BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS TESIS

JUDUL: <u>SATELLITE IMAGE CLASSIFICATION USING FUZZY LOGIC</u> <u>TECHNIQUE.</u>

SESI PENGAJIAN: SESI 2012/2013

Saya <u>LEOW THING FUNG</u> mengaku membenarkan tesis (PSM/Sarjana/Doktor Falsafah) ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Tesis dan projek adalah hakmilik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka.

2. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja.

3. Perpustakaan Fakulti Teknologi Maklumat dan Komunikasi dibenarkan membuat salinan tesis ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.

4. ** Sila tandakan (/)

| SULIT | (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia seperti yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972) |
|-----------------------|--|
| TERHAD | (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan) |
| / TIDAK TERHA | AD |
| (TANDATANGAN PENULIS) | (TANDATANGAN P ENYELIA) |
| | |

Alamat tetap :<u>302-1-8 Taman Green Field</u> <u>11500, Air Itam, Pulau Pinang,</u> <u>Malaysia</u> En.Othman bin Mohd Nama Penyelia

Tarikh : _____

Tarikh: _____

SATELLITE IMAGE CLASSIFICATION USING FUZZY LOGIC TECHNIQUE

LEOW THING FUNG

This report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the Bachelor of Computer Science (Computer Networking)

FACULTY OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2013



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this project entitled

SATELLITE IMAGE CLASSIFICATION USING FUZZY LOGIC TECHNIQUE

is written by me and is my own effort and that no part has been plagiarized without citations

| STUDENT: | DATE: |
|----------|-------|
| | |

(LEOW THING FUNG)

SUPERVISOR: _____

DATE: _____

(EN.OTHMAN BIN MOHD)

DEDICATION

I would like to say thank you for those who involves for helping me to complete this project. First of all, I would like to say thanks you to my supervisor, En. Othman bin Mohd who helps me a lot to accomplish this project. Without his helps, I will not able to complete this project.

Next, I would like to thanks to my family for encourage me to complete this project when I faced difficulty to complete this project. They understand the stress and the busy that I faced while doing this project and always encourage me to complete this project.

Thanks to god, I am manage to finish my project and I am stay healthy without facing any physical or mentally problem when completing this project.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express acknowledgment to my parents and my family for giving me continuous support and encourage me for me to continue my study in this field. Besides, I also like to thanks to my supervisor En. Othman bin Mohd for his teaching and guidance from the beginning of this project until the end. In addition, I would like to give a big clap for all of the lecturers who teach me for the entire subject that I have been taken along these three years. I would like to thank god for giving me a healthy body and lead me to the right way when I faced confusion when I doing this project. Last but not least, I would like to thanks to all of my friend that support me and helps me a lot along me study life.

ABSTRACT

This project was about the unsupervised classification for satellite image by using fuzzy logic technique. In this project, the method of unsupervised classification was implemented as compared to supervised classification. Nowadays, many situations on this earth were captured by the satellite. Therefore, it was important to be able to classify out the things or objects that had been captured by the satellite. In this project, Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) of Fuzzy Logic Toolbox in matlab was selected to do for unsupervised classification. The types of FIS technique selected to do for the classification include Fuzzy Mamdani and Fuzzy Sugeno. These two methods are used to compare which one can provide a better output.

ABSTRAK

Projek ini melibatkan pengkelasan imej satelit dengan menggunakan fuzzy logic technique. Dalam projek ini, kaedah unsupervised classification telah dilaksanakan berbanding dengan supervised classification. Kini, kebanyakan keaadaan dalam bumi ini adalah digambarkan dengan menggunakan satelit. Oleh itu, ini adalah amat penting bagi kita untuk mengklasifikasikan gambar-gambar yang telah diambilkan oleh satelit. Dalam projek ini, Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) dalam Fuzzy Logic Toolbox telah dipilih untuk membuat unsupervised classification. Kaedah-kaedah teknik FIS yang dig Kedua-dua kaedah ini digunakan untuk membandingkan yang digunakan melibatkan Fuzzy Mamdani dan Fuzzy Sugeno. Kedua-dua kaedah ini digunakan untuk membuat perbandingan bagi mengetahui mana satu keadah boleh memberikan output yang lebih baik.

