

PERCEPTION OF THE THERMAL ENVIRONMENT IN
LIBRARY CENTRE AT UT_eM: SUBJECTIVE
PREFERENCES AND THERMAL COMFORT
DUE TO AIR CONDITIONING SYSTEM

NADIAH ZAFIRAH BINTI AL-AZMI
B071110328

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA
2015



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

**PERCEPTION OF THE THERMAL ENVIRONMENT IN
LIBRARY CENTRE AT UTeM: SUBJECTIVE
PREFERENCES AND THERMAL COMFORT
DUE TO AIR CONDITONING SYSTEM**

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor of Mechanical Engineering Technology (Refrigeration & Air-Conditioning Systems) (Hons.)

by

NADIAH ZAFIRAH BINTI AL-AZMI

B071110328

920722-14-6522

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY

2015

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: Perception of the Thermal Environment in Library Centre at UTeM: Subjective Preferences and Thermal Comfort due to Air Conditioning Systems

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2014/15 Semester 2

Saya **NADIAH ZAFIRAH BINTI AL-AZMI**

Mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. ****Sila tandakan (✓)**

- SULIT** (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia sebagaimana yang termaktub dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)
- TERHAD** (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)
- TIDAK TERHAD**

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:

Lot 4206-1, Jalan Kerdas 4,

Batu 5 ¾, Gombak,

53100 Selangor Darul Ehsan.

Cop Rasmi:

Tarikh: _____

Tarikh: _____

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

ABSTRAK

Keselesaan terma merupakan adaptasi daripada tubuh badan manusia cenderung untuk menerima keadaan persekitaran. Persepsi seseorang terhadap keselesaan terma adalah dipengaruhi oleh suhu udara, kelembapan relatif, halaju udara, suhu pancaran persekitaran, kadar metabolisme bagi aktiviti-aktiviti kerja dan penebat pakaian. Sistem penyaman udara bangunan yang cekap dan kondusif akan memberi impak persekitaran yang baik bagi keselesaan penghuni dan meningkatkan prestasi produktiviti kerja serta mengurangkan kadar tidak puas hati pengguna. Setelah mengenalpasti akan kepentingan keselesaan terma kepada penghuni, objektif utama kajian adalah untuk mengenalpasti persepsi staf perpustakaan UTeM terhadap haba persekitaran di tempat kerja mereka kepada sistem penyaman udara yang sedia ada dengan menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif dan kuantitatif. Penentuan persepsi penghuni terhadap keselesaan terma telah diperolehi melalui kaedah pemerhatian dan analisis yang berkaitan dengan soal selidik keselesaan terma serta hubungkait dengan hasil daripada pengukuran objektif. Pengukuran sebenar keselesaan terma dalam unit-unit kerja telah dilaksanakan menggunakan pengukuran pemantauan bacaan terusan oleh instrumen keselesaan terma bagi menentukan nilai-nilai PMV dan PPD. Lanjutan daripada kajian ini menunjukkan persepsi kakitangan perpustakaan terhadap persekitaran haba di ruang kerja mereka adalah pada tahap sederhana (melebihi 60%). Selain daripada faktor persekitaran, penyesuaian terma dan psikologi penghuni kuat mempengaruhi keselesaan terma manusia. Penyelenggaraan pada sistem penyaman udara bangunan perlu diambil kira bagi menghasilkan persekitaran haba yang baik.

ABSTRACT

Thermal comfort is an adaptation of the human body, tends to accept environmental conditions. A person's perception of thermal comfort is affected by air temperature, relative humidity, air velocity, mean radiant temperature, metabolic rate for work activities and clothing insulation. The demand for conducive high efficient air conditioned office building provides a thermally acceptable environment for human comfort and work that would in order to enable better work productivity performance and less occupant's thermal dissatisfaction. In recognizing the significance of thermal comfort level to occupants, the main objective of the study is to determine the thermal environment perception among UTeM's library staffs to the existing air conditioning system in their workplace by means of both qualitative and quantitative approaches. Determination of occupants' perception on thermal comfort was obtained through observation and analysis method associated with a questionnaire on thermal comfort with respect to findings of objective measurement. Measurement of actual thermal comfort in work units was conducted by direct reading monitoring linked with thermal comfort instruments to determine the PMV and PPD values. Further to this study, it shown that the perception of library staffs on thermal environment in their workspace is at moderate level (more than 60%). The result indicated besides the substantial role of environmental factors, thermal adaptation and psychological parameters strongly affect human thermal comfort. Maintaining on AC system of the research building should be taken into consideration to produce good thermal environment.

