# INDUSTRY CERTIFICATE EVALUATION SYSTEM

AKHMAL EFFENDY BIN ASMADI

This report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Bachelor of Computer Science (Database Management)

# FACULTY OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA 2014

C Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

# BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

# JUDUL: INDUSTRY CERTIFICATE EVALUATION SYSTEM

# SESI PENGAJIAN: SEMESTER 2013/2014

#### Saya: AKHMAL EFFENDY BIN ASMADI

mengaku membenarkan tesis (PSM) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

- 1. Tesis adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka
- 2. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja
- 3. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
- 4. \*\* Sila tandakan (/)

| _             |  | SULIT            | (Mengandungi maklumat yang<br>berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan<br>Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di<br>dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) |
|---------------|--|------------------|---|
| _             |  | TERHAD           | (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD<br>yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/<br>badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)                            |
|               | /  | TIDAK TERHAD     |   |
| (TANDATAN     | IGAN PE                                    | ENULIS)          | (TANDATANGAN PENYELIA)  |
| Alamat tetap: | No 994 J<br>Taman E<br>Kepong,<br>Kuala lu | Ehsan,<br>52100, |   |
| Tarikh :      |  |                  | Tarikh :  |

CATATAN: \* Tesis dimaksudkan sebagai Laporan Akhir Projek Sarjana Muda (PSM) \*\* Jika tesis ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa.

🔘 Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka

# DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this project report entitled

# INDUSTRY CERTIFICATE EVALUATION SYSTEM

is written by me and is my own effort and that no part has been plagiarized

without citations.



| STUDENT :                   | Date: |
|-----------------------------|-------|
| (AKHMAL EFFENDY BIN ASMADI) |       |
| SUPERVISOR:                 | Date: |
| (YAHYA BIN IBRAHIM)         |       |

#### **DEDICATION**

Specially dedicated this report to my beloved parents, my supervisor, Yahya Bin Ibrahim and all my course mates for the help. Thanks for the invaluable support and guidance given throughout the completion of my Project Sarjana Muda (PSM).

iv



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, Thanks to Almighty for giving me the chance to pursue this field of study, Bachelor in Computer Science (Database Management) With Honors and to complete this report. My deepest appreciation to all those who provide me with the possibility to complete this report. I would like to thank my supervisor En Yahya Bin Ibrahim for assisting and supervising me until this project is completed successfully. I appreciate all his advise and ideas throughout the project completion. Last but not least, a big thank you for my parent and friends for their continuous support and encouragement as always during the project tenure.



#### ABSTRACT

'Industry Certificate Evaluation System' is a system that has been developed to facilitate the civil servants who works under the Certification Scheme of MeSTI. There are some disadvantages using the current manual evaluation form such as manual marks calculations and cannot produce a score report. The purpose of developing this system is to solve all the above problems. The users of this system is the evaluators who carry out the overall evaluation process and company owners or company representatives who register their company profile. This system development is developed by using the database Oracle. As for the programming language, this system used PL/SQL, JAVA and JSP. Lastly, Eclipse Juno is chosen as the system development platform.

# ABSTRAK

'Industry Certificate Evaluation System' adalah sistem yang dibangunkan untuk membantu kakitangan awam yang bekerja dibawah Skim Pensijilan MeSTI. Borang penilaian yang digunakan pada masa kini banyak kelemahan seperti markah dikira secara manual dan tidak dapat menjanakan laporan markah. Tujuan sistem ini dibangunkan adalah untuk mengatasi segala masalah yang dihadapi diatas. Bagi pengguna sistem pula telah dikenal pasti iaitu penilai yang akan melakukan segala proses penilaian dan pemilik syarikat atau wakilnya untuk mendaftarkan profil syarikat. Sistem ini dibangunkan dengan menggunakan pangkalan data Oracle dan bahasa pengaturcaraan ialah PL/SQL, JAVA dan JSP. Akhir sekali, Eclipse Juno adalah pilihan sebagai platform pembangunan sistem.

