	S.DEVAN
	BACHELOR
	OF MECHAN
	VICAL ENGIN
,	NEERING (ST
	RUCTURE &
	& MATERIAL
) (HONS.)
	2015 L
	JTeM

SPRINGBACK DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT OF COMPOSITE USING 3D SCANNER AFTER CURING PROCESS

DEVAN A/L SANMUGAM

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

SUPERVISOR DECLARATION

"I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of degree in Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure and Material) (Hons.)"

Signature	:
Supervisor	: PROF. MADYA AHMAD RIVAI
Date	:



SPRINGBACK DEFORMATION MEASUREMENT OF COMPOSITE USING 3D SCANNER AFTER CURING PROCESS

DEVAN A/L SANMUGAM

This thesis is submitted in partial fulfillment for the award of Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering (Structure and Material) (Hons.)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

> > **JUNE 2015**

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

DECLARATION

"I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for summaries and quotations which have been duly acknowledged."

Signature	:
Author	: DEVAN A/L SANMUGAM
Date	:

Special thanks to my family members, supervisor, panels and friends for helping me throughout the project towards achieving my goals

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to give my deepest appreciation to my final year project supervisor, Prof. Madya Ahmad Rivai for guiding me throughout the project. Secondly, I would like to thank master student Adam and lab technician En. Kamaruddin for assisting in order to achieve my objectives. In addition to that, I also would like to express my sincere appreciation to Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for offering this Final Year Project (FYP) as a subject. The knowledge and experience gained is extraordinary and will be very useful for my future. Above all, I wish to thank my parents and family for their unconditional love and support throughout my degree course. Last but not least, I wish to thank God for giving me excellent health and chances in completing my project as well as my degree course.

ABSTRACT

Springback deformation is a natural occurring phenomenon which exists during the cure of laminate composite. Eliminating springback deformation is impossible but controlling the amount of springback helps decrease scarp rates in the industries. Past researches have done experiments to gain knowledge regarding springback deformation. The aim of this project is to measure springback deformation on certain specimens and study how it is affected by thickness, size, and angle of the specimen. 3D scanner is the device used in this project to measure springback deformation. The advantage of using 3D scanner over other devices like coordinate measuring machine (CMM), and laser tracker is that it uses a noncontact method. Specimens to be measured are scanned first before the image file is converted into computer aided drawing (CAD) file where the measurement process takes place. For this project, flat specimens of dimensions 300×300 mm², 400×400 mm², and 500×500 mm² were measured. Each specimen contains different plies of 4, 8 and 16 respectively. Other than that, L-shaped specimens were also measured to gain a better knowledge of springback deformation on the shape. The L-shaped specimens came at three different angles which are 30°, 45°, and 90°. The results obtained were then compared with the previous research. Fortunately, an agreement is met when the results obtained are similar to the previous research. Therefore, it is concluded that thicker specimen leads to less springback deformation while bigger specimen tends to experience higher springback deformation. Lastly, as the angle of the L-shaped specimen increases, the specimen tends to experience greater spring-in deformation which is usually negative in value.