DEDICATION

I would like to express my deepest appreciation and special thanks to everyone, especially to both of my beloved parents and family who have given their support, encouragement and good advice to me. Not forgotten, to my project supervisor, panel, lecturer and friends that were helped directly or indirectly in this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I am grateful to Allah S.W.T for establishing me to complete this Bachelor Degree's Project. I would like to express the deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Mr Azwan Bin Aziz for his full support, expert guidance, understanding and encouragement throughout my study and research. Without his incredible patience and timely wisdom and counsel, my thesis work would have been a frustrating and overwhelming pursuit. I would also like to thank to laboratory technician, Mr Khairul Fitri for helping me with my process to borrow the thermal comfort equipments. In addition, I express my appreciation to my panel, Dr. Ahmed Salemn Bin Ghooth. His thoughtful question and comment were valued greatly. It would be impossible to write this thesis without the help and support of the kind people around me, to only some of whom it possible to give particular mention here. Special thanks go to my numerous friends who helped me throughout this academic exploration. Finally, I would like to thank my family for their unconditional love and support in my life. I would not have been able to complete this thesis without their continuous love and encouragement.

TABLE OF CONTENT

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	x
List of Figures	xii
List Abbreviations, Symbols and Nomenclatures	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background Of Study	1
1.2 Problems Statements	2
1.3 Research Objectives	3
1.4 Limitations Of Study	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Introduction To Thermal Comfort	5
2.2 Research And Observations Of Thermal Comfort	7
2.3 Main Factors Affecting Thermal Comfort	8
2.3.1 The Effect Of Air Temperature	8
2.3.2 The Effect Of Relative Humidity	8
2.3.3 The Effect Of Air Velocity	9
2.3.4 The Effect Of Mean Radiant Temperature	9
2.3.5 The Effect Of Clothing Insulation	10
2.3.6 The Effect Of Metabolic Rate	10
2.4 Secondary Factors Affecting Thermal Comfort	11
2.4.1 Day-To-Day Variations	11
2.4.2 Age	11

2.4.3	Adaptation	12
2.4.4	Gender	12
2.5	Prediction Thermal Comfort	13
2.5.1	Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) And Predicted Percentage Of Dissatisfied (PPD) Model	13
2.5.2	Adaptive Model	15
2.6	General Thermal Comfort Considerations For Determining Comfort Zone	16
2.6.1	Operative Temperature	16
2.6.2	Humidity Limits	17
2.6.3	Elevated Air Velocity	17
2.6.4	Local Thermal Discomfort	18
2.6.5	Temperature Variations With Time	19
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY		20
3.1	Study Design	20
3.2	Target Population	20
3.3	Sample Frame	21
3.4	Data Collection Methods	22
3.4.1	Walk-Through Inspection On AC System	22
3.4.2	Self-Administered Questionnaire	22
3.4.3	Field Measurement Of Thermal Environmental Parameters	24
3.5	Analysis Of Findings	25
3.6	Ethical Considerations	25
CHAPTER 4: RESULT & DISCUSSION		26
4.1	Specific Thermal Comfort Environmental Parameters Analysis	26
4.1.1	Air Temperature	28
4.1.2	Air Velocity	29
4.1.3	Relative Humidity	31
4.2	Questionnaire Response Analysis	32
4.2.1	Building Occupant Background	32
4.2.1.1	Distribution Percentage On Age And Gender	33
4.2.1.2	Distribution Percentage On Period Of Years Worked	34

