

# UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

# STUDY AND ANALYSIS OF COGNITIVE STYLE TOWARDS THE AFFECTIVE DESIGN IN KANSEI ENGINEERING

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Management) (Hons.)

by

# NUR KHAIRUNISYA BINTI KHAIRULAZDAN B051310027 920523-06-5738

# FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING 2016



## ABSTRAK

Projek ini adalah mengenai ciri-ciri reka bentuk produk berdasarkan pilihan dan kepuasan pelanggan melalui Gaya Kognitif pelanggan (CoS), Kepuasan Pelanggan (CS), Kansei Kejuruteraan (KE), dan Pembezaan Semantik (SD). Kaji selidik itu dijalankan dengan soal selidik yang dibangunkan di Melaka yang mengandungi 3 jenis kereta (Kereta Sedan, Kereta City, dan MPV) digunakan dalam berskala 1 hingga 5 daripada perbezaan semantik kata-kata Kansei. Oleh kerana pelanggan lebih suka reka bentuk produk yang sebenarnya percanggahan antara ciri-ciri teknikal mereka (mudah, eksklusif, sporty, pintar dan moden). Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat, menganalisis, menilai, dan mengesahkan ciri dan pilihan reka bentuk disamping untuk menjana membuat keputusan untuk mencari keutamaan produk terhadap profil reka bentuk kereta tersebut. Pasca ujian diperlukan untuk mengesahkan keputusan ,disamping analisis kolerasi untuk Kaedah Gaya Kognitif yang dipilih menggunakan Ujian Personaliti Eysenck Ujian, Indeks Gaya Kognitif dan Teori Big . Tujuan menggunakan Kaedah Gaya Kognitif ini adalah untuk mencari penjelasan personel sifat-sifat dan keaslian tingkah laku personel persepsi, pemahaman dan psikologi manusia terhadap reka bentuk. Hasil kajian utama menunjukkan bahawa keutamaan reka bentuk produk berkaitan dengan gaya kognitif terhadap emosi pelanggan dimana kognitif pelanggan-sifat peribadi (berdasarkan CSI & Teori Big) mempunyai kolerasi ketara terhadap 'Safe' dan 'Sporty', masingmasing untuk kereta Sedan, dan City. Manakala untuk kereta MPV, kolerasi yang ketara wujud antara kognitif pelanggan-sifat peribadi adalah dengan (menggunakan CSI dan EPQ ) terhadap 'Sporty' dan (berdasarkan Teori Big 5) terhadap 'Comfortable'. Melalui dapatan ini, kognitif pelanggan-sifat peribadi boleh digunakan untuk meramalkan ekspresi pelanggan terhadap sesuatu produk.

## ABSTRACT

This project is about product design features based on customer preferences related to customer's Cognitive Style (CoS), Kansei Engineering (KE), and Semantic Differential (SD). The survey conducted with the questionnaires developed in Melaka that contained 3 types of car product (Sedan Car, City Car, and MPV) applied in the scaled 1 to 5 semantic differential of Kansei words. Since the customer preferences to the design of product that are actually contradiction to their technical characteristic (simple, comfortable, safe, sporty and luxury). The objectives of this study us to investigate, analyze, evaluate and validate the features and the design preferences of the product in order to find the priority of the product towards the profile design of the car. The Post- Test is required in order to validate the results, beside the correlation analysis of cognitive style selected (Eysenck Personality Test, Cognitive Style Index and the Big 5 Theory). The purpose of using theses personality and cognitive test is to find an explanation of personal traits and originality of personal behaviour of perception towards the understanding of human psychology related to the product design. The result of main survey shows that the preferences of product design were related to Cognitive Style toward customer's emotional feelings in which customers' cognitive – personality traits (based on CSI and The Big 5 test) is having significant correlation towards Safe and Sporty for City Car and Sedan respectively, while based on EPQ, the significant correlation existed towards Luxury and Safe for City Car and Sedan respectively. Whiles, for MPV car the significant correlation existed between the customers' cognitive – personality traits using CSI and The EPQ towards Sporty and The Big 5 towards Comfortable. Based on these finding, the customers' cognitive - personality traits can use employed to predict what the customer expression towards the product design.

# DEDICATION

For my beloved parents who were always supported me,

Khairulazdan Bin Abdullah Ruzniyati Binti Md. Noorwawi

For my supervisor,

Mr. Hasoloan Haery Ian Pieter

For my families and my friends, thanks for your love and care.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah and Thanks to Allah with all gracious and merciful for giving me strength and the ability to accomplish this project research successfully. However, it would not have been possible without the kind support and help of many individuals and organizations. I would like to extend my sincere thanks to all of them. First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to all those who gave me the possibility to complete this thesis. I am highly indebted to my supervisor Mr. Hasoloan Haery Ian Pieter whose help, stimulating suggestions, encouragement and guidance helped me in all the time of research for and writing of this thesis and his willingness to motivate me contributed tremendously to this project.