ABSTRAK

Perubahan bentuk kerana "springback" merupakan satu fenomena yang berlaku secara semulajadi sewaktu proses pembuatan komposit lamina. Perubahan bentuk ini adalah mustahil untuk dielakkan secara keseluruhan tetapi kawalan terhadap perubahan tersebut mampu mungurangkan kadar pembaziran dalam industri. Pelbagai kajian telah dilakukan dalam usaha untuk mengetahui dan mempelajari ciriciri "springback". Tujuan projek ini dilaksanakan adalah untuk mengukur jumlah perubahan bentuk yang dialami oleh specimen tertentu dan juga mengetahui bagaimana ketebalan, saiz dan sudut mempengaruhi perubahan tersebut. Pengimbas 3D merupakan alat yang digunakan dalam projek ini untuk mengukur perubahan bentuk yang dialami. Kelebihan menggunakan pengimbas 3D daripada peranti lain, seperti "coordinate measuring machine", dan "laser tracker" adalah kebolehannya untuk mengukur tanpa menyentuh spesimen. Proses pengimbasan dilakukan terlebih dahulu sebelum fail imej ditukarkan kepada imej lukisan berbantu computer di mana proses pengukuran dilakukan. Spesimen yang digunakan untuk projek ini merangkumi spesimen rata berdimensi 300×300 mm², 400×400 mm², and 500×500 mm². Setiap spesimen tersebut mengandungi jumlah lapisan yang berbeza iaitu 4, 8 dan 16 lapisan. Selain itu, spesimen berbentuk L juga diukur untuk projek ini. Spesimen berbentuk L ini mempunyai sudut yang berbeza iaitu 30°, 45°, dan 90°. Hasil kajian ini dibandingkan dengan kajian lepas. Hasil bandingan tersebut membuktikan keserasian eksperimen dengan kajian lepas. Oleh itu, kesimpulan boleh dibuat bahawa spesimen yang tebal akan mengalami perubahan bentuk yang kurang manakala specimen besar akan mengalami perubahan bentuk yang lebih besar. Akhir sekali, sekiranya sudut bagi eksperimen berbentuk L adalah besar, maka perubahan bentuk yang dialami juga besar.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TOPI	С	P	'AGES
	DECL	ARATION	٦	ii
	DEDI	CATION		iii
	ACKN	NOWLEDO	GEMENT	iv
	ABST	RACT		v
	ABST	RAK		vi
	TABL	E OF CON	VTENT	vii
	LIST	OF TABLI	ES	X
	LIST	OF FIGUR	RES	xii
	LIST	OF ABBRI	EVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST	OF APPEN	NDICES	xvii
CHAPTER 1	INTR	ODUCTIO	N	1
	1.1	Backgro	ound	1
	1.2	Problen	n Statement	2
	1.3	Objectiv	ve	3
	1.4	Scope		3
CHAPTER 2	LITE	RATURE H	REVIEW	4
	2.1	Introduo	ction	4
	2.2	Phenom	nenon Of Springback Deformation	4
		2.2.1	Causes Of Springback Deformation	ı 5
		2.2.2	Factors Influencing Springback	
			Deformation	10

CHAPTER	TOPIC		PAG	ES
	2.3	Method	s Of Measuring Springback Deformation	16
		2.3.1	Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM	I)16
		2.3.2	Laser Tracker	19
		2.3.3	3D Scanner	21
	2.4	Predicti	on Of Springback Deformation	23
CHAPTER 3	METHO	DOLOG	GY	27
	3.1	Introdu	ction	27
	3.2	Flow C	hart Of The Project	27
	3.3	Specim	en Specifications	30
		3.3.1	Specimen Manufacturing Process	31
		3.3.2	Specimen Parameters	34
	3.4	Scannir	ng Process	37
		3.4.1	Scanning Devices And Materials Used	37
		3.4.2	Scanning Procedures	38
	3.5	Measur	ing Process	44
		3.5.1	Springback Measurement For Flat	
			Specimens	44
		3.5.2	Springback Measurement For L-Shape	d
			Specimens	46
CHAPTER 4	RESUL	IS AND	ANALYSIS	50
	4.1	Introdu	ction	50
	4.2	Springb	back Deformation Data For Flat Specimen	s 50
	4.3	Springb	ack Deformation Data For L-Shaped	
		Specim	ens	60
CHAPTER 5	DISCUS	SION		67
	5.1	Introdu	ction	67
	5.2	Effect (Of Thickness	67
	5.3	Effect (Of Length	69
	5.4	Effect (Of Angle On Spring-In Deformation	71

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

CHAPTER	TOPI	С	PAGES
CHAPTER 6	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	73
	6.1	Conclusion	73
	6.2	Recommendation	74
	REFE	RENCES	75
	APPE	NDICES	79

ix

LIST OF TABLES

NO TABLE

2.1	Main Components Of CMM And Respective Functions	17
2.2	Main Components Of Laser Tracker And Respective Functions	20
2.3	Main Components Of 3D Scanner And Respective Functions	22
3.1	Physical Properties Of IMA/M21E Carbon Fiber Prepreg	30
3.2	Mechanical Properties Of IMA/M12E Carbon Fiber Prepreg	31
3.3	Specimen Parameters And Quantity For Flat Specimens	34
3.4	Specimen Parameters And Quantity For L-Shaped Specimens With T	wo
	Different Orientations Of Plies	34
3.5	Average Deviation Measurement With Respect To Point (0,0)	46
4.1	Average Measurement Of 300×300 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	51
4.2	Average Deviation Data Of 300×300 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	51
4.3	Average Measurement Of 300×300 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	51
4.4	Average Deviation Data Of 300×300 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	51
4.5	Average Measurement Of 300×300 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	52
4.6	Average Deviation Data Of 300×300 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	52
4.7	Average Measurement Of 400×400 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	54
4.8	Average Deviation Data Of 400×400 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	54
4.9	Average Measurement Of 400×400 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	55
4.10	Average Deviation Data Of 400×400 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	55
4.11	Average Measurement Of 400×400 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	55
4.12	Average Deviation Data Of 400×400 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	55
4.13	Average Measurement Of 500×500 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	57
4.14	Average Deviation Data Of 500×500 mm ² (4 Plies) Flat Specimen	57

