



## **PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PU/GNPs NANOCOMPOSITES FOAM**

This report is submitted in accordance with requirement of the University Teknikal  
Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering  
(Engineering Materials) (Hons.)

by

**AFIFAH RAIHANA BT MOHD NOR**

**B051410031**

**930419 – 11 – 5028**

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2017

**BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA**

Tajuk: **PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PU/GNPs NANOCOMPOSITES FOAM**

Sesi Pengajian: **2016/2017 Semester 2**

Saya **AFIFAH RAIHANA BT MOHD NOR (930419 – 11 – 5028)**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. \*Sila tandakan (√)

**SULIT** (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysiasebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

**TERHAD** (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/ badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

**TIDAK TERHAD**

Disahkan oleh:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alamat Tetap:  
**PB B4 KG PENGKALAN BERANGAN  
21040, KUALA TERENGGANU  
TERENGGANU**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

\*Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

## DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Preparation and Characterization of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foam” is the result of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature : .....

Author's Name : **AFIFAH RAIHANA BT MOHD NOR**

Date : 1 July 2017

## **APPROVAL**

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka as a partial fulfilment of the requirement for Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Engineering Materials) (Hons). The member of the supervisory committee are as follow:

.....  
**(Dr. Jeefferie bin Abd Razak)**

## ABSTRAK

Poliuretana adalah bahan yang versatil yang boleh dijadikan termoset dan termoplastik berdasarkan struktur kimia. Poliuretana termoset (PU) gabus telah digunakan secara meluas untuk aplikasi ringan. Oleh itu, tujuan kajian ini dijalankan untuk membangunkan gabus berasaskan PU yang diperkukuhkan dengan nanoplatelets graphene (GNPs). Tujuan menambahkan GNPs sebagai ‘nanofillers’ kedalam PU adalah untuk meningkatkan sifat-sifat mekanikal dan haba PU. PU/GNPs gabus dihasilkan dengan menggunakan dua kaedah iaitu ultrasonication dan penyelesaian kaedah pengkompaunan. Pemilihan komposisi optimum daripada PU matriks adalah berdasarkan peratus berat GNPs. Oleh itu, peratus berat GNPs yang digunakan dalam kajian (0.00% berat, 0.25% berat, 0.50% berat, 1.00% berat, 3.00% berat, dan 5.00% berat) untuk mengkaji sifat-sifat mekanikal dan sifat haba daripada nanocomposites dihasilkan buih. Kemudian, spesimen yang telah diuji oleh mekanikal dipilih untuk mengkaji permukaan patah menggunakan alat pemerhatian SEM dan OM. Pada akhir kajian ini, kekuatan yang lebih tinggi dan prestasi PU/GNPs gabus telah dibaikpulih. Penambahan 0.25% GNPs telah berjaya mempertingkatkan ciri-ciri mekanikal nanocomposites gabus dari segi kelakuan lenturan dan mampatan. Bagi ciri – ciri haba, PU/GNPs gabus juga menunjukkan peningkatan. PU/GNPs gabus dengan 0.25% GNPS kemasukan menghasilkan peningkatan yang luar biasa mengenai 52,28% dalam sifat-sifat lenturan, dan 3% daripada kekuatan mampatan. Selain daripada itu, penambahan GNPs telah bertambah baik dengan ketara kestabilan haba dengan menghasilkan sisa kira-kira 38% pada kemasukan 5.00% GNPs. Kajian ini adalah penting untuk menyediakan satu lagi bahan seli maju menggunakan kelebihan teknologi nano sebagai bahan komposit aplikasi seperti dalam automotif, aeroangkasa, bidang maritim.

