



**Manufacturing Process Selection for Circular Blade Using
Analytical Hierarchy Process**

This report submitted in accordance with requirement of the Universiti Teknikal
Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) for the Bachelor Degree of Manufacturing Engineering
(Manufacturing Design) (Hons.)

By

SYAFIQ BIN RAMLI

B050910254

850702-01-6121

FACULTY OF MANUFACTURING ENGINEERING

2013



UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

BORANG PENGESAHAN STATUS LAPORAN PROJEK SARJANA MUDA

TAJUK: Manufacturing Process Selection for Circular Blade Using Analytical Hierarchy Process

SESI PENGAJIAN: 2012/2013 - Semester 1

Saya SYAFIQ BIN RAMLI

mengaku membenarkan Laporan PSM ini disimpan di Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) dengan syarat-syarat kegunaan seperti berikut:

1. Laporan PSM adalah hak milik Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dan penulis.
2. Perpustakaan Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka dibenarkan membuat salinan untuk tujuan pengajian sahaja dengan izin penulis.
3. Perpustakaan dibenarkan membuat salinan laporan PSM ini sebagai bahan pertukaran antara institusi pengajian tinggi.
4. **Sila tandakan (√)

SULIT (Mengandungi maklumat yang berdarjah keselamatan atau kepentingan Malaysia yang termaktub di dalam AKTA RAHSIA RASMI 1972)

TERHAD (Mengandungi maklumat TERHAD yang telah ditentukan oleh organisasi/badan di mana penyelidikan dijalankan)

TIDAK TERHAD

Disahkan oleh:

Alamat Tetap:

No.115, Lorong Kasawari,
Taman Muhibbah, Sungai Mati,
84400, Muar, Johor Darul Takzim.

Tarikh: _____

PENYELIA PSM

()

Tarikh:

** Jika Laporan PSM ini SULIT atau TERHAD, sila lampirkan surat daripada pihak berkuasa/organisasi berkenaan dengan menyatakan sekali sebab dan tempoh laporan PSM ini perlu dikelaskan sebagai SULIT atau TERHAD.

DECLARATION

I hereby, declared this report entitled “Manufacturing Process Selection for Circular Blade Using Analytical Hierarchy Process” is the results of my own research except as cited in references.

Signature :

Author's Name : SYAFIQ BIN RAMLI

Date : 20 DISEMBER 2012

APPROVAL

This report is submitted to the Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering of UTeM as a partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Bachelor of Manufacturing Engineering (Design). The member of the supervisory committee is as follow:

.....
Dr. Hambali bin Arep @ Ariff
(Project Supervisor)

ABSTRAK

Projek ini menerangkan pendekatan berdasarkan proses hierarki analisis (AHP) yang membantu pembuat keputusan atau keputusan jurutera pembuatan. Proses ini juga menentukan proses yang paling sesuai yang boleh digunakan dalam pembuatan "*Circular Blade*" pada dalam pembangunan produk. Masalah dalam proses pemilihan juga dianggap sebagai suatu fungsi yang pelbagai dalam pemilihan kriteria yang mempengaruhi dalam proses pemilihan. Salah satu cara yang boleh digunakan bagi membantu kejuruteraan pembuatan adalah Proses Analisis Hierarki (AHP). Terdapat lima jenis proses pembuatan yang melibatkan "*Circular Blade*" yang dipertimbangkan iaitu "Stamping Process", "Milling Process", "CNC Stamping", "CNC Milling" dan "CNC Wirecut". Oleh itu, AHP telah digunakan bagi menentukan proses terbaik untuk "*Circular Blade*" berdasarkan faktor utama dan faktor-faktor yang lain. Sembilan langkah-langkah telah dilakukan bagi menentukan proses pembuatan yang betul berdasarkan konsep AHP. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa "CNC Wirecut" merupakan pilihan pertama kerana ia mempunyai jumlah yang tertinggi (40.69%). Keputusan ini disokong oleh perisian "MindDecider".