4.15	Average Measurement Of 500×500 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	57
4.16	Average Deviation Data Of 500×500 mm ² (8 Plies) Flat Specimen	58
4.17	Average Measurement Of 500×500 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	58
4.18	Average Deviation Data Of 500×500 mm ² (16 Plies) Flat Specimen	58
4.19	Average Warpage Value Experienced By The 30° L-Shaped Specimen	l
	From Its Side View	61
4.20	Average Warpage Experienced By The 30° L-Shaped Specimen From	Its
	Top View	61
4.21	Average Warpage Value Experienced By The 45° L-Shaped Specimen	L
	From Its Side View	63
4.22	Average Warpage Experienced By The 45° L-Shaped Specimen From	Its
	Top View	63
4.23	Average Warpage Value Experienced By The 90° L-Shaped Specimen	L
	From Its Side View	64
4.24	Average Warpage Experienced By The 90° L-Shaped Specimen From	Its
	Top View	65

LIST OF FIGURES

NO FIGURE

2.1	The Viscosity Of Unreinforced EH25 Resin Against Time With	
	Temperature (Oliver, 2006)	6
2.2	Thermal Expansion Curve For Pure Molded Phenolic Resin (Turner,	
	1946)	8
2.3	Thermal Expansion Curve For Phenolic Resin And Glass Fiber Mixtur	re
	(Turner, 1946)	8
2.4	Stress Gradient Between The Thickness Of The Composite (G. Fernlu	nd,
	Et Al., 2003)	9
2.5	Bidirectional And Unidirectional Ply (Advance Composite Materials,	
	N.D.)	11
2.6	Quasi-Isotropic Ply Orientation(Advance Composite Materials, N.D.)	11
2.7 L-Shaped Composite And C-Shaped Composite With 90°		e
	(G. Fernlund, Et Al., 2002)	12
2.8	L-Shaped Composite Spring-In After Curing (G. Fernlund, Et Al., 200)2)
		13
2.9	C-Shaped Composite Spring-In After Curing (G. Fernlund, Et Al., 200)2)
		13
2.10	Comparison Between One-Hold Cycle And Two-Hold Cycle (Albert &	&
	Fernlund, 2002)	14
2.11	Comparison Of The Effect Of Type Of Surface Condition On The	
	Measured Spring-In (Albert & Fernlund, 2002)	15
2.12	Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM)	16
2.13	Faro Laser Tracker (Source: Faro Laser Tracker User Manual, 2007)	19
2.1	Laser Tracker Basic Components (Lau & Hocken, 1987)	20

2.15	3D Scanner	21
2.16	(A) Design Compensation, (B) Assembly Compensation, (C) Mold	
	Geometry Compensation (G. Fernlund, Et Al., 2003)	26
3.1	Flow Chart Of The Project	28
3.2	Cure Cycle For The Manufacturing Of The Specimens	33
3.3	300×300 mm ² Flat Specimen	35
3.4	500×500 mm ² Flat Specimen	35
3.5	30° L-Shaped Specimen	36
3.6	45° L-Shaped Specimen	36
3.7	90° L-Shaped Specimen	36
3.8	3D Scanner	37
3.9	Material Used, (A) Spray Developer And (B) Self-Adhesive	
	Reinforcement Rings	38
3.10	The Specimen After The Adhesive Ring And Developer Spray Are	
	Applied	38
3.11	(A) Laser Pointers At The Initial Distance And (B) The Merging Of T	`wo
	Laser Points At The Optimum Distance (Right)	39
3.12	(A) Brightness Indication At The Lowest, (B) At Optimum And (C) A	١t
	The Highest (Right)	40
3.13	(A) Example Of Part Scanned And (B) Example Of Several Parts	
	Scanned For Forming The Section Of The Specimen	41
3.14	(A) Merging Process By Selecting Three Identical Points, (B) When H	30th
	Parts Are Merged, And (C) Alignment Process Is Carried Out	42
3.15	(A) Scanned Parts Form Sections Of The Specimen And (B) Full	
	Specimen Image For Flat Specimens	43
3.16	(A) Scanned Parts Form Sections Of The Specimen And (B) Full	
	Specimen Image For L-Shaped Specimens	43
3.17	Flat Specimen Is Placed On Top Of The Recreated Tool With Clear V	'iew
	Of Springback Deformation	44
3.18	Vertical Line Connecting The Surface Of The Tool And The Inner	
	Surface Of The Deformed Specimen	45

