INVESTIGATION ON INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF TEACHING LABORATORY

KARTHIGEYAN A/L SINGARAVELU B041210051 BMCT karthi920803@yahoo.com

> Draft Final Report Projek Sarjana Muda II

Supervisor: DR.TEE BOON TUAN 2nd Examiner: MD ISA BIN ALIN

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

JUNE 2016

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

INVESTIGATION ON INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF TEACHING LABORATORY

KARTHIGEYAN A/L SINGARAVELU

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA



INVESTIGATION ON INDOOR ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY OF TEACHING LABORATORY

KARTHIGEYAN A/L SINGARAVELU

This report is submitted in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Thermal and Fluid)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

JUNE 2016

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

DECLARATION

I declare that this project report entitled "Investigation On Indoor Environmental Quality Of Teaching Laboratory" is the result of my own work except as cited in the references.

| Signature | : | |
|-----------|---|----------------------------|
| Name | : | KARTHIGEYAN A/LSINGARAVELU |
| Date | : | |

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Thermal & Fluid).

| Signature | :. | |
|--------------------|-----|-------------------|
| Name of Supervisor | r : | DR. TEE BOON TUAN |
| Date | : | |

DEDICATION

To my beloved mother and father

ABSTRACT

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the indoor environmental air quality and thermal comfort level in the laboratories located at Kompleks Makmal Kejuruteraan (KMK), Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, UTeM. The selected laboratories are CAE laboratory for the condition of air conditioned system and fabrication laboratory for the condition of naturally ventilated system. The experiments for physical parameters measurements were carried out with occupants and without occupants condition. A questionnaire survey form was also given to students at the both laboratories to compare the thermal comfort responses by the laboratory occupants. The results obtained were compared with the ASHRAE Standard 55 (2004) and Malaysia Standard MS 1525:2014. The analysis of this study were done by using DeltaLog10 software. This includes the results of Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied (PPD) index for physical measurements and Thermal Sensation Vote (TSV) through subjective assessment. Besides that, carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentration and dust level were also measured at CAE laboratory and fabrication laboratory in order to evaluate the amount of ventilation and general comfort. According to the results obtained, CAE laboratory satisfy the range of values set by the ASHRAE Standard 55 and MS1525:2014. However, the physical air parameters obtained in fabrication laboratory did not comply the range set by the standards. Besides that, the results obtained for PMV and PPD index for both of the laboratories were did not comply with the standards. Yet, the CO₂ concentration and dust level are still in range and comply with the standards. Based on the findings, technical design improvements are recommended in this study in order to improve the indoor environmental condition and thermal comfort level in the laboratories.

ABSTRAK

Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji kualiti udara alam sekitar dalaman dan tahap keselesaan terma di makmal yang terletak di Kompleks Makmal Kejuruteraan (KMK), Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal, UTeM. Makmal-makmal yang dipilih ialah makmal CAE untuk keadaan sistem penghawa dingin dan makmal fabrikasi untuk keadaan sistem pengudaraan semula jadi. Eksperimen untuk parameter fizikal ukuran telah dijalankan dengan keadaan kehadiran orang dan ketiadaan orang. Satu kajian soal selidik juga diberikan kepada pelajar-pelajar di kedua-dua makmal untuk membandingkan keselesaan haba oleh pelajar yang menggunakan makmal. Keputusan yang diperolehi dibandingkan dengan ASHRAE Standard55 (2004) dan Malaysia Standard MS 1525:2014. Analisis kajian ini dilakukan dengan menggunakan perisian DeltaLog10. Ini termasuk keputusan Undian Andaian Purata dan Peratusan Andaian Ketidakpuasan untuk ukuran fizikal dan Undian Sensasi Haba melalui penilaian subjektif. Selain itu, karbon dioksida (CO₂) tumpuan dan tahap debuan juga diukur di makmal CAE dan makmal fabrikasi untuk menilai jumlah pengudaraan dan keselesaan umum. Menurut keputusan yang diperolehi, makmal CAE memenuhi julat nilai yang ditetapkan oleh ASHRAE Standard55 dan MS1525:2014. Walau bagaimanapun, parameter udara fizikal diperolehi di makmal fabrikasi tidak mematuhi julat yang ditetapkan oleh piawaian. Selain itu, keputusan yang diperolehi bagi indeks PMV dan PPD untuk kedua-dua makmal adalah tidak mematuhi piawaian. Namun, kepekatan CO₂ dan tahap debuan masih dalam julat dan mematuhi piawaian. Berdasarkan dapatan kajian, penambahbaikan reka bentuk teknikal telah disyorkan dalam kajian ini untuk memperbaiki keadaan alam sekitar dalaman dan tahap keselesaan terma di makmal.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to all those who have helped me to complete this project. First and foremost, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my final year project supervisor Dr. Tee Boon Tuan for his constant guidance, enthusiastic encouragement and valuable suggestions throughout this project period. His willingness to allocate his time so generously whenever I confront problems is very much appreciated.