4.2.1.3	Distribution Percentage On Average Hours Spend In Workspace	35
4.2.2	Personal Workspace Location	36
4.2.2.1	Distribution Percentage On Location Near Exterior Wall	36
4.2.2.2	Distribution Percentage On Location Near Window	37
4.2.3	Office Layout And Visual Comfort	38
4.2.3.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Amount Of Space Available	39
4.2.3.2	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Level Of Visual Privacy	40
4.2.3.3	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Ease Of Interaction	41
4.2.3.4	Relationship Between Office Layout And Privacy Satisfaction And Self-Assessed Productivity	42
4.2.4	Thermal Comfort	43
4.2.4.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Air Temperature	44
4.2.4.2	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Air Velocity	45
4.2.4.3	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Surrounding Humidity	46
4.2.4.4	Relationship Between Thermal Comfort Satisfaction And Self-Assessed Productivity	47
4.2.5	Air Quality	48
4.2.5.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Air Quality	48
4.2.5.2	Relationship Between IAQ Satisfaction And Self-Assessed Productivity	50
4.2.6	Lighting Quality	51
4.2.6.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Amount Of Light	52
4.2.6.2	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Visual Comfort Of Lighting	53

4.2.6.3	Relationship Between Lighting Satisfaction And Self-Assessed Productivity	54
4.2.7	Acoustic Quality	55
4.2.7.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Acoustic Level	56
4.2.7.2	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Sound Privacy	57
4.2.7.3	Relationship Between Acoustic Satisfaction And Self-Assessed Productivity	58
4.2.8	Information On Perception Of Environmental Conditions Of Workspace	59
4.2.8.1	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Overall Environmental Conditions	60
4.2.8.2	Distribution Percentage Of Perception On Overall Productivity Affect By Environmental Condition	61
4.2.8.3	Additional Comments And Recommendations From Respondents	62
4.3	Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) And Predicted Percentage Of Dissatisfied (PPD) Analysis	62
4.4	Correlation Between Thermal Comfort And Subjective Responses	65
4.4.1	Perception On Air Temperature	65
4.4.2	Perception On Air Velocity	66
4.4.3	Perception On Relative Humidity	67
4.5	Comparative Analysis On Objective And Subjective Approaches	69
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK		71
5.1	Conclusion	71
5.2	Recommendations	72
REFERENCES		73
APPENDICES		
A1	Clothing Insulation Values For Typical Ensembles	

- A2 Garment Insulation
- B Metabolic Rates For Typical Tasks
- C Conceptual Framework Of Study
- D Research Flowchart
- E Floor Plan For Research Building
- F Walk-Through Inspection Checklist
- G A Set Of Questionnaire
- H Process of Quantitative Data Collection
- I Detailed Values Of Specific Thermal Comfort Environmental Parameters Of Six Units

LIST OF TABLES

2.1	ASHRAE thermal sensation scale	14
2.2	Acceptable thermal environment for general comfort	15
2.3	Acceptable range for main physical parameters ICOP 1AQ (2010) and ASHRAE (2004)	19
3.1	Detailed sampling area of research building	21
3.2	Operating hours for UTeM Main Library Centre	21
3.3	List of equipment	24
3.4	Recommended minimum number of sampling points for indoor air quality assessment	24
4.1	Specific thermal comfort environmental parameters results for ground floor to second floor	27
4.2	Comparison between specific thermal comfort environmental parameters results with ICOP 2010 and ASHRAE standard for ground floor to second floor	27
4.3	Detailed values for average air temperature results	28
4.4	Detailed values for average air velocity results	29
4.5	Detailed values for average relative humidity results	31
4.6	Detailed analysis response for age and gender	33
4.7	Detailed analysis response for period of years worked	34
4.8	Detailed analysis response for average hours spend in workspace	35
4.9	Detailed analysis response for location near exterior wall	36
4.10	Detailed analysis response for location near window	37
4.11	Detailed analysis response for perception on amount of space available	39
4.12	Detailed analysis response for perception on level of visual privacy	40
4.13	Detailed analysis response for perception on ease of interaction	41

