

APPLICATION OF INTEGRATED ANALYTIC
HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP) AND TECHNIQUE OF
ORDER PREFERENCE BY SIMILARITY TO IDEAL
SOLUTION (TOPSIS) IN MATERIAL SELECTION FOR
AUTOMOTIVE FENDER DESIGN

GOH CHIA MIN

B051110126

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2015



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

**APPLICATION OF INTEGRATED ANALYTIC HIERARCHY
PROCESS (AHP) AND TECHNIQUE OF ORDER PREFERENCE
BY SIMILARITY TO IDEAL SOLUTION (TOPSIS) IN
MATERIAL SELECTION FOR AUTOMOTIVE FENDER
DESIGN**

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Design) (Hons.)

by

GOH CHIA MIN

B051110126

911018-04-5520

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2015

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: APPLICATION OF INTEGRATED ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS (AHP) AND TECHNIQUE OF ORDER PREFERENCE BY SIMILARITY TO IDEAL SOLUTION (TOPSIS) IN MATERIAL SELECTION FOR AUTOMOTIVE FENDER DESIGN

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2014/15 Semester2

Saya **GOH CHIA MIN**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. ****Sila tandakan (✓)**

- SULIT** (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia sebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
- TERHAD** (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
- TIDAK TERHAD**

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:

40, Jalan Murni 12,

Taman Malim Jaya,

75250 Melaka.

Tarikh: 20th June 2015

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: _____

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled 'Application of Integrated Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) And Technique of Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) In Material Selection for Automotive Fender Design' is the results of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature :

Author's Name : GOH CHIA MIN

Date : 20th June 2015

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Design) (Hons.). The member of the supervisory committee is as follow:

.....

(Supervisor)

(PROF. MADYA DR. HAMBALI BIN AREP@ARIFF)

ABSTRACT

Laporan ini merupakan kajian tentang Integrasi AHP dan TOPSIS dalam proses pemilihan bahan untuk reka bentuk fender automotif. Bahan-bahan untuk pembinaan kenderaan automotif telah ditukar dari besi tuang dan keluli karbon rendah kepada bahan-bahan yang ringan seperti rencam matriks polimer, aloi magnesium dan aloi aluminium. Oleh itu, pemilihan bahan fender automotif dalam laporan ini akan memberi tumpuan kepada bahan-bahan ringan kerana trend baru dalam menghasilkan kenderaan ringan dalam industri automotif. Objektif utama adalah menentukan bahan yang terbaik bagi fender automotif dengan menggunakan kaedah integrasi AHP-TOPSIS dan megenalpastikan kriteria yang penting dalam pemilihan bahan untuk fender automotif. Pemilihan bahan adalah berdasarkan rekabentuk sedia ada dan proses pembuatan untuk fender automotif. Sifat-sifat bahan penting yang perlu dipertimbangkan dalam pemilihan bahan adalah prestasi, kos, berat dan pembuatan untuk fender automotif. Sepuluh bahan calon yang dicadangkan adalah keluli kekuatan tinggi, Aluminium aloi dan termoplastik. Pertama, kaedah AHP telah digunakan untuk menentukan keberatan untuk setiap kriteria. Kemudian, kaedah TOPSIS digunakan untuk melaksanakan kedudukan alternatif dalam pemilihan bahan untuk fender automotif. Kaedah integrasi AHP-TOPSIS telah membuktikan sangat berguna semasa membuat keputusan yang melibatkan pelbagai kriteria dan alternatif seperti proses pemilihan bahan untuk fender automotif. Kesimpulannya, resin PPE/PA/989 telah dipilih sebagai bahan yang terbaik bagi fender automotif dengan menggunakan kaedah integrasi AHP-TOPSIS.