Especially, my thanks and appreciations also go to my colleague in developing the project and people who have willingly helped me out with support and valuable hints in completing this report. Thousands of thanks to my best friend, Mohd Helmi bin Zolkeple for the help distributing the survey and encouragement from beginning of the research until the end of this writing project. Finally, I would like to give my special thanks to my family especially my beloved parents whose always patient with full of love and understanding that enabled me to complete this work.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	V
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vii
List Abbreviations, Symbols and Nomenclatures	viii

#### **CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION**

4
9
10
12
12

#### **CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW**

Introdu	ction	14
Custom	er Satisfaction	14
2.2.1	The Importance of Satisfaction to Business	17
2.2.2	Customer Satisfaction Models	20
2.2.3	Consumer Dissatisfaction	22
2.2.4	Consumer Loyalty	23
Cogniti	ve Style	24
2.3.1	The Myers – Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI)	24
2.3.2	Four Types in 2 Dimensions	31
2.3.3	The 2 Major Dimensions	31
2.3.4	Theory of Eysenck Personality	31
2.3.5	Others Cognitive Style	36
Kansei	Engineering (KE)	41
	Custom 2.2.1 2.2.2 2.2.3 2.2.4 Cogniti 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 2.3.4 2.3.5	<ul> <li>2.2.2 Customer Satisfaction Models</li> <li>2.2.3 Consumer Dissatisfaction</li> <li>2.2.4 Consumer Loyalty</li> <li>Cognitive Style</li> <li>2.3.1 The Myers – Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI)</li> <li>2.3.2 Four Types in 2 Dimensions</li> <li>2.3.3 The 2 Major Dimensions</li> <li>2.3.4 Theory of Eysenck Personality</li> </ul>

	2.4.1	Basic of Kansei Engineering	42
	2.4.2	Kansei and Product Development	45
	2.4.3	Types of Kansei Engineering (KE)	45
	2.4.4	Application of Kansei Engineering	48
2.5	2.5 Semantic Differentials (SD)		49
	2.5.1	Multidimensional scaling technique	53
	2.5.2	The Scoring Technique	55
2.6	Summ	ary	56

### **CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	83
3.2	Methodology of the Project	84
3.3	Literature Study	84
3.4	Data Collection Phase	87
3.5	Questionnaire	88
3.6	Analysis Phase	
3.7	Framework of Car Design	90
3.8	Data Collection Phase Framework	90
	3.8.1 The Manipulating Data Phase	91
	3.8.2 The Final Phase	91
3.9	Gant Chart	93
3.10	Summary	

## **CHAPTER 4: RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introdu	uction	96
4.2	Developing Questionnaire		97
4.3	Prelin	ninary test	97
	4.3.1	Preliminary Test 1 (Pre-Test 1)	98
	4.3.2	Preliminary Test 2 (Pre-Test 2)	105
	4.3.3	Preliminary Test 3 (Pre-Test 3)	108
	4.3.4	Preliminary Test 4 (Pre-Test 4)	115
4.4	Main S	Survey	120
	4.4.1	Demography	120
	4.4.2	Kansei Word Reliability Test	128

128
129
153
158
158
160
162
164
169
173
178
183
185

### **CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

5.1	Conclusion	188
5.2	Recommendation	192

193

### REFERENCES

APPENDIX

- A Example Preliminary Test 1
- B Example Preliminary Test 2
- C Example Preliminary Test 3
- D Example Preliminary Test 4
- E Example Main Survey

