana banyaknya makanan ringan yang mula dikenalkan di pasaran dan kurangnya promosi serta pendedahan generasi kini terhadap makanan traditional.Oleh itu,makanan traditional perlu dikenalkan dan diingatkan kembali pada generasi muda hari ini. Johor merupakan salah sebuah negeri di dalam Malaysia yang sedang pesat membangun .Oleh itu,sebagai salah satu cara untuk menarik minat pelancong dari dalam dan luar negara maka wujudlah perkembangan industri makanan traditional sebahagian asset kebudayaan di Johor. Seterusnya,untuk mengekalkan kualiti produk makanan traditional, resepi , cara pembuatan, kemahiran , dan bahanbahan istimewa maka wujudlah pengendalian pemprosesan makanan traditional oleh usahawan usahawan yang berpengalaman dalam mengusahakan industri makanan traditional. Selain itu,untuk mengekalkan kualiti dan kemajuan dalam bidang pemprosesan makanan tradisional usahawan haruslah meningkatkan kualiti dan mutu makanan seiring dengan kehendak dan cita rasa masyarakat sekarang.Oleh itu,kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji serta mengenalpasti faktor-faktor kejayaan usahawan makanan traditional oleh Perindustrian Kecil dan Sederhana (PKS).Kajian ini mengunakan analisis kuantitatif yang menggunakan soal selidik sebagai instrumen analisis.. Tambahan lagi,kajian ini juga melibatkan 100 responden yang jelas berkemahiran dan mengusahakan makanan traditional sendiri dalam bidang pengendalian makanan traditional di Johor.

Kata kunci: Makanan Traditional, Usahawan, Perindustrian kecil dan sederhana (PKS)



CONTENTS

| | SUP | ERVISOR CONFIRMATION | i |
|-----------|------|-----------------------|------|
| | DEC | CLARATION | iii |
| | DED | DICATION | iv |
| | ACF | KNOWLEDGEMENT | V |
| | ABS | TRACT | vi |
| | ABS | TRAK | vii |
| | CON | NTENTS | viii |
| | LIST | Γ OF TABLES | xii |
| | LIST | Γ OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST | Γ OF ABBREVIATION | XV |
| | LIST | Γ OF SYMBOLS | xvi |
| | LIST | Γ OF APPENDIX | xvii |
| CHAPTER 1 | INT | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Background of Study | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Research Questions | 3 |
| | 1.4 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Scope of Study | 4 |
| | 1.6 | Significance of Study | 5 |
| | | | |

| | 1.7 | Summary | 5 |
|-----------|-----|-------------------------------|----|
| CHAPTER 2 | LET | ERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 6 |
| | 2.2 | Entreprenuership | 6 |
| | | 2.2.1 Successful Entreprenuer | 8 |
| | | 2.2.2 Entrepreneurial Success | 9 |
| | 2.3 | Traditional Food Product | 10 |
| | 2.4 | Successful Entreprenuer SMEs | 11 |
| | | 2.4.1 Raw Material | 12 |
| | | 2.4.2 Financial | 13 |
| | | 2.4.3 Technology | 14 |
| | | 2.4.4 Distribution | 16 |
| | 2.5 | Research Framework | 17 |
| | 2.6 | Hypothesis | 18 |
| CHAPTER 3 | RES | EARCH METHODOLOGY | 20 |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 20 |
| | 3.2 | Research Design | 21 |
| | 3.3 | Research Strategy | 23 |
| | 3.4 | Data Collection | 23 |
| | | 3.4.1 Quantitative Method | 24 |
| | 3.5 | Population and Sampling | 25 |
| | 3.6 | Questionnaire Construction | 26 |
| | 3.7 | Time Horizon | 28 |
| | 3.8 | Data Analysis | 29 |

| | | 3.8.1 Descriptive Analysis | 30 |
|-----------|------|---------------------------------------|----|
| | | 3.8.2 Reliability Analysis | 30 |
| | | 3.8.3 Correlation Analysis | 31 |
| | 3.9 | Validity | 31 |
| | 3.10 | Reliability | 33 |
| | 3.11 | Scientific Canon | 33 |
| | | 3.11.1 Reliability | 33 |
| | | 3.11.2 Construct Validity | 34 |
| | | 3.11.3 Internal and External Validity | 34 |
| | 3.12 | Summary | 35 |
| CHAPTER 4 | DATA | A ANALYSIS | 36 |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 36 |
| | 4.2 | Pilot Test | 37 |
| | | 4.2.1 Reliability Analysis | 37 |
| | | 4.2.2 Validity Analysis | 39 |
| | 4.3 | Descriptive Statistic | 46 |
| | | 4.3.1 Respondent Demographic Profile | 46 |
| | | 4.3.2 Gender | 46 |
| | | 4.3.3 Race | 47 |
| | | 4.3.4 Age | 48 |
| | | 4.3.5 Level of Education | 50 |
| | | 4.3.6 Marital Status | 51 |
| | | 4.3.7 Average Annual Sales Revenue | 52 |
| | | .3.8 Average Monthly Sales | 54 |
| | | 4.3.9 Business Performance | 55 |
| | 4.4 | Analysis Result Questionnaires | 57 |