Besides that, I would also like to send my sincere gratitude to En. Md Isa Bin Alin as my project examiner for evaluating my final year project. He had given some suggestion and advice in order to improve my project. I am so grateful to the Faculty of Mechanical Engineering (FKM), Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for providing the necessary tools for data measurement and analysis. Furthermore, I would also like to extend my deepest thanks to the technician of the HVAC laboratory, En.Asjuri Bin Muhajir. Thanks for his help in assisting for data collection by using the required equipment. Special thanks to all the respondents who took part in the questionnaire survey.

Finally, I wish to thank to my beloved parents, siblings and friends for their undivided support and encouragement throughout the completion of this project.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | CON | ITENT | PAGE | |
|-----------|--------------|--|------|--|
| | DEC | LARATION | ii | |
| | APP | ROVAL | iii | |
| | DED | ICATION | iv | |
| | ABS' | TRACT | v | |
| | ABS' | TRAK | vi | |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENT | vii | |
| | ТАВ | LE OF CONTENT | viii | |
| | LIST | F OF TABLES | xi | |
| | LIST | COFFIGURES | xiii | |
| | LIST | xvi | | |
| | LIST | F OF SYMBOLS | xvii | |
| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION | | | |
| | 1.1 | Background of Study | 1 | |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 4 | |
| | 1.3 | Objectives | 4 | |
| | 1.4 | Scope Of Project | 5 | |
| CHAPTER 2 | LITI | ERATURE REVIEW | | |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 6 | |
| | 2.2 | Indoor Air Quality And Indoor Air | 6 | |
| | | Contaminants | | |
| | | 2.2.1 Factors Affecting Indoor Air Quality | 8 | |
| | | 2.2.2 Effects Of Poor Indoor Air Quality | 13 | |
| | 2.3 | The Relationship Between Indoor Air | 16 | |
| | | Quality And Air Conditioning System | | |
| | 2.4 | The Limitations From Past Studies | 24 | |

| | 2.5 | The Recommendation From Past Studies | 26 |
|-----------|-----|--|----|
| CHAPTER 3 | ME | THODOLOGY | |
| | 3.1 | Introduction | 28 |
| | 3.2 | Area Selection | 29 |
| | | 3.2.1 Computer Aided Engineering Studio | 30 |
| | | 3.2.2 Fitting & Fabrication Workshop | 32 |
| | 3.3 | Physical Measurement | 35 |
| | 3.4 | Data Measurement Procedure | 40 |
| CHAPTER 4 | RES | ULTS AND ANALYSIS | |
| | 4.1 | Introduction | 41 |
| | 4.2 | Experimental Results | 41 |
| | | 4.2.1 CAE Laboratory at 10.00 a.m (Without | 45 |
| | | Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.2 CAE Laboratory at 3.00 p.m. (Without | 47 |
| | | Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.3 CAE Laboratory at 10.00 a.m. (With | 49 |
| | | Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.4 CAE Laboratory at 3.00 p.m. (With | 51 |
| | | Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.5 Fabrication Laboratory at 10.00 a.m. | 53 |
| | | (Without Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.6 Fabrication Laboratory at 3.00 p.m. | 55 |
| | | (Without Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.7 Fabrication Laboratory at 10.00 a.m. | 56 |
| | | (With Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.8 Fabrication Laboratory at 3.00 p.m. | 58 |
| | | (With Occupants) | |
| | | 4.2.9 CO ₂ and Dust Level in CAE | 60 |
| | | Laboratory | |
| | | 4.2.10 CO ₂ and Dust Level in Fabrication | 63 |
| | | Laboratory | |