ABSTRACT

This project describes an approach, based on the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) that assists decision makers or manufacturing engineers determining the most appropriate manufacturing process that to be employed in manufacturing of circular blade in the early stage of the product development process. The manufacturing process selection problem has also been treated as a multi function of criteria decision making due to various factors affecting the selection process must be considered. One of the decision making tools that can be implemented to assist manufacturing engineers determining the most optimum manufacturing process is analytical hierarchy process (AHP). There are five types of manufacturing processes involving circular blade being considered namely stamping process, milling process, CNC stamping, CNC milling and CNC wirecut. Therefore, AHP was used to determine the best process for circular blade by considering various selection factors and sub factors. The results showed that the CNC wirecut was a first choice due to it has the highest volume (40.69%) and the last choice was CNC Stamping (CS) with a value of only 0.209336 (20.93%). These results were also verified by MindDecider software.

DEDICATION

“In the Name of Allah, the most Beneficent, the Most Merciful”

Special dedication to my beloved parents:

Ramli Bin Abd Samat & Waginah Bt. Abd Majid

My supporting siblings:

Raihan Bt. Ramli

Najib B. Ramli

Hafiz B. Ramli

My respects supervisor:

Eng. Dr. Hambli B. Arep @ Ariff

My friends and my fellow lecturers

Thank you for all your care, support and believe in me

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank to my supervisor, Engr. Dr. Hambali bin Arep @ Ariff because gives me a lot of knowledge and give a lot of information during this final year project period. Without his support and encouragement I can afford to complete this final year project. I also would like to thank to my entire lecturer in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) who have helped me from the beginning until the end of this final year project period. Without their support and advice I can never fill up this technical report in success. Then a special thanks to my fellow friend because help me very much in getting the information and detail about anything that related to this final project. They give full commitment in helping me finding any problem and solve it together. Not to be forget to all my family members because they had give a lot of support and attention to me to doing and finished with success on this final year project. I am really appreciated for what you guys have done for me. Thank you so much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations, Symbols and Nomenclatures	x

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Project Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of project	3

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW (ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING)

2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	Background of Cutting Saw	5
2.2.1	Type of Saw	
2.2.1.1	Hand Saw	6
2.2.1.2	Back Saw	6
2.3	Circular Blade Saw	8
2.3.1	Type of Blade	
2.3.1.1	Combination Blade	9
2.3.1.2	Rip Blade	10
2.3.1.3	Cross Cut Blade	10
2.3.1.4	Planar Blade	11
2.4	Process of Circular Blade	
2.4.1	Circular Blade on Processing Quality	12

2.5	Circular Saw Characteristic	
2.5.1	Process Characteristic	14
2.5.2	Workpiece Geometry	15
2.6	Cutting Procedure	16
2.7	Analysis Critical Part	17
2.8	Manufacturing Process of Circular Blade	
2.8.1	Process A : Stamping Process	17
2.8.2	Process B : Turning and Cutting Process	18
2.8.3	Process C : Cutting and Shaping Process	19
2.9	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	
2.9.1	Application of AHP	20
2.9.2	Primary AHP Function	22
2.10	Overview AHP	
2.10.1	Choice of Application	22
2.11	MindDecider	24
2.12	Summary	24

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Project Planning	
3.2.1	Gantt Chart	26
3.3	Flow Chart of Project	27
3.4	Conceptual Design Stage	28
3.5	Selection Process Using AHP	29
3.6	Basic Process	
3.6.1	Structuring a decision problem and selection of criteria	30
3.6.2	Priority setting of the criteria by pairwise comparison	30
3.6.3	Pair wise comparison of options on each criterion	31
3.6.4	Obtaining an overall relative score for each option	31
3.7	Type of Decision	32