## ABSTRACT

Polyurethane is a versatile material which can be thermoset and thermoplastic based on their chemical structure. Thermoset polyurethane foams (PU) have been widely used for light weight applications. Thus, this research was carried out to develop PU foams reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs). The purpose of reinforce the PU with GNPs nanofiller is to enhance the mechanical and thermal properties of PU based foams. The PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams were produced by using ultrasonication and solution compounding methods. The selection of optimum composition for PU foam matrix is based on the GNPs loadings. Therefore, in this study, different loading of GNPs (0.00 wt%, 0.25 wt%, 0.50 wt%, 1.00 wt%, 3.00 wt%, and 5.00 wt%) were used to investigate the mechanical and thermal properties of produced PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams. Later, the fracture surface morphology of the selected mechanical tested specimen were analyzed by using an SEM and OM observation tools. At the end of this study, the higher mechanical strength and thermal performance of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams were developed and proposed. It was found that at 0.25 wt% of GNPs addition, the produced PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams were successfully enhanced the mechanical characteristics of nanocomposites foams in terms of their flexural and compression behaviour. This scenario was applicable to the thermal improvement. PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams with 0.25 wt% of GNPS inclusion had yielded an extraordinary improvement about 52.28 % in the flexural properties, and 3.00 % of compression strength. Other than that, GNPs addition was significantly improved the thermal stability by yielded about 38 % of residue with 5.00 wt% of GNPs addition. This research is significantly important as it could to provide another alternative for advanced materials which utilizing the advantages of nanotechnology for many possible high performance applications like in automotive, aerospace, and maritime fields.

## **DEDICATIONS**

Dedicated to  
My beloved father, Mohd Nor  
My beloved mother, Fatimah Wati bt Alias  
My appreciated siblings, Ahmad Najmi and Aishah Munirah  
And friends for giving me moral support, cooperation, encouragement and also  
understanding

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH, the most gracious, the most merciful, with the highest praise to Allah that I manage to complete this final year project successfully without difficulty.

My respected supervisor, Dr Jeefferie bt Abd. Razak . His kindness, unwavering patience and mentorship guided me through the process, his easily understood explanations and open mind allowed me to grow and learn in such a way that I am now a better researcher.

Last but not least, I would like to give a special thanks to my technical staffs who gave me much motivation and cooperation mentally in completing this report especially to, En Hairul Hisham, En Farihan and En Helmi, En Azhar for permission using equipments. They had given their critical suggestion and comments throughout my research. Thanks for the great guidance.

Finally, I would like to thank everybody who was important to this FYP report, as well as expressing my apology that I could not mention personally each one of you.



# TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedications	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List of Abbreviations	xii
List of Symbols	xiii

## CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background of Study	1
1.2	Problems Statement	3
1.3	Objectives	5
1.4	Scope of Study	6
1.5	Significant of Study	6
1.6	Structure of Report	7
1.7	Summary	8

## CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Polyurethane (PU)	9
2.1.1	Types of PU	10
2.1.2	Properties of PU	12
2.1.3	Synthesis of PU	13
2.1.4	Application of PU	15
2.1.5	Limitations of PU	16
2.2	Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs)	18

2.2.1	History of GNPs	18
2.2.2	Properties and Characterization of GNPs	20
2.2.3	Current Issues on GNPs	21
2.2.4	GNPs as Filler for Polymer Nanocomposites	21
2.3	Polymer Nanocomposites	22
2.3.1	Basic Element of PNC Filled GNPs	23
2.3.2	Properties of PNC	24
2.3.3	Advantages and Limitations of PNC	25
2.3.4	Current Issues on PNC	27
2.4	Preparation of PNC	28
2.4.1	Review on the Preparation of PU based Composites	28
2.4.2	Challenge in PNC Preparation and Fabrication	29
2.4.3	Current Development in PNC Research	31
2.5	Characterizations and Properties of PNC	32
2.5.1	Mechanical Properties of PNC	32
2.5.2	Physical Properties	33
2.5.3	Thermal Properties	34
2.5.4	Properties Comparison between other PNC System	35
2.6	Summary	36

### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Overview	37
3.2	Raw Materials	40
3.2.1	Isocyanate and Polyol	41
3.2.2	Graphene Nanoplatelets (GNPs)	42
3.3	Characterizations of Raw Materials	42
3.3.1	TEM Observations of GNPs	42
3.3.2	XRD Analysis of GNPs	42
3.3.3	Raman Analysis of GNPs	43
3.4	PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Preparations	43
3.5	Mechanical Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	44
3.5.1	Flexural Properties	45
3.5.2	Compression test	46