# LIST OF TABLE

| TABLE | TITLE  | PAGE |
|-------|--|------|
| 1.1   | Data Dictionary For Table Company                | 19   |
| 1.2   | Data Dictionary For Table Company Product        | 20   |
| 1.3   | Data Dictionary For Table Company Premise        | 20   |
| 1.4   | Data Dictionary For Table Representative         | 21   |
| 1.5   | Data Dictionary For Table Company Representative | 21   |
| 1.6   | Data Dictionary For Table Users                  | 22   |
| 1.7   | Data Dictionary For Table Audit Process          | 22   |
| 1.8   | Data Dictionary For Table Audit Result           | 23   |
| 1.9   | Data Dictionary For Table Audit Process Mark     | 23   |
| 1.10  | Data Dictionary For Table Element                | 24   |
| 1.11  | Data Dictionary For Table Sub Element            | 24   |
| 1.12  | Table Company                                    | 28   |
| 1.13  | Table Company Product                            | 28   |
| 1.14  | Table Company Premise                            | 29   |
| 1.15  | Table Representative                             | 29   |
| 1.16  | Table Company Representative                     | 29   |
| 1.17  | Table Users                                      | 30   |
| 1.18  | Table Audit Process                              | 30   |
| 1.19  | Table Audit Result                               | 30   |
| 1.20  | Table Element                                    | 31   |
| 1.21  | Table Sub Element                                | 31   |
| 1.22  | Table Audit Process Mark                         | 31   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE  | PAGE |
|--------|--|------|
| 2.1    | Manual Evaluation Forms.                           | 7    |
| 2.2    | Manual Evaluation Forms.                           | 8    |
| 2.3    | Manual record of calculation.                      | 9    |
| 2.4    | Process flow to calculate marks of scores manually | 11   |
| 2.5    | Evaluation Forms of ICES                           | 12   |
| 2.6    | Result Display of ICES                             | 13   |
| 2.7    | Example of Generated PDF of Report                 | 14   |
| 2.8    | Example of Generated PDF of Total Report           | 15   |
| 3.1    | Context Diagram for ICES                           | 17   |
| 3.2    | Data Flow Diagram of ICES                          | 18   |
| 3.3    | ERD of Manual System                               | 25   |
| 3.4    | Full ERD of ICES                                   | 26   |
| 4.1    | Login Form   | 34   |
| 4.2    | Login Flow Chart                                   | 35   |
| 4.3    | Evaluation flow chart                              | 36   |
| 4.4    | Searching Form                                     | 37   |
| 4.5    | Evaluation Form                                    | 38   |
| 4.6    | Display the Result of ICES                         | 43   |
| 4.7    | elemenRanking Flow Chart                           | 46   |
| 4.8    | Interface Overall Report                           | 47   |
| 4.9    | Interface the Ranking Elements of Action Taken     | 49   |
| 4.10   | Interface the Further Action                       | 50   |

| 4.11 | Example of Generated PDF of Report                              | 51 |
|------|---|----|
| 4.12 | Example of Generated PDF of Report for Previous Scores Obtained | 52 |
| 4.13 | Register Form   | 53 |
| 4.14 | Register Interface  | 54 |
| 5.1  | Example of marking  | 59 |
| 5.2  | Table audit_process_mark  | 60 |
| 5.3  | Flow to get Result  | 61 |
| 5.4  | Evaluation Form of ICES   | 62 |
| 5.5  | Result of ICES  | 63 |
| 5.6  | Report in PDF of ICES   | 64 |
| 5.7  | Menu  | 65 |
| 5.8  | Ranking   | 65 |
| 5.9  | Action Taken  | 66 |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| PSM    | - | Projek Sarjana Muda                           |
|--------|---|---|
| ICES   | - | Industry Certificate Evaluation System        |
| MeSTI  | - | Makanan Selamat Tanggungjawab Industri        |
| JSP    | - | Java Server Page                              |
| ERD    | - | Entity Relationship Diagram                   |
| SQL    | - | Structured Query Language                     |
| PDF    | - | Portable Document Format                      |
| PL/SQL | - | Procedural Language/Structured Query Language |