4.14	Detailed analysis response for relationship between office layout and visual privacy satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	42
4.15	Detailed analysis response for perception on air temperature	44
4.16	Detailed analysis response for perception on air velocity	45
4.17	Detailed analysis response for perception on surrounding humidity	46
4.18	Detailed analysis response for relationship between thermal comfort satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	47
4.19	Detailed analysis response for perception on air quality	48
4.20	Detailed analysis response for relationship between indoor air quality satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	50
4.21	Detailed analysis response for perception on amount of light	52
4.22	Detailed analysis response for perception on visual comfort of lighting	53
4.23	Detailed analysis response for relationship between lighting satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	54
4.24	Detailed analysis response for perception on acoustic level	56
4.25	Detailed analysis response for perception on sound privacy	57
4.26	Detailed analysis response for relationship between acoustic satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	58
4.27	Detailed analysis response for perception on overall environmental conditions	60
4.28	Detailed analysis response for perception on overall productivity affected by environmental conditions	61
4.29	Detailed PMV and PPD analysis	63
4.30	Detailed analysis for relationship between temperature satisfaction vote and mean temperature	65
4.31	Detailed analysis for relationship between velocity satisfaction vote and mean velocity	66
4.32	Detailed analysis for relationship between humidity satisfaction vote and mean humidity	67
4.33	Summary of relationship between findings on objective and subjective approaches	69

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Predicted percentage of dissatisfied (PPD) as a function of predicted mean vote (PMV)	14
2.2	Air speed required to offset increased temperature	18
4.1	Graph of average air temperature against time measurement for eight hours in every level	28
4.2	Graph of average air movement against time measurement for eight hours in every level	30
4.3	Graph of average relative humidity against time measurement for eight hours in every level	31
4.4	Bar chart of distribution percentage for age and gender	33
4.5	Bar chart of distribution percentage for period of years worked	34
4.6	Bar chart of distribution percentage for average hours spend in workspace	35
4.7	Bar chart of distribution percentage for location near exterior wall	37
4.8	Bar chart of distribution percentage for location near window	38
4.9	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on amount of space available	39
4.10	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on level of visual privacy	40
4.11	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on ease of interaction	41
4.12	Scatter plot of relationship between office layout and visual privacy satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	43
4.13	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on air temperature	44
4.14	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on air velocity	45
4.15	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on surrounding humidity	46

4.16	Scatter plot of relationship between thermal comfort satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	48
4.17	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on air quality	49
4.18	Scatter plot of relationship between IAQ satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	51
4.19	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on amount of light	52
4.20	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on visual comfort of lighting	53
4.21	Scatter plot of relationship between lighting satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	55
4.22	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on acoustic level	56
4.23	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on sound privacy	57
4.24	Scatter plot of relationship between acoustic satisfaction and self-assessed productivity	59
4.25	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on overall environmental conditions	60
4.26	Bar chart of distribution percentage for perception on overall productivity affected by environmental conditions	61
4.27	Summarizes the compliance of every floor level in comfort zone	63
4.28	Scatter plot of relationship between PMV and PPD	64
4.29	Scatter plot of relationship between temperature satisfaction and mean temperature	66
4.30	Scatter plot of relationship between velocity satisfaction and mean velocity	67
4.31	Scatter plot of relationship between humidity satisfaction and mean humidity	68

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS, SYMBOLS AND NOMENCLATURE

AC	-	Air Conditioning
ANOVA	-	Analysis of Variance
F	-	F Test (ANOVA)
HVAC	-	Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning
IAQ	-	Indoor Air Quality
PMV	-	Predicted Mean Vote
PPD	-	Predicted Percentage of Dissatisfied
SBS	-	Sick Building Syndrome
°C	-	Degree Celsius
%	-	Percent
m/s	-	Meter per second
n	-	Number of sample
<i>p</i>	-	Significant value
<i>r</i>	-	Correlation Value
sd	-	Standard Deviations

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

This chapter is intended to provide background information of the study conducted. It covers the background of study, problem statements, research objectives and limitations of the study.