| | | 4.4.1 Raw Material | 57 |
|-----------|-----|--|------------|
| | | 4.4.2 Financial | 59 |
| | | 4.4.3 Technology | 61 |
| | | 4.4.4 Distribution | 63 |
| | | 4.4.5 Business Performance | 65 |
| | | | c = |
| | 4.5 | Result of Measurement | 67 |
| | | 4.5.1 Test Validity | 67 |
| | | 4.5.2 Validity Test for 83 Respondents | 68 |
| | | 4.5.3 Reliability Test | 71 |
| | 4.6 | Hypothesis Testing | 73 |
| | | 4.6.1 Hypothesis 1 | 73 |
| | | 4.6.2 Hypothesis 2 | 75 |
| | | 4.6.3 Hypothesis 3 | 76 |
| | | 4.6.4 Hypothesis 4 | 78 |
| | 4.7 | Multiple Regression Analysis | 79 |
| | 4.8 | Summary | 83 |
| CHAPTER 5 | CON | CLUSION & RECOMMENDATION | 84 |
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 84 |
| | 5.2 | Scale Measurement | 85 |
| | | 5.2.1 Validity | 85 |
| | | 5.2.2 Reliability | 85 |
| | 5.3 | Discussion of Objective and Hypothesis Testing | 86 |
| | | 5.3.1 Objective 1: To Investigate the successful | |
| | | Factor that influence traditional food | |
| | | Entrepreneur toward SMEs Product | 86 |
| | | | |

| | 5.3.2 | Objective 2: To Determine relationship | |
|-------------|--------|---|----|
| | Betwe | en the successful factor of | |
| | Techn | ology use toward traditional | |
| | Food p | product | 88 |
| | 5.3.3 | Objective 3: To Identify how the business | |
| | Busine | ess Performance of SMEs product | |
| | Effect | to the success factor of traditional | |
| | Food e | entrepreneur | 89 |
| 5.4 | Recon | nmendation and Future Research | 91 |
| 5.5 | Limita | tion | 91 |
| 5.6 | Conclu | usion | 92 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| REFERENCES | | | 93 |
| APPENDIXCES | | | 98 |

LIST OF TABLES

| NO | TITLE | PAGE |
|------|---|------|
| 3.1 | The Table for Determining Sample size from a Given Population | 26 |
| 3.2 | The Sample Scale of Question | 27 |
| 4.1 | Reliability Statistic | 37 |
| 4.2 | Value of Cronbach's Alpha for pilot test | 38 |
| 4.3 | Validity for 20 respondent pilot test | 40 |
| 4.4 | Correlation table of Raw Material use for pilot test | 41 |
| 4.5 | Correlation table of Financial of use for pilot test | 42 |
| 4.6 | Correlation table of Technology of use for pilot test | 43 |
| 4.7 | Correlation table of Distribution of use for pilot test | 44 |
| 4.8 | Correlation table of Business performance of use for pilot test | 45 |
| 4.9 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Gender | 46 |
| 4.10 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Race | 47 |
| 4.11 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Age | 48 |
| 4.12 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Level of Education | 50 |
| 4.13 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Marital Status | 51 |
| 4.14 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Average Annual | |
| | Sales Revenue for the Business | 53 |
| 4.15 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Average Monthly Sales | 54 |
| 4.16 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Business Performance | 56 |
| 4.17 | Statistic of Raw Material | 58 |
| 4.18 | Statistic of Financial | 60 |
| 4.19 | Statistic of Technology | 62 |