v

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | SUBJECT |
|---------|---------|
| | |

PAGE

| DECLARATION | i |
|-----------------------|------|
| DEDICATION | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENT | iii |
| ABSTRACT | iv |
| ABSTRAK | v |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | ix |
| LIST OF FIGURES | X |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiii |

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

| 1.1 | Project Background | 2 |
|-----|----------------------|---|
| 1.2 | Problem Statements | 3 |
| 1.3 | Research Questions | 4 |
| 1.4 | Objectives | 4 |
| 1.5 | Scopes | 5 |
| 1.6 | Project Significance | 5 |
| 1.7 | Expected Output | 6 |
| 1.8 | Report Organization | 6 |
| 1.9 | Summary | 7 |

CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW AND PROJECT METHODOLOGY

| 2.1 | Introduction | 9 |
|-----|-----------------------------|----|
| 2.2 | Related Work | 10 |
| 2.3 | Analysis of Current Problem | 13 |
| | 2.3.1 Justification | 14 |
| 2.4 | Proposed Solution | 15 |
| | 2.4.1 Further Project | 16 |
| 2.5 | Summary | 17 |

CHAPTER 3 METHODOLOGY

| 3.1 | Introd | luction | 18 | |
|-----|--------|-------------------------------|----|--|
| 3.2 | Projec | et Methodology | 18 | |
| | 3.2.1 | Overall Methodology | 19 | |
| | 3.2.2 | Methodology | 20 | |
| | 3.2.3 | Classify Binary Decision Tree | 21 | |
| | 3.2.4 | Flowchart Process | 22 | |
| 3.3 | Projec | Project Schedule | | |
| | 3.3.1 | Gantt Chart | 24 | |
| | 3.3.2 | PSM 1 Milestone | 29 | |
| | 3.3.3 | PSM 2 Milestone | 30 | |
| | 3.3.4 | Activities Milestone in PSM 1 | 31 | |
| | 3.3.5 | Activities Milestone in PSM 2 | 33 | |
| 3.4 | Summ | nary | 34 | |

CHAPTER 4 PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION

| 4.1 | Introduction | | 35 |
|-----|--------------|-------------------------------------|----|
| 4.2 | Hardw | are and Software Requirement | 36 |
| | 4.2.1 | Software Requirement | 36 |
| | 4.2.2 | Hardware Requirement | 37 |
| 4.3 | Design | | 37 |
| | 4.3.1 | Unsupervised Classification Process | 39 |
| | 4.3.2 | Fuzzy Inference System (FIS) | 39 |
| | 4.3.3 | Range Value for Inputs | 41 |
| | 4.3.4 | Mamdani's Output | 41 |
| | 4.3.5 | Sugeno's Output | 42 |

| | 4.3.6 | Rules for Classification | 43 |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----|
| 4.4 | .4 Implementation | | 44 |
| | 4.4.1 | Mamdani-type System | 45 |
| | 4.4.2 | Sugeno-type System | 50 |
| 4.5 Summary | | 55 | |

CHAPTER 5 TESTING

| 5.1 | Introduction | | 56 |
|-----|--------------|--|----|
| 5.2 | Testin | g | 57 |
| | 5.2.1 | Mamdani-type System | 57 |
| | | 5.2.1.1 Mamdani Output Result | 61 |
| | 5.2.2 | Sugeno-type System | 64 |
| | | 5.2.2.1 Sugeno Output Result | 69 |
| | 5.2.3 | Comparison between outputs of Mamdani method | 72 |
| | | and Sugeno method | |
| | 5.2.4 | Count Number of Classified Pixel | 74 |
| 5.3 | Summ | nary | 75 |
| | | | |