3.19	Dimension Of The Line Which Represents The Amount Of Springback	
	Deformation	45
3.20	Tool Model For 30° L-Shaped Specimen	47
3.21	Tool Model For 45° L-Shaped Specimen	47
3.22	Tool Model For 90° L-Shaped Specimen	48
3.23	Example Of Side View Measurement Of 45° L-Shaped Specimen	48
3.24	Example Of Top View Of The 45° Specimen To Obtain The Side	
	Measurements	49
4.1	Graph Of 300×300 mm ² Flat Specimens With (A) 4 Plies (B) 8 Plie	s And
	(C) 16 Plies	53
4.2	Graph Of 400×400 mm ² Flat Specimen With (A) 4 Plies (B) 8 Plies	And
	(C) 16 Plies	56
4.3	Graph Of 500×500 mm ² Flat Specimens With (A) 4 Plies (B) 8 Plie	2S
	And (C) 16 Plies	59
4.4	Numbering Of Different Points From The Side View	60
4.5	Different Points Along The Longitudinal Axis	61
4.6	Tabulation Of Average Warpage Experienced By 30° L-Shaped	
	Specimen At Different Point For Side View And Top View	62
4.7	Tabulation Of Average Warpage Experienced By 45° L-Shaped	
	Specimen At Different Point For Side View And Top View	64
4.8	Tabulation Of Average Warpage Experienced By 90° L-Shaped	
	Specimen At Different Point For Side View And Top View	66
5.1	The Evolution Of Maximum Warpage Experienced Against Numbe	r Of
	Plies For Different Specimen Size	68
5.2	The Evolution Of The Normalized Maximum Warpage Against Nur	mber
	Of Plies	69
5.3	The Evolution Of Maximum Warpage Experienced Against Length	Of
	Specimen At Different Thickness	70
5.4	The Evolution Of Normalized Maximum Warpage Against The Ler	ngth
	Of The Specimen	70

NO FIGURE

5.5	The Evolution Of Maximum Spring-In Warpage Experienced Against	
	The Angle Of Specimen	71
5.6	Plot Of Measured Spring-In Angle Against Part Angle (Radford &	
	Rennick, 2000)	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CAD	Computer Aided Drawing
CFRP	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Plastic
CMM	Coordinate Measuring Machine
CTE	Coefficient of Thermal Expansion
FEP	Fluorinated Ethylene Propylene
MCU	Master Control Unit
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
UD	Unidirectional
UTeM	Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

LIST OF APPENDICES

NO TITLE

PAGE

A Gantt Chart For PSM

80

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Carbon fiber reinforced plastic (CFRP) is a complex profile of a polymer bonded to carbon fiber. This composite exhibits a very high strength to weight ratio and coped with high rigidity makes an ideal application in many industries such as aerospace, automotive, motor racing and sports. The wings of an Airbus and the body frame of a competition bike are examples where CFRP is useful to give great strength and yet remain light weight. The fusion of carbon fiber and resin is done through curing process. Curing process refers to the hardening of a polymer material achieved through cross linkage of polymer chains. Curing process is done with the aid of heat, electron beams, chemical additives, or even ultraviolet radiation. In the rubber industry, curing of rubber is known as vulcanization. There are several ways to induct a curing process of CFRP.

Pre-impregnated laminate composite or known as prepreg is a composite fiber with existence of matrix material within it. Conventional method would require liquid resin to be applied onto the fiber in a closed mold. For that purpose, the epoxy resin, the fabric and resin hardener are prepared according to the right proportion before molding takes place. On the other hand, prepreg comes in a complete set with sufficient amount of both resin and hardener already impregnated in the fabric matrix. That is the huge difference between using conventional composite preparation and prepreg. Prepregs have been the most common choice nowadays in the industries worldwide due to its simplicity. After undergoing curing process, the CFRP induces a permanent deformation known as the springback. Such deformation from the desired shape causes impediments during the assembly process and higher scraps rate. Even if the deformed parts were joined by force, the level of the internal stress will further increase and thus shorten the life expectancy of the component. Deep understanding of springback phenomena is required to produce quality components to accommodate high manufacturing rate for the production of modern aircraft such as the Airbus A320. Springback deformation occurs due to the stress build up during the crystallization of the resin within the composite. Therefore, warpage acts onto the composite after curing process. Based on previous research, three different mechanisms were identified as the source of the stress generated by the curing process. Those mechanisms are chemical reaction, thermal condition and finally the interaction between the tool and the laminate.