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| CHAPTER | SUBJECT               | PAGE |
|---------|-----------------------|------|
|         | DECLARATION           | ii   |
|         | DEDICATION            | iii  |
|         | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS      | iv   |
|         | ABSTRACT              | v    |
|         | ABSTRAK               | vi   |
|         | LIST OF TABLES        | vii  |
|         | LIST OF FIGURES       | viii |
|         | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | X    |

| CHAPTER 1 | INTRODUCTION                    | 1  |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----|
| 1.1       | Project Background              | 1  |
| 1.2       | Problem Statement               | 2  |
| 1.3       | Objective                       | 3  |
| 1.4       | Scope and Environment           | 4  |
| 1.5       | Project Significance            | 4  |
| 1.6       | Expected Output                 | 5  |
| 1.7       | Conclusion                      | 5  |
| CHAPTER 2 | ANALYSIS                        | 6  |
| 2.1       | Introduction                    | 6  |
| 2.2       | Manual System (Evaluation Form) | 7  |
| 2.3       | Manual System Process Flow      | 11 |

| 2.4       | Industry Certificate Evaluation System | 12 |
|-----------|--|----|
| 2.5       | Conclusion                             | 15 |
| CHAPTER 3 | DESIGN                                 | 16 |
| 3.1       | Introduction                           | 16 |
| 3.2       | Context Diagram (ICES)                 | 17 |
| 3.3       | Database Design(ICES)                  | 19 |
| 3.3.1     | Conceptual Database Design(ICES)       | 19 |
| 3.3.2     | Logical Database Design-ERD            | 25 |
| 3.3.3     | Business Rules                         | 27 |
| 3.3.4     | Physical Database Design-SQL Syntax    | 28 |
| 3.4       | Conclusion                             | 32 |

| CHAPTER 4 | IMPLEMENTATION                | 33 |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----|
| 4.1       | Introduction                  | 33 |
| 4.2       | Evaluation                    | 34 |
| 4.2.1     | Login                         | 34 |
| 4.2.2     | Evaluation Interface          | 36 |
| 4.2.3     | The Frequency of Action Taken | 46 |
| 4.2.4     | Reporting                     | 51 |
| 4.3       | Registration                  | 53 |
| 4.4       | Conclusion                    | 56 |
| CHAPTER 5 | TESTING                       | 57 |
| 5.1       | Introduction                  | 57 |
| 5.2       | White Box Testing             | 58 |
| 5.2.1     | Each Elements Mark            | 58 |

| 5.2.2   | Scores Obtained  | 60             |
|---|--|----------------|
| 5.3   | Black Box Testing  | 62             |
| 5.3.1   | Further Actions Interface  | 62             |
| 5.3.2   | Score Report Interface   | 63             |
| 5.3.3   | Element Ranking and Frequency of Action taken                                    | 65             |
| 5.4   | Conclusion   | 66             |
| CHAPTER 6   | PROJECT CONCLUSION   | 67             |
| CHAITERU  |  | 07             |
| 6.1   | Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths  | 67             |
|   |  |                |
| 6.1   | Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths  | 67             |
| 6.1<br>6.1.1                                      | Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths<br>System Strengths                      | 67<br>67       |
| <ul><li>6.1</li><li>6.1.1</li><li>6.1.2</li></ul> | Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths<br>System Strengths<br>System Weaknesses | 67<br>67<br>68 |

#### **CHAPTER I**

## INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Project Background

Certification scheme of "Makanan Selamat Tanggungjawab Industri (MeSTI)" is a rebranding of scheme from the Skim Keselamatan Makanan 1Malaysia (SK1M). Certification scheme of MeSTI is also an improvement made from SK1M to facilitate the food enterprises especially for the Small and Medium Enterprises in order to meet all the requirements provided under Food Hygiene Regulations 2009. By having this certification scheme, the food operators will be guided to develop and implement the food security programme before the certification is given. The food operators is required to develop a planned practice system that needed to be documented with all the control elements records for the food security programme. The main elements in an effective food security programme are control of premises, operational control and traceability. However, the documentation of this practice system finds a lot of problems. One of the problem is it takes a long time to only calculate the total marks or scores derived from all the elements. Due to the problems faced, this documentation of practice system need to be replaced with a more systematic system which as well can ease the responsible authority to evaluate the premises in more details.

Industry Certificate Evaluation System (ICES) is developed to assist the concerned parties for marking and recording the elements checklist correctly and quickly. Besides that, ICES can also count the total marks or scores faster and more systematically. The result or report of this total marks will be produced automatically. In consequences, it is not time consuming and can prevent or minimize the calculation errors. In addition, this system is able to keep all the records and data about the company background and profile automatically. All these data are kept orderly and systematically.