| | 4.3 | Discussion On Physical Parameters At CAE | 66 |
|-----------|-----|--|----|
| | | Laboratory | |
| | 4.4 | Discussion On Physical Parameters At | 67 |
| | | Fabrication Laboratory | |
| | 4.5 | Discussion On Indoor Air Contaminants | 69 |
| | 4.6 | Discussion On PMV and PPD Index | 71 |
| | | 4.6.1 Discussion on PMV and PPD index at | 72 |
| | | CAE laboratory | |
| | | 4.6.2 Discussion on PMV and PPD index at | 73 |
| | | fabrication laboratory | |
| | 4.7 | Subjective Assessment | 74 |
| CHAPTER 5 | C | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | |
| | 5 | .1 Conclusions | 78 |
| | 5 | .2 Recommendations | 80 |
| | F | REFERENCES | 81 |
| | A | APPENDIX | 84 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Maximum concentration requirements of pollutants within existing buildings | 7 |
| 2.2 | Ten most frequently chosen factors contributing to comfort | 11 |
| 2.3 | Description of practical class for the 10 laboratories, | 18 |
| 2.4 | Comparison between actual performance and design performance of air conditioning system for the 10 laboratories | 19 |
| 2.5 | Design installation of air conditioning system for the 10 laboratories | 20 |
| 2.6 | PMV and PPD in lecture halls | 21 |
| 2.7 | AMV and TSV in lecture halls | 22 |
| 2.8 | Comparisons of limitations from past studies | 24 |
| 3.1 | Measurement parameters and unit | 35 |
| 3.2 | Acceptable range for specific physical parameters | 36 |
| 3.3 | List of indoor air contaminants and the acceptable limits | 36 |
| 3.4 | Recommended minimum number of sampling points for indoor air quality assessment | 37 |
| 3.5 | List of instruments | 39 |
| 3.6 | The probes in thermal comfort meter | 39 |
| 4.1 | The physical parameters readings in CAE laboratory(10.00 | 42 |

a.m..)

| 4.2 | The physical parameters readings in fabrication laboratory(10.00 a.m) | 43 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.3 | The physical parameters readings in CAE laboratory (2.00 p.m.) | 43 |
| 4.4 | The physical parameters readings in fabrication laboratory (2.00 p.m.) | 44 |
| 4.5 | CO ₂ and dust level readings in CAE laboratory (10.00 a.m.) | 60 |
| 4.6 | CO ₂ and dust level readings in CAE laboratory (2.00 p.m.) | 61 |
| 4.7 | CO_2 and dust level readings in fabrication laboratory (10.00 a.m.) | 63 |
| 4.8 | CO ₂ and dust level readings in fabrication laboratory (2.00 p.m.) | 64 |
| 4.9 | PMV sensation scale | 71 |
| 4.10 | Graph of PPD as a function of PMV at CAE laboratory | 72 |
| 4.11 | Graph of PPD as a function of PMV at fabrication laboratory | 73 |
| 4.12 | Respondents votes based on ASHRAE scale | 75 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Distribution of temperature satisfaction votes across all occupants | 10 |
| 2.2 | Distribution of workplace satisfaction votes across all occupants | 10 |
| 2.3 | Average of CO2 concentration with time for the 10 laboratories | 19 |
| 2.4 | Graph of PMV against operative temperature | 22 |
| 2.5 | Graph of TSV against operative temperature | 23 |
| 3.1 | Detailed flow chart methodology | 29 |
| 3.2 | Computer Aided Engineering Studio (CAE) | 31 |
| 3.3 | Overall plan of Computer Aided Engineering Studio (CAE) | 31 |
| 3.4 | Indoor plan of Computer Aided Engineering Studio (CAE) | 32 |
| 3.5 | Fitting & Fabrication Workshop | 33 |
| 3.6 | Overall plan of Fitting & Fabrication Workshop | 34 |
| 3.7 | Indoor plan of Fitting & Fabrication Workshop | 34 |
| 3.8 | IAQ device | 37 |
| 3.9 | Thermal comfort meter | 38 |
| 3.10 | Dust track monitor | 38 |
| 3.11 | Measurement at CAE laboratory | 40 |
| 3.12 | Measurement of fabrication laboratory | 40 |

