



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

COMPARISON STUDY BETWEEN THE EFFECT OF VORTEX AIR COOLING AND CONVENTIONAL COOLING ON FLANK WEAR AND SURFACE ROUGHNESS

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal
Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering
(Manufacturing Process) (Hons.)

by

YAP HUI MEI

B051110109

910504-05-5164

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2015

i

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: Comparison Study between the Effect of Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cooling on Flank Wear and Surface Roughness

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2014/15 Semester 2

Saya **YAP HUI MEI**

mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. **Sila tandakan (✓)

SULIT

(Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia sebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD

(Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:

LOT 12193 KAMPUNG BARU

28380 KEMAYAN

PAHANG.

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: 30 JUN 2015

Tarikh: 30 JUN 2015

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Comparison Study between the Effect of Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cooling on Flank Wear and Surface Roughness” is the result of my own research except as cited in references

Signature :
Author's Name : Yap Hui Mei
Date : 30 JUNE 2015

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Manufacturing Process) (Hons.). The member of the supervisory is as follow:

.....
(Project Supervisor)

ABSTRAK

Cecair pemotong dalam proses beralih digunakan untuk mengurangkan suhu pemotongan antara mata alat and serpihan. Pemesinan hijau amat disukai, dengan itu penyejukan tiub vorteks adalah diperkenalkan. Penyejukan udara mendorong penurutan suhu antara mata alat and serpihan. Cecair pemotong konvensional menyebabkan impak kesihatan, pencemaran dan kos yang tinggi dalam aspek pembelian dan rawatan. Terdapat satu kajian korelasi di antara tekanan udara dan suhu udara vorteks dalam eksperimen pertama, manakala perbandingan kehausan rusuk dan kekasaran permukaan antara penyejukan udara vorteks dan penyejukan konvensional dalam eksperimen kedua. Suhu udara vorteks telah diukur oleh Hanna K-type thermocouple. Dalam eskperimen kedua, proses pemesinan dilakukan dalam parameter yang dioptimumkan serta tetap, 425rpm kecepatan pemotongan, 0.05mm/rev kecepatan dan 0.5mm kedalaman pemotongan. Mesin Larik adalah digunakan untuk proses pemesinan, manakala Stereo Microscope dan Mitutoyo profilometer digunakan mengukur kehausan rusuk serta kekasaran permukaan masing-masing. Coefficient of determinant, R^2 dalam eksperimen pertama berhampiran dengan 1, bermakna satu hubungan yang kuat antara tekanan udara dan suhu udara vorteks. Berdasarkan analisis ANOVA, eksperimen kedua menunjukkan kedua-dua bendalir pemotong menjejaskan kehausan rusuk dan kekasaran permukaan dengan signifikasi. Penyejukan secara konvensional membekalkan kehausan rusuk sebanyak 2.4 kali lebih kecil daripada penyejukan udara vorteks. Ini kerana kekonduksian haba dan perolakan haba pekali udara adalah rendah daripada cecair. Namun, penyejukan udara vorteks mempunyai kekasaran permukaan dengan 60% lebih rendah daripada penyejukan konvensional kerana lazim kemunculan “built up edge” semasa menggunakan penyejukan konvensional.

ABSTRACT

In turning process, cutting fluid is used to reduce cutting temperature at tool-chip interface. A green machining is always preferable, so vortex air cutting is introduced. Vortex air helps to reduce cutting temperature at the tool-chip interface, without using cutting fluid that caused health hazard, pollution and a high cost have to spend on purchasing and treatment. Cold air is produced by compressed air without using any refrigerant and it is used to spot onto tool-workpiece interface. First experiment studied correlation between air pressure and vortex air temperature, while second experiment compared the flank wear and surface roughness between both vortex air cooling and conventional cooling. Vortex air temperature was measured by Hanna K-type thermocouple. For the second experiment, optimized machining parameters were used which are high cutting speed of 425rpm, low feed rate of 0.05mm/rev and 0.5mm depth of cut. A lathe machine, a Stereo Microscope and a Mitutoyo profilometer were used for machining, measuring flank wear as well as surface roughness respectively. The coefficient of determinant, R^2 of first experiment is closed to 1 which means a strong correlation between air pressure and vortex air temperature. Based on ANOVA analysis, the second experiment indicated that both coolant type significantly influenced flank wear and surface roughness. For flank wear performance, conventional cooling was 2.4 times smaller than that of vortex air cooling. The main reason is the air is a poorer heat conductivity and lower specific heat convection. However, vortex air cooling made an improvement of 60% on surface roughness when compared to that of conventional cooling. This is because built up edge was prevalent for conventional cooling.