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Extraversion (E) vs. Introversion (I)	25
Table 2.2: Sensing (S) vs. Intuition (N)	27
Table 2.3: Thinking (T) vs. Feeling (F)	28
Table 2.4: Judging (T) vs. Perceiving (P)	29
Table 2.5: Four Types in 2 dimensions	31
Table 2.6: Example of Items Eysenck Personality Questionnaire	35
Table 2.7: Opposite Pairs of Descriptors and Adjectives used in a SD Test	53
Table 2.8: Summary of Customer Satisfaction	57
Table 2.9: Summary of Semantic Differential	63
Table 2.10: Summary of Kansei Engineering	66
Table 2.11: Summary of Cognitive Style	73
Table 4.1: Kansei Words and Meaning	102
Table 4.2: The Best Preference by Customer	114
Table 4.3: Result Data Respondents for Cognitive Style Inventory	119
Table 4.4: Result Data Respondent Based on Cognitive Style Index	119
Table 4.5: Result Data Respondent Based on Analytic Items	119
Table 4.6: Result Data Respondent Based on EPQ-R Eysenck Theory	120
Table 4.7: Reliability Test of City Car, Sedan, and MPV	128
Table 4.8: Front View Car Preferences of City Car	154
Table 4.9: Side View Car Preferences of City Car	154
Table 4.10: Rear View Car Preferences of City Car	155
Table 4.11: Front View Car Preferences of Sedan Car	155
Table 4.12: Side View Car Preferences of Sedan Car	156
Table 4.13: Rear View Car Preferences of Sedan Car	156
Table 4.14: Front View Car Preferences of MPV Car	157
Table 4.15: Side View Car Preferences of MPV Car	157
Table 4.16: Rear View Car Preferences of MPV Car	158
Table 4.17: Correlation between CSI types with City Car 4	164
Table 4.18: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Safe City Front 4	164
Table 4.19: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Safe City Side 4	165

Table 4.20: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Safe City Rear 4	165
Table 4.21: Correlation between CSI types with Sedan Car 3.	166
Table 4.22: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty Sedan Front 3	166
Table 4.23: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty Sedan Side 3	166
Table 4.24: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty Sedan Rear 3	167
Table 4.25: Correlation between CSI types with MPV Car 4	167
Table 4.26: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty MPV Front 4	168
Table 4.27: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty MPV Side 4	168
Table 4.28: Cross Tabulation between CSI types with Sporty MPV Rear 4	168
Table 4.29: Correlation between Big 5 with City Car 5	169
Table 4.30: Cross Tabulation between Big 5with Safe City Front 5	169
Table 4.31: Cross Tabulation between Big 5with Safe City Side 5	170
Table 4.32: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Safe City Rear 5	170
Table 4.33: Correlation between Big 5 with Sedan Car 1	170
Table 4.34: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Sporty Sedan Front 1	171
Table 4.35: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Sporty Sedan Side 1	171
Table 4.36: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Sporty Sedan Rear 1	172
Table 4.37: Correlation between Big 5 with MPV Car 2	172
Table 4.38: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Comfortable MPV Front 2	172
Table 4.39: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Comfortable MPV Side 2	173
Table 4.40: Cross Tabulation between Big 5 with Comfortable MPV Rear 2	173
Table 4.41: Correlation between EPQ with City Car 1	174
Table 4.42: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Luxury City Front 1	174
Table 4.43: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Luxury City Side 1	175
Table 4.44: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Luxury City Rear 1	175
Table 4.45: Correlation between EPQ with Sedan Car 4.	175
Table 4.46: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Safe Sedan Front 4	176
Table 4.47: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Safe Sedan Side 4	176
Table 4.48: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Safe Sedan Rear 4	176
Table 4.49: Correlation between EPQ with MPV Car 4	177
Table 4.50: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Sporty MPV Front 4	177
Table 4.51: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Sporty MPV Side 4	178

Table 4.52: Cross Tabulation between EPQ with Sporty MPV Rear 4	178
Table 4.53: Correlation between DP with City Car 5	179
Table 4.54: Cross Tabulation between DP with Sporty City Front 5	179
Table 4.55: Cross Tabulation between DP with Sporty City Side 5	179
Table 4.56: Cross Tabulation between DP with Sporty City Rear 5	180
Table 4.57: Correlation between DP with Sedan Car 1	180
Table 4.58: Cross Tabulation between DP with Comfortable Sedan Front 1	180
Table 4.59: Cross Tabulation between DP with Comfortable Sedan Side 1	181
Table 4.60: Cross Tabulation between DP with Comfortable Sedan Rear 1	181
Table 4.61: Correlation between DP with MPV Car 3	182
Table 4.62: Cross Tabulation between DP with Stylish MPV Front 3	182
Table 4.63: Cross Tabulation between DP with Stylish MPV Side 3	183
Table 4.64: Cross Tabulation between DP with Stylish MPV Rear 3	183
Table 4.65: Post- test Survey: Correlation between EPQ with City Car 1	184
Table 4.66: Post- test Survey: Correlation between The Big 5 with Sedan Car 1	184
Table 4.67: Post- test Survey: Correlation between CSI types with MPV Car 4	184
Table 4.68: Main Survey Cross Tabulation between DP with EPQ	185
Table 4.69: Post-Survey Cross Tabulation between DP with EPQ	185