3.6	Thermal Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	46
3.6.1	Heat Degradation Testing by TGA	46
3.7	Physical Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	47
3.7.1	Density and Void Content Ananlysis	47
3.8	Fracture Morphological Observation of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foam	48
3.8.1	Fracture Surface of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites by OM	49
3.8.2	Fracture surface of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites by SEM	49

#### **CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

4.1	Overview	51
4.2	Evaluation on Characterizations of Raw Materials	53
4.2.1	XRD and Raman Spectroscopy Analysis of GNPs Nanofiller	53
4.2.2	TEM Observation of GNPs Nanofiller	55
4.3	Evaluation on Mechanical Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	57
4.3.1	Flexural Properties for PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams Stiffness	57
4.3.2	Compression Strength for PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams Stiffness	62
4.4	Evaluation on Thermal Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	65
4.4.1	Heat Degradation Properties Evaluation by TGA method	66
4.5	Evaluation on Physical Properties of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	67
4.5.1	Analysis on theoretical and bulk densities and void content analysis of PU/GNPs Nanocomposites Foams	68
4.6	Summary	69

#### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.1	Conclusions	70
5.2	Recommendations	72

5.3	Sustainability elements	73
-----	-------------------------	----

<b>REFERENCES</b>		<b>74</b>
-------------------	--	-----------

## LIST OF TABLE

2.1	Reaction rate of hydrogen – containing compounds	11
2.2	Properties of PU	13
2.3	Comparison of thermal conductivity with different additives	20
2.4	Fracture toughness of polymer nanocomposites	25
2.5	Advantages and limitations of PNC	26
2.6	Thermal conductivity with different percentage of GNPs addition	34
2.7	Comparison of PNC system	35
2.8	Summary of research findings	36
3.1	Specification of palm oil based polyol	41
3.2	ASTM standard for two mechanical tests performed	44
3.4	ASTM standard for physical testing	47
4.1	Residue left of PU/GNPs nanocomposites with different filler loadings	67
4.2	Density and void content data of produced various PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams samples	68

## LIST OF FIGURE

2.1	Hard domain (HD) and soft domain (SD) in thermoplastic PU	10
2.2	Microstructure of (a) rigid foam (b) flexible foam	12
2.3	Urethane foaming reaction	14
2.4	Overall structure of PU plant	14
2.5	Application of polyurethane	15
2.6	Morphological fracture of PU foam	16
2.7	TEM image of GNPs	18
2.8	Illustration of “tortuous pathway” foa (a) pure polymer and (b) polymer nanocomposites	24
2.9	(a) melting process (b) solvent processing (c) in – situ polymerisation	29
2.10	Electrical percolation threshold of graphene/polymer nanocomposites	30
2.11	Effect of CNTs percentage on PMMA foam (a) 0% (b) 1% (c) 2% (d) 5%	31
2.12	Result of thickness swelling and water absorption	33
3.1	Flowchart of methodology	39
3.2	Schematic diagram of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foam preparation	44
3.3	The flexural testing set – up in accordance to ASTM D7264	45
3.4	Optical Microscope	48
3.8	Schematic diagram of SEM	50
4.1	Nanocomposites foams with (a) 0.00 wt% of GNPs (b) 0.25 wt% of GNPs (c) 0.50 wt% of GNPs (d) 1.00 wt% of GNPs (e) 3.00 wt% of GNPs (f) 5.00 of GNPs	53
4.2	XRD pattern of GNPs	54
4.3	Raman spectra for GNPs	55
4.4	TEM image of GNPs at scale 0.5 $\mu$ m	56
4.5	TEM images of GNPs at scale 200nm	56
4.6	The effect of different filler loadings of GNPs into the flexural properties of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	58
4.7	Illustration of load transfer for (a) pure PU foam (b) PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	59