Keywords: Vortex Air Cooling, Flank Wear, Surface Roughness

DEDICATION

To my beloved family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to show my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Associate Prof Dr Md Nizam Bin Abd Rahman who always takes time to support and guide me throughout this research. In addition, I am so grateful to the Manufacturing Engineering Faculty of UTeM who always provides laboratory and equipment to support me for accomplishing this study. Besides, my sincere thanks to engineering assistants who willing to give me information about the equipment and supervise me in the lab sessions. I have been blessed with my classmates who willing to take time to discuss the final year project. Last but not least, a special thanks to my parent and family who encourage me throughout my undergraduate study.

TABLE OF CONTENT

ABSTRAK	i
ABSTRACT	ii
DEDICATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF EQUATIONS	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	<u>1</u>
1.1 Background of Cutting Fluid	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Objectives of Research	3
1.4 Scope of Research	4
1.5 Hypothesis	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Machining	5
2.1.1 Milling	6
2.1.2 Drilling	6
2.1.3 Boring	7
2.1.4 Grinding	7
2.1.5 Turning	7
(a) Machining Conditions	9
(b) Tool Wear	10

(c) Surface roughness	14
(d) Conventional Cutting Fluid	16
(e) Cutting tool material	17
(f) Workpiece material	18
2.2 Dry Machining/Alternatives	19
2.2.1 Compressed Air Cooling/Vortex Air	20
(a) Principle of Vortex Tube	20
(b) Relationship between Pressure and Outlet Air Temperature	22
2.3 Summary of Journals	24
2.4 Strategy of Inferential Statistics	35
2.4.1 Null Hypothesis and Alternative Hypothesis	35
2.5 Strategy of Statistical Test	38
2.5.1 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	38
2.5.2 Pearson Product Moment Coefficient of Correlation	39
2.5 Summary	40
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	41
3.1 Work Flow of Research	41
3.2 Vortex Air Pressure and Temperature Correlation Study	43
3.2.1 Apparatus	43
3.2.2 Procedure of Experiment	44
3.3 Comparison Study between Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cutting Fluid	45
3.3.1 Experimental Setup	45
(a) Turning Operation	45
(b) Input Parameters	46
(c) Cutting Tool	47
(d) Workpiece	49
3.3.2 Experimental Procedure	50
(a) Preliminary Study for Material Removal Volume	50
(b) Second Experiment	51

3.4 Data Collection	53
3.4.1 Vortex Air Pressure and Temperature Correlation Study	53
3.4.2 Comparison Study between Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cutting Fluid	54
(a) Maximum Flank Wear, VB_{max}	54
(b) Average Surface Roughness, R_a	55
3.5 Correlation Analysis	56
3.5.1 Vortex Air Pressure and Temperature Correlation Study	57
3.5.2 Comparison Study between Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cutting Fluid	57
CHAPTER 4: RESULT AND DISCUSSION	59
4.1 Vortex Air Pressure and Temperature Correlation Study	59
4.1.2 Comparison Study between Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cutting Fluid	62
4.2 Comparison Study between Vortex Air Cooling and Conventional Cutting Fluid	62
4.2.1 Preliminary Study for Material Removal Volume	62
4.2.2 Flank Wear	66
4.2.3 Surface Roughness, R_a	71
4.3 Other Considerations in Machining Process	78
4.3.1 Cost and Waste Management	78
4.3.2 Safety, Health and Environment Problem	79
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	82
5.1 Conclusion	82
5.2 Future Work	83

REFERENCES

84

APPENDICES

- A. Cutting insert
- C. Composition of Cutting Fluid
- D. Result of Vortex Air Temperature
- E. Flank Wear on Conventional Cutting Fluid Conventional Cutting Fluid
- F. Flank Wear under Vortex Air Cooling
- E. Surface Roughness, Ra
- G. Gantt Chart PSM
- H. Result of Turnitin Similarity Index

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	Recommended Cutting Speed Regarding to Material	9
2.2	Common Type of Single Point Cutting Tools	18
2.3	Mechanical Properties of AISI 1018 Mild Steel	19
2.4	Performance Data of Vortex Tube	23
2.5	Summary of Previous Experiments	24
2.6	Outcome of Hypothesis Testing	36
2.7	Types of Error and Measurements of Error	37
3.1	Specifications of Apparatus	43
3.2	The Effect of Air Pressure on Average Temperature	44
3.3	Specifications of Momac Lathe Machine	46
3.4	Input Parameters Used in This Study	47
3.5	Mechanical Properties of Uncoated Tungsten Carbide, G10E	48
3.6	ISO Nomenclature of Tungsten Carbide	48
3.7	Nominal Composition of Cutting Tool	49
3.8	Composition of AISI 1018 Mild Steel	49
3.9	Mechanical Properties of AISI 1018 Mild Steel	50
3.10	Flank Wear and Surface Roughness of Each Coolant	52

