

SUPERVISOR DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in term of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure & Material).”

Signature :

Supervisor :DR. SITI HAJAR BINTI SHEIKH MD.FADZULLAH

Date :

**THE EFFECT OF COUPLING AGENT ON THE MECHANICAL
PROPERTIES OF PLA-BASED POLYMER COMPOSITES**

NUR AMARINA BINTI MAHMOOD

**This report is written as a partial fulfilment of terms in achieving the award for
Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure & Material)(Hons.)**

**Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka**

JUNE 2015

DECLARATION

“I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for summaries
quotation which have been duly acknowledged”

Signature :

Author : NUR AMARINA BINTI MAHMOOD

Date :

Thank you a lot to all of my family especially to my father and my mother for helping and support to finish this thesis.

Mahmood Bin Ibrahim

Laila Dahalan

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Praise to Allah the Almighty, with His will I had finally completed preparing for this FYP thesis. I would like to take this opportunities to thank to my supervisor Dr Siti Hajar Binti Sheikh Md Fadzullah for her never ending support, guidance, and advices during completion of this thesis.

My appreciation also goes to my parents for their support towards me to successfully done this project in order to graduate from UTeM. Last but not least, my appreciation goes to those who had involve directly or indirectly in helping me to complete this thesis.

ABSTRACT

This research describes biodegradable polymers using composites PLA as a matrix and reinforced with pineapple leaf fibres (PALF) to produce environmentally friendly “green composites”. To-date, there has been numerous published papers, highlight the potential composite of such materials to replace the synthetic composites. However, these type of biocomposites is well-known to be brittle in nature as well as possess low tensile strength and impact properties in comparison to that of the synthetic polymer composites. Hence, this research aims to investigate the effect of coupling agent in promoting improved bond between the fibre reinforcement and the polymer matrix, which enhanced the mechanical properties of biocomposites. In this research, pineapple leaf are considered natural fibre reinforcement and polylactid acid (PLA) as a biodegradable polymer. Mechanical properties have been conducted and analysed by characterised under tensile, flexural and impact loading according to the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). Findings show that PALF reinforced PLA composites possessed superior properties in comparison to that of the plain PLA. In addition, the effect of using alkaline pre treatment improved the bonding forms at the fibre/matrix interface.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini memfokuskan kepada bahan polimer terbiodegrasi menggunakan bahan PLA sebagai satu matrik dan diperkukuhkan dengan gentian daun nenas untuk menghasilkan bahan biokomposit yang bersifat mesra alam. Sehingga kini, telah banyak kajian yang telah dijalankan yang menekankan bahawa komposit ini boleh menggantikan polimer sintetik. Walaubagaimanapun, biokomposit ini terkenal mempunyai sifat rapuh dan serta mempunyai tegangan yang rendah dan hentaman berbanding dengan sintetik polimer. Maka, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk menyiasat kesan agent pengupelan dalam mempromosikan ikatan yang lebih baik antara matrik polimer dan gentian nenas yang meningkatkan ciri-ciri mekanikal komposit. Dalam penyelidikan ini, PLA digunakan sebagai matrik dan daun nenas sebagai gentian untuk menghasilkan polimer terbiodegrasi. Sifat mekanik telah dijalankan dan dianalisa di bawah tegangan,, lenturan dan hentaman mengikut *American Society Testing Materials (ASTM)*. Penemuan ini menunjukkan bahawa bahan komposit PLA diperkuat gentian nenas mempunyai ciri-ciri yang hebat berbanding dengan bahan polimer PLA yang tidak diperkuat pada antara muka di antara bahan matrik dan gentian nenas. Hal ini turut dipengaruhi oleh peningkatan yang ditingkatkan pada antara muka dia antara bahan matrik dan gentian dengan proses pra-rawatan alkali dengan menggunakan natrium hidroksida.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
 CHAPTER I	 INTRODUCTION	 1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Objectives	2
	1.3 Scope of Research	3
	1.4 Problem Statement	3
	1.5 Planning And Execution	4
 CHAPTER II	 LITERATURE REVIEW	 6
	2.1 Introduction to Composite Material	7
	2.2 Polymer Matrix Composites	8
	2.3 Biocomposites	10
	2.3.1 Available bio-composites and their benefits	11