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1.1: The Framework of The Project Objectives	13
Figure 2.1: Customer Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction Loop	17
Figure 2.2: The Consumer Retention	20
Figure 2.3: Eysenck's Three Factor Theory of Personality Chart	34
Figure 2.4: The Adaptor and Innovators	39
Figure 2.5: The Process of Kansei	46
Figure 2.6: Kansei Engineering	44
Figure 2.7: The Design Process of Semantic Style Driven	50
Figure 2.8: The Semantics towards the Cognitive Domain	52
Figure 2.9: Procedure to Analyze the Product Image	54
Figure 2.10: An illustration of Three Dimensional Semantic Space	55
Figure 3.1: Methodology of the Project	83
Figure 3.2: The Framework of The Project Objectives	84
Figure 3.3: Face Reconstruction & Manipulation for Personality Types	71
Figure 3.4: Framework of the Design Car	90
Figure 3.5a: Gantt Chart (PSM 1)	92
Figure 3.5b: Gantt Chart (PSM 2)	93
Figure 4.1: Pre Test 1:Gender of the Respondents	99
Figure 4.2: Pre Test 1:Age of the Respondents	99
Figure 4.3: Pre Test 1:Occupation of the Respondents	99
Figure 4.4: Pre Test 1:Education Level of the Respondents	100
Figure 4.5: Pre Test 1: Respondents Annual Income	100
Figure 4.6: Pre Test 1: Period of Car Owned	101
Figure 4.7: Pre Test 1:Kansei Words Selected by the Respondents	102
Figure 4.8: Pre Test 1:Meaning of Kansei Words Selected by the Respondents	105
Figure 4.9: Pre Test 2:Gender of the Respondents	106
Figure 4.10: Pre Test 2: Age of the Respondents	106
Figure 4.11: Pre Test 2:Occupation of the Respondents	107
Figure 4.12: Pre Test 2:Education Level of the Respondents	107
Figure 4.13: Pre Test 2: Respondents Annual Income	107
Figure 4.14: Pre Test 2: Period of Car Owned	108
Figure 4.15: Pre Test 2: Kansei Words Selected by the Respondents	108

Figure 4.16: Pre Test 3:Gender of the Respondents	109
Figure 4.17: Pre Test 3: Age of the Respondents	110
Figure 4.18: Pre Test 3:Occupation of the Respondents	110
Figure 4.19: Pre Test 3: Education Level of the Respondents	110
Figure 4.20: Pre Test 3: Respondents Annual Income	111
Figure 4.21: Pre Test 3: Period of Car Owned	111
Figure 4.22: Pre Test 3: Types of Car Prefer to Buy	112
Figure 4.23: Pre Test 3: Types of Current Car Owned	112
Figure 4.24: Pre Test 3: Kansei Words Selected by the Respondents	113
Figure 4.25: Pre Test 3: City car preference by Respondents	113
Figure 4.26: Pre Test 3:Sedan car preference by Respondents	113
Figure 4.27: Pre Test 3:MPV car preference by Respondents	114
Figure 4.28: Pre Test 4:Gender of Respondents	116
Figure 4.29: Pre Test 4: Age of Respondents	116
Figure 4.30: Pre Test 4: Occupation of the Respondents	116
Figure 4.31: Pre Test 4: Education Level of the Respondents	117
Figure 4.32: Pre Test 4: Respondents Annual Income	117
Figure 4.33: Pre Test 4: Period of Car Owned	117
Figure 4.34: Pre Test 4: Type of Car Prefer to Buy	118
Figure 4.35: Pre Test 4: Types of Current Car Owned	118
Figure 4.36: Main Survey: Gender of Respondents	121
Figure 4.37: Main Survey: Age of Respondents	121
Figure 4.38: Main Survey: Occupation of Respondents	122
Figure 4.39: Main Survey: Education level of Respondents	123
Figure 4.40: Main Survey: Respondents Annual Income	123
Figure 4.41: Main Survey: Period of Car Owned	124
Figure 4.42: Main Survey: Types of Car Prefer to Buy	124
Figure 4.43: Main Survey: Types of Current Car Owned	125
Figure 4.44: Main Survey: Car Producer that Customer Prefer	126
Figure 4.45: Main Survey: Perception on Aspect of the Brand of Car	127
Figure 4.46: Main Survey: Perception on Aspect of the Good of Car	128
Figure 4.47: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 1	129
Figure 4.48: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 2	130
Figure 4.49: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 3	130