| 4.20 | Statistic of Distribution | 64 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.21 | Statistic of Business Performance | 66 |
| 4.22 | Validity Test for 83 Respondent | 68 |
| 4.23 | The result of correlation analysis for all variable | 70 |
| 4.24 | Correlation Coefficient Values | 70 |
| 4.25 | Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient Range and Its Strength of Association | 72 |
| 4.26 | Reliability Statistic for 83 respondents | 72 |
| 4.27 | Simple Regression between Raw Material and Business Performance | |
| | of Traditional Food Product. (Hypothesis 1) | 74 |
| 4.28 | Simple Regression between Financial and Business Performance | |
| | of Traditional Food Product. (Hypothesis 2) | 76 |
| 4.29 | Simple Regression between Technology and Business Performance | |
| | of Traditional Food Product. (Hypothesis 3) | 77 |
| 4.30 | Simple Regression between Distribution and Business Performance | |
| | of Traditional Food Product. (Hypothesis 4) | 79 |
| 4.31 | Model Summary Regression Analysis | 80 |
| 4.32 | ANOVA Analysis | 81 |
| 4.33 | Table of coefficient | 81 |
| 5.1 | Multiple Regression Result for Objective 1 | 87 |
| 5.2 | Summary of Multiple Regression Result for objective 2 | 88 |
| 5.3 | Summary of Hypothesis Result for objective 3 | 89 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| NO | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----|---|------|
| 2.1 | Theoretical Framework | 18 |
| 3.1 | Research Design of Study | 22 |
| 3.2 | Gantt Chart | 29 |
| 4.1 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Gender | 47 |
| 4.2 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Race | 48 |
| 4.3 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Age | 49 |
| 4.4 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Level of Education | 50 |
| 4.5 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Marital Status | 51 |
| 4.6 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Average Annual | |
| | Sales Revenue for the Business | 53 |
| 4.7 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Average | |
| | Monthly Sales | 54 |
| 4.8 | Frequencies Distribution of Respondent's Business | |
| | Performance | 56 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IT Information Technology

SMEs Small Medium Enterprise =

Ujian Penilaian Sekolah Rendah **UPSR** =

Peperiksaan Menengah Rendah **PMR** =

SPM Sijil Pelajaran Malaysia

Sijil Tinggi Pelajaran Malaysia **STPM** =

PHD Doctor of Philosophy =

LIST OF SYMBOLS

% Percent

Equals

Plus +

Multiple X

LIST OF APPENDIXES

| NO | TITLE | PAGES |
|----|-----------------------------|-------|
| A | Questionnaire | 99 |
| В | Gantt Chart PSM 1 and PSM 2 | 106 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

The Malaysian food industry has become an important of a part in agro-based industry by following the government's focus on the agriculture sector .Furthermore, in early 1970 SMEs in Malaysia was initiated by the Malaysian government. At 1971 the "New economic Policy" was introduced and the objective is to develop the people's welfare and restructure ethnic economic imbalance in Malaysian .Second Industrial Master Plan (IMP2) is supporting government with expansion of SMEs.(Nor, Bhuiyan, Said, & Alam, 2016). Government support is no less important in affecting the success of SME. The government through various ministries and agencies have taken many initiatives and also programs to support the development of SME in Malaysian. It aims to promote the development of SME more competitive and resilient to enhance the contribution of SME to the national economy. Government support for the development of SME is in the form of a special program designed to provide infrastructure .This support to make it easier for entrepreneurs to venture into business cultivate the entrepreneurial spirit, expand their expertise and educate potential entrepreneurs.

Moreover, food industry in SMEs have high competitiveness is the main theme towards sustained economic growth. As well we know about SMEs, SMEs have long been believed to be important in supporting economics development within a country

(Mazzarol, Volery, Doss, & Thein, 1999). SMEs are important in the process of economic development and also plays an important role in the network of national production. Currently, in food production of functional food, health food, convenience, food ingredients and halal food is classified as the industry key growth areas. From that, to ensure the sustainability of food industry especially in traditional food, they need to propose a new paradigm and new knowledge for the management of traditional food products.

Malaysia is famous among tourists mastermind abroad and more known for its uniqueness of cultures and races of people. Malaysia also as well-known as a multicultural and multiracial country consists of various ethnic such as Malay, Chinese, Indian and others. As major ethnic group, Malay is known to be descendants of the tribal proto-Malays, a mixture of modern India, Thai, Arab and Chinese ancestry. The pioneer settlement of Malay Peninsula, coastal Borneo and eastern island were among the Malay from Sumatran coast and indigenous from java and Celebes (Hutton, 2000; Jalis, Zahari, Zulkifly, Othman, 2009). One of the main tourist attractions in Malaysian, traditional food has become a symbol of the diversity of people in Malaysia. So, it is possible to consider that involvement in the traditional food industry is having opportunity and a good prospect in the future (Ibrahim & Ahmad, 2011).