CHAPTER 6 PROJECT CONCLUSION

| 6.1 | Introduction | 77 |
|-------------|---|----|
| 6.2 | Summarization of Objectives | |
| 6.3 | Observation on Weaknesses and Strengths | 78 |
| | 6.3.1 Strength | 79 |
| | 6.3.2 Weakness | 79 |
| 6.4 | Future Work | 80 |
| 6.5 | Summary | 80 |
| | | |
| REF | ERENCES | 81 |
| APPEDICES A | | |
| APPEDICES B | | |
| APP | EDICES C | 92 |
| | | |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| Table 1.1 | Research Problems | 3 |
| Table 1.2 | Research Questions | 4 |
| Table 1.3 | Research Objectives | 4 |
| Table 3.1 | PSM 1 Milestone | 29 |
| Table 3.2 | PSM 2 Milestone | 30 |
| Table 3.3 | PSM 1 Activities | 31 |
| Table 3.4 | PSM 2 Activities | 33 |
| Table 4.1 | Software Requirement | 36 |
| Table 4.2 | Hardware Requirement | 37 |
| Table 4.3 | Range Value for Band 1, 2 and 3 (Input) | 41 |
| Table 4.4 | Output Range Value for Mamdani-type system | 42 |
| Table 4.5 | Output Constant Value of Sugeno-type system | 42 |
| Table 4.6 | Rules for Mamdani-type and Sugeno-type Syster | n 43 |
| Table 5.1 | Total Number of Classified Pixel | 75 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------|--|------|
| Figure 3.1 | Overall Methodology | 19 |
| Figure 3.2 | Methodology of Image Classification | 21 |
| Figure 3.3 | Binary Decision Tree Classifier | 22 |
| Figure 3.4 | Flowchart Process | 23 |
| Figure 3.5 | Gantt chart for PSM 1 | 26 |
| Figure 3.6 | Gantt chart for PSM 2 | 28 |
| Figure 4.1 | Flowchart of Unsupervised Classification | 38 |
| Figure 4.2 | Basic flow of Fuzzy Inference System | 40 |
| Figure 4.3 | Graph of Pixel Value for Band 1, 2 and 3 | 44 |
| Figure 4.4 | FIS Editor | 45 |
| Figure 4.5 | Membership Function Editor for r | 46 |
| Figure 4.6 | Membership Function Editor for g | 47 |
| Figure 4.7 | Membership Function Editor for b | 47 |
| Figure 4.8 | Membership Function Editor for output1 | 48 |
| Figure 4.9 | Rule Editor for Mamdani-type system | 49 |
| Figure 4.10 | Rule Viewer for Mamdani-type system | 49 |
| Figure 4.11 | Rule Editor for Sugeno-type system | 50 |
| Figure 4.12 | Membership Function Editor for red | 51 |
| Figure 4.13 | Membership Function Editor for green | 52 |
| Figure 4.14 | Membership Function Editor for blue | 52 |
| Figure 4.15 | Membership Function Editor for classes | 53 |
| Figure 4.16 | Rule Editor for Sugeno-type system | 54 |

| Figure 4.17 | Rule Viewer for Sugeno-type system | 54 |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 5.1 | Value of Output | 58 |
| Figure 5.2 | Output in array form | 59 |
| Figure 5.3 | Value of cell array C | 59 |
| Figure 5.4 | Pseudocode for displaying classified pixels by color | 60 |
| Figure 5.5 | Image of classification-Mamdani (6 classes) | 61 |
| Figure 5.6 | Classification of road (Mamdani) | 61 |
| Figure 5.7 | Classification of land (Mamdani) | 62 |
| Figure 5.8 | Classification of tree (Mamdani) | 62 |
| Figure 5.9 | Classification of shadow (Mamdani) | 63 |
| Figure 5.10 | Classification of building (Mamdani) | 63 |
| Figure 5.11 | Classification of river (Mamdani) | 64 |
| Figure 5.12 | Value of Output1 | 66 |
| Figure 5.13 | Output1 in array form | 66 |
| Figure 5.14 | Value of cell array C1 | 67 |
| Figure 5.15 | Pseudocode for displaying classified pixels by color | 68 |
| Figure 5.16 | Image of classification-Sugeno (6 classes) | 69 |
| Figure 5.17 | Classification of road (Sugeno) | 69 |
| Figure 5.18 | Classification of land (Sugeno) | 70 |
| Figure 5.19 | Classification of tree (Sugeno) | 70 |
| Figure 5.20 | Classification of shadow (Sugeno) | 71 |
| Figure 5.21 | Classification of building (Sugeno) | 71 |
| Figure 5.22 | Classification of river (Sugeno) | 72 |
| Figure 5.23 | Classification Output of Mamdani method | 73 |
| Figure 5.24 | Classification Output of Sugeno method | 73 |
| Figure 5.25 | Coding to count total number of pixels (Mamdani) | 74 |
| Figure 5.26 | Coding to count total number of pixels (Sugeno) | 74 |