Figure 4.50: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 4	131
Figure 4.51: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 5	131
Figure 4.52: Criteria of Preference Design City Front View 6	131
Figure 4.53: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 1	132
Figure 4.54: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 2	132
Figure 4.55: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 3	133
Figure 4.56: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 4	133
Figure 4.57: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 5	133
Figure 4.58: Criteria of Preference Design City Side View 6	134
Figure 4.59: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 1	134
Figure 4.60: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 2	135
Figure 4.61: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 3	135
Figure 4.62: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 4	136
Figure 4.63: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 5	136
Figure 4.64: Criteria of Preference Design City Rear View 6	136
Figure 4.65: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 1	137
Figure 4.66: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 2	137
Figure 4.67: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 3	138
Figure 4.68: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 4	138
Figure 4.69: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 5	139
Figure 4.70: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Front View 6	139
Figure 4.71: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 1	140
Figure 4.72: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 2	140
Figure 4.73: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 3	140
Figure 4.74: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 4	141
Figure 4.75: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 5	141
Figure 4.76: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Side View 6	142
Figure 4.77: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 1	142
Figure 4.78: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 2	143
Figure 4.79: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 3	143
Figure 4.80: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 4	143
Figure 4.81: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 5	144
Figure 4.82: Criteria of Preference Design Sedan Rear View 6	144
Figure 4.83: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 1	145

Figure 4.84: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 2	145
Figure 4.85: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 3	146
Figure 4.86: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 4	146
Figure 4.87: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 5	147
Figure 4.88: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Front View 6	147
Figure 4.89: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 1	148
Figure 4.90: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 2	148
Figure 4.91: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 3	149
Figure 4.92: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 4	149
Figure 4.93: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 5	150
Figure 4.94: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Side View 6	150
Figure 4.95: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 1	151
Figure 4.96: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 2	151
Figure 4.97: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 3	152
Figure 4.98: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 4	152
Figure 4.99: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 5	153
Figure 4.100: Criteria of Preference Design MPV Rear View 6	153
Figure 4.101: Result Data Respondent Based on Cognitive Style Index	159
Figure 4.102: Result Data Respondent Based on Analytic Items	159
Figure 4.103: Result Data Respondent Based on EPQ-R Eysenck Theory	160
Figure 4.104: Respondent Personality Traits Based on DP	162
Figure 4.105: Step using Digital Physiognomy	163

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS AND NOMENLATURE

CAR	-	Consumer Affective Response
CoS	-	Cognitive style
CS	-	Customer Satisfaction
CSI	-	Cognitive Style Index
CSA	-	Cognitive Style Analysis
DP	-	Digital Physiognomy Software
EPQ	-	Eysenck Personality Questionnaire
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface
KAI	-	Kirton Adaptation-Innovation Index
KE	-	Kansei Engineering
KES	-	Kansei Engineering System
MDS	-	Multi-dimensional Scaling
MPV	-	Multi-Purpose Vehicle
QFD	-	Quality Function Deployment
SD	-	Semantic Differential
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Science
VOC	-	Voice of Customer

## **CHAPTER 1**

## INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Project Background

In today's dynamic business environment, an organization must always outperform their relevant business strategy to consistently deliver quality products/services to their customers. Specifically, to consumer loyalty programs as a key component in their future business prospects and the highest point of their concerned to their existence in the market (Gitman & Carl, 2005; Jiao *et al.*, 2006). On this case, the company should treat the consumer loyalty agenda is not only through the item's accessibility that is best fit to the customers' need, however also to customer's full of feeling reactions to the presence of an item that enormously impact their acquiring choices.

Based on the perspective of product, this is influenced by successful products development where the modelling of consumer's affective responses (CARs) should work in every company's plan related to their product design (product development) as competitive advantages (Yang, 2011:11382). Particularly, to the appearance of a product that will greatly influence the customer purchasing decision as the subjective feelings of customers (Shieh *et al.*, 2011:197). By grouping the product design into adjustable item stage families (in which mass customization is not only to comply with whatever required by customers), they are also should be able to answer the question of who the their

customer related to market segmentation and target marketing, and towards the question of what the customer wants that depends on how to identify the product attributes and their importance to targeted market segment (Oztekina *et al.*, 2011:2). Therefore, to impart plans to the customers (through item or framework of product design) includes perception and its formal style needs to be conveyed through formal styles (Chen *et al.*, 2006: 207). Here, a product identity should be formed as an arrangement of solid human identity components in order to recognize the customers associated with numerous choices on how the product looks and acts in the market by promoting the company's effort and messages in their products (Dumitrescu , 2010). In an era of product diversification, according to Luh *et al.*, (2012:230), since the meaning of a product to consumers is not necessarily the same as its meaning to designers, designers should therefore not only enough to analyze consumers' demands and preferences solely based on their own professional thought processes.