1.1 Background Of Study

Fanger (1986) defined “Thermal comfort is the condition of mind which expresses satisfaction and comfortable international human body with complex thermal factors. Thermal comfort is affected by the environmental parameters or personal factors and the combination both of them. Hussin *et al.* (2013) studies highlighted that there are four primary factors that can affect human comfort, which should be considered by the HVAC design engineers before designing air conditioning systems are effective air temperature, relative humidity, air velocity and mean radiant temperature. Havenith *et al.* (2002) also added about the personal factors include clothing properties and metabolic heat production that will contribute to achieve human comfort. Kosonen and Tan (2004) claimed that high efficient air conditioned office buildings provide a thermally acceptable environment for human comfort and work that would in order to enable better work productivity and less thermal dissatisfaction. Zailani *et al.* (2012) continued saying that occupant’s attention, concentration, learning, hearing, and performances will be improved by high environmental quality.

Thermal comfort is difficult to define because it is needed to take into account a range of environmental parameters and personal factors when deciding on the temperatures and ventilation that will make occupants feel comfortable. It is a psychological where it may affect our overall emotional. For example, in industrial field, the employee complaints may increase when they work in uncomfortable conditions. Some parameters of the thermal environment such as bad air temperature, poor relative humidity, worse mean radiant temperature and uncontrolling air movement (velocity) may contribute human discomfort which can lead to the symptoms of sick building syndrome (SBS). Then, their productivity in an organization may fall down and maybe in some cases, they refuse to work in a particular environment if they are still working under dissatisfied environment.

1.2 Problem Statements

Thermal comfort is an adaptation of the human body, tends to accept the environment conditions. Thermal indoor environment is essential not only because of the amount of time spent in the building, but because there are indoor sources of contaminants (Aziah *et al.*, 2010). Even in optimal conditions, some individuals may experience discomfort. Budaiwi (2007) revealed that undesirable thermal comfort conditions may lead to occupant dissatisfaction which will directly affect their health, productivity, and efficiency. Ismail *et al.* (2009) also stated that high level of thermal comfort will meet the needs of occupational health, safety concern and environmental impact.

Individual thermal comfort can be affected by environmental and personal factors. Crowded working areas, the job being undertaken, physical exertion, radiant temperatures and penetration also may cause people to feel discomfort. In a research carried out by Wagner (2007), in the workplace which have different capacities, it was reported that the level of thermal comfort conditions during high occupant density periods were very poor. Staff with existing medical problems such as bad respiratory conditions can be more susceptible to adverse health effects from working in conditions that are not ideal. Thermal discomfort such as temperature in

the workplace is too hot or too cold can be related to physical stress, which be responsible for poor health and bad productivity of the occupants (Wong and Khoo, 2003). Good indoor environment quality will encompass high quality in the dimensions of thermal comfort, indoor air quality, acoustical comfort, visual comfort. Last, this study will undergoes further detailed about the parameters that can impart to high level of thermal comfort environment. This study is conducted due to less information and statistics on thermal comfort level in UTeM's Library Centre among staffs.

1.3 Research Objectives

The aim of the research is to determine the thermal environment perception among staffs in Library Centre, University Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) to the existing air conditioning (AC) system in their workplace by means of both a qualitative and a quantitative approach. The specific objectives of the research are listed below:

- (a) To measure the thermal comfort environmental parameters by field measurement.
- (b) To determine the status of thermal comfort perception among library staffs by subjective approach.
- (c) To analyze the result of experimental and subjective approach.
- (d) To associate the relationship between results from field measurement and subjective approach to be thermally acceptable by the staffs.

1.4 Limitations Of Study

A cross-sectional study was carried out among UTeM's library staffs from October 2014 to November 2014. In this study, 54 staffs (28 male and 26 female) were selected as the respondents by using the universal sampling. They are permanent employees who work for a minimum of three months. The respondents were selected is from their work unit. There are six units were selected purposively based on the Library Staff Directory. There are two types of measurement method that the data were collected; 1. By field measurement, and 2. By subjective assessment. The specific thermal comfort parameters were measured continuously for 8-hours Time Weighted Average (TWA) which 40-hours per week.

The background study and introduction of thermal comfort, previous research and observation of thermal comfort, secondary factors affecting comfort, predictions thermal comfort, are just an additional knowledge for the readers. However, this study was conducted in order to determine the thermal comfort status of the building occupants with the correct setting of an existing air conditioning system based on primary thermal comfort parameters in UTeM library centre.

