

ANALYSIS COMPARISON BETWEEN SOLIDWORKS  
PLASTICS AND SIMULATION MOLDFLOW ADVISER  
OF OPTIMUM GATE SIZE FOR THE DESIGN OF A  
SINGLE CAVITY PLASTIC NAME CARD HOLDER  
MOLD

NUR ZURAIN BT ZUBAIDI  
B051210038

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA  
2016



**UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA**

**ANALYSIS COMPARISON BETWEEN SOLIDWORKS  
PLASTICS AND SIMULATION MOLDFLOW ADVISER OF  
OPTIMUM GATE SIZE  
FOR THE DESIGN OF A SINGLE CAVITY PLASTIC NAME  
CARD HOLDE MOLD**

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Design) (Hons.)

by

**NUR ZURAIN BINTI ZUBAIDI**

**B051210038**

**930814085498**

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2016



# UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

## BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: ANALYSIS COMPARISON BETWEEN SOLIDWORKS PLASTICS AND SIMULATION MOLDFLOW ADVISER OF OPTIMUM GATE SIZE FOR THE DESIGN OF A SINGLE CAVITY PLASTIC NAME CARD HOLDER MOLD

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2015/16 Semester 2

Saya **NUR ZURAIN BINTI ZUBAIDI**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. \*\*Sila tandakan (√)

- SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysiasebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
- TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
- TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alamat Tetap:  
No.2, Jalan Puncak Jelapang 1,  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Taman Puncak Jelapang Maju,  
\_\_\_\_\_  
30020 Ipoh, Perak.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh: \_\_\_\_\_

\*\* Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Analysis Comparison between SolidWorks Plastics and Simulation Moldflow Adviser of Optimum Gate Size for the Design of a Single Cavity Plastic Name Card Holder” is the results of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature : .....

Author's Name : Nur Zurain bt Zubaidi

Date : 8<sup>th</sup> June 2016

## **APPROVAL**

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Design) (Hons.). The member of the supervisory is as follow:

.....

(Project Supervisor)

## ABSTRAK

Projek tahun akhir adalah mengenai "Analisis Perbandingan antara SolidWorks Plastics dan Simulasi Moldflow Penasihat Optimum Gate Saiz bagi Rekabentuk Pemegang Mold Single Cavity Nama plastik Kad". Projek ini memberi tumpuan kepada reka bentuk saiz dimensi pintu untuk rongga tunggal, analisis saiz pintu optimum, analisis perbandingan parameter (tekanan, halaju dan suhu) dan bahan terbaik untuk digunakan untuk saiz pintu dimensi optimum yang dipilih. Reka bentuk Nama Pemegang Kad plastik dan saiz pintu reka bentuk telah direka dengan menggunakan perisian CATIA V5R20. Simulasi Moldflow Penasihat dan SolidWorks Plastics telah digunakan untuk menganalisis dan membandingkan saiz pintu optimum dan bahan terbaik rongga tunggal Nama Pemegang plastik Kad. Bahan yang digunakan untuk menganalisis Nama Pemegang plastik Kad Optimum Gate Saiz adalah Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE), polikarbonat (PC), Polyethylene (PE) dan Polypropylene (PP). Projek ini bermula dengan menganalisis yang disyorkan daripada beberapa saiz pintu menggunakan Simulasi Moldflow Penasihat dan SolidWorks Plastics reka bentuk yang sedia ada. Kemudian, kedua-dua keputusan simulasi akan dibandingkan mengikut parameter yang ditentukan saiz pintu optimum dan bahan terbaik untuk digunakan untuk Nama Pemegang plastik Kad.

## **ABSTRACT**

This final year project is about “Analysis Comparison between SolidWorks Plastics and Simulation Moldflow Adviser of Optimum Gate Size for the Design of a Single Cavity Plastic Name Card Holder Mold”. This project is focused on the design of the gate size dimension for single cavity, analysis of the optimum gate size, analysis comparison of parameters (pressure, velocity and temperature) and the best material to be used for the selected optimum gate size dimension. The design of Plastic Name Card Holder and gate size of the design were designed by using CATIA V5R20 software. Simulation Moldflow Adviser and SolidWorks Plastics were used to analyze and compare the optimum gate size and best material for single cavity Plastic Name Card Holder. Material used to analyze Plastic Name Card Holder of Optimum Gate Size were Acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene (ABS), High-Density Polyethylene (HDPE), Polycarbonate (PC), Polyethylene (PE) and Polypropylene (PP). The project started by analysing the suggested of several gate size using Simulation Moldflow Adviser and SolidWorks Plastics of existing design. Then , both results of the simulations will be compared according to the parameters to determined the optimum gate size and best material to be used for the Plastic Name Card Holder.