ABSTRACT

This report was study about integration of AHP and TOPSIS in the material selection process of an automotive fender design. The materials for the construction of automotive vehicles are rapidly changed from cast iron and low carbon steel towards lighter materials such as polymer matrix composites, magnesium alloys and aluminium alloys due to greater emphasis on the weight reduction vehicles. The material selection of the automotive fender will focused on lighter materials due to new trend in producing light weight vehicles in automotive industry. The main objective was to determine the best material for automotive fender using integrated AHP-TOPSIS method by identified important criteria in material selection of the automotive fender. The material selection was based on existing design and manufacturing process of the automotive fender. The material property needs to be considered in the material selection was Performance, Cost, Weight and Manufacturing criteria. Ten candidate materials proposed were High Strength Steel, Aluminium Alloy and Thermoplastic. First, AHP method was used to determine the weight of the selection criteria. Then, TOPSIS method was used to perform the ranking of alternatives. The integrated AHP-TOPSIS method was proven very successfully in multi-criteria decision making processes which involved many criteria and alternatives in the material selection of the automotive fender. As a conclusion, the PPE/PA/989 resin was selected as the best material for the automotive fender using integrated AHP-TOPSIS method.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In performing this project, it would not have been possible without the kind support and help of many individuals and university. I would like to extend my sincere gratitude and appreciation to all of them.

First and foremost, special thanks to my supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Hambali Bin Arep @Ariff for his vital encouragements, guidance and constant supervision as well as for providing necessary information regarding this project.

In addition, I would like to acknowledge with much appreciation the crucial role of my parents who supported me in any respect during the completion of this report. They always remind me that my report should always be useful and serves good purposes to all mankind. They showed me different ways in approaching a problem and made me realize the value of persistent in accomplishing any goals.

An honorable mention goes to my friends who have willingly helped me out with their abilities by sharing with me their experiences in report-writing and providing me their valuable comments and suggestions which gave me an inspiration to improve my project. Last but not least, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who helped me in putting pieces together.

DEDICATION

*Dedicated to my beloved father, Goh King Chong,
my appreciated mother, Chong Ah Kim
and my adored sisters and brother, Goh Chia Nee, Goh Chia Han and Goh Chia
Chia for giving me moral support, cooperation, encouragement and also
understandings.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgement	iii
Dedication	iv
Table of contents	v
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
List of Abbreviations	xi
List of Symbols	xii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Scopes of Research	5
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.0 Overview	6
2.1 Introduction of Automotive Fender	6
2.2 Existing Material Used For Automotive Fender	7
2.2.1 Steel	8
2.2.2 Plastic	8
2.2.3 Noryl GTX resin	8
2.2.4 High modulus ductile (HMD) resin	10
2.2.5 Carbon fiber	10
2.2.6 Aluminium-Blech	11
2.3 Automotive Fender Material Selection Criteria	12
2.3.1 Density	12
2.3.2 Cost	12
2.3.3 Painting capability	13

2.3.4	Design freedom	14
2.3.5	Performance requirements	14
2.4	Lightweight Materials Used in Automotive Industry	14
2.4.1	Steel	14
2.4.2	Aluminium Alloys	16
2.4.3	Magnesium Alloys	19
2.4.4	Titanium Alloys	20
2.4.5	Stainless Steels	20
2.4.6	Cast Iron	21
2.4.7	Polymer Matrix Composites	22
	2.4.7.1 Thermoplastics and Thermoplastics Matrix Composites	22
	2.4.7.2 Thermoset–Matrix Composites	23
2.5	Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	25
2.5.1	Application of AHP in multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) process	25
2.6	Technique For Order Preference By Similarity To Ideal Solution (TOPSIS)	27
2.6.1	Application of TOPSIS in multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) process	28
2.7	Integration of AHP-TOPSIS Method	28
2.7.1	Implementation of Integrated AHP-TOPSIS method	29
2.8	Other Material Selection Method	29
2.9	Summary and Research Gap	30
	CHAPTER 3: METHDODOLOGY	32
3.0	Overview	32
3.1	Project Flow Chart	33
3.2	AHP Method	35
3.3	TOPSIS Method	39
	CHAPTER 4: ANALYSIS USING INTEGRATED AHP-TOPSIS METHOD	44
4.0	Overview	44