4.8	Fractured morphology and cell size distribution of pure PU foam	60
4.9	Fractured morphology and cell size distribution of 0.25 wt% of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foam	61
4.10	Fractured morphology and cell size distribution of 5.00 wt% of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foam	61
4.11	The effect of different filler loadings of GNPs into the compression strength of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	62
4.12	Illustration of nucleating process	63
4.13	Fractured morphology of 0.00 wt% of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	64
4.14	Fractured morphology of 0.25 wt% of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	64
4.15	Fractured morphology of 5.00 wt% of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams	65
4.16	Thermogravimetric analysis thermograms of different filler loadings of GNPs	66

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PU	- Polyurethane
GNPs	- Graphene Nanoplatelets
SEM	- Scanning Electron Microscopy
DMA	- Differential mechanical analysis
TGA	- Thermogravimetric analysis
DSC	- Differential scanning calorimeter
OM	- Optical microscope
TDI	- Toluene diisocyanate
MDI	- Methyl diphenyl diisocyanate
CVD	- Chemical vapour deposition
PNC	- Polymer nanocomposites
ASTM	- American society for testing and materials



## LIST OF SYMBOLS

mm	-	Millimetre
m	-	Metre
g/cm <sup>3</sup>	-	Grams per centimetre cube
Wt%	-	Weight percent
MPa	-	Mega pascal
GPa	-	Giga pascal
°C	-	Degree celcius
W/mK	-	Watt per metre per Kelvin

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

This chapter briefly explained about the background of study, problems statement, objectives, scope of study, significant of study, and an overall summarization for this chapter.

### 1.1 Background

Over the years, polymeric foams have been widely used due to their outstanding strength-to-weight ratio. Lee et al. (2005) was defined foam as materials which contain gaseous voids surrounded by a denser matrix. Basically, cell morphology and physical properties of polymer foams can be categorized as rigid foam or flexible foam which are based on their materials composition. Both of these foams can be used for thermal insulation applications (Loebel, 2012), aerospace application (Saha, Kabir, & Jeelani, 2008), and automotive applications (Mike and Brady 2008). Polymer polyurethane (PU), polypropylene (PP), polycarbonate (PC), and polyvinyl chloride (PVC) are the examples of polymer engineering materials which have been generally utilized for foam applications.

In US, polyurethane foams remarks for the largest market among other polymeric foams which is estimated about two billion kilograms (Cao, James Lee, Widya, & Macosko, 2005). Theoretically, PU foams are the combination and reaction of polyisocyanate and polyol matrix. The reaction of these two phase produces urethane bonds (R. Verdejo et al., 2009). The cell density of neat PU is  $5.8 \times 10^5$  cells/cm<sup>3</sup> (Saha et al., 2008) compared than cell density of neat PP which is  $1.5 \times 10^7$  cells/cm<sup>3</sup> (Wang et al., 2016). Thus, PU foam is higher strength compared to PP foam as the tensile strength of foams is inversely proportional to the density of foam (L. J. Lee et al., 2005). The most application of PU foams is as a core sandwich in conjunction with two face sheet materials around it. This sandwich structure is usually to be used in aerospace and marine applications (Saha et al., 2008). However, they said that this sandwich structures are tend to failure due to weak core. The examples of failure during these applications are core – shear and core – skin debonding.

In order to reduce the occurrence of failure, it is important to improve the mechanical properties of the core sandwich. The improvement of polymeric foam can be achieved by adding fillers materials which have a larger aspect ratio (Tjong, 2006). Therefore, nanocomposite is seen as one of the promising approaches in the field of materials science towards the development of advanced materials. Basically, nanocomposites means the inclusion of nanoparticles which possess very high mechanical and thermal properties that can encounter the weakness of polymeric foam (Oliveira & Machado, 2013). Nanocomposites contain one phase of materials which has dimension in the nanometer size range of 1 – 100 nm (Saha et al., 2008).

Due to the nano dimension range of filler, its offered very high surface energy which allowed the maximum contact between matrix and filler. By having maximum contact surface between filler and matrix, the stress can be transferred clearly which mean significant increase in their resulted mechanical properties. Other than that, inclusion of nanofiller will introduce thermal barrier effect in polymeric foams (Ponnamma, Rouxel, & Thomas, 2016). However, high quality dispersion is required during preparation of polymer nanocomposites foams. Besides, the quantity of nanofiller should be controlled to avoid agglomeration and worst filler dispersion. Over the years, carbon based nanomaterials are well known as a nanofiller materials which extremely increase the mechanical and thermal properties. Carbon based materials offered superior mechanical

properties and lightweight characteristic due to honeycomb atomic structure (Amir et al., 2016).