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| ALPHABET | WORD | EXPLANATION |
|----------|---------|--|
| А | ANFIS | Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System |
| С | CPG | Classification by Progressive Generalization |
| F | FIS | Fuzzy Inference System |
| F | FKM | Fuzzy K-Means |
| М | MISO | Multiple Input Single Output |
| М | MIMO | Multiple Input Multiple Output |
| Ι | ISODATA | Iterative Self-Organizing Data Analysis |
| | | Technique |
| Р | PID | Process ID |
| R | RO | Research Objective |
| R | RP | Research Problem |
| R | RQ | Research Questions |
| Т | T-S | Tagaki-Sugeno |



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Nowadays we use satellite to do many things such as to capture image on the earth. However, it is difficult to differentiate the objects captured by satellite on the ground. Image classification is one of the processes used in classifying object from the satellite image. The image classification a process which grouped the items such as objects, patterns, image, regions, and pixels based on the similarity between the item and the description of groups. Common classification procedures can be broken down into two broad subdivisions based on the method used: supervised classification and unsupervised classification. The classifier to be used will be based on the Fuzzy Interference method. The comparison of classifier technique uses between two different types of Unsupervised Technique will be done. In this project, the chosen method was Fuzzy Mamdani and Fuzzy Sugeno for unsupervised image classification. Although different types of techniques were used to classify the image, we do not know which type of method to be implemented was better to produce output. To overcome the problems, this project was carried out to compare which type of unsupervised image classification gaves a better output. The image was from Malaysia Remote Sensing Agency which using size of 225 x 277 pixels on 256 bits or unsigned integer 8 from QuickBird Satellite Image for June 2010 of Kuala Linggi Mangroves Forest, Alor Gajah Melaka. This study will beneficial on differentiate object based on geography field. By implement this image classification, this can help the relevant person or agencies such as geographer to classify out the image and draw out the map easily. In this project, two techniques of fuzzy were used to compare the best classification output of the satellite images.

1.1 Project Background

In general, classification refers to learning classification models or classifiers from data presented by labeled examples (Valentina Zharkova, 2007). Image classification is processing techniques which apply quantitative methods to the values in a digital yield or remotely sensed scene to group pixels with similar digital number values into feature classes or categories (Purdue University, 2012). Image classification can also called а process which grouped the items (objects/patterns/image/regions/pixels) based on the similarity between the item and the description of groups.

Common classification procedures can be broken down into two broad subdivisions based on the method used: supervised classification and unsupervised classification.

Supervised classification is the process of automatically grouping data into a set of classes by setting up prototypes using a priori knowledge obtained through training. It often involves selection of training data that can represent homogeneous examples of each class (Chen, 2010).

Unsupervised classification is one of the two basic approaches to digital image classification with the goal of producing land cover maps from remotely sensed data. Unsupervised algorithms evaluate the spectral properties of image pixels and segregate them into naturally occurring statistical groups with little or no guidance from the analyst (Rundquist, 2010).

The advanced methods that can be used in supervised classification include Parallelepiped Classification Maximum Likelihood Classification, Minimum Distance Classification and Endmember Spectra. As for unsupervised classification, the advanced methods that can be used in unsupervised classification method are K-Means Classification and ISODATA classification.

The classifier to be used will be based on the Fuzzy Interference method. The comparison of classifier technique uses between two different types of Unsupervised

Technique will be done. In this project, the chosen method is Fuzzy Mamdani and Fuzzy Sugeno for unsupervised image classification.