2.3.2	Matrix polymer matrix	12
2.3.3	Natural fibre reinforcement	13
2.4	Mechanical Properties of biocomposites	14
2.4.1	Tensile Properties of PALF reinforced PLA composites	15
2.4.2	Flexural Properties of PALF reinforced PLA composites	17
2.4.3	Impact properties	18
2.5	Chemical Treatment	20
2.5.1	Alkaline Treatment	20
2.5.2	Silane treatment	21
2.6	Summary	21
CHAPTER III	METHODOLOGY	23
3.1	Introduction	23
3.2	Raw materials	25
3.2.1	Poly lactid acid (PLA)	25
3.2.2	Pineapple leaf	26
3.3	Fabrication process	28
3.3.1	Preparation of PLAF	29
3.3.2	PALF-PLA Composite Preparation	30
3.3.3	Testing Sample Preparation	33
3.4	Testing Method	34
3.4.1	Tensile testing	34
3.4.2	Flexural testing	36
3.4.3	Impact Testing	38
3.4.4	Morphology studies	40

CHAPTER IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Tensile Properties	42
4.2.1	Failure mode on tensile sample	47
4.3	Flexural Properties	49
4.4	Impact Properties	52
4.4.1	Failure mode on impact testing	55
4.4	Morphological Study	56
CHAPTER V	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	60
5.1	Conclusion	60
5.2	Recommendation	61
	REFERENCE	62

LIST OF TABLES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Gantt chart PSM I.	4
1.2	Gantt chart PSM II.	5
2.1	Advantages and disadvantages of composites.	8
2.2	Advantage and disadvantage of thermosets and thermoplastic	9
2.3	Properties of PLA and PLAF	15
2.4	Average value of flexural strength and modulus of elastic	21
3.1	PLA Ingeo Biopolymer 6100D Technical Data Sheet	26
4.1	The results of tensile testing properties obtained from the tensile test on the PLA sample.	44
4.2	The results of tensile properties from tensile test on the composites samples.	44
4.3	Comparison data between experimental value, theoretical and references.	45
4.4	Observation the failure mode of Plain PLA.	48
4.5	Observation the failure mode of composites.	49
4.6	The results of flexural properties obtained from flexural test on pure PLA samples.	50
4.7	The results of flexural testing obtained on composites samples.	50
4.8	Comparison data with experimental value and references.	50
4.9	The results of impact testing obtained on pure PLA	53

NO	TITLE	PAGE
4.10	The results of tensile testing obtained on composites samples.	53
4.11	Comparison data between experimental value and literature review.	53
4.12	Observation the failure mode of Pure PLA.	55
4.13	Observation the failure mode of composites.	56
5.1	Summary of main findings from the experimental work.	61

LIST OF FIGURES

NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Development of Biocomposites from Renewable Resource	10
2.2	Development process and value chain of biocomposites industry in Malaysia	12
2.3	Polylactic acid (PLA) polymerization	12
2.4	Categories of Natural Fibres	14
2.5	Tensile properties of PLAF/PLA composites showing tensile strength and tensile modulus	16
2.6	Elongation at break of PALF/PLA composites	16
2.7	Flexural properties of 40 wt% surface-treated PALF fibbers compared to untreated PALF fibres reinforced composites	17
2.8	Stress–strain curves: (a) neat PLA (b) PLA/PALF (60/40), (c) PLA/PALFNA(60 wt%/40 wt%) , (d) PLA/PALFSI (60 wt%/40 wt%) and (e) PLA/PALFNASI	18
2.9	Notched Izod impact strength of 40 wt% surface-treated PALF fibres compared to untreated PALF fibres reinforced composites	19
2.10	Dependence of impact strength on the content for PLA/PALF composites: (i) PLA/PALF, (ii) PLA/PALFNA (iii) PLA/PALFSI and (iv) PLA/PALFNASI.	19

3.1	Flow chart of the project research	24
3.2	PLA pellet	25
3.3	Pineapple leaf as natural fibre	27
3.4	Fabrication process of the PALF reinforced PLA composites	28
3.5	PLAF immersed in 5% concentration of NaOH	29
3.6	PLAF was dried	30
3.7	Graph of temperature vs Time for hot press machine during fabrication process.	31
3.8	Mould dimension 180mm x 180mm	31
3.9	Hot Isostatic Press Machine	32
3.10	Composite Plate	32
3.11	Shearing machine to cut sample into size	33
3.12	Detail dimension on tensile specimen	34
3.13	Universal Testing Machine for Tensile and Flexural Testing	35
3.14	(a) Pure PLA test that have been failed (b) Composites that have been failed	36
3.15	Allowable Range of Loading Nose and Support Radii in ASTM D790	37
3.16	Charpy impact tester	40
3.17	Dimension of the charpy impact test	40
3.18	Mini sputter Coater machine	40
3.19	The samples that have been coated	41
3.20	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	41
4.1	Stress-strain Curves for plain PLA	43
4.2	Stress-strain Curves for PALF reinforced PLA composite	43