#### **1.2 Problem Statement**

- I. Marking and recording the of elements checklist manually.
- II. Calculation of marks is done manually. Calculation of marks involved some elements and each element has their own sub elements. This calculation become complicated and time consuming when calculating the total marks derived from all the elements.
- III. Report of the score result is produced manually.
- IV. All the records and data are kept manually and not systematically. Thus, the data is unsecure and can be easily lost.

#### 1.3 Objective

#### I. Calculate the total marks automatically and efficiently.

The evaluator do not have to calculate the marks for every element manually anymore because this developed system will only calculate the marks for the ticked or chosen elements automatically. The calculation of total marks is faster and more systematic. Marks from each element will be summed up which produce a final score that will be written in the report. Score obtained by every company will be kept in the database according to the actual scoring aspect.

# **II.** Provide the facility to keep all the records and data about the company background and profile automatically.

All the information is kept in the database. Information such as company profile, scores obtained, further actions should be taken and reports of all the companies are kept safely and systematically. Evaluator can find back all the data easily by using the system if they lost the data beforehand.

#### **III.** Able to determine the most corrective actions taken to companies.

Every further action taken to a company will be written or displayed in the report. Therefore, it can guide the company to take actions for improvement purpose. Furthermore, the most frequent further action taken to a company through the evaluation from time to time will also be known.

#### IV. Can produce the total score report faster and automatically.

A report will be prepared automatically after the evaluator in duty finish their marking and evaluating the company. This report can be printed in the PDF format. The report contents will be the scores and corrective actions need to be taken by every company. Besides, the company profile and the evaluator's name in duty will also be stated in the report.

#### V. Can show the total score report for the previous evaluations of the company.

Concerned evaluators can have the report of the scores obtained by the company in the previous evaluations which have been carried out regularly. This also can be printed in the PDF format.

#### **1.4 Scope and Enviroment**

This system can be used by :

i. Government officers

This system will be used by the Government Officers who in charge of the evaluation process. Act as an evaluator.

ii. Company Owner

Use this system to enroll their company in the system before the evaluation take place.

#### **1.5 Project Significance**

The ICES will be enhanced for the Ministry of Health Malaysia which to be used by government officer as an evaluator. This system obviously will give an impact as it helps evaluator to save a lot of times in marking the elements and calculating the scores. Then the data will be stored into the database and the summary of report can be done automatically.

# 1.6 Expected Output

This system is able to make an automatic and correct calculation. Scores obtained from the calculation will be stated in the report. Other than that, the company profile and corrective actions need to be taken by the company are displayed in the report as well. Evaluator will print out a report for the next process.

#### 1.7 Conclusion

In conclusion, this chapter introduces and explains briefly about the project including the project background, problem statements, objectives and scope of projects. Therefore, I hope that this ICES will bring a successfully to the users after it is being launched and implemented.

#### **CHAPTER II**

#### ANALYSIS

# 2.1 Introduction

Certification scheme of MeSTI is using documents or evaluation forms that need to be filled in manually and these documents should be preserved from damage or loss. The evaluation form issued by MeSTI is an official document which used by the evaluator in evaluating a company. This chapter is the analyzing part which is important as it helps in making decision and comparison between the problems encountered in the manual system and the system to be developed. In a nutshell, developing the ICES is an effective way for the solution. This chapter will discuss the solutions in details.