3.11	Specifications of Meiji Stereo Microscope	54
3.12	Specifications of Profilometer SJ-301	56
4.1	Appropriate Length of Inner Diameter of Hose for Compressed Air Line	62
4.2	Total Material Removal Volume	64
4.3	Flank Wear of Conventional Cutting Fluid and Vortex Air Cooling	66
4.4	ANOVA Analysis on Flank Wear between Two Samples (Part 1)	68
4.5	ANOVA Analysis on Flank Wear between Two Samples (Part 2)	68
4.6	Average Surface Roughness for Conventional Cutting Fluid and Vortex Air Cooling	73
4.7	ANOVA Analysis on Surface Roughness between Two Samples (Part 1)	74
4.8	ANOVA Analysis on Surface Roughness between Two Samples (Part 2)	74

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Illustration of Turning Process	8
2.2	Effect of Parameters on Machining Performance	8
2.3	Distribution of Primary Tool Wear	11
2.4	Flank Wear	13
2.5	Schematic of Flank Wear	13
2.6	Tool Wear Measurement According to ISO 3685:1993	14
2.7	Variation of Ra of Uncoated Carbide in Dry, Wet and Cryogenic Cooling Machining	15
2.8	Types of Cutting Fluid	16
2.9	Generation of High Rotating Speed Air	21
2.10	Higher Moving Air Discharged and Slower Moving Air Rotated Back to Center	21
2.11	Heat Transfer from Slower Moving Air to Higher Incoming Air	21
2.12	Separated Air Temperature on Cold End and Hot End of Vortex Tube According to Several Pressure Level	22
2.13	Ra Value in Both Wet Machining and Dry Machining with Different Parameters	27
2.14	Flank Wear Due to Air Cooling Turning	31

2.15	Flank Wear Land Width (VB) in Different Conditions at V=300m/min and f=0.055 mm/rev	32
2.16	Flank Wear Land Width (VB) in Different Conditions at V=186m/min and f=0.155 mm/rev	33
2.17	Maximum Flank Wear Produced under Three Variables	34
3.1	Flow Chart for Study	42
3.2	Momac Conventional Lathe Machine	45
3.3	Classification of Turning Insert Grades	47
3.4	Calculation of Material Removal Volume	51
3.5	Set Up of Vortex Air Cooling Experiment	53
3.6	Meiji Stereo Microscope	54
3.7	Tool Measurement of VB_{max}	55
3.8	Mitutoyo Profilometer with Model SJ 301	56
3.9	Schematic Diagram of Ra Measurement	57

4.1	Relationship between Air Pressure and Vortex Air Temperature	60
4.2	Average Temperature of April 2015 in Malacca	61
4.3	Flank Wear versus Material Removal Volume for Both Coolants	65
4.4	Graph of Flank Wear for Conventional Cutting Fluid and Vortex Air Cooling	69
4.5	Graph of Surface Roughness for Conventional Cutting Fluid and Vortex Air Cooling	75
4.6	Built-Up-Edge on Flank Face under Conventional Cutting Fluid Condition	77
4.7	Chips are Flushed Away from Interface under Vortex Air Cooling Condition	78
4.8	Chips are Jammed at the Interface under Conventional Cooling Condition	78
4.9	Preparation of Cutting Fluid before Starting Experiment	80
4.10	Cutting Fluid after Experiment	80

LIST OF EQUATIONS

2.1	Formula of Cutting Speed in m/min	10
2.2	Formula of Depth of Cut	11
2.3	Null Hypothesis, $H_0 : \mu_A = \mu_B$	35
3.1	Conversion from m/min to rpm	47
4.1	Formula of Heat Transferred per Unit Time	72

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

F_R	Resultant Force
H_0	Null hypothesis
H_1	Alternative hypothesis
mm	millimeter
MQL	Minimum quantity lubrication
R^2	Coefficient of Determination
Ra	Surface roughness
rpm	Revolution per minute
VB_B	Flank Wear-Land Width
VB_{max}	Maximum Flank Wear
α	Alpha
β	Beta
μm	Micrometer

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter briefly explained the background of cutting fluid in machining, problem statement, objectives of research, scope of research and its hypothesis.

1.1 Background of Cutting Fluid

Friction is generated at the tool-chip interface during machining process and it produced high temperature on the cutting tool. Wear is accelerated at elevated temperature, subsequently cutting tool life is decreased. Besides, the surface roughness is increased, whilst dimensional sensitiveness of workpiece is decreased due to generated friction (Cakir et al., 2007)(Boswell and Chandratilleke, 2009). Cakir et al.(2007) pointed out that there are some approaches to protect cutting tools from heat generation. For example, the cutting tool can be coated with heat resistance alloys such as titanium alloy. From economical perspective, conventional coolant is one of the more economical approach to reduce the heat generation and increase material removal rates. Basically, coolant is used to cool cutting tool and workpiece as well as lubricates them. Besides, it flushes the chips away from the cutting zone. The cooling effect plays the most important role to decrease temperature on the cutting zone so that longer tool life, high dimensional accuracy and good surface quality of machined workpiece are achieved. Water based coolant is more suitable for turning process due to high cutting

speed. A high pressure cutting fluids with additive is utilized in steel machining. However, this method causes some problems, such as mixture of sulphur (cutting fluid) and oils (additive) left stain over the workpiece's surface. The used cutting fluid usually consists of fine chip, tramp oil and contaminants (Chowdhury et al., 2014).