1.2 Problem Statements

Nowadays we use satellite to do many things. One of the usages is to capture image on the earth. However, it is difficult to differentiate the objects captured by satellite on the ground. Although different types of techniques are used to classify the image, we do not know which type of method to be implemented is better. The problem statements are listed and can be summarised as Table 1.1.

| No. | Research Problems | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| RP1 | Faced difficulty to understand the different methods of unsupervised | | | |
| | image classification. | | | |
| RP2 | Faced difficulty to know the progress of unsupervised image | | | |
| | classification. | | | |
| RP3 | Faced difficulty to know which type of unsupervised image classification | | | |
| | give out a better output. | | | |

Table 1.1 Research Problems

Based on the research problems identified in Table 1.1, there are three research problems. For RP1, there are lots of methods that can be used to do the unsupervised classification. Therefore, it is quite difficult to understand the methods used for each type of unsupervised classification. As for RP2, this will produce a big problem as if did not know the progress or flow of the method, it would be difficult when doing the method of image classification. As for RP3, a selection of good method of unsupervised image classification is important to produce a good result. Therefore, this project was carried out to choose the most suitable method for satellite image classification.

3

1.3 Research Questions

In this study, the research question was identified based on the problem that has been classify in paragraph 1.2. The research question are listed as follow:

| RP | RQ | Research Questions |
|-----|-----|--|
| RP1 | RQ1 | What is the difference between different types of unsupervised |
| | | image classification method? |
| RP2 | RQ2 | How did the method of unsupervised image classification works? |
| RP3 | RQ3 | Which type of unsupervised image classification method gives |
| | | out a better output? |

Table 1.2 Research Questions

Based on the research problem that had been list out in paragraph 1.2, three questions were written out to analysis and solve the problems. For RQ1, this research question was to get the better understanding on different types of unsupervised image classification. For RQ2, this research question was to know the progress and the function of selected methods. Finally for RQ3, this research question was to select the best method for unsupervised image classification.

1.4 Objectives

The objectives were listed out based on the research problems and questions as stated in Table 1.1 and Table 1.2. The objectives were listed as shown in Table 1.3 below.

| RP | RQ | RO | Research Objectives | |
|-----|-----|-----|---|--|
| RP1 | RQ1 | RO1 | To investigate the differences between the methods of | |
| | | | unsupervised image classification. | |

| Table 1.3 | Research | Objectives |
|-----------|----------|------------|
|-----------|----------|------------|

| RP2 | RQ2 | RO2 | To classify how the unsupervised image classification works |
|-----|-----|-----|---|
| | | | out. |
| RP3 | RQ3 | RO3 | To compare which type of unsupervised image classification |
| | | | gives a better output. |

Based on the research problem and research question that summarised in Table 1.1 and 1.2, a summarised of research question which is Table 1.3 was listed. There are three main objectives that were listed. For RO1, this objective was important to do the comparison between the methods for understand the differences between the methods. As for RO2, this objective was important to know the flow and progress of the method chosen when doing the unsupervised image classification. And as for RO3, this is important to choose the best method which can produce better image for image classification.

1.5 Scopes

The scope of this project was the comparison of unsupervised image classification between Mamdani-type and Sugeno-type classification. In this study, the main area had been identify, and the image used for this study was captured by the satelite. The image was from Malaysia Remote Sensing Agency which using size of 225 x 277 pixels on 256 bits or unsinged integer 8 from QuickBird Satellite Image for June 2010 of Kuala Linggi Mangroves Forest, Alor Gajah Melaka. The software used was Matlab R2009a to do the image compression. Fuzzy logic was used in order to do the comparison between Mamdani-type and Sugeno-type classification.

1.6 Project Significance

This study focused on the method applied on unsupervised image classification. In image classification, an image was classified according to its visual content. Unsupervised Classification is the identification of natural groups, or structures, within multi-spectral data by the algorithms programmed into the software. In order to do unsupervised classification, spectral classes are grouped first, based solely on the numerical information in the data, and are then matched by the analyst to information classes. This study would be beneficial on geography field. By implement this image classification, this could help the geographer to classify out the object captured and apllied on the map for more accurately.

1.7 Expected Output

In this project, the two of method of unsupervised image classification which is Mamdani-type and Sugeno-type classification was being compared to obtain the best result. The Mamdani-type image classification would be getting a better result as compare to Sugeno-type image classification. The Mamdani method should be classified out the better result on the pixels as compared with Sugeno method.

1.8 Report Organization

In report organization, the overall project was divided into six main chapters. In Chapter I, the discussed topics included project background, problem statements, research questions, objectives, scopes, expected output and report organization.