4.0	CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
4.1	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Methodology	33
4.1.1	Step 1 : Define the Problem	34
4.1.2	Step 2 : Develop a hierarchical framework	
4.1.2.1	Level 1 : Goal	35
4.1.2.2	Level 2 : Criteria	35
4.1.2.3	Level 3 : Sub-Criteria	35
4.1.2.4	Level 4: Alternative	35
4.1.3	Step 3: Construct set of pairwise comparison matrix	35
4.1.4	Step 4: Perform a judgment of pairwise comparison	36
4.1.5	Step 5: Synthesizing the pairwise comparison	39
4.1.6	Step 6: Perform the consistency	41
4.1.7	Step 7: Step (3-6) are performed for all levels in the hierarchy	45
4.1.8	Step 8: Develop overall priority ranking	48
4.1.9	Step 9: Select the best alternative	50
5.0	CHAPTER 5: RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
5.1	Result	51
5.2	Discussion	52
6.0	CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION	
6.1	Conclusion	53
6.2	Recommendation	54
	REFERENCES	55
	APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Scale of relative importance's	21
Table 3.1	Gantt chart	27
Table 4.1	Candidate of manufacturing process	33
Table 4.2	Scale of pairwise	36
Table 4.3	Data used to perform pairwise comparisons of the alternatives	37
Table 4.4	Pairwise comparison of criteria with respect to overall goal	38
Table 4.5	Synthesized matrix for the criteria	40
Table 4.6	Calculation to get new vector	41
Table 4.7	Random index of AHP	43
Table 4.8	The consistency test for the criteria	44
Table 4.9	Consistency test for sub criteria (Geometry of Design)	45
Table 4.10	Consistency test for sub criteria (Production Characteristics)	46
Table 4.11	Consistency test for sub criteria (Cost Consideration)	46
Table 4.12	Consistency test for all the alternatives	47
Table 4.13	All priority vectors for criteria, sub-criteria and alternatives	49
Table 4.14	Overall priority vectors for sub-criteria	49
Table 4.15	Overall priority vector for the alternatives with respect to the criteria	50
Table 4.16	Result Selection	50
Table 5.1	Result Discussion	51
Table 5.2	Summarization Of Results For Both Techniques	53
Table 5.3	Comparison Result Between AHP And MindDecider	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Models of saws	5
Figure 2.1	Type of circular blade	8
Figure 2.3	Manufacturing process	15
Figure 2.4	Cutting procedure	16
Figure 2.5	Circular blade	17
Figure 3.1	Flow chart for the final year project	27
Figure 3.2	Conceptual design stage	28
Figure 3.3	Flowchart of AHP step	29
Figure 4.1	Hierarchical structure for selecting the best manufacturing process	34
Figure 5.3	Mindecider Software Results For The Selection of Manufacturing Process Of Circular Blade	52