| 4.1 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 45 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.2 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 46 |
| 4.3 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 47 |
| 4.4 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 48 |
| 4.5 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 48 |
| 4.6 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 49 |
| 4.7 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 49 |
| 4.8 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 50 |
| 4.9 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 50 |
| 4.10 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 51 |
| 4.11 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 52 |
| 4.12 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 52 |
| 4.13 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 53 |
| 4.14 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 54 |
| 4.15 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 54 |
| 4.16 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 55 |
| 4.17 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 55 |
| 4.18 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 56 |
| 4.19 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 57 |
| 4.20 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 57 |
| 4.21 | Range of values of relative humidity for one hour | 58 |
| 4.22 | Range of values of air temperature for one hour | 59 |

| 4.23 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 59 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.24 | Range of values of air velocity for one hour | 60 |
| 4.25 | Distribution of subjective response based on ASHRAE scale | 76 |

LIST OF ABBEREVATIONS

| AC | Aural Comfort |
|-----------------|--|
| ACMV | Air Conditioning and Mechanical Ventilation |
| AHU | Air Handling Unit |
| ASHRAE | American Society for Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning |
| | Engineering |
| BRI | Building Related Illness |
| CO ₂ | Carbon Dioxide |
| ETS | Environmental Tobacco Smoke |
| HVAC | Heating, Ventilating and Air-Conditioning |
| IAQ | Indoor Air Quality |
| IAC | Indoor Air Contaminants |
| ICOP | Industrial Code of Practice |
| IEQ | Indoor Environmental Quality |
| KMK | Kompleks Makmal Kejuruteaan |
| MS | Malaysia Standard |
| PMV | Predicted Mean Vote |
| PPD | Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied |
| RH | Relative Humidity |
| SBS | Sick Building Syndrome |
| TC | Thermal Comfort |
| TSV | Thermal Sensation Vote |
| VC | Visual Comfort |
| VOC | Volatile Organic Compounds |

LIST OF SYMBOL

- °C = Degree Celsius
- % = Percentage
- m = Meter
- s = Seconds

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND OF STUDY

Indoor environmental quality (IEQ) refers to the quality of a building's environment in relation to the health and wellbeing of those who occupy space within it. IEQ is determined by many factors, including lighting, air quality, and damp conditions. Indoor environmental quality (IEQ) is nowadays universally recognized as an important issue that affects the comfort and health of people, as well as their productivity. The indoor environmental quality (IEQ) and occupant comfort are closely related. The current indoor environmental assessment includes four aspects, namely thermal comfort (TC), indoor air quality (IAQ), visual comfort (VC) and aural comfort (AC). IAQ, as the nature of air in an indoor environment with relation to the occupant health and comfort is not an easily defined concept. In a broad context, it is the result of complex interactions between building, building systems and people. Over the past decades, exposure to indoor environmental pollutants increased due to a variety of factors including: construction of tightly sealed buildings, reduction of ventilation rates (for energy saving) and use of synthetic building materials and furnishings as well as chemically formulated personal care products, pesticides and household cleaners. The effect of chemical pollutants on the perceived IEQ was investigated in several studies. The volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were suspected to cause "sick-building" symptoms, like headache, eye and mucous membrane irritation, fatigue and asthmatic symptoms. Other factors such as indoor temperatures, relative humidity, and ventilation levels can also affect how individuals respond to the indoor environment (Krzaczek and Tejchman, 2012).