# 2.2 Manual System (Evaluation Forms)

| Pet  | unjuk: 0 - Mem   | usskan, Markah                           | DEJABAT K<br>UNIT KESELAI<br>demerit - Tidak | MATAN   | DAP            | KUALI   | TI MAKA                             |   | an dens   | JENIS<br>BISNE<br>Klang<br>Ratering<br>Premis jua<br>pari RTE  | Ē                           | PEME              | RIKSAJ<br>Ri<br>Sutu<br>Adi |       |    |
|------|--|--|--|---------|----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----|
|      |  | - lawatan susul                          | an   |         |                |         | Jania P<br>(Contok                  | remis :   | erjaja/vi   | Kendersa<br>en (III)   | ·                           | l                 | Lain-L<br>(nyatak           |       | _  |
| NA   | MA PEMILIK :   | Mohamad Y                                | laakub bin                                   | Yusof   | ¢              |         | No.IC/F                             | ASSPO   | RT  | Nama Syarikat/Pre  | ernia: Co                   | le teria          | Le                          | ia    |    |
| ALA  | MAT PREMIS   |  |  |         |                |         |                                     |   |   | No. Pendaftaran<br>Perniagaan:<br>No. Pendaftaran K  | OKIM :                      | -                 |                             |       | -  |
|      |  |  |  |         |                |         | 1                                   | POSKOC  |   | Bil Pekerja:   |                             |                   |                             | -     |    |
| No   | Telefon: OT  | 2-7/1976                                 | 13 No.Fax                                    |         |                |         |                                     |   |   | Waktu Perniagaan   | 1                           |                   |                             |       |    |
|      | 011  | Tarikh Pem<br>(1)                        | eriksaan                                     | Perne   | Masa<br>riksai | an(1)   | Tarik?                              | Pemeri<br>(2)                                     | saan  | tempoh/Jam:<br>Masa<br>Pemeriksaan(2)  | Markah<br>Pemeri<br>Terdah  | ksaan             |                             |       |    |
| BIL  | T  | PERKAI                                   | 24   |         |                | MARKA   | 1.00                                | BIL   |   | PERKARA  |                             |                   | 10000                       | ARKAH |    |
|      |  |  |  | Skor De |                | EMERIKS | 2                                   | -   |   | Skor D   |                             | PEMERIKSAAN       |                             | 2     |    |
| KA   | WALAN PROSE  | 5  | 10000 m / - 200                              | 25      | L              | rati    | unto                                | A 6.KEN   | UDAHA   | N PEPARITAN & PERPA  | UPAN                        | 3                 | 1 million                   | -     | -  |
| 2    | Tilk Kawalan Kritikal (atau peringkat proses terpenting)<br>Kawalan e.g. masakan 70°C atau lebih, makanan bertaliko<br>tangai diaimpan dibawah 4°C atau lebih 60°C, pel sejuk<br>beku dikawai dibawah 0°C, pil pada atau dibawah 4.6,<br>rapid-cooling techniques, dl.<br>Spesifikusi pentekal & pemeriksaan fizikal sebelum<br>digunakan<br>Pencemaran silang dikawai menerusi sala, rekabentuk |  |  | 16      | //             |         | 18<br>19<br>20<br>7.KES<br>21<br>22 | Tiada p<br>Disedia<br>UDAHA/<br>Tandas<br>berfung | h yang sekéti<br>a persilangan ilne dan aliran berbalik<br>diakan dipasang dan berfungsi dengan baik<br>IAN SANITASI<br>Bas yang mencukupi dan berkeadaan baik<br>ngal (pilau akses kepada tandas am/awam"<br>udahan persalinan & mandi yang mencukup |  | taik<br>6<br>bak/<br>www.") | 1                 | 1                           |       |    |
| PAN  | & peralatan sea  | มม                                       |  | 4       | 100            |         |                                     | 23  |   | lahan mencuci tangan: 1<br>pengering tangan atau i   |                             |                   | 3                           |       | E  |
|      |  |  | and the second second                        | ist .   | 1              | 1.001   | 1                                   |   | sabun   |  |                             | 12                | 1                           | 1     | -  |
|      |  | i punca penceman<br>ai dan berkeadaan    |  | 1000    | 2              | PA.     | 1                                   | 24  |   | atau long sampah yang  |                             |                   | 11                          | 1 10  | TV |
| -    |  | yang sesuai dan be                       |  | -       | 2              | M       | 1                                   |   |   | kupi dan mempunyai ja  |                             |                   | 1 and                       | om    | 1  |
| T    | Pencahayaan ya   |  |  |         |                | /       |                                     | 25  |   | langgaraan tempat pen<br>bersih dan mencukupi d  |                             |                   | 1-1-                        |       | *  |
| +    | Pengudaraan yar  | ng mencukupi                             |  |         | 1              | /       |                                     | 9.KA  |   | the second second second second second second second   |                             |                   |                             |       | -  |
| 14   | ENDALI MAKAN   | and the second second second             | -  | 13      | 1              | - Com   |                                     | 25  | Terdus  | pat kawalan yang efekti  | dan men                     | cukupi            | -3                          | 17    | 1  |
|      |  | hatan pengendali /                       |  |         | 2              | 1       |                                     | 10.P  | MBERS   | HAN & PENYELENGO   | ARAAN                       | 2                 | 2                           |       |    |
| 1    | Amalan kebersiha<br>menghalang peke  | n pengendali maki<br>rja yang sakit beke | anan (termasuk<br>nja dil)                   |         | 4              | 1       | R                                   | 22  | Pemb  | MARHLUK PEROSAK<br>pat kawalian yang efekti<br>IHAN & PENYELENGO<br>versihan & penyelengga<br>Bulk pembuangan pera<br>akan)<br>impanan bahan pencuca<br>an makanan | raan yang<br>latan yang     | berjadus<br>tidak | 1                           | T     | P  |
| 1 12 |  | gan diri pengendal                       |  |         | 3              | -       | 1                                   | UP  | Strong  |  |                             |                   |                             | -     |    |
| 1    | atihan (termasuk   | rekód)                                   |  |         | 4              | -       | 1                                   | 28  | Peny  | impanan bahan pencus   | yang ben                    | singan            | -                           | 1     | ×  |
| T    |  | atuhan<br>nchi<br>shitus<br>uilla 1      | Selec  | Tal     |                | -       |                                     |   | deng  | an makanan   |                             |                   |                             | Y     | 1  |

