



SELECTION OF CONVEYOR SYSTEM USING FUZZY ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS

This report is submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia
Melaka (UTeM) for Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering
(Robotics & Automation) (Hons.)

by

NUR ADILA SHAFFINI BT SUHAIMI

B051310247

940719-03-5774

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2017

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

Tajuk: **SELECTION OF CONVEYOR SYSTEM USING FUZZY ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS**

Sesi Pengajian: **2016/2017 Semester 2**

Saya **NUR ADILA SHAFFINI BT SUHAIMI (940719-03-5774)**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. *Sila tandakan (√)

SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysiasebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:
Kg.Kubang kerian, Bukit Panau
17500 Tanah Merah,Kelantan

Tarikh: _____

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: _____

*Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Selection of conveyor system using Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process” is the results of my own research except as cited in reference.

Signature :

Author's Name : NUR ADILA SHAFFINI BT SUHAIMI

Date :

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Robotics and Automation) (Hons.).

The members of the supervisory committee is as follow:

.....

(IR. DR. LOKMAN BIN ABDULLAH)

ABSTRAK

Objektif projek ini adalah untuk memilih konsep reka bentuk sistem penghantar dengan menggunakan teknik Fuzzy Proses Analisis Hierarki. Menurut (Zadeh, 1965), teori set Fuzzy boleh menyelesaikan ketidaksempurnaan penilaian. Manakala, menurut (Fulvio et al., 2004), Fuzzy AHP adalah satu kaedah yang berguna untuk berurusan dengan maklumat yang tidak tepat, tidak menentu dan samar-samar. Terdapat tiga kaedah yang digunakan dalam memilih sistem penghantar yang terbaik, iaitu AHP asas, Trapezoid Fuzzy AHP dan segi tiga Fuzzy AHP. Reka bentuk konsep penghantar yang telah dibincangkan dalam projek ini adalah rantaian penghantar, tali pinggang penghantar, penghantar roller dan roda penghantar. Beberapa kriteria dalam memilih konsep reka bentuk yang terbaik juga telah dibincangkan dan dibandingkan prestasinya. Terdapat tujuh kriteria yang dibincangkan dalam projek ini iaitu kos, fleksibiliti talisawat, kelajuan penghantar, lebar item dan berat item yang boleh dipindahkan oleh penghantar, keselamatan dan ergonomik penghantar. Tradisional AHP dan segi tiga Fuzzy AHP menunjukkan susunan perintah yang sama penghantar manakala Trapezoid Fuzzy AHP menunjukkan sedikit berbeza dalam kedudukan perintah penghantar yang dipilih. Kriteria yang paling penting dalam memilih konsep reka bentuk yang terbaik sistem penghantar adalah kos. Pengesahan keputusan dengan menggunakan Expert Choice Software menunjukkan bahawa rantaian penghantar adalah konsep terbaik reka bentuk penghantar dalam memindahkan kotak dalam industri kertas dengan wajaran 0,5400, diikuti oleh penghantar tali pinggang dengan wajaran 0,2760, penghantar roller dengan wajaran 0,1230 dan roda penghantar dengan wajaran 0,0610. Kesimpulan daripada keseluruhan projek ialah reka bentuk penghantar akhir dipilih adalah wajar dan ketiga-tiga objektif tercapai. Beberapa cadangan untuk kerja-kerja masa depan juga termasuk untuk penambahbaikan.

ABSTRACT

The objective of this project is to select the design concept of conveyor system by using Fuzzy Analytical Hierarchy Process. According to (Zadeh, 1965), Fuzzy set theory can solve the impreciseness of the judgments. Then, according to (Fulvio et al., 2004), Fuzzy AHP is a method that is useful to deal with imprecise, uncertain and ambiguous information. There are three methods used in selecting the best conveyor system, which are Traditional AHP, Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP and Triangular Fuzzy AHP. The design concept of conveyor that have been discussed in this project are chain conveyor, belt conveyor, roller conveyor and wheel conveyor. Several criteria in selecting the best design concept also have been discussed and compared. There are seven criteria discussed in this project which are cost, flexibility of the conveyor, speed of conveyor, item width and item weight that is transferred by the conveyor, safety and ergonomics of the conveyor. Traditional AHP and Triangular Fuzzy AHP showed the same ranking order of the conveyor while Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP showed slightly different in ranking order of the conveyor selected. The most important criteria in selecting the best design concept of conveyor system is cost. The validation of the result by using Expert Choice Software showed that chain conveyor is the best design concept of conveyor in transferring the boxes in paper industry with the weightage of 0.5400, followed by belt conveyor with the weightage of 0.2760, roller conveyor with the weightage of 0.1230 and wheel conveyor with the weightage of 0.0610. The conclusion also has been drawn out from overall project where final conveyor design selected is justified and all the three objectives are achieved. Several suggestion and recommendations for future work also included for improvement.