Among other high performance filler that are commercially available in the market is carbon based nanomaterials. These nanomaterials were utterly researched due to their promising performance as functional and filler reinforcement for composites reinforcement. For examples, carbon nanofibers, carbon nanofillers, and graphene nanoplatelets are most popular. Nowadays, research on graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) are getting spotlight with high interest from the scientific community, as this miracle materials are claimed to possessed an extraordinary thermal stability, thermal conductivity and higher resistance towards heat degradation. Nanocomposites reinforced by the nanomaterials likes GNPs could demonstrate energy dissipation capability. The energy dissipation had caused by interfacial slippage between the polymer and GNPs, which is known as direct consequences of unique matrix – filler interfacial characteristic in polymer based nanocomposites filled GNPs. Then, GNPs will form the percolated network within matrix which can be utilized to dissipate heat effectively that later will make the filled composites with GNPs are more resistance into heat or thermally stable.

Thus, it is expected that polyurethane foam embedded with carbon based nanomaterials, could resulted the thermal properties and mechanical properties of this polymer nanocomposites foams will be further enhanced, as compared than other polymer nanocomposites foams which commonly available in the commercial market nowadays.

## **1.2 Problems statement**

Polyurethane is one of the most versatile engineering materials among other polymers. It is because of their uniqueness which can be readily customized by the type and composition of their components. Polyurethane possessed flexibility whereas it can be hard like fiberglass, soft like foam, defensive like varnish, and sticky like glue. Polyurethane has been widely used in various types of applications such as transportation, electronic devices, construction and many more. Yet, there are some limitations to

consider when deciding on the usage of polyurethane for specific applications.

For transportation or vehicles application, lightweight attributes of materials are very important attribute to be considered as to enhance the performance of manufactured vehicles. Moreover, heavy transport will consume more fuel compared than light weight vehicles. Thus, the weight of transportation itself can help on reduction of oil consumption. By 2050, more than 3.5 billion vehicles will be on the road worldwide. This will exceed the demand of oil which escalated to 1 trillion barrels in the ground. Besides, there are some challenges in term of consuming oil which are environmental pollution, growing demand for oil, and global climate changes (Mike and Brady 2008). Instead of controlling the consumption of oil, industrial bodies can design the lightweight car by manipulating the source of engineering materials through correct design and careful selection of materials. Polymer materials like polyurethane could offer some of the promising characteristics of lightweight attributes when it accurately designed and fabricated as foam structure.

Besides, due to interesting properties of polyurethane; this PU also had lower in their cost and possessed appreciable shape recovery characteristic at a broad range of shape recovery temperature. Hence, these factors could attract the interest from many researchers to work with polyurethane. However, polyurethane itself are not able to withstand higher temperature and will rapidly burn in case of fire and producing amount of hazardous smoke and gases during combustion. This is due to heat entrapment within the microstructural chain, which later develops passive heat absorption capacity (Gama, et. al 2016). The heat entrapment in microstructure of PU will tremendously change their molecular structure. Thus, there will be a significant reduction to PU based materials and their product performances. At this point, PU polymers are generally suffers with lower thermal or heat stability performance and this must be improvised by incorporating it with higher heat resistance filler materials as to compensate with the drawbacks of PU and to ensure their sustainability at real service environment.

Graphene nanoplatelets is one of the example carbon based nanomaterials which have a range of thickness 0.3 – 100 nm and density of GNPs is about  $2 \text{ gcm}^{-3}$  (Abd Razak, Haji Ahmad, Ratnam, Mahamood, & Mohamad, 2015). The high aspect ratio and strength – to – weight ratio qualifies them as an ideal reinforcing filler for polymer nanocomposites foams. Moreover, homogenous dispersion of GNPs into the polymer matrix could generate

an interesting phenomenon due to their tremendous potential of nano – filler. The integration of GNPs into PU could promote the benefits of lightweight performance for PU/GNPs nanocomposites. The higher aspect ratio of 2D planar structure combines with extreme properties of GNPs had qualifies them as an ideal filler reinforcement material for polymer nanocomposites applications. Because of their large surface area of GNPs, it allows a maximum surface contact area with polyurethane which contributes to the improvement of thermal stability for polymer based nanocomposites produced.