Experimental parameters which play an important role on the springback are divided into two categories which are intrinsic parameters and extrinsic parameters. Intrinsic constraints refer to the physical parameters of the laminate composite such as the length, thickness, number of plies and shape. Extrinsic constrains on the other hand denotes manufacturing process parameters such as surface condition, tool material, cure cycle and pressure of the autoclave.

1.2 PROBLEM STATEMENT

Autoclave chamber is used for the curing process of carbon fiber reinforced plastic. The ply closest to the tool in autoclave will experience the most expansion and the expansion gradually decreases as it reaches the middle ply. As a result, permanent deformation is induced. Such deviations from the actual desired shape lead to complications during the assembly process and higher scarp rates. High manufacturing rate of composite parts for an aircraft requires high quality components with low marginal errors. Therefore, springback behavior must be well understood to achieve accuracy in producing quality components as well as to reduce scarp rates.

1.3 OBJECTIVE

The investigation on the springback deformation of composite material after curing process is done with several objectives in this project. Those objectives are:

- To measure the springback deformation of the composite material after undergoing curing process using 3D scanner.
- To determine the effect of the thickness, dimension and angle of the composite material on the springback deformation after undergoing curing process.

1.4 SCOPE

The following statements are the scopes of this project:

- 1) CFRP specimens are L shaped and flat plate.
- 3D scanner is used to scan the specimen to convert into computer aided design file.
- 3) Computer aided software is used to define planes and measure deformation.
- 4) Analysis of the measure deformation (springback).

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION

In this chapter, reviews of pass studies from journals were made. Literature review is essentially examined according to the sources and described to justify the statements with proof of research or study in related subject. For this research, the phenomena of springback deformation, method used for measuring, and ways to control springback deformation are discussed.

2.2 PHENOMENON OF SPRINGBACK DEFORMATION

Springback deformation is an inevitable occurrence during the process of producing carbon fiber reinforced plastic for modern day usage. Understanding of this phenomenon took place as early as before World War II in the year 1940's during which fiber reinforced plastic were made into actual production (Times, n.d). Knowing the causes and effects of springback deformation on carbon fiber reinforced plastic is part of understanding the phenomena. Other than that, a clear understanding of the factors affecting the springback also serves as knowledge in the process of understanding springback deformation.

2.2.1 Causes of Springback Deformation

The main cause of springback phenomena is due to the stress build up during the curing process. In return, the stress induces warpage onto the composite material during the cooling stage after coming out of the autoclave chamber (Safarabadi & Shokrieh, n.d.). According to the past investigations, three main mechanisms were identified as the cause of the stress generated during curing process. Those mechanisms are chemical reactions (Oliver, 2006), thermal reactions (Parlevliet, Bersee, & Beukers, 2007) and interaction between tool and the laminate (Twigg, Poursartip, & Fernlund, 2003).

Chemical reactions between the molecules of the resin which causes phase change during curing process is what contributes to stress build up and eventually roots springback deformation. The phase change of resin into solid substance is known as crystallization. The crystallization process contains three steps which are viscous, rubbery and finally glassy. Each step is productively more crystallized than the previous state. Thermoset resins such as epoxy, vinyl ester, and polyester exhibits similar behavior when undergoing cure. Upon the introduction of heat, the viscosity of the resin drops and experiences maximum flow. At the same time, the increasing of the degree of cross linking between oligomers pushes the resin into the gelation or rubbery boundary. Oligomers are referred to complex molecules which have few monomer units attached to it. The mobility of the resin in the rubbery state is very limited. This is when the micro structure of the resin and the composite material fuses together and experience diffusion limitation for further cure. Therefore, it is common to increase the process temperature after the gelation stage to achieve better vitrification of the resin. The vitrification of the resin is achieved through cooling process or even through compression process. Morphing of the resin from viscous state to rubbery state and finally glassy state causes a significant change in volume. Figure 2.1 shows the morphing of the resin undergoing viscous, gelation and finally glassy state against temperature.