Figure 2.1 Manual Evaluation Forms.

| In the second se   | BANAGIAN A : KAWALAN PR  |                                       |       |                                     |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| ELEMEN 1 - REKABI  | ENTUR DAN KEMUDAHAN  |                                       |       |                                     |
| SUB ELEMEN   | Asas Pertimbangan<br>(Sub sub elemen)  | MEMATUHI ( / )<br>(disi oleh pemohon) |       | Penemuan Hasil Audit<br>Kendiri     |
|  |  | Ya                                    | Tidak | TINDAKAN PEMBETULAN<br>YANG DIAMBIL |
| 1.1 Lokasi   | 1.1.1 Adakah lokasi premis sesuai bagi<br>aktiviti pemprosesan dan terhindar dari punca<br>pencemaran?Jika bidak, adakah terdapat kawalan<br>yang sesuai?                          | /                                     |       |                                     |
| 1.2 Susun Atur<br>Manual P.RM Am - Pater Busun<br>Aber Klang (telt 14)   | 1.2.1 Adakah terdapat pelan susun atur premis?   | /                                     |       |                                     |
|  | 1.2.2 Susun atur dapat mengelakkan pencemaran<br>silang  | /                                     |       |                                     |
|  | 1.2.3 Adakah susun atur memudahkan aktiviti combersihan?   | /                                     |       | a grandel and for                   |
| 1.3 Peralatan &<br>Perkakasan  | 1.3.1 Peralatan dan perkakasan berkeadaan baik<br>dan sesual   |                                       | >     | fila memotion                       |
| Menual P.(KM An - Presenter 6.0<br>Providences)<br>press refr77  | 1.3.2 Peralatan dan perkakasan yang tidak<br>digunakan dikeluarkan dari premis   | /                                     |       | 1                                   |
|  | 1.3.3 Peralatan dan perkakasan mudah<br>dibersihkan  | /                                     |       |                                     |
|  | 1.3.4 Papan pernotong secara berasingan bagi<br>bahan mentah dan bahan makanan bermasak<br>(jika berkenaan)  | ~                                     |       |                                     |
|  | 1.3.5 Kesemua alat pengukur termasuk pengukur masa, suhu dan berat perlu disukat (dikalibrasi) mengikut keperluan  | /                                     |       | and the second                      |
|  | 1,3,6 Mempunyai prosedur pembersihan dan<br>penyelenggaraan bagi peralatan   | /                                     |       |                                     |
| 1.4 Bokalan Air/Ais/<br>Stim<br>Manuel P.R.M. An - Presenter 11 S<br>Assester Bahan Meetan per 31/33   | 1.4.1 Bekalan air yang selamat   | Hur                                   | /     | Bakalan air 1                       |
|  | 1.4.2 Bekalan air yang mencukupi dan terlindung<br>darl pencemaran: bekas yang bertutup  | 1                                     |       | Bikalan air 7<br>- ambiltins        |
|  | 1.4.3 Als yang digunakan dari sumber air yang<br>selamat serta diisimpan dalam bekas serta<br>terlindung dari pencemaran   | /                                     |       |                                     |
|  | 1.4.4 Stim dari sumber air yang selamat dan tidak<br>mencemar makanan  | 1                                     | 1000  |                                     |
|  | 1.4.5 Adakah terdapat laporan analisis bagi<br>penentuan kualiti air yang digunakan? (jika<br>sumber air bukan dari JBA)   | /                                     |       |                                     |
| .5 Sinki Mencuci<br>Tangan<br>Imael (PAXA Am - Presenter d.0)<br>Imael (PAXA Am - Presenter)<br>Imael (PAXA Am - Presenter)<br>Im | 1.5.1 Sinki beroperasi secara bebas tangan (hands free operated)   | /                                     | 1     |                                     |
|  | 1.5.2 Sinki mencuci tangan disediakan dengan<br>kemudahan sabun atau bahan cuci cecair dan<br>air mengalir secukupnya termasuk tisu/pengering<br>tangan dan tong sampah berpenutup | /                                     | 1     |                                     |
|  | 1.5.3 Mempunyai prosedur 7 langkah cuci tangan<br>dalam bentuk poster dan sebagainya?  |                                       |       | Contraction of the second           |
| 6 Tandas<br>mark Pattel Acto - Prosector 5.8:<br>markenspermen aler Santaar<br>n. 15-15  | 1.6.1 Berkeadaan bersih, tidak berbau dan tidak<br>rosak   |                                       | 1     | Sumber a                            |
|  | 1.6.2 Mempunyal sumber air yang bersih   | 12000                                 | -     | Sumber al<br>gang te<br>ambil t     |