DEDICATION

To my beloved parents

Suhaimi bin Ya and Nik Ashikin Bt Nawi

My appreciated siblings

Nur Athirah Shazwani Bt Suhami, Mohd Aliff Shazwan Bin Suhaimi

Mohd Azim Shaffri Bin Suhaimi and Nur Adlin Shaziera Bt Suhaimi

My friends and my Supervisor

Thank You So Much

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All the praise to Allah the Almighty for giving the opportunity to me to complete this Final Year Project. I would like to thank to my supervisor, Ir. Dr. Lokman Bin Abdullah for the great mentoring and knowledge that was given to me throughout the project.

I would like to give a special thanks to my fellow friends who always giving me motivation and cooperation mentally in completing this report.

Last but not least, I would like to express my gratitude to my parents, Suhaimi Bin Ya and Nik Ashikin Bt Nawawi and my siblings, for always supporting me throughout the project. Their support, care and prayers are very meaningful for me.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgment	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Abbreviations	xiv
List of Symbol	xv

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	3
1.3	Objective	3
1.4	Scope	3
1.5	Structure of report	4

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Material handling system	7

2.2.1	Conveyors	8
2.2.1.1	Roller Conveyor	9
2.2.1.2	Wheel Conveyor	10
2.2.1.3	Chain Conveyor	10
2.2.1.4	Belt Conveyor	11
2.3	Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Method	12
2.4	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	13
2.4.1	Prerequisites of AHP	14
2.4.2	Advantages of AHP	14
2.4.3	Complexity Structure of AHP	15
2.4.4	Ratio Scale Measurement	16
2.4.5	Operation of AHP	16
2.4.6	Limitation of AHP	17
2.5	Fuzzy Set Theory	18
2.6	Fuzzy AHP	18
2.6.1	Fuzzy AHP Approach	19
2.7	Gap Analysis	20
2.8	Summary	22

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Planning of study	23
3.2	Project Flow Chart	24
3.3	Stage explanation	29
3.3.1	Formulate Project Study	29
3.3.2	Data Collection	29
3.3.3	Development of criteria of the Conveyors Design	30

3.3.4	Conveyor selection via 3 approaches	30
3.3.5	Traditional AHP	30
	3.3.5.1 Define current industrial problem	31
	3.3.5.2 Development of a hierarchy model	31
	3.3.5.3 Construct a pair-wise comparison matrix	32
	3.3.5.4 Perform scaling process	32
	3.3.5.5 Normalize the pairwise comparison	33
	3.3.5.6 Obtain final weight	34
	3.3.5.7 Checking the value of Consistency Ratio	35
	3.3.5.8 Repetition of step 4 to 7 for all criteria	35
	3.3.5.9 Perform overall priority ranking	35
	3.3.5.10 Selection of the best design concept	35
3.3.6	Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP	36
	3.3.6.1 Define problem, Develop hierarchy Framework, Construct a pairwise comparison matrix	36
	3.3.6.2 Perform Scaling	36
	3.3.6.3 Obtain final weight	38
	3.3.6.4 Checking the value of CR, Perform overall priority ranking, Selection of the best design concept	38
	3.3.6.5 Calculate the weight	38
3.3.7	Triangular Fuzzy AHP	39
	3.3.7.1 Comparison of criteria or alternative via linguistic terms	39
	3.3.7.2 Averaging the preferences of decision maker	40
	3.3.7.3 Updating the pair wise contribution matrices	40
	3.3.7.4 Calculate the geometric mean of fuzzy comparison value	41
	3.3.7.5 Calculate the fuzzy weight of each criterion	41
	3.3.7.6 Deffuzification of fuzzy triangular numbers	41
	3.3.7.7 Normalization of M_i	41
	3.3.7.8 Calculate the score of alternative	42
	3.3.7.9 Ranking of priority value	42
3.3.8	Validation via Expert Choice Software	42
3.3.9	Comparison of the Result	42