Para perspectiva acima mencionado antes, Du et al, (2006: 396). Ele argumentou que a empresa deve oferecer uma combinação "correta" dos objectivos do mercado de materiais. Isto significa que as definições de personalização versáteis (que se refere à maioria dos parâmetros do plano com o esquema de parâmetros fase adaptável usando definição de rede) ligados à configuração das categorias de produtos deve cumprir com os requisitos clientes e fortalecer cliente auto-estima (Krishnapillai e Zeid, 2006: 29). Ao nível individual, a identidade do produto é o efeito da cooperação de cada cliente ou telespectador com os itens, enquanto um nível geral, os itens identidades foram feitas por técnica de engenharia ou desenho e / ou planejador. De acordo com Nagamichi (2007: 1) relacionado a um desenvolvimento de produto, ele disse que é "mercado-in" filosofia do que a orientação do consumidor para o desenvolvimento de produtos, enquanto a outra é como a filosofia "de saída do produto "envolvendo o fabricante fornece as especificações da tecnologia e design de acordo com a decisão do lado do fabricante.

Moreover, since the design of products are likely to be incremental innovations (such as involving an enhanced or differentiated exterior styling), the cognitive and emotional attributes of objects (that make them either easier or more difficult to use) is, therefore, as an essential key role in communicating the expectation as for the better usability of product that may be achieved through the visual design principles and meaning (Dillman *et al.*, 2005:211-212). Here, Blijlevens *et al.*, (2012:45), specifically, discussed about consumers' aesthetic appraisal of products that depends on the presence of certain product design properties in the product design (*e.g.*, colour, shape). Luh *et al.*, (2012:23) stated the consumer feelings toward a product where the product has meaning to the consumer and this meaning is generated by cognition. On this issue, the product properties can put different cognitive and affective processes in motion that influence aesthetic appraisal. Typically, this is based on a cognitive process and an affective process as the experience that arouse to influences aesthetic appraisal (Berlyne, 1960; Veryzer & Hutchinson, 1998).

Según Mahlke (2008: 01), porque la estética visual es una dimensión importante de cualidades no instrumentales entonces las preguntas son cómo utilizar métodos de ingeniería y estudio científico conceptos estéticos en el diseño del sistema y de producto, y incorporar la ingeniería y métodos científicos en el diseño y la evaluación estética. En primer lugar, en relación con un conjunto de procesos cognitivos que caracterizan a los individuos con las tendencias para la creatividad en el diseño representado los campos de la creatividad y algo de dominio como menor (Casakin et al 2011:. 167). En segundo lugar, en relación con una construcción conceptual de la carga cognitiva y un modelo teórico de ejecución de la tarea, el esfuerzo mental y la carga cognitiva para revelar la comprensión pantalla interrelación (Huang et al, 2009:. 150). En tercer lugar, el diseño integrado que puede activarse, proporcionando nuevas herramientas para el diálogo entre los participantes a través de una plataforma de diseño experimental, el análisis detallado de los mensajes intercambiados entre los participantes (Tickiewitch y Verón, 1997: 81).

En conclusión, ya que "estados de ánimo" preferencias positivos y negativos y cognitivos y experiencias de representación tienen impactos en el cognitive and emotional attributes of objects (that make them either easier or more difficult to use) is, therefore, as an essential key role in communicating the expectation as for the better usability of product that may be achieved through the visual design principles and meaning (Dillman *et al.*, 2005:211-212). Here, Blijlevens *et al.*, (2012:45), specifically, discussed about consumers' aesthetic appraisal of products that depends on the presence of certain product design properties in the product design (*e.g.*, colour, shape). Luh *et al.*, (2012:23) stated the consumer feelings toward a product where the product has meaning to the consumer and this meaning is generated by cognition. On this issue, the product properties can put different cognitive and affective processes in motion that influence aesthetic appraisal. Typically, this is based on a cognitive process and an affective process as the experience that arouse to influences aesthetic appraisal (Berlyne, 1960; Veryzer & Hutchinson, 1998).

Según Mahlke (2008: 01), porque la estética visual es una dimensión importante de cualidades no instrumentales entonces las preguntas son cómo utilizar métodos de ingeniería y estudio científico conceptos estéticos en el diseño del sistema y de producto, y incorporar la ingeniería y métodos científicos en el diseño y la evaluación estética. En primer lugar, en relación con un conjunto de procesos cognitivos que caracterizan a los individuos con las tendencias para la creatividad en el diseño representado los campos de la creatividad y algo de dominio como menor (Casakin et al 2011:. 167). En segundo lugar, en relación con una construcción conceptual de la carga cognitiva y un modelo teórico de ejecución de la tarea, el esfuerzo mental y la carga cognitiva para revelar la comprensión pantalla interrelación (Huang et al, 2009:. 150). En tercer lugar, el diseño integrado que puede activarse, proporcionando nuevas herramientas para el diálogo entre los participantes a través de una plataforma de diseño experimental, el análisis detallado de los mensajes intercambiados entre los participantes (Tickiewitch y Verón, 1997: 81).