Although, conventional coolant has a lot of benefits, it is unfriendly to environment and produces biological hazards to operators. An alternative is approached to solve this problem during machining process, which is dry machining. Dry machining is the machining process without using any coolant which can address concerns regarding to environmental legislation (Cakir et al., 2007 and Duspara et al., 2013). Sharma et al. (2009) stated that there are some techniques that can be used to replace the conventional cutting fluid during dry machining. For example, minimum quantity lubrication (MQL), compressed air cooling, cryogenic cooling and high pressure coolant. They have a potential to reduce the friction and heat at cutting zone as well increase material removal rate. According to Duspara et al. (2013), a stream of cold air from vortex tube spots onto interface between tool tip and workpiece can reduce the tool wear and machining cost.

1.2 Problem Statement

Boswell and Chandratilleke (2009) indicated that air cooling method is seldom being considered in manufacturing industry because of the effectiveness of conventional cutting fluid during machining process. However, usage conventional cutting fluid is harmful the environment and create the health hazards to human being. In addition to that cost for conventional cutting fluid is quite high. Dangar and Mukherjee (2014) stated that dry machining should be given a lot of awareness for sake of environment and workers' health. Mac (2012) said that those that were exposed cutting fluids for a long period of time have higher probability to suffer from a lot of diseases. He gave an example of illness suffered by workers in Birmingham in year 2004. Around 70 workers

at a car engine factory were diagnosed with serious lung illness. In addition, the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) had screened over 1000 workers of Powerstrain in Longbridge Plant, Birmingham. It was found that 73 people were definitely had suffered occupational asthma or extrinsic allergic alveolitis and worried about the outbreak of occupational lung disease among workers. Accordingly, HSE advised Powerstrain to take a serious improvement on the cleaning and monitoring regimes for the metalworking machines and cutting fluids. HSE discovered that microbes in cutting fluids resulted in diseases and need to be controlled.

Apart from the negative environmental and health impacts, the cost of conventional cutting fluid is quite high which is around 7-17% of total machining cost. Selek et al. (2011) said the cutting become polluted during machining process. Actually, only 15% of cutting fluid is used to dissipate heat, another 1% sticks onto part which may influence the quality of part and the rest cutting fluid is returned back to oil tank, and remained in cooling oil to avoid a contact with air. This step resulted in the thriving condition for bacteria to breed in the cooling oil. So, another economic burden associated to usage of cutting fluid was to establish regular cleaning of cooling tank and treatment. Moreover, cutting fluids have to change regularly due to their low efficiency after being used for a certain time.

1.3 Objectives of Research

Objectives of this research are:

- To study the correlation between inlet air pressure and the vortex outlet air temperature.
- To compare the effectiveness of vortex air cooling to that of conventional cutting fluid with respect to flank wear and surface roughness.

1.4 Scope of Research

There are two experiments executed for this project. The first experiment was done to determine the minimum temperature that can be achieved using available compressed air using vortex tube. The second experiment focused on the effectiveness of vortex air cooling on the flank wear and surface roughness of workpiece. Other input variables which are cutting speed, feed rate and depth of cut were set at constant values. The cutting tool was tungsten carbides, the workpiece was mild steel.

1.5 Hypothesis

The hypothesis testing is to investigate the effect of conventional coolant and vortex air cooling to tool flank wear and surface roughness. Null hypothesis, H_0 and alternative hypothesis, H_1 specify the relationship between conventional coolant and vortex air cooling.

H_0 : flank wear (vortex air cooling) = flank wear (conventional cooling)

H_1 : flank wear (vortex air cooling) \neq flank wear (conventional cooling)

H_0 : surface roughness (vortex air cooling) = surface roughness (conventional cooling)

H_1 : surface roughness (vortex air cooling) \neq surface roughness (conventional cooling)

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

This chapter described machining process, especially turning operation. Next, dry machining is introduced in term of vortex air. Then, some information was collected from journals with similar scope as that of this study. Lastly, the strategy of inferential statistic and statistical test were briefly explained.

2.1 Machining

According to Kaushish (2010), machining is a process that involves removes material and transforms the machined product into desired size and shape. Machining includes a huge number of cutting operations. The basic machining includes milling, drilling, boring grinding, turning and etc.