List of Abbreviations, Symbols and Nomenclatures

AHP - Analytical Hierarchy Process

AV - Availability of Equipment and Labor

CD - Complexity of the Design

ERP - Enterprise Requirement Planning

GD - Geometry of the Design

LC - Labor Cost

PQ - Production Quantity

PSM - Projek Sarjana Muda

PT - Processing Time

RP - Rate of Production

R&D - Research and Development

SWOT - Strength, weakness, opportunity, threat Size

ATB – Alternate Top Bavel

FT – Flat Top

HSS – High Speed Steel

CAD – Control Aided Design

SP – Stamping Process

TP – Turning Process

CP – Cutting Process

SP – Shaping Process

ABSTRAK

Projek ini menerangkan pendekatan berdasarkan proses hierarki analisis (AHP) yang membantu pembuat keputusan atau keputusan jurutera pembuatan. Proses ini juga menentukan proses yang paling sesuai yang boleh digunakan dalam pembuatan “*Circular Blade*” pada dalam pembangunan produk. Masalah dalam proses pemilihan juga dianggap sebagai suatu fungsi yang pelbagai dalam pemilihan kriteria yang mempengaruhi dalam proses pemilihan. Salah satu cara yang boleh digunakan bagi membantu kejuruteraan pembuatan adalah Proses Analisis Hierarki (AHP). Terdapat lima jenis proses pembuatan yang melibatkan “*Circular Blade*” yang dipertimbangkan iaitu “Stamping Process”, “Milling Process”, “CNC Stamping”, “CNC Milling” dan “CNC Wirecut”. Oleh itu, AHP telah digunakan bagi menentukan proses terbaik untuk “*Circular Blade*” berdasarkan faktor utama dan faktor-faktor yang lain. Sembilan langkah-langkah telah dilakukan bagi menentukan proses pembuatan yang betul berdasarkan konsep AHP. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa “CNC Wirecut” merupakan pilihan pertama kerana ia mempunyai jumlah yang tertinggi (40.69%). Keputusan ini disokong oleh perisian “MindDecider”.

ABSTRACT

This project describes an approach, based on the analytical hierarchy process (AHP) that assists decision makers or manufacturing engineers determining the most appropriate manufacturing process that to be employed in manufacturing of circular blade in the early stage of the product development process. The manufacturing process selection problem has also been treated as a multi function of criteria decision making due to various factors affecting the selection process must be considered. One of the decision making tools that can be implemented to assist manufacturing engineers determining the most optimum manufacturing process is analytical hierarchy process (AHP). There are five types of manufacturing processes involving circular blade being considered namely stamping process, milling process, CNC stamping, CNC milling and CNC wirecut. Therefore, AHP was used to determine the best process for circular blade by considering various selection factors and sub factors. The results showed that the CNC wirecut was a first choice due to it has the highest volume (40.69%) and the last choice was CNC Stamping (CS) with a value of only 0.209336 (20.93%). These results were also verified by MindDecider software.

DEDICATION

“In the Name of Allah, the most Beneficent, the Most Merciful”

Special dedication to my beloved parents:

Ramli Bin Abd Samat & Waginah Bt. Abd Majid

My supporting siblings:

Raihan Bt. Ramli

Najib B. Ramli

Hafiz B. Ramli

My respects supervisor:

Eng. Dr. Hambli B. Arep @ Ariff

My friends and my fellow lecturers

Thank you for all your care, support and believe in me

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to thank to my supervisor, Engr. Dr. Hambali bin Arep @ Ariff because gives me a lot of knowledge and give a lot of information during this final year project period. Without his support and encouragement I can afford to complete this final year project. I also would like to thank to my entire lecturer in Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka (UTeM) who have helped me from the beginning until the end of this final year project period. Without their support and advice I can never fill up this technical report in success. Then a special thanks to my fellow friend because help me very much in getting the information and detail about anything that related to this final project. They give full commitment in helping me finding any problem and solve it together. Not to be forget to all my family members because they had give a lot of support and attention to me to doing and finished with success on this final year project. I am really appreciated for what you guys have done for me. Thank you so much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstrak	i
Abstract	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgement	iv
Table of Content	v
List of Tables	viii
List of Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations, Symbols and Nomenclatures	x

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Project Background	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Objectives	3
1.4	Scope of project	3

CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW (ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING)

2.1	Introduction	4
2.2	Background of Cutting Saw	5
2.2.1	Type of Saw	
2.2.1.1	Hand Saw	6
2.2.1.2	Back Saw	6
2.3	Circular Blade Saw	8
2.3.1	Type of Blade	
2.3.1.1	Combination Blade	9
2.3.1.2	Rip Blade	10
2.3.1.3	Cross Cut Blade	10
2.3.1.4	Planar Blade	11
2.4	Process of Circular Blade	
2.4.1	Circular Blade on Processing Quality	12