4.3	Graph of tensile strength versus type of samples	45
4.4	Graph of Young's modulus versus type of samples	46
4.5	Graph of comparison on the tensile strength for composites treated with NaOH, treated with silane coupling agent and theoretical	46
4.6	Graph of comparison on Young's Modulus for composites treated with NaOH and theoretical.	47
4.7	Graph of Flexural strength versus type of samples	51
4.8	Graph of flexural modulus versus type of samples	51
4.9	Graph of comparison on the flexural strength for composites treated with NaOH and treated with silane coupling agent	51
4.10	Graph of comparison on the flexural modulus for composites treated with NaOH and treated with silane coupling agent	52
4.11	Graph of energy absorbed versus type of sample	54
4.12	Graph of comparison on the energy absorbed for composites between treated with NaOH and treated with silane coupling agent	54
4.13	The failure mode of the plain PLA under tensile loading	57
4.14	The failure mode of the composites under tensile loading	58
4.15	The failure mode of the composites under impact loading	58
4.16	Micrograph of PALF reinforced PLA composite (30wt% PALF)	59

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

The growing environmental awareness, the concern for environmental sustainability and the growing waste problem is increased year by year. The production of fuel-derived plastics is often harmful to the environment [1]. For example automotive applications based on natural fibres with polypropylene as matrix material are very common today [2]. Limited studies have been carried out on composites with matrices, which originate from renewable raw materials. There are many different polymers of renewable material. For example, polylactic acid, cellulose esters, poly hydroxyl butyrates, starch and lignin based plastics, are some of the renewable polymer materials or biopolymer [3].

The use of natural plant fibres as a reinforcement in fibre-reinforced plastics (FRP) to replace synthetic fibres such as glass is receiving attention, because of advantages such as low density, high specific strength and renewability. The development of biodegradable composite materials using natural fibres such as flax, bamboo, pineapple, jute, and kenaf as a reinforcement for biodegradable plastics have examined molding conditions, mechanical properties, and interfacial bonding.

However, the processes involved in using natural plant fibres as a reinforcement are different from those using industrial products such as glass and carbon fibres. The shape, size, and strength of the natural plant fibres may vary widely depending on cultivation environment, region of origin, and other characteristics. Besides, these features of the natural fibres are likely to influence the mechanical properties of the natural fibre-reinforced plastics [4].

Coupling agents are used to provide a stable bond between two otherwise non-bonding and incompatible surfaces. In reinforced and filled plastics, the improved bond between the fibrous or particulate inorganic component and the organic matrix polymer results in greater composite strength [5]. Coupling agent are commonly employed to treat fibre surfaces in order to improve interfacial adhesion within composites which can also in some cases improve their initial mechanical properties. Coupling agent investigated include, but are not limited to; conventional silanes, various phosphonic acids, poly-(HEMA), zirconate and titanate coupling agents [6].

1.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this research are listed as below:

- i. To develop PLA-based polymer composites using pineapple leaf fibre as reinforcement.
- ii. To study the effect of using chemical coupling agent on the bonding mechanism present.
- iii. To access the effect of using chemical coupling agent on the tensile and impact properties of the degradable polymer composites.

1.3 SCOPE OF RESEACRH

The scopes of this research are listed as below:

- i. selection of materials and coupling agent for the composites.
- ii. fabrication of biodegradable polymer composites test panels.
- iii. mechanical testing.
- iv. physical testing.
- v. surface morphology.

1.4 PROBLEM STATEMENT

The developing environmental awareness and new rules and regulations are forcing the industries to seek more ecologically friendly materials for their products [3]. There are many different polymers are renewable materials for example polylactic acid, starch, cellulose esters and lignin based plastics. The problems with these polymers have been poor commercial availability, poor processability, low toughness, high price and low moisture stability.

Biodegradable polymers such as PLA, cellulose esters and starch can be reinforced with these bio-based fibres such as kenaf, flax, bamboo and sisal to produce environmentally friendly “green compo-sites” [7]. However, this type of biocomposites is well-known to be brittle in nature as well as possess low tensile strength and impact properties in comparison to that of the synthetic polymer composites [8]. Hence, this research aims to investigate the effect of coupling agent in promoting improved bond between the fibre reinforcement and the polymer matrix, which is expected to enhance the mechanical properties of biocomposites.

1.5 PLANNING AND EXECUTION

Table 1.1: Gantt chart PSM I

Week Task	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Selection of PSM title	■	■												
Literature Review		■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■	■
Design of Experiment				■	■	■								
Draft of PSM I Poster						■	■	■						
Submission of poster								■						
Characterisation of raw materials									■	■	■	■		
Preliminary data analysis											■	■		
PSM I report writing											■	■		
Submission of PSM I report												■		
PSM I seminar													■	■

The Gantt chart shows project the planning for Final Year Project (PSM I) which commences in September 2014. The research activities include selection of research title and approval by the respective lecturer, literature review that is continuous throughout the studies, design of experiment, as well as establishing the methodology. This is followed by poster preparation and submission. After that fabrication process. Once required results and analysis, report PSM I will be submitted and have PSM I seminar.