## **DEDICATION**

To my beloved parents, this is for you:

*Zubaidi bin Zamri*

*Rahayu bt A Rahman*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost, all praise to Allah, for giving me strength and opportunity to accomplish this project. I seek His mercy, favour and forgiveness for everything. A lot of thanks to my great supervisor, Encik Baharudin bin Abu Bakar for his help, encouragement and guidance from the beginning until the end of this project. For my parents whom always pray for the best of me and give support with much love all the time. For my friends, I appreciate the present of being there for me through thick and thin. Thank you.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Figures	xi
List of Tables	xiii
<b>CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Project Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 Scope	3
<b>CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Introduction to Injection Molding	4
2.2 Plastic Injection Molding	5
2.3 Injection Molding Machine	6
2.3.1 Injection Unit	7
2.3.1.1 Hopper	8

2.3.1.2	Screw	9
2.3.1.3	Nozzle	10
2.3.2	Clamping Unit	11
2.3.2.1	Hydraulic Clamp System	12
2.3.2.2	Hydro-mechanical System	13
2.4	Phases of Plastic Injection Molding	13
2.4.1	Filling Phase	13
2.4.2	Pressurization Phase	14
2.4.3	Compensation Phase	14
2.5	Process of Plastic Injection Molding	15
2.6	Material Used for Product	16
2.6.1	Engineering and Commodity Plastics	18
2.6.2	Additives Material	19
2.6.2.1	Filler and Reinforcement	19
2.6.2.2	Plasticizers	20
2.6.2.3	Stabilizers	20
2.6.2.4	Flame Retardant	20
2.6.2.5	Colorants	21
2.6.3	Material Applications	21
2.7	What is Mold?	22
2.7.1	Types of Injection Mold	22
2.7.1.1	Cold Runner Mold	23

2.7.1.1.1	Two Plate Mold	23
2.7.1.1.2	Three Plate Mold	23
2.7.1.1.3	Multi-cavity Mold	23
2.7.1.1.4	Slide Mold	24
2.7.1.1.5	Split-cavity Mold	24
2.7.1.1.6	Twist-off Mold	24
2.7.1.2	Hot Runner Mold	25
2.7.2	Basic Mold Components	25
2.7.3	Design of Mold	27
2.7.4	Material Used for Mold	30
2.8	Gate Design System	31
2.9	Defects in Injection Molding Process	36
2.10	Design and Simulation Tools	39
2.10.1	SolidWorks Plastics	39
2.10.1.1	Advantages of using SolidWorks Plastics	39
2.10.3	Simulation Moldflow Adviser	39
2.10.3.1	Filling Analysis	40
2.10.3.2	Fill Time and Plastic Flow	40
<b>CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>		<b>41</b>
3.1	Project Overview	41
3.2	Define the Problem Statement, Objective, Scope and Limitation	41

3.3	Strategic Planning	42
3.3.1	Literature Review	44
3.3.2	Framework of the Project	44
3.4	Gantt Chart	48
3.5	Preliminary Design	48
3.6	Product Specification	48
3.7	Software	48
<b>CHAPTER 4: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>		<b>50</b>
4.1	Gate Size and Material Selection	50
4.2	Optimum Gate Size and Best Material using Simulation Moldflow Adviser	52
4.2.1	Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm	53
4.2.2	Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm	55
4.2.3	Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm	57
4.2.4	Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 1mm	59
4.2.5	Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 1mm	61
4.2.6	Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 1mm	63
4.3	Selection and Ranking of Important Parameters for Optimum Gate Size and Best Material using Simulation Moldflow Adviser	65
4.3.1	Selection Material for Plastic Flow	65
4.3.2	Material Selection for Quality Prediction	67

4.3.3	Material Ranking for Fill Time	68
4.3.4	Material Ranking for Injection Pressure	68
4.3.5	Material Selection for Clamping Force	69
4.3.6	Final Ranking	70
4.4	Optimum Gate Size and Best Material using SolidWorks Plastics	71
4.4.1	Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm	71
4.4.2	Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm	73
4.4.3	Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm	75
4.4.4	Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 1mm	77
4.4.5	Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 1mm	79
4.4.6	Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 1mm	81
4.5	Selection and Ranking of Important Parameters for Optimum Gate Size and Best Material using SolidWorks Plastics	84
4.5.1	Material Ranking for Plastic Flow	84
4.5.2	Ranking Material for Quality Prediction	85
4.5.3	Material Ranking for Fill Time	86
4.5.4	Material Ranking for Injection Pressure	87
4.5.5	Ranking Material for Temperature at the End of Fill	88
4.5.6	Final Ranking	89
4.6	Comparison Ranking for Best Gate Size and Material	90