Traditional food is often related to historical value of multicultural population of the people. Then, owned by the local and use natural of quality ingredients, and also have the knowledge and experience to produce traditional food itself with own uniqueness. In additional, to ensure that the resulting product gets attractions and celebrations, traditional food entrepreneur itself requires a competitive advantage to other competitors. Then, to meet consumer demand and also prepare by own unique way and own quality on their product. Furthermore, the rapidly changing economic environment has led to a major help SMEs in their agility, dynamism and also innovation. These firms are capable to respond rapidly and are exceedingly adaptable when faced with a changing environment (Tzadik, 2007) and their creativity fuels the soul and spirit of entrepreneurship and economic growth (Savlovschi and Robu, 2011).

1.2 Problem Statement

This study focus on the successful factor of traditional food entrepreneur toward small medium enterprise (SMEs) product. Traditional food product is facing various challenges to compete in SMEs arena especially in food sector. The food sector is based on a very heterogeneous group with different degrees of product perishability, manufacturing lead times vary, and the demands of various customers in different amounts at different frequencies. So, which will enable them to maintain and expand their share market in a highly competitive at food sector.

Furthermore, the food industry is facing a period of rapid change driven by globalization, processing, health and safety as well. Which will help the organization's traditional food entrepreneurs to create more competitive products .Moreover, to know the weaknesses of traditional food operators towards SME products. Then, population of people also change lifestyles ,so they have led to an increase in demand for traditional foods, and now Malaysia became one of the favorite tourist destinations, therefore, culture and economic environment seems to be an important role in traditional food products in Malaysia.

1.3 Research Question

This study is to identify, evaluate and understand on the successful factor of Johor traditional food entrepreneur toward SMEs product. The successful factor given positive impact to the food sector especially in SMEs traditional food, which have been lead to the studies. The question is per below:-

RQ 1: What are the successful factor that influence traditional food entrepreneur towards SMEs product?

RQ 2: What is the relationship between the factors of technology use towards traditional food products?



RQ 3: How the business performance of SME products effect the success factors of traditional food entrepreneur?

1.4 Research Objective

Objective of this study are as below:-

RO₁: To investigate the successful factor that influence traditional food entrepreneur towards SMEs product.

RO 2: To determine relationship between the factors of technology use towards traditional food products.

RO₃: To identify how the business performance of SME products effect to the success factors of traditional food entrepreneur.

1.5 Scope of Study

This study, try to investigate the successful factor influence traditional food entrepreneur. Moreover, this study also focus to determine between the successful factors of technology use for traditional food product and how business performance of SME products effect the success factors of traditional food entrepreneur.

This study will be conducted at Johor, Malaysia. The reason why the researcher choose Johor as the location for this study because many organization market traditional food area at a Johor such at Batu Pahat and Ayer Hitam.

1.6 Significant of Study

The significant of this study is to identify the successful factor that influence Johor traditional food entrepreneur toward SME product. The analysis of influence will show the benefit to the food industry to determine the successful factor and automatically enhance the performance of the traditional food industry. In addition, this finding also provide the organization with information that will recognize the effectiveness of successful factor of traditional food entrepreneur toward SME product.

1.7 Summary

Chapter 1 was cover the background of study, problem statement, research question, research objective are pointed out in this chapter Besides that, this chapter also explain the scope that will be covered in this study. The following chapter 2 will describe in detail the literature review of traditional food. Hypothesis and theoretical framewor

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

This chapter will describe about the previous study related to traditional food, and also previous researchers and authors. In this chapter, the independent variable and dependent variable were formed and also was explained and discussed. This chapter also stated the overall view of raw material, financing, technology, and distribution. Moreover, the prediction of research which is the hypothesis and theoretical framework was also presented in this chapter. All of these will be explicate in detail in this chapter.

2.2 Entrepreneurship

The term "entrepreneurship" comes from the French verb "entreprendre" and the German word "unternehmen", both of term mean to "undertake". Then, defined by Bygrave and Hofer in 1891 the entrepreneurial. Procedure is 'involving all the functions, activities and also actions associated with perceiving of opportunities and creation of organization to pursue them '.Furthermore, Joseph Schumpeter introducing the modern definition of 'entrepreneurship' in 1934 .According to Schumpeter, "the carrying out of new combinations we call 'enterprise', and "the individuals whose function it is to carry