Regrettably, the dispersion of nanomaterials is extremely a critical issue in determining the final properties of polymer based nanocomposites. When GNPs are not well dispersed in polymer it will tend to form an agglomeration inside the polymer matrix. It may occur because of their large surface area which increases the *van der Waals* force that creating strong  $n - n$  interaction between them. Agglomeration of GNPs in polymer has a significant negative impact to their thermal conductivity of produced polymer nanocomposites. It is due to weak contact between interface GNPs and polyurethane that will produce an obstacle for heat to flow and dissipates.

In addition, there will be more critical issues to produces homogenous dispersion of GNPs in porous structure of polyurethane. Inclusion of nanofiller likes GNPs in polymer foam nanocomposites may have a tendency for the bubbles to start nucleate concurrently. This is caused by amount of gas available for bubble to growth. Thus this phenomenon will lead to a decreasing the size of pores cell. Addition of GNPs into polymer foams may produce finer cellular structure and higher cell densities (Abbasi, Antunes, & Velasco, 2015). However, the properties of PU will definitely change because of changing in their composites microstructures.

In short, by considering all the related matters, this research is reasonable to be corned out as to explore the potential of improving the thermal properties of PU based nanocomposites foam. This is due to no available previous similar research have been found in the existing literature, specifically focusing on polyurethane based foam nanocomposites; that utilizing the graphene nanoplatelets as their reinforcement or functional filler for the development of thermally stable polymeric foam types materials.

### **1.3 Objectives**

1. To prepare PU reinforced GNPs nanocomposites foam with various GNPs nanofiller loadings
2. To characterize the thermal and mechanical properties of PU filled GNPs nanocomposites foams
3. To analyse the fractured surface morphologies of PU filled GNPs nanocomposites via SEM observation

### **1.4 Scope of Study**

This research scopes are as follow:

- a) Develop the PU embedded with GNPs polymer nanocomposites foams by using solution compounding method.
- b) Characterize the mechanical properties, physical properties, and thermal properties of polymer nanocomposite foams. The properties that will be evaluated are flexural, compression, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and density and void content analysis.
- c) Analyse the failure mechanism of polyurethane embedded with GNPs nanocomposites foam by using SEM and OM observation.

### **1.5 Significant of Study**

The rationales of study are detailed as follows:

- a) Higher strength of nanocomposite foams product would be developed from this research. This is due to inclusion of GNPs into polyurethane foam; the strength of nanocomposite foams might be significantly improved. The research is to study on how the GNPs enhance the nanocomposite foams strength when it is incorporated with the polyurethane foams.
- b) Develop more information and also keep understanding about the role of GNPs when it incorporate to the polyurethane foams as to enhance the properties of PU composite based foams.
- c) To gain new knowledge for PU/GNPs nanocomposites foams by carrying out some related properties testing and characterization.
- d) To develop an alternative composite material that possesses a lower cost and high performance attributes. The inclusion of small amount of GNPs might be tremendously enhanced the properties of polyurethane foams.

## **1.6 Structure of Report**

This report is mainly consisting of five major chapters which are introduction, literature review, methodology, result and discussion, and lastly the conclusion and recommendation. Firstly, Chapter 1 gives an overview and details on the background study of PU/GNPs nanocomposites foam, problem statement of the study, objectives, research scope, the significant of study, and summary of overall findings in Chapter 1. Next, Chapter 2 will cover the literature review and related theory of PU, nanomaterial used which is GNPs, properties of each material, polymer nanocomposites systems, and its processing methods. In Chapter 3, there will be an explanation on the preparation of the samples, testing method, and morphological studies of each samples. Then, the result and discussion for every test including the physical testing, mechanical and thermal testing, and morphological characteristic were discussed in the Chapter 4. Finally, Chapter 5 gives