<b>CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK</b>	<b>91</b>
5.1 Conclusion	91
5.2 Future Work	93
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>APPENDIX A: Gantt Chart</b>	<b>94</b>

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

2.1	Example of Product Produced by Injection Molding	5
2.2	Example of Product Produced by Injection Molding	5
2.3	Injection Molding Machine with Basic Components	7
2.4	Injection Unit	8
2.5	Commercial Version of Hoppers	9
2.6	Three Zone Screw	10
2.7	Open Nozzle	11
2.8	Schematic Diagram of an Injection Molding Machine Clamping Unit	12
2.9	Toggle Clamp Unit of Injection Molding Machine	13
2.10	Phases of Injection Molding	15
2.11	Overview of Global Thermoplastics Consumption	17
2.12	Product of Multi Cavity Mold	24
2.13	Basic Mold Base Components	27
2.14	A and B Side with Parting Line	28
2.15	Design of Thickness Distribution	29
2.16	Design of Draft Angle	29
2.17	Dimension Stability of Cylindrical Molded Product by Rib	30
2.18	Edge Gate Design	32
2.19	Fan Gate	33
2.20	Pinpoint Gate Design	33

2.21	Ring Gate Design	34
2.22	Disk Gate Design	35
2.23	Design of Sprue Gate	35
2.24	Tab Gate Design	36
3.1	Flowchart for Strategic Planning	43
3.2	Methodology for Simulation Moldflow Adviser Software and SolidWorks Plastics	45
3.3	Methodology for Simulation Moldflow Adviser Software and SolidWorks Plastics	46
3.4	Framework of Project	47
4.1	Ranking Material for Fill Time	68
4.2	Rank of Materials for Injection Pressure	69
4.3	Material Ranking for Clamping Force	69
4.4	Material Ranking of Fill Time according to SolidWorks Plastics	87
4.5	Material Ranking of Injection Pressure according to SolidWorks Plastics	88
4.6	Ranking Material for Temperature at the End of Fill	88

## LIST OF TABLE

2.1	Classification of Common Plastics	18
2.2	Mold Components with Their Functions	26
2.3	Causes of Defects and Remedy Suggestions	37
4.1	Information for the Selected Materials	51
4.2	Various Gate Size Dimension for Single Cavity Plastic Name Card Holder	52
4.3	Result of 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm Gate Size Dimension	53
4.4	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm	54
4.5	Result of 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm Gate Size Dimension	55
4.6	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm	56
4.7	Result of 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm Gate Size Dimension	57
4.8	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm	58
4.9	Result of 1mm x 1mm x 1mm Gate Size Dimension	59
4.10	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 1mm x 1mm	60

4.11	Result of 1mm x 2mm x 1mm Gate Size Dimension	61
4.12	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 2mm x 1mm	62
4.13	Result of 1mm x 3mm x 1mm Gate Size Dimension	63
4.14	Result for the Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of Gate Size 1mm x 3mm x 1mm	64
4.15	The Ranking Remark for Selecting the Optimum Gate Size	65
4.16	Material Selection based on Plastic Flow	66
4.17	Material Selection Regarding Quality Prediction	67
4.18	Final Ranking for Simulation Moldflow Adviser	70
4.19	Result of 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	71
4.20	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	72
4.21	Defects of 1mm x 1mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	73
4.22	Result of 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	73
4.23	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	74
4.24	Defects of 1mm x 2mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	75
4.25	Result of 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	75
4.26	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	76
4.27	Defects of 1mm x 3mm x 0.5mm Gate Dimension	77

4.28	Result of 1mm x 1mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	77
4.29	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 1mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	78
4.30	Defects of 1mm x 1mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	79
4.31	Result of 1mm x 2mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	79
4.32	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 2mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	80
4.33	Defects of 1mm x 2mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	81
4.34	Result of 1mm x 3mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	82
4.35	Result for Quality Prediction and Plastic Flow of 1mm x 3mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	82
4.36	Defects of 1mm x 3mm x 1mm Gate Dimension	83
4.37	Material Selection for Plastic Flow	84
4.38	Material Selection for Quality Prediction	85
4.39	Final Ranking for SolidWorks Plastics	89
4.40	Comparison Ranking for Optimum Gate Size and Best Material	90

## REFERENCES

Abidin, S. S. (2008). *Application of Statistical Process Control on a Matrix Card Holder Production*.