As for Chapter II, the topics covered included literature review, related works, analysis of current problem and justification, and proposed solution. The analysis of the problem was used to do as the project objectives and ways of solution was proposed to solve the problems.

For Chapter III, project methodology was covered. Project methodology, project activities and milestone was listed out into tables. The project methodology plays an important role as to make sure the project can be completed in the given time.

6

For Chapter IV, the topics covered included implementation. The required hardware and software requirement was listed into table and the flowchart for the process of method was drawn. The FIS system for both Mamdani method and Sugeno method was built.

For Chapter V, the topics covered included the testing on the system. The linking between FIS system and image was tested. The classified pixel that belongs to different classes was displayed in different color. The output for both methods was compared. Besides that, the total number of classified pixels was also been counted.

For Chapter VI, the topics covered included conclusion, observation on weakness and strengths, future works and summarization of project objectives was included. The overall summarization for this project was included in this chapter.

1.9 Summary

In conclusion, there are two types of image classification which is supervised and unsupervised classification. Unsupervised image classification was selected to do this project. There are various types of method for unsupervised image classification such as L-Means and ISODATA classification. In this project, the methods used for unsupervised image classification were Mamdani-type and Sugenotype classification.

In order to do this project, the research problem were listed out such as facing difficulty to understand the different methods of unsupervised image classification, difficult to know the progress of unsupervised image classification and difficulty to know which type of unsupervised image classification give out a better output. The next chapter will cover more details of this project which included literature review and project methodology.

Therefore, list of research questions and objectives were summarized out as shown in Table 1.2 and Table 1.3. The objectives was included to investigate the

7

differences between the methods of unsupervised image classification, to classify how the unsupervised image classification works out and to compare which type of unsupervised image classification gives a better output.

By implement this image classification, this could help the relevant person or agencies such as geographer to classify out the image and draw out the map easily. In this project, two techniques of fuzzy were used to compare the best classification output of the satellite images.

For the next chapter, the facts and findings, project methodology, project requirement which included the software and hardware requirement, and project schedule will be covered.



CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Introduction

In the previous chapter, the topic included were the project background, problem statement, research questions, objectives, scopes, project significance and expected output. In order to further discover more on the topic chosen, more topics would be discovered. In this chapter, the topic of the related work or previous work, analysis of current problem or justification and proposed solution was included.

The related work or previous works was included in this chapter. This includes the research and comment that had been done before. The facts that was related was included. This was important for understanding the idea or topic that studied. It can be used for reference and can helped as a guidance when doing this project. The literature review can helped to enlarge the area of knowledge field that being studied. Besides that, the advantages of the chosen method was covered also in this chapter. This is to ensure the benefits of applying the knowledge related.

In addition, this chapter was also covered the topic of analysis the current problem and then doing the justification. The analysis of current problem helped to list out the problem that been faced when doing this project. By analyze the problem that listed out by previous work or related work, this can helped to understand more the method chosen and try to overcome it. After analyzed the project, solution was proposed in order to overcome the problem. By figured out the current problem and doing the justification, the solution to overcome the problem can be done. The current problem was list out by analyze listing out the problem that had been faced. The justification was done by analyze the problem listed and give out solution to overcome that problems.

In order to understand and complete this project, research and problem analyze was important to realize the current stage of understanding on the related topic area. By having a good knowledge on the topic covered, this can helped to obtain the results that expected.

2.2 Related Work

Image classification is an important part of the remote sensing, image analysis and pattern recognition. In some instances, the classification itself may be the object of the analysis. For example, classification of land use from remotely sensed data produces a map like image as the final product of the analysis (Campbell, 2011).

The comparison between the two chosen method is doe based on a given satellite image. Satellites images consist on layers, each layer have special information regarding the satellite specialization directives. For instance, in the Landsat satellite images (specialized in weather forecasting); layer 1 may have water information, layer 2 reports soil information, etc. Each of these layers is in fact a pixel matrix, which can be easily converted into a matrix of real number values. The matrices store specific information corresponding to each color related to the terrain surface at a given location (Susana Arias, 2009).

In this project, the study was focus on satellite image classification using fuzzy logic technique. In order to classify out the object that captured by satellite, image classification need to be done. Image classification techniques are used to classify different features available in the image. The objective of image