3.3.10	Result Analysis	42
3.3.11	Summary	43
3.3.12	Conclusion	43

CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1	Analysis method	44
4.2	Characteristics and requirements	45
4.3	Design Concept	46
4.3.1	Design Concept 1	46
4.3.2	Design Concept 2	47
4.3.3	Design Concept 3	48
4.3.4	Design Concept 4	49
4.4	Analytical Hierarchy Process Computation	50
4.4.1	Criteria of Design Concept Evaluation	50
4.4.2	Final decision making	55
4.5	Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP	56
4.5.1	Criteria evaluation	56
4.5.2	Final decision making of Design Concept	64
4.6	Triangular Fuzzy AHP	65
4.6.1	Criteria evaluation	66
4.6.2	Final decision making of Design Concept	72
4.7	Validation of Expert Choice Software	72
4.8	Discussion of the result	74
4.9	Sustainability	75
4.10	Summary	75

CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR FUTURE WORK

5.1	Conclusion	76
5.2	Recommendation for future work	77

REFERENCES	78
-------------------	-----------

APPENDICES

A	Gantt Chart FYP 1	84
B	Gantt Chart FYP 2	85
C	Criteria calculation of Traditional AHP	86
D	Criteria calculation of Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP	99
E	Criteria calculation of Triangular Fuzzy AHP	118

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Gap Analysis	20
3.1	Scale of relative importance used in the pair-wise comparison of AHP	33
3.2	Random index of analytic hierarchy process	34
4.1	Characteristics of design concept	45
4.2	Weightage of criteria	50
4.3	Pairwise comparison of criteria	52
4.4	Weightage of criteria	53
4.5	Consistency calculation	53
4.6	Weightage for alternative	55
4.7	Pairwise comparison of the criteria	57
4.8	Weightage of row 1	58
4.9	Weightage of row 2	59
4.10	Weightage of row 3	59
4.11	Weightage of row 4	60
4.12	Weightage of row 5	61
4.13	Weightage of row 6	62
4.14	Weightage of row 7	63
4.15	Weightage of criteria of the design concept	64

4.16	Weightage of criteria	64
4.17	Pairwise comparison of the criteria	66
4.18	Sum of pairwise comparison of criteria	67
4.19	Adjusted pairwise comparison of criteria	68
4.20	Weightage of criteria	69
4.21	Minimum weightage of criteria	71
4.22	Weightage of design concept	72

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Roller conveyor	9
2.2	Wheel conveyor	10
2.3	Chain conveyor	10
2.4	Belt conveyor	11
2.5	AHP Level Hierarchy	15
3.1	Research process flow	25
3.2	Flow of traditional AHP	26
3.3	Flow chart of Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP	27
3.4	Flow chart of Triangular Fuzzy AHP	28
3.5	Hierarchy framework model	31
3.6	Linguistic scale and fuzzy scale of importance	36
3.7	Linguistic terms and corresponding triangular fuzzy numbers	39
4.1	Analysis flowchart	44
4.2	Top view of design concept 1	46
4.3	Front view of design concept 1	46
4.4	Side view of design concept 1	46
4.5	Top view of design concept 2	47
4.6	Front view design concept 2	47

4.7	Side view of design concept 2	47
4.8	Top view of design concept 3	48
4.9	Front view of design concept 3	48
4.10	Side view of design concept 3	48
4.11	Top view of design concept 4	49
4.12	Front view of design concept 4	49
4.13	Side view of design concept 4	49
4.14	Pairwise comparison matrix of criteria in Expert Choice Software	72
4.15	Performance graph of design concept in Expert Choice Software	73
4.16	Weightage of criteria in Expert Choice Software	73

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
ANC	-	Average Normalized Column
CR	-	Consistency Ratio
CI	-	Consistency Index
DC	-	Design Concept
FIA	-	Fuzzy Information Axiom
FUMAHES	-	Fuzzy Multi-Attribute Material Handling Equipment Selection
HRST	-	Human Resource in Science Technology
LFPP	-	Logarithm Fuzzy Preference Programming
MHESA	-	Material Handling Equipment Selection Advisor
MCDM	-	Multi-Criteria Decision Making
RI	-	Random Index
PSO	-	Particle Swarm Optimization

LIST OF SYMBOLS

α	-	Alpha
β	-	Beta
γ	-	Gamma
δ	-	Delta

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter includes the background of the project, problem statement, objective and scope of the project.