Anonymous. (2011). *Injection Molding*.

Anonymous. (n.d.). *Design and Manufacturing of Plastic Injection Mould*.

Autodesk, Inc. (2012). *Plastics Made Perfect*. Retrieved from Autodesk Simulation Moldflow: [www.autodesk.com/moldflow](http://www.autodesk.com/moldflow)

Bryce, D. M. (1998). *Plastic Injection Molding: Mold Design and Construction Fundamentals*. Georgetown: Texas Plastic Technologies.

Dassault Systemes SolidWorks Corporation. (2010). *An Introduction to Stress Analysis Applications with SolidWorks Simulation, Student Guide*. Concord, Massachusetts.

Gerd Potsch, W. M. (2004). *Injection Molding*. Hanser Publication.

Harper, C. A. (2006). *Handbook of Plastic Processes*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

He, B. (2011). Research on the Failure and Material Selection of Plastic Mold . *Procedia Engineering*. Zhejiang: Elsevier Ltd.

Hong-yang Zhang, T.-c. L.-k. (2009). Modeling in SolidWorks and Analysis of Temperature and Thermal Stress during Construction of Intake Tower.

Johannaber, F. (n.d.). *Injection Molding Machines*. Hanser Publication.

Kazmer, D. O. (2008). *Injection Mold Design Engineering*. Hanser Publication.

M.A.H.A. Ssomad, R. H. (2013). Finite Element Analysis for Stress Distribution of Hand Tool Harvester. *Malaysian International Tribology Conference 2013, (MITC2013)*. Kuala Lumpur: Elsevier Ltd.

Malloy, R. A. (n.d.). *Plastic Part Design for Injection Molding*. Cincinnati: Hanser Publications.

Martin, W. (2015, July 8). *5 Design Considerations for Multi-Cavity Molds*. Retrieved from <http://www.protolabs.com/blog/5-design-considerations-for-multi-cavity-molds/>

Marton Huszar, F. B. (2015). *Sustainable Injection Moulding: The Impact of Materials Selection and Gate Location on Part Warp and Injection Pressure*.

Mehdi Moayyedian, K. A. (2015). New Design Feature of Mold in Injection Molding for Scrap Reduction. *2nd International Materials, Industrial and Manufacturing Engineering Conference, MIMEC2015*. Bali: Elsevier B.V.

Paul M. Kurowski, P. P. (2011). *Engineering Analysis with SolidWorks Simulation 2011*. SDC Publications.

Rahul S. K., 2014, Flow Analysis of Bottom Case, *International Journal of Advanced Research* (2014), Vol. 2, Issue 2, page 444

Shen, J. (2010). *Design and Molding Simulation of a Plastic Part*. Hartford.

Shoemaker, J. (2006). *Moldflow Design Guide*. Framingham, Massachusetts: Hanser Gardner Publications, Inc.

Teklehaimanot, S. (2011). *Simulation and Design of a Plastic Injection Mold*. Arcada.

Wong C. T., S. S. (2004). *Design and Simulation of Plastic Injection Moulding Process*.

Bernie A. Olmsted and Martin E. Davis, 2001, *Practical Injection Molding*, by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

Friedrich J., 2008, *Injection Molding Machine a User Guides*, 4th Edition, Carl Hanser Verlag, Munich.

Peter J., *The Mould Design Guide*, Smithers Rapra Technology Limited, 2008

Peter Unger (Ed.), 2006, *Gastrow Injection Molds*, 4th Edition, Hanser Publishers, Munich

# **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

In this chapter, it contains a brief explanation about this project and the background of the project title, “Analysis Comparison between Solidworks Plastics and Simulation Moldflow Adviser of Optimum Gate Size for the Design of a Single Cavity Plastic Name Card Holder Mould”. This chapter covers about the problem statement, objectives, and the scope and limitation of this project.

### **1.1 Project Background**

Many factors can affect the molding process and quality of final products in injection molding. The method of traditional mold design is depend on the mold designer’s experiences. With the help of software analysis, the designers or engineers can lessen the development time and reduce cost of making the mold. Therefore, it helps solve the production problems on the material properties, product design and mold design. Setting parameter such as temperature, speed and pressure are very important for the cycle time in injection molding. The product can be affected even if there is slight difference of those parameters.

Furthermore, the other most important factor in injection molding is gating system. The plastic flow in the mold can be bad without proper selection of gate design. The selection of gate size is important as it can improve the quality of the part besides reducing the rejection and elimination in trial session.