En conclusión, ya que "estados de ánimo" preferencias positivos y negativos y cognitivos y experiencias de representación tienen impactos en el

#### **1.2** Problem Statements

The way of designers to plan the development of a new product related for customer satisfaction, the designer's have to understand what the buyers or customers' desires. This plan is, actually, based on numerous items which are effective from an originator's point of view in the commercial centre that will imply the buyers' insight models (Chuang *et al.*, 2001). In this perspective, the psychological need towards emotional satisfaction of product is an essential part of design process. Therefore, the company has to develop the products that embody the values connected to their customers' aspirations and desires (Chen *et al.*, 2009:18).

De acordo Mahlke (2008: 01), porque a estética visual é uma dimensão importante de qualidades não-instrumentais, em seguida, as perguntas são como usar métodos de engenharia e conceitHowever estudo científico, Desmet (2003: 1) comentou que as emoções articulada através da aparência o produto são, na verdade, muitas vezes intangíveis e impossíveis de prever ou desenho é. Em primeiro lugar, desde que os clientes individuais impressões subjetivas devem ser traduzidos em descrições verbais que são relativamente curta duração estados emocionais, o que, no entanto, tendem a ser vago e ambíguo (e Khalid Helander, 2006). Nesta perspectiva (centrada nas pessoas disciplina a práticas industriais), também é muito difícil de captar as necessidades emocionais dos clientes por meio de suas origens linguísticas. Para garantir a satisfação do cliente, então você precisa experimentar as chamadas porque cada um o número de clientes, provavelmente, irá correlacionar de forma expressiva (e experiência) para atender as demandas que exigem pessoa privada ou detalhado.

Em segundo lugar, existe uma lacuna entre a sensibilidade subjetiva do usuário (impressão, olhar e sentir) o grau e o valor correspondente no banco de dados (Sakurai et al, (2012: .. 25) Esta condição refere-se à data opinião do design do produto da ilustração (por exemplo, imagem, ou seja, filmes, som, etc.) referese à (memória preferências cognitivo-de personalidade) tipo de clientes que representam os julgamentos de fatos ou decisões deve ser feita de modo a que têm impactos sobre acórdãos e confiança em julgamentos (Hastie e Pennington, 1995), por esta razão, Yang (2011: 11.382) respostas afetivas proposta consumo de modelagem (CAR) (Enterprise. produtores) para ter a capacidade de cauda para atender a satisfação a implementação de consumidores dos clientes respostas emocionais para a aparência do produto terá grande influência nas decisões de compra (Shieh et al, 2011: ... 197) Basicamente, o modelo centra-se na escala qualitativa de avaliação (tratado o conjunto linguística prazo da variável lingüística) que comentou sobre o problema da multi-critério tomada de decisão (Huynh et al, 2010 :. 575). Kansei abordagem japonesa deste modelo é muitas vezes interpretado 'emoções' completa " para representar os sentimentos, impressões e emoções (Haliday e Setchi, 2009: 2). Como a principal filosofia que foi especialmente criado para medir ou avaliar as necessidades dos clientes entusiasmados, Kansei Engenharia é visto 'e coordenado com a configuração última vez (Beitia et al 2009:. 453).

Em terceiro lugar, Averril et ai, (2011: 39). Ele comentou sobre a linha de emoções tendência a ser relevante para a criatividade com três grandes meios de comunicação. A partir da história para ajudar a criatividade, a criatividade Isso é quase sempre uma experiência emocional e, em seguida, as emoções em si podem ser elementos imaginativos. Um dos vários métodos altamente eficazes (juntamente com a sua principal preocupação especial em relação à variedade de funções da opção) é "quase todos os pontos possíveis", a fim de distinguir a empresa para a satisfação do cliente como resultado de procedimento do exame do valor do cliente (Du et al, 2006:. 396). Neste sentido (em relação à satisfação do cliente), não existe uma base sólida para a avaliação direta dos especialistas em avaliação de habilidades incluído sensibilidade e experiência (Tanoue et al 1997:. 115). Portanto, de acordo com Wang et al, (2011: 8738)., A especificação útil norma voltada para padrão projetado para recursos (design ergonômico) Eficiência (desenho útil) que são agora raramente revigorado quase qualquer opção ambicioso de programas de desenvolvimento do produto pode ser necessária através do padrão por meio de conseguir as emoções dos clientes.