1.1 Background

Material handling involves the movement of a building or between a building and a transportation vehicle. It uses a wide range of manual, semi-automated, and automated equipment and includes application of the storage and movement control of the materials throughout the manufacturing. Material handling system is used in moving and controlling the goods throughout the process. By using the material handling system, the material that is transferred is in the right amount and can be reached the destination on time with minimum cost. The effective material handling can minimum the cost of operation, cycle time and decrease the damage. It helps to maximum the flexibility and higher automation and material flow in handling.

Material handling is an important component in any production process. It is a process that goes on in every plant every time. It is simply pick up, lie down and moving the material through manufacture.

In this project, the Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is applied for the selection of the best design concept of material handling system that integrates the qualitative and quantitative of each criterion in the decision structure. AHP is widely used as multi-criteria decision analysis which decomposes the decision problem in a hierarchy structure and derives priorities from the value judgments of individual or in a group of decision making. Despite, the traditional method of AHP has a limitation in addressing the ambiguousness of subjective judgment. Variant of fuzzy AHP was thus developed and applied to model the ambiguousness of judgment by representing the verbal scale in terms of fuzzy number.

1.2 Problem Statement

Currently, there are several types of conveyor used in the industry, however, the best type of conveyor that the most suitable to use for purpose of transferring the boxes in paper industry is not known. As there are also several methods that well known for the multi-criteria decision making, but the suitability of method used need to be identified. To know the accuracy of final answer in selecting the best conveyor system, the best software is needed.

1.3 Objective

- i) To select the best conveyor system using Fuzzy-AHP method.
- ii) To identify and compare the final decision made using Traditional AHP, Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP and Triangular Fuzzy AHP.
- iii) To validate the result of Fuzzy-AHP using Expert Choice software.

1.4 Scope

The scope of the project are as follows:

- i. Focusing on the conveyor used for the purpose of transferring the boxes in paper industry.
- ii. Determination of the best design concept of conveyor system using Traditional AHP, Trapezoidal Fuzzy AHP and Triangular Fuzzy AHP.
- iii. Validation of the result by using the Expert Choice Software.

1.5 Structure of Report

This report contains 5 chapters that will explain briefly about this research. The first chapter is about the introduction of this research which contains background, problem statement, objectives, and scope of this project. The second chapter explains about the literature review which review about the previous research about the material handling system, Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP), Fuzzy Logic and Fuzzy-AHP. Next, chapter 3 is about the methodology and steps that have been taken during conducting this research. Chapter 4 explains about the different design of material handling system, the hierarchy framework for AHP and the analysis of the result by using the Expert Choice software. Then chapter 5 is about conclusion and outcome of this research and the recommendation for future improvement also included.

In Introduction, there are five subtopics that will be presented. The first part is the study about the material handling and the Fuzzy Analytic Hierarchy Process (Fuzzy-AHP). From the background, the problem statements are summarized and explained in detail. A few objectives of this project also have been set. However, a few scopes of this project also will be set so the project study will only cover the specific portion only.

The second chapter is literature review which covers the previous founding regarding the project research. The journals are studied thoroughly based on the objective and scope that has been stated.

In the third chapter, explains about the method to carry out the project. The ways in conducting the project is determined in a flow chart. The correlation of the concept used and the step in conducting the project should be simultaneous.

Chapter 4 is the most crucial. This chapter explains the result and the outcome after the research is carried out. The research is carried out based on the step that have been stated in chapter 3.

The last chapter concludes about the overall project. Conclusion must be declared either the project fulfilled the objectives from the first chapter. Several recommendations also must be stated to improve for better result accuracy.