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

While the first chapter clarified the background of the study, this chapter proceeds with a fully-referenced review from the relevant literature. It covers introduction to thermal comfort, research and observation of thermal comfort, factors affecting thermal comfort, secondary factor affecting comfort, prediction thermal comfort and general thermal comfort conditions for determining comfort zone.

2.1 Introduction To Thermal Comfort

Human has always striven to create a thermally acceptable environment. Thermal comfort becomes a worldwide attention due to its relevance with all life fields. The development of human civilization in creating comfort environment in doing a daily work has evolved. From ancient history until today, man has created a lot of facilities in creating and achieving comfort, especially in technology thermal comfort to their environment. Nowadays, in the process of designing buildings, one of the important parameters that need to be considered is creating a thermally acceptable environment by occupants.

Comfort is feeling of human body that tends to accept the thermal of surroundings. According to ASHRAE (1992), thermal comfort is described as a condition of mind which expresses satisfaction with the surrounding thermal environment. Fanger (1986) also defined that “Thermal comfort is the condition of mind which expresses satisfaction and comfortable international human body with their thermal

environment which is influenced by environmental or personal factors, or the combination both of them. Kilic *et al.* (2006) also revealed environmental parameters that affecting thermal environment are air temperature, relative humidity, air velocity and radiant conditions such as mean temperature or solar intensity in their studies. The personal factors such as clothing properties and activity level (Candido *et al.*, 2010). Furthermore, there are some secondary factors affecting comfort such as human factors of different stage or ages among children, adults, and elderly. Gender factor is also calculated for the type of skin between male and female in terms of skin temperature, evaporation loss, metabolic rate and type of clothing worn. Then, human adaptation to the environment, for example, the ability of people from warm climates may adapt to the hot environment. This explanation in terms of thermal comfort basically describes a person's psychological state of mind where a person feels too hot or too cold.

Modern technology in achieving thermal comfort in buildings has been made to provide comfort to all occupants and maintaining health and improving the productivity of occupants. Heating, ventilating and air conditioning (HVAC) is sometimes referred as climate control and is particularly important for the design of industrial buildings and large office buildings, which the conditions inside the buildings are safe and healthy where it is arranged with reference to relative humidity and air temperature of the building using the fresh air of nature, both factors should be closely regulated while maintaining the comfort environment. ASHRAE (2009) stated the main purpose of HVAC system is to provide conditions for human thermal comfort. Indoor air should minimize the occupant discomfort, irritation, and illness. Sick building syndrome symptoms, discomfort and irritation can result from poor indoor air quality, bad environmental factors such as noise, poor quality or inadequate lighting, and also the other environmental factors.

2.2 Research And Observations Of Thermal Comfort

Thermal comfort is a complex phenomenon which is subjective by several thermal comfort parameters: environmental parameters, personal factors and psychological. Parson (2002) highlighted the two common methods to quantitatively expressing thermal comfort and thermal sensation is Predicted Mean Vote (PMV) and Predicted Percentage Dissatisfied (PPD) after Fanger (1970) studies.

Corgnati (2007) claimed in his studies that in the middle of 1950, the first scientific studies about the effect of the thermal environmental quality in classrooms on the students' performances were conducted by Pepler and Warner. An interesting analysis of the results of the first studies, lots of them performed as field studies. After this period, the Fanger theory was developed about thermal comfort based on the results from a fully controlled climate chamber, which broke the developing of new field researches on thermal comfort. Then, Corgnati (2009) stated that the growing interest in the last years about adaptive theory of thermal comfort has again stimulated researches by field studies aimed at qualifying the thermal environment, both objectively (by measurements) and subjectively (by occupants judgements) must be done.

De Dear and Brager (1998) summarized that the PMV model works best only in buildings that have HVAC systems. The studies also described that in naturally ventilated buildings (no mechanical systems), people seem can adapt and accept higher indoor temperature than the predicted temperature by the PMV model calculation. They discourage the use of naturally ventilated passive solar building because of the narrow band of comfort limits. Occupants in this type of buildings have more relaxed expectations and can tolerate with a wider temperature change. Furthermore, occupants in air conditioned buildings have a narrow rigid thermal environment and are more sensitive to thermal surroundings (ASHRAE, 2009).