Pela aplicação do conhecimento nos campos acima design é, no entanto, não estrutural complexa. Primeiro, é difícil de ser categorizada e universalmente aplicável uma vez que este é o princípio simples (Lee, 2012: 137). Embora esta seja uma principais influências sucesso de um novo produto através de como capturar o fator "voz do cliente". (Chen et al, 2008 :. 971). Lai et al, (2005: 445) Sua pesquisa para o desenvolvimento contou sobre designers de produto, que geralmente são fornecidos com um sentido de destino gerado com base na análise do mercado em que, em seguida, usa suas experiências subjetivas para desenvolver o produto física .. Porque havia um sentido de destino em função dos critérios que o sucesso ou o fracasso do projeto final, havia o risco de que o produto é realmente a falha antes mesmo de entrar no mercado. Em segundo lugar, uma vez que em design de produto é, no entanto, uma tarefa importante e crítico, os designers que participaram dos processos de desenvolvimento de design industrial não deve ser o uso e desenvolvimento de modelo científico e quantificável específico para análise formas (Lee 2012, precisamente e de forma adequada: 137). Anteriormente, Roy et al, (2009: 172). Sublinhar este assunto relacionado com a reação individual contra os elementos existentes usando palavras Kansei, a fim de fazer novos contornos em design de produto que vai trazer para fora uma reação positiva. Sobre como fazer uma interpretação das exigências dos clientes em componentes da estrutura, Kun et al 'artigos, (2011: 420) estudou com a técnica focada no uso de desdobramento da função qualidade (QFD) para ajudar designers no caracterização de elemento individual .. Todas estas técnicas existentes aplicados estão sendo adaptados para montar a data que pode ser usado pelo designer para construir novos elementos para atender vários estilos de vida.

Além disso, as abordagens acima é basicamente sobre como recolher dados sobre a maioria dos poderes, o que eles querem, avisos, sabores, sons e melhor. Embora seja abordagens famosos para decifrar os sentimentos mentais de clientes em torno de componentes elemento de percepção de esquema ( "Engenharia Kansei"), portanto, não foi suficiente devido ao valor intrínseco de

produtos de qualidade reais (sob a condição de que as óbvias tecnologias mais recentes de deficiência, juntamente com a ópera). É por isso que o design de produtos com características superiores de experiência são, na verdade, ainda tem um monte de complicações (Lai et al, 2005:. 446). Para abordar esta questão, Koleini et al, (2010: 1) projeto de solução e estilo que é muitas vezes a atividade de resolução de problemas na criação de uma linha de produtos produtiva ajustado para as exigências dos consumidores é enfatizada. De acordo com Chi (2009: 7838), o conhecimento ontologia do sentimento humano (para compartilhar e reutilizar entendimentos comuns entre diferentes aplicativos), a fim de atingir os requisitos de concepção centrada no consumidor deve basear nos sentidos, portanto, usada para capturar a propriedade e define semântica (observe a ordem da sequência, continuidade, coordenação e integração para que se torne clara, transparente, menos complicado e mais fácil de classificar) recuperação da interpretação subjectiva. O objetivo principal deste objectivo é capturar com precisão as necessidades emocionais dos clientes relacionadas com jogo design de produto às necessidades (Wang 2011: 8738). No entanto, uma vez que os objetos feitos pelo homem ter formas diferentes e podem ser considerados como estruturalmente dissemelhantes (Kahlaoui et ai, 2007: 2), o contexto em que ocorreu a estimulação influencia a formação da representação perceptual do estímulo ( "codificação perceptual" la) (Borowski & Mason, 1996: 70) para a satisfação depende realidade em grande parte vai em uma comparação de imagem baseada em e atual dos produtos expectativas.

Além disso, uma vez que os desejos emocionais de clientes não deverão ser pensados ao fazer itens que desencadeiam a resposta emocional ao cliente para os detalhes do padrão de percepção (por exemplo, modelo) com os produtos (Jordan, 2000; e Demirbilek Sener, 2003), as bases que envolviam ideais pessoas e pessoas de bom senso poderia incidir sobre que tipo de produto padrão, na verdade, parecem vulneráveis (Helander e Tham, 2003). Desmet et al, (2004: 2). No seu parecer relacionado com o design eo designer comentou sobre as tendências emocionais intangíveis e sensações particulares induzidas pelas suposições sobre sentimentos na preservação de sensações que normalmente ser