4.1	Data Collection	45
4.2	Weighting of Criteria Using AHP Method	47
4.2.1	Hierarchy Framework	47
4.2.2	Main Criteria With Respect To Goal	49
4.2.2.1	Pair-Wise Comparison	49
4.2.2.2	Consistency Analysis	50
4.2.2.2.1	Eigenvalue (λ_{max})	50
4.2.2.2.2	Consistency Index (CI)	51
4.2.2.2.3	Consistency Ratio (CR)	52
4.2.3	Sub-Criteria With Respect To Main Criteria	52
4.2.4	Sub-Criteria With Respect To Goal	53
4.3	Ranking of Alternatives Using TOPSIS Method	54
4.3.1	Overall TOPSIS Decision Matrix	54
4.3.2	Normalized Decision Matrix	54
4.3.3	Weighted Normalized Decision Matrix	55
4.3.4	Positive Ideal Solution (PIS) and Negative Ideal Solution (NIS)	58
4.3.5	Separation Measures	58
4.3.6	Relative Closeness	59
CHAPTER 5: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		61
5.0	Overview	61
5.1	AHP Results	62
5.1.1	Expert Choice TM Software	62
5.1.1.1	Main Criteria With Respect To Goal	62
5.1.1.2	Sub-Criteria With Respect To Main Criteria	64
5.1.1.3	Sub-Criteria With Respect To Goal	65
5.2	TOPSIS Results	66
CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS		68
6.1	Conclusion	68
6.2	Recommendations	68
REFERENCES		69

APPENDICES

- A Gantt Chart for FYP I
- B Gantt Chart for FYP I
- C AHP Analysis of Main Criteria With Respect to Goal
- D AHP Analysis of Sub-criteria with Respect to Performance main criteria
- E AHP Analysis of Main Criteria with Respect to Manufacturing Main
Criteria
- F TOPSIS Analysis of Alternatives

LIST OF TABLES

1.1	Material distributions in typical automobile	2
2.1	Steels categories, properties and application	16
2.2	List of aluminium alloys and automotive applications	18
2.3	Application of thermoplastics polymer in automotive industry	22
2.4	Polymer blends in automotive application	23
2.5	Application of AHP in MCDM process	27
2.6	Application of AHP in MCDM process	28
2.7	Implementation of Integrated AHP-TOPSIS method	29
2.8	Other Material Selection Method	30
3.1	Saaty rating scale for pair-wise comparison	37
3.2	Random Index (Saaty, 2001)	39
4.1	Material properties of candidate materials (MatWeb, 2012)	46
4.2	A hierarchy framework for the material selection	49
4.3	Pair-wise of main criteria	50
4.4	Synthesized pair-wise comparison and priority vector	50
4.5	Calculated the eigenvalue (λ_{max})	51
4.6	Consistency test for main criteria	52
4.7	Consistency test for sub-criteria of Performance	53
4.8	Consistency test for sub-criteria of Manufacturing	53
4.9	Overall priority vector	54
4.10	Overall TOPSIS decision matrix	56
4.11	Modified decision matrix	56
4.12	Normalized decision matrix	57
4.13	Weighted normalized decision matrix	57
4.14	PIS and NIS	58
4.15	Separation from PIS and NIS	59
4.16	Relative Closeness	60
5.1	Relative Closeness	67

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Automotive fender design	7
3.1	Project flow chart	33
3.2	AHP algorithm (Saaty, 2001)	36
3.3	A hierarchical framework	37
3.4	Flow chart of TOPSIS method	40
4.1	A hierarchy framework for the material selection	47
5.1	Tree diagram	62
5.2	Pair-wise comparison of the main criteria in matrix format	62
5.3	Pair-wise comparison of the main criteria in graphical	63
5.4	Local weight of the main criteria	63
5.5	Priority of the main criteria with respect to the goal	63
5.6	Pair-wise comparison of the Performance sub-criteria	64
5.7	Priority of the sub-criteria with Performance	64
5.8	Pair-wise comparison of the Manufacturing sub-criteria	65
5.9	Priority of the sub-criteria with Manufacturing	65
5.10	Global weight of the sub-criteria	66
5.11	Priority of the sub-criteria with respect to the goal	66
5.12	Percentage of relative closeness of alternatives	67