Table 1.2: Gantt chart PSM II

Week \ Task	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Material Review	■	■	■											
Design Test Model			■	■										
Experimental Work				■	■									
Fabrication					■	■	■	■						
Mechanical Test								■	■	■				
Analysis										■	■			
Result & Discussion											■	■	■	
Submission of PSM II report													■	
PSM II seminar														■

CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION TO COMPOSITE MATERIAL

A composite material is made by combining two or more materials. The two materials work together to give the composite unique properties. However, within the composite it can easily tell the different materials apart as they do not dissolve or blend into each other [9]. Composites have become an integral part of our day-to-day life and can be discovered all over the place, for example rubber tire, spacecraft, asphalt, etc. Composites have been around for a long time with the classic example of bricks made from straw and mud. Another example is the Mongol bow which was constructed out of animal tendons, wood, and silk bonded together with an adhesive [10].

Nature also has its own composites in the form of wood, teeth, bones, muscle tissue, etc. In general the composite materials consist of a matrix reinforced with fibres. The biggest advantage of modern composite materials is that they are light as well as strong. By choosing an appropriate combination of matrix and reinforcement material, a new material can be made that exactly meets the requirements of a particular application. Composites also provide design flexibility because many of them can be moulded into complex shapes. The downside is often the cost. Although the resulting product is more efficient, the raw materials are often expensive [11].

High performance fibre reinforced composite materials are comprised of high strength and modulus fibres, embedded in, or bonded to, a matrix, with a distinct interface between them. In a composite, the fibre, as well as the matrix, retain their physical and chemical identities, but still provide a combination of properties that cannot be achieved with either of the constituents alone. In general the fibres play the role of load bearer. The matrix, while keeping the fibres in the desired location and orientation, act as a load transfer agent and protects the fibres from external conditions such as chemicals, heat and moisture [12].

Composites can be classified based on the type of fibres or matrix used. Based on the type of fibres, composites can be further classified as continuous fibre reinforced composite and short fibre reinforced composites. Based on the type of matrix, composites can be broadly classified into three categories, there are metal matrix composites, ceramic matrix composites and polymer matrix composites [11].

Table 2.1: Advantages and disadvantages of composites [11].

Advantages	Disadvantages
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weight reduction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost of raw materials and fabrication
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High strength or stiffness to weight ratio 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transverse properties may be weak
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tailorable properties: can tailor strength or stiffness to be in the load direction 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matrix weakness, low toughness
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Redundant load paths (fibre to fibre) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Matrix subject to environmental degradation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Longer life (no corrosion), better fatigue life 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Difficult to attach
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower manufacturing costs 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-destructive testing tedious

2.2 POLYMER MATRIX COMPOSITES

Polymer matrix composites are widely used and sub-divided into two classes based on the type of matrix polymer are thermoplastic or thermoset. Thermoplastics consists of linear or branched chain molecules having strong intramolecular bonds but weak intermolecular bonds. They can be reshaped by application of heat and pressure and are either semicrystalline or amorphous in structure. Examples include polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene, nylons, polycarbonate, polyacetals, polyamide-imides, polyether ether ketone, polysulfone, polyphenylene sulfide, polyether imide, and so on. [8].

Thermoset have cross-linked or network structures with covalent bonds with all molecules. They do not soften but decompose on heating. Once solidified by cross-linking process they cannot be reshaped. Common examples are epoxies, polyesters, phenolics, ureas, melamine, silicone, and polyimides [14].

The difference between thermoplastics and thermosets plastics is that thermoplastics become soft, remoldable and weldable when heat is added. Thermosets plastics however cannot be welded or remolded when heated, simply burning instead. On the other hand, once a thermosets is cured it tends to be stronger than a thermoplastic [14].

Table 2.2: Advantages and disadvantage of thermosets and thermoplastics [14].

Property	Thermosets	Thermoplastics
Formulations	Complex	Simple
Melt viscosity	very low	High
Fiber Impregnation	Easy	Difficult
Prepeg tac	good	None
Prepag drape	good	none to fair
Prepag stability	good	Excellent
Processing cycle	Long	short to long
Processing temperature	low to moderate	High
Processing pressure	low to moderate	High
Fabrication cost	high	Low
Mechanical properties 54 to 93 °C.hot/wer	fair to good	fair to good
Environmental durability	good	Unknown
Solvent resistance	excellent	poor to good
Damage tolerance	poor to excellent	fair to good
Database	very large	Small