2.5	Circular Saw Characteristic	
2.5.1	Process Characteristic	14
2.5.2	Workpiece Geometry	15
2.6	Cutting Procedure	16
2.7	Analysis Critical Part	17
2.8	Manufacturing Process of Circular Blade	
2.8.1	Process A : Stamping Process	17
2.8.2	Process B : Turning and Cutting Process	18
2.8.3	Process C : Cutting and Shaping Process	19
2.9	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	
2.9.1	Application of AHP	20
2.9.2	Primary AHP Function	22
2.10	Overview AHP	
2.10.1	Choice of Application	22
2.11	MindDecider	24
2.12	Summary	24

CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Project Planning	
3.2.1	Gantt Chart	26
3.3	Flow Chart of Project	27
3.4	Conceptual Design Stage	28
3.5	Selection Process Using AHP	29
3.6	Basic Process	
3.6.1	Structuring a decision problem and selection of criteria	30
3.6.2	Priority setting of the criteria by pairwise comparison	30
3.6.3	Pair wise comparison of options on each criterion	31
3.6.4	Obtaining an overall relative score for each option	31
3.7	Type of Decision	32

4.0	CHAPTER 4: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
4.1	Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) Methodology	33
4.1.1	Step 1 : Define the Problem	34
4.1.2	Step 2 : Develop a hierarchical framework	
4.1.2.1	Level 1 : Goal	35
4.1.2.2	Level 2 : Criteria	35
4.1.2.3	Level 3 : Sub-Criteria	35
4.1.2.4	Level 4: Alternative	35
4.1.3	Step 3: Construct set of pairwise comparison matrix	35
4.1.4	Step 4: Perform a judgment of pairwise comparison	36
4.1.5	Step 5: Synthesizing the pairwise comparison	39
4.1.6	Step 6: Perform the consistency	41
4.1.7	Step 7: Step (3-6) are performed for all levels in the hierarchy	45
4.1.8	Step 8: Develop overall priority ranking	48
4.1.9	Step 9: Select the best alternative	50
5.0	CHAPTER 5: RESULT AND DISCUSSION	
5.1	Result	51
5.2	Discussion	52
6.0	CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION	
6.1	Conclusion	53
6.2	Recommendation	54
	REFERENCES	55
	APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Scale of relative importance's	21
Table 3.1	Gantt chart	27
Table 4.1	Candidate of manufacturing process	33
Table 4.2	Scale of pairwise	36
Table 4.3	Data used to perform pairwise comparisons of the alternatives	37
Table 4.4	Pairwise comparison of criteria with respect to overall goal	38
Table 4.5	Synthesized matrix for the criteria	40
Table 4.6	Calculation to get new vector	41
Table 4.7	Random index of AHP	43
Table 4.8	The consistency test for the criteria	44
Table 4.9	Consistency test for sub criteria (Geometry of Design)	45
Table 4.10	Consistency test for sub criteria (Production Characteristics)	46
Table 4.11	Consistency test for sub criteria (Cost Consideration)	46
Table 4.12	Consistency test for all the alternatives	47
Table 4.13	All priority vectors for criteria, sub-criteria and alternatives	49
Table 4.14	Overall priority vectors for sub-criteria	49
Table 4.15	Overall priority vector for the alternatives with respect to the criteria	50
Table 4.16	Result Selection	50
Table 5.1	Result Discussion	51
Table 5.2	Summarization Of Results For Both Techniques	53
Table 5.3	Comparison Result Between AHP And MindDecider	51

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Models of saws	5
Figure 2.1	Type of circular blade	8
Figure 2.3	Manufacturing process	15
Figure 2.4	Cutting procedure	16
Figure 2.5	Circular blade	17
Figure 3.1	Flow chart for the final year project	27
Figure 3.2	Conceptual design stage	28
Figure 3.3	Flowchart of AHP step	29
Figure 4.1	Hierarchical structure for selecting the best manufacturing process	34
Figure 5.3	Mindecider Software Results For The Selection of Manufacturing Process Of Circular Blade	52