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AA2036T4	-	Aluminium Alloy 2036 in the T4 temper
AA6010T4	-	Aluminium Alloy 6010 in the T4 temper
AHP	-	Analytic Hierarchy Process
AR/PC	-	Acrylic/Polycarbonate Alloy
CTE	-	Linear Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
D	-	Density
D1000DP	-	Docol Cold Rolled Steel 1000 Dual Phase
D600DP	-	Docol Cold Rolled Steel 600 Dual Phase
EB	-	Elongation at Break
ER	-	Electrical Resistivity
IP	-	Izod Impact, Notched
MC	-	Material cost
MCDM	-	Multiple-criteria Decision Making
NY66/40CF	-	Nylon 66 with 40% Carbon Fiber Filled
PC/PBT	-	Polycarbonate with Polybutylene Terephthalate Blend
PPE/PA/989	-	Polyphenylene Ether with Polyamide Blend
PPO/PA66	-	Polyphenylene Oxide with Nylon 66
PPS/40CF	-	Polyphenylene Sulfide with 40% Carbon Fiber Filled
SHC	-	Specific Heat capacity
TOPSIS	-	Technique of Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
UTS	-	Ultimate Tensile Strength
YM	-	Young's Modulus
YS	-	Yield Strength

LIST OF SYMBOLS

$\$/\text{kg}$	-	Cost per kg
$\$/\text{m}^3$	-	Cost per metre cubic
$^\circ$	-	Degree
$^\circ\text{C}$	-	Degree celcius
ρ	-	Density
κ_e	-	Electrical conductivity
ρ_e	-	Electrical resistivity
GPa	-	Giga pascal
J/cm	-	Joule per centimeter
kg/m^3	-	Kilogram per metre cubic
MPa	-	Mega pascal
$\mu\text{m}/\text{m}\text{-}^\circ\text{C}$	-	Micro meter per meter per celsius degree
$\mu\text{ohm}\text{-cm}$	-	Micro ohm centimeter
N/m	-	Newtons per metre
N/m^2	-	Newtons per metre square
$\Omega\cdot\text{m}$	-	Ohm metre
Pa	-	Pascal
%	-	Percentage
$10^{-6}/\text{K}$	-	Per million per kelvin
:	-	Ratio
$(\Omega\cdot\text{m})^{-1}$	-	Reciprocal of ohm metre
S/m	-	Siemen per meter

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In recent years, new materials are used to replace traditional materials to achieve weight reduction and performance improvement in engineering application especially in automotive industry (Rao, 2008). The materials currently used in automotive industry such as advanced steels, light alloys such as magnesium alloys, aluminium alloys and titanium alloys, plastics and composites to produce lightweight vehicles. Design modification, material substitution and efficient manufacturing process are alternative solutions need to be considered in producing lightweight vehicles with the increasing demand of improving fuel economy and emission control. Fuel economy improvement strategies must consider vehicle weight reduction as the key factor. Several options to achieve significant reduction in vehicle weight are downsizing of vehicles and reducing the weight of automotive components using design optimization, parts consolidation and also material substitution (Mallick, 2010a).

New trends of lightweight vehicles not only can enhance fuel efficiency; the driving performance improvement can also lower the emissions (Fuchs et al., 2008). Reducing the weight of vehicle can cause a significant reduction of vehicle power requirement and hence increase the fuel economy. Studies have shown that every 10% of vehicle weight reduction can cause 5 to 8% greater fuel efficiency (Brooke and Evans, 2009). Weight reduction of automotive components becomes a new trend because it can meet the customer expectation in terms of fuel economy, emission reduction, vehicles safety and performance. Redesigning existing components with

lightweight materials is one method to reduce weight in vehicle body construction. Weight saving in automotive components such as power-train, chassis and suspension, body panels and body structure might be achieved by using lightweight materials to replace high density materials like steels (Mayyas et al.,2011).