Figure 2.2 Manual Evaluation Forms.

#### I. Evaluation and Marking

Based on the Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2, the problem encountered is when the evaluator wants to make a correction and hence the evaluator had to delete and will staining the form. The form then will look untidy and it will be difficult to make the evaluation. Besides, the space provided to write down the further actions need to be taken is not enough so it will take some other space. This problem will be difficult for evaluators to mark more carefully and neatly. Imagine if there were more than 50 list of elements that need to be marked then this evaluation form will surely be untidy and disorganized. In fact, this will also burden the evaluator to make the calculation correctly. Therefore, a more systematic system should be developed in order to facilitate the evaluator to assess each company.

| skor demerit : Kilang = 122, Hawkers = 80, Restoran/Ker  | dal/Gerai dll = 87)   |
|--|---|
| s boleh ditutup jika tiada bekalan air selamat dan ais digunakan buk<br><u>H MARKAH PENILAIAN TAHAP KEBERSIHAN :</u>   | kan dari punca air selamatn ( 5,6<br>22 - Ul Va V 5,6<br>(5 - Ul Va V 5,6   |
| Pemeriksaan Pertama  | Pemeriksaan Susulan   |
| 0 tolak , 126 Tahap o<br>demerit , kebersihan  | 100 tolak 40 x = Mcmva8can<br>Skor demogr = Tahap<br>kebersihan   |
| Diperiksa Oleh: 1949 .<br>Rizal Bia Y  | Disah oleh<br>Penyelia:   |
| (Tandatangan)  | (Tanda<br>tangan)   |
| Nama Pegawai<br>Yang Diberi<br>Berkuasa:   | Nama Aizel Bin Yung<br>Penyelia:  |
| Nota: Cara pengiraan Markah<br>1. Jumlah Skor markah demerit Restoran/Kedai/G<br>Jika jumlah markah dimerit = 25<br>Maka jumlah demerit sebenar ialah = 25/87 x 100 = 2<br>Jadi Jumlah markah penilaian tahap kebersihan ialah<br>2. Jumlah Skor markah demerit Penjaja (Hawker)<br>Jika jumlah markah dimerit = 25<br>Maka jumlah demerit sebenar ialah = 25/80 x 100 = 3<br>Jadi jumlah markah penilaian tahap kebersihan ialah<br>2. Jumlah Skor markah demerit Kilang = 122 mark<br>Jika jumlah markah dimerit = 25<br>Maka jumlah demerit sebenar ialah = 25/122 x 100 =<br>Jadi jumlah markah penilaian tahap kebersihan ialah | 28.40<br>h = 100 - 28.40= <u>71.60%</u><br><i>= 80 markah demerit</i><br>30.86<br>h = 100 - 30.86= <u>69.14%</u><br><i>kah demerit</i><br>= 20.32 |

Figure 2.3 Manual record of calculation.