Indoor air quality (IAQ) is a term which refers to the air quality within and around buildings and structures, especially as it relates to the health and comfort of building occupants. IAQ can be affected by gases (including carbon monoxide, radon, volatile organic compounds), particulates, microbial contaminants (mold, bacteria), or any mass or energy stressor that can induce adverse health conditions. Source control, filtration and the use of ventilation to dilute contaminants are the primary methods for improving indoor air quality in most buildings. The importance of Indoor Air Quality (IAQ) in buildings arises from the fact that people spend more than 90% of their time in indoor environment (Marchetti et al., 2015).

Energy-efficient buildings are only effective when the occupants of the buildings are comfortable. If they are not comfortable, then they will take alternative means of heating or cooling a space such as space heaters or window-mounted air conditioners that could be substantially worse than typical Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) systems. According to the ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 55-2010, thermal comfort is defined as "that condition of mind which expresses satisfaction with the thermal environment and is assessed by subjective evaluation1."

The international standard ISO 7730:2005, developed in parallel with the revised ASHRAE 55 standard, considers that a room provides thermal comfort if not more than 10% of its occupants feel discomfort. These studies establish a relationship between the outcome of the energy balance of the body and the trend of dissatisfaction. ISO 7730 standardizes the PMV (Predicted Mean Vote) and PPD (Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfaction) as the method for evaluation of moderate thermal environments. The PMV is calculated based on the value of energy accumulation in the body, thermal resistance of clothing and metabolism through a correlation. Thus, the PMV isn't more than a quantitative measure of the heat and cold sensation (Dias et al., 2009).

The indoor CO_2 concentration is also often considered to be a surrogate for the rate of ventilation per occupant. However, the indoor CO_2 concentration will vary with time even if the ventilation rate and occupancy are constant and, the CO_2 concentration is often a poor indicator of ventilation rate (Seppanen, Fisk and Mendell, 1999).

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Universities are designed for higher education learning, and improving university indoor environmental quality (IEQ) is essential to the enhanced performances of students and staff members alike. The majority of IEQ problems are due to inadequate ventilation in university buildings. Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) and thermal comfort measurements have become a commonly used screening test of IEQ because measurement levels can be used to evaluate the amount of ventilation and general comfort. This project examines IEQ field measurement for teaching laboratories in Mechanical Engineering Faculty, UTeM.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The objectives of this project are as follows:

i. To characterize the physical indoor environmental conditions of laboratories that represent two typical conditioning regimes, natural ventilation and air-conditioned laboratories.

ii. To compare measured physical conditions to the comfort zone specifications of the MS1525:2014 for each laboratory.

iii. To compare thermal comfort responses by the laboratory occupants (subjective response) to criteria specified by MS1525, using a variety of comfort scales and environmental indices.

1.4 SCOPE OF PROJECT

The scopes of this project are as follows:

i. A study is to be carried out at laboratories in Mechanical Engineering Faculty, UTeM.

ii. Laboratories with naturally ventilated and air-conditioning system with and without occupants will be chosen as the main case studies in order to assess the environmental conditions.

iii. The focus will be mainly on collecting data and measurements related to IEQ aspects such as indoor air thermal comfort, CO₂, percentage of relative humidity to be related with naturally ventilated and air-conditioned laboratories.

iv. Comparing the data collected from the measurements with current comfort zone specifications in the MS1525:2014.