Material scenario in automotive industry are rapidly changed from cast iron and low carbon steel towards lighter materials such as polymer matrix composites, magnesium alloys and aluminium alloys due to greater emphasis on the weight reduction vehicles. Table 1.1 shows that the materials used in large quantities in automotive industry are cast iron and low carbon steel as compared to other materials.

Table 1.1: Material distributions in typical automobiles (Mallick, 2010a)

Material	Percentage of vehicle weight(%)	Main application
Steel	55	Body panels, body structures, engine and transmission components, driveline components, suspension components
Cast iron	9	Engine components, suspension and brakes
Aluminium	8.5	Wheel, engine block
Copper	1.5	Wiring, electric components
Polymers (plastics) and polymer matrix composites	9	Interior components, electric components, fuel line components, under-the hood components
Elastomers	4	Trims, tires, gaskets
Glass	3	Glazing
Other	10	Fluids, carpes, lubricants

In the last 20 years, many manufacturing processes and new materials have been developed to decrease the weight of the body panels, body structure, and suspension components. Existing component weight reduction opportunity in the body and chassis components is about 60% of a vehicle's weight, engine and transmission in the Power-train weight is around 25 to 30% of the vehicles weight (Mallick, 2010a).

An integrated Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Technique of Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) are the multiple-criteria decision making problem (MCDM) methods that can be applied in the material selection decision making process of automotive fender. The AHP method is used to determine the weight of the selection criteria, while TOPSIS method is used to

perform the ranking task and it proposes the best solution among the candidate materials (Mansor et al., 2014b). This was proven very successfully in many decision making processes especially involving multiple criteria and alternatives with attributes need to be analyzed simultaneously to get the best solution. This method can also apply in other areas that are related to engineering, design and manufacturing system (Bahraminasabet et al., 2014).

The AHP which developed by Saaty in the 1970s (1980) has proven its efficiency in decision making process and has been widely used in manufacturing and production systems, business planning, economic planning, conflict resolution, logistics and capital budgeting (Dweiri& Al-Oqla, 2006). The AHP hierarch model enables a decision maker to break a complex problem into smaller sub-problems. Objectives, criteria and sub-criteria are structured from highest level to lowest level of the model which can help the decision maker to understand more about the problem. Pair-wise comparison between sub-criteria or alternatives at the same level with respect to the objectives or criterion of the higher level can reduce the inconsistencies that possible made by the decision maker. The AHP also helps the decision makers to evaluate the relative importance of the multiple criteria. The relative weightage of each criterion tells the decision makers which criterion is the most important and selects the highest weighted criteria as the best alternatives. (Mansor et al., 2014b).

Furthermore, Hwang and Yoon (1981) has proposed TOPSIS method for solving MCDM problem with several alternatives. This method stated that an alternative which has the shortest distance from the positive ideal solution (PIS) and the longest distance from the negative ideal solution (NIS) is the most appropriate alternative. The alternative has the maximum similarity with PIS and minimum similarity with NIS. PIS maximizes the benefit criteria and minimizes cost criteria, whereas NIS minimizes the benefit criteria and maximizes the cost criteria. The TOPSIS method is very useful in material selection decision making process because it is a quick and easy decision where its ranking output gives a better understanding of similarities and differences among alternatives (Jahan et al., 2010). TOPSIS has

been applied in many multi criteria decision making processes in different applications such as design selection and process selection.

In this project, integration of AHP and TOPSIS are used in the material selection process of automotive fender under certain criteria and a wide range of alternatives. By combining both methods, a more efficient way in analyzing the decision structure as well as determining the criteria weight can be achieved especially in dealing with practical and theoretical problem.

1.2 Problem Statement

The materials for the construction of automotive vehicles are rapidly changed from cast iron and low carbon steel towards lighter materials such as polymer matrix composites, magnesium alloys and aluminium alloys due to greater emphasis on the weight reduction vehicles. Most of these lighter materials are used in smaller quantities in automotive industry in different applications. However, these materials will be used in larger quantities in future vehicles in order to produce lightweight vehicles to fulfil fuel economy improvement and reduce environmental pollution. Material selection is not only affected by weight saving potential, other factors also need to be considered such as durability, safety, joining, processing, recycling and cost (Mallick, 2010a). These are the issues need to be considered in material selection of automotive fender as compared to current used steel fender. Steel fender's higher density contributes to high vehicle weight, fuel consumption, and associated energy and greenhouse gas (GHG) footprints. An effective way to choose the right lightweight material is to tailor with the suitable materials for the automotive fender as well as to select the best method for the material selection. Material selection for automotive fender is a multiple-criteria decision making problem, priority in selecting criteria and candidates for material selection of automotive fender, are therefore very important. The methodology used in material selection must be able to solve the multiple-criteria decision making problem.

1.3 Objectives

Main objective of the project was to determine the best material for automotive fender, to specify the objective was as follow:

- To identify important criteria in material selection of automotive fender.
- To integrate AHP- TOPSIS method in material selection of automotive fender

1.4 Scopes of Research

The material selection of automotive fender is based on existing design and manufacturing process of the automotive fender. The material of automotive fender focuses on lighter materials due to new trend in producing light weight vehicles in automotive industry. The suitable light weight candidate materials will be selected based on their performance, cost, service condition and weight requirements in order to achieve total weight reduction of the vehicle to produce lighter automotive fender. AHP is a powerful and flexible decision making process as it helps people set priorities to make the best decision when the both qualitative and quantitative aspects of a decision need to be considered. Therefore, this project will focus on the application of integrated AHP and TOPSIS methods in the material selection of automotive fender.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.0 Overview

This chapter studies about literature review integration of AHP-TOPSIS method in material selection of automotive fender design. Secondary sources such as books, journals and online researches are used to get related information regarding the project. This chapter will provide a understanding about existing materials used in automotive fender and their related material properties, as well as the method that is most appropriate for material selection of automotive fender.

2.1 Introduction of Automotive Fender

Fender is a part of exterior body panels of vehicles that located at front and rear sides of the vehicles body to surround vehicles wheels. Fender is also known as wing or mudguard. Fender is the panels on the side of the vehicle, right by the door and over and around the front and rear wheels. Oftentimes they take the shape of the wheels. Some fenders are integrated into the anatomy of the automobile but some are stand alone panels which may be bought in dealer shops and installed easily.

Fenders are also designed to avoid wind resistance and make the vehicle more aerodynamic. Its primary purpose is to prevent sand, mud, rocks, liquids, and other road spray from being thrown into the air by the rotating tire. It also prevents other road debris from splashing on and scratching the coat of the vehicle. Fenders are typically rigid and can be damaged by contact with the road surface. Instead,

flexible mud flaps are used close to the ground where contact may be possible as well as to keep off mud, pebbles,

Fenders of motor vehicles usually are one-piece structures made of sheet metal that is stamped to shape. In modern motor cars the fenders are of complex shape, thus requiring expensive, complicated, and relatively huge dies for the manufacture thereof. Vehicle fenders are subject to hard service, especially in the winter season when they are splashed with mud and ice, and frequently with salt that may be used on city streets for melting the snow thereon. Consequently the fenders usually deteriorate before the other parts of vehicle bodies. Furthermore, the fenders of vehicles are especially vulnerable to denting such as occurs from collision with obstructions or other vehicles while the vehicles are being driven or parked. Figure 2.1 shows an automotive fender design.



Figure 2.1: Automotive fender design

2.2 Existing Material Used For Automotive Fender

Fenders are usually made from sheet metal steel as metal provide best protection during a collision event. Other famous materials used for fenders are plastics, fibre glass, and carbon fibre. These non-ferrous materials are rust-resistance, light weight and provide better car design which has advantages over steel. Besides that, they can sustain damage more